

TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPROVAL PAGE	i
DECLARATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF TEXTS	viii
Chapter I Introduction	
1.1. Background	1
1.2. Research questions	3
1.3. Purposes of the study	3
1.4. Significance of study	3
1.5. Scope of the study	4
1.6. Definition terms used in the study	4
1.7 The Organization of the Thesis	5
Chapter II Literature Review	
2.1 Theoretical Framework	6
2.1.1 Writing skill	6
2.1.2 Collaborative Writing.....	7
2.1.3 Writing through Technology.....	12
2.1.4 Edmodo	14
2.1.4.1 The advantages of Education Edmodo.....	17
2.1.4.2 Writing Process Using Edmodo	20
2.1.5 Feedback	21
2.1.5.1 Teacher feedback	21
2.1.5.2 Indirect Feedback	23
2.1.5.3 Annotation Feedback	27
2.1.6 Procedure Text	31
2.1.7 The Students' attitude	33
2.2 Previous studies.....	35
Chapter III Methodology	
3.1 Research Questions and Purposes	
3.2 Research Design.....	39
3.3 Research site.....	39

3.4 Research Population and sample.....	41
3.5 Research Instruments	42
3.5.1 The pre-tests and posttest.....	42
3.5.2 Assessment format	43
3.5.3 Questionnaire	46
3.6 Data analysis	46
3.6.1 Score Data analysis.....	46
3.6.2 Hypothesis Testing	47
3.7 Conclusion	49

Chapter IV Findings and Discussion

4.1 Research Questions and Purposes	50
4.2 Findings and Discussion	50
4.2.1 To what extent does of the use of annotation feedback in social media affect the students' text?	51
4.2.1.1 Descriptive statistic and assumption.....	52
4.2.1.2. The Analyses of Annotation Feedback on Students' Text.....	54
4.2.1.2.1 Analyses of High Achiever Students' Text.....	54
4.2.1.2.1.1 Analyses of High Achiever Students' Pretest Text from Experimental group.....	55
4.2.1.2.1.2 Analyses of High Achiever Students' Posttest Text from Experimental group.....	58
4.2.1.2.1.3 Analyses of High Achiever Students' Pretest Text from Control Group.....	59
4.2.1.2.1.4 Analyses of High Achiever students' Posttest Text from Control Group	62
4.2.1.2.2 Analyses of Middle Achiever Students' Text	64
4.2.1.2.2.1 Analyses of Middle achiever Students' Pretest Text from Experimental Group	64
4.2.1.2.2.2 Analyses of Middle Achiever Students' Posttest Text from Experimental Group	67
4.2.1.2.2.3 Analyses of Middle Achiever Students' Pretest Text from Control Group	70
4.2.1.2.2.4 Analyses of Middle Achiever Students' Posttest Text from Control Group	72
4.2.1.2.3. Analyses of Low Achiever Students' Text	75
4.2.1.2.3.1 Analyses of Low achiever students' pretest text from Experimental group.....	75
4.2.1.2.3.2 Analyses of Low Achiever Students' Posttest Text from Experimental group.....	76
4.2.1.2.3.3 Analyses of Low Achiever Students' Pretest Text from Control group.....	78
4.2.1.2.3.4 Analyses of Low Achiever Students' Posttest Text from Control Group.....	79
4.3 What is the Students' Attitude toward the Use of Annotation Feature in Edmodo for Feedback?	81

4.4 Conclusion	85
Chapter V: Conclusion and Recommendation	
5.1 Research Question and Purposes	87
5.2 Conclusion	87
5.3 Limitation of the Study	88
5.4 Recommendation	89
Bibliography	90
Appendices	100

List of Tables

Table 2.1 Characteristics of annotations written on books	28
Table 3.1 Five aspects in this scale profile (Heaton 1995, p, 146)	43
Table 3.2 Interpretation Score Scale	45
Table 4.1 Independent Samples of Pre-test.....	52
Table 4.2 Independent Samples of Posttest.....	53
Table 4.3 the Students' Attitudes toward the use of Annotation feature in Edmodo for feedback.....	82

List of Figures

Figure 2.1 Edmodo's cover	15
Figure 2.2 Figure of overview of a page in Edmodo	17
Figure 2.3 Indirect Feedback.....	23
Figure 2.4 Indirect Feedback using Edmodo	24
Figure 2.5 Making an Annotation in Edmodo	30
Figure 3.1 Design of the study	40
Figure 4.1 Pretest of Procedure Text by High Achiever Student from Experimental Group	55
Figure 4.2 Pretest of Procedure Text by Middle Achiever Student from Experimental Group	65
Figure 4.3 Pretest of Procedure Text by Low Achiever Student from Experimental Group	75

List of Text

Text 4.1 Posttest of Procedure Text by High Achiever Student from Experimental Group	58
Text 4.2 Pretest of Procedure Text by High Achiever Student from Control Group	60
Text 4.3 Posttest of Procedure Text by High Achiever Student from Control Group	62
Text 4.4 Posttest of Procedure Text by Middle Achiever Student from Experimental Group	68
Text 4.5 Pretest of Procedure Text by Middle Achiever Student from Control Group	71
Text 4.6 Posttest of Procedure Text by Middle Achiever Student from Control Group	73
Text 4.7 Posttest of Procedure Text by Low Achiever Student from Experimental Group	77
Text 4.8 Pretest of Procedure Text by Low Achiever Student	

from Control Group	78
Text 4.9 Posttest of Procedure Text by Low Achiever Student	
from Control Group	80