

CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| SHEET OF LEGITIMATION..... | i |
| DECLARATION..... | ii |
| ABSTRACT..... | iii |
| PREFACE..... | iv |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT..... | v |
| CONTENTS..... | vii |
| LIST OF TABLES..... | x |
| LIST OF FIGURES..... | xii |
| LIST OF APPENDICES | xiii |
| | |
| CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION | |
| A. Background..... | 1 |
| B. Research Problem..... | 3 |
| C. Research Objective..... | 4 |
| D. Limitations of Problem..... | 4 |
| E. Significance of Research..... | 5 |
| F. Organization Structure of Research paper..... | 5 |
| | |
| CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW | |
| A. Imagination in Science Learning | 7 |
| B. Visual Representation in Science Learning..... | 7 |
| C. Visuo-spatial Abilities..... | 9 |
| D. Visuo-spatial Cognitive Taxonomy..... | 10 |
| E. Prior Knowledge..... | 11 |
| F. Concept Mastery..... | 12 |
| G. Gender Differences..... | 13 |
| H. Concept of Human Urinary System..... | 15 |
| | 7 |

Atikah, 2014

ROLE OF VISUO-SPATIAL REPRESENTATION TO IMPROVE STUDENT'S CONCEPTUAL MASTERY BASED ON GENDER IN LEARNING HUMAN URINARY SYSTEM

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

| | |
|---|----|
| A. Research Method and Design..... | 21 |
| B. Population and Sample..... | 22 |
| 1. Research Location and Period..... | 22 |
| 2. Population and Sample..... | 22 |
| C. Operational Definition..... | 23 |
| 1. Visuo-spatial Representation..... | 23 |
| 2. Conceptual Mastery..... | 23 |
| 3. Students' Impression..... | 23 |
| D. Research Instrument..... | 24 |
| 1. Design of Research Instrument..... | 24 |
| 2. Instrument Analysis..... | 26 |
| a. Validity..... | 27 |
| b. Discriminating Power..... | 28 |
| c. Difficulty Level..... | 29 |
| d. Reliability..... | 30 |
| E. Data Analysis..... | 32 |
| 1. Data Analysis toward cognitive Aspect..... | 32 |
| a. Quantitive Data Analysis..... | 32 |
| 1) Scoring test items..... | 32 |
| 2) Calculation of gain score and normalized gain..... | 32 |
| 3) Normality test..... | 33 |
| 4) Homogeneity test..... | 34 |
| 5) Hypothesis test..... | 34 |
| 2. Non Test Data Analysis..... | 35 |
| a. Qualitative Data Analysis..... | 35 |
| 1) Data analysis of students' impression..... | 35 |
| 2) Data interpretation..... | 35 |
| F. Research Procedure..... | 36 |
| G. Research Scheme..... | 38 |

Atikah, 2014

ROLE OF VISUO-SPATIAL REPRESENTATION TO IMPROVE STUDENT'S CONCEPTUAL MASTERY BASED ON GENDER IN LEARNING HUMAN URINARY SYSTEM

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

CHAPTER IV RESULT AND DISCUSSION

| | |
|--|----|
| A. Research Result | 40 |
| 1. Conceptual Mastery..... | 40 |
| 2. Normality, Homogeneity, Hypothesis Test..... | 47 |
| 3. The Profile of Visuo-spatial Representation of Students'..... | 49 |
| 4. Students Impressions..... | 52 |
| B. Research Discussion | 55 |
| 1. The Improvement of Students' Conceptual Mastery through Visuo- Spatial Representation..... | 55 |
| 2. The Difference of Conceptual Mastery Improvement after Learning through Visuo-spatial Representation | 60 |
| 3. Profile of Students' Impression toward Visuo-spatial Representation | 64 |

CHAPTER V CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

| | |
|-------------------------|----|
| C. Conclusions..... | 68 |
| D. Recommendation | 69 |

| | |
|------------------------|-----------|
| REFERENCES..... | 70 |
|------------------------|-----------|

| | |
|-----------------------|-----------|
| APENDICES..... | 74 |
|-----------------------|-----------|

| | |
|---------------------------|------------|
| AUTOBIOGRAPHY..... | 160 |
|---------------------------|------------|

LST OF TABLES

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| Table 2.1 Visual-spatial Cognitive Abilities..... | 10 |
| Table 2.2 Applying the Cognitive Taxonomy to Visual-spatial Intelligences | 11 |
| Table 2.3 The Cognitive Domain Process..... | 12 |
| Table 2.4 Gender Differences and Educational Implication..... | 14 |
| Table 2.5 The Organ and Function of Human Urinary System | 16 |
| Table 2.6 Kidney Structure..... | 18 |
| Table 3.1 Research Design: Two Group of Pre-test Post-test Design..... | 21 |
| Table 3.2 Blueprint of Objective Test Items before Validation..... | 24 |
| Table 3.3 Blueprint of Objective Test Items after Validation..... | 25 |
| Table 3.4 Student's Impression Questionnaire..... | 26 |
| Table 3.5 Validity Intepretation | 27 |
| Table 3.6 Classification of Discriminating Power..... | 28 |
| Table 3.7 Difficulty level | 29 |
| Table 3.8 Reliability Interpretation..... | 30 |
| Table 3.9 Summary of Instrument Data Analysis Result..... | 31 |
| Table 3.10 Normalized Gain Score Classification..... | 33 |
| Table 3.11 Scoring Guideline of Likert scale..... | 35 |
| Table 4.1. Summary of student's statistical Test of Girl and Boy Classes..... | 41 |
| Table 4.2 The Composition of N-Gain Interpretation..... | 44 |
| Table 4.3 Conceptual mastery in Each Concept before Treatment..... | 45 |
| Table 4.4 Conceptual mastery in Each Concept before Treatment..... | 45 |
| Table 4.5 Recapitulation Students' Cognitive domain of Bloom Taxonomy.. | 46 |
| Table 4.6 Result of Students' Wimba Model Project Score | 50 |
| Table 4.7 Result of Students' Test and Project | 51 |

Atikah, 2014

ROLE OF VISUO-SPATIAL REPRESENTATION TO IMPROVE STUDENT'S CONCEPTUAL MASTERY BASED ON GENDER IN LEARNING HUMAN URINARY SYSTEM

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 4.8 Summary of student's Response towards Visuo-Spatial Representation as Learning Model | 54 |
|---|----|

LIST OF FIGURES

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| Figure 2.1 The Components of the Urinary System..... | 16 |
| Figure 2.2 Kidney Structure..... | 18 |
| Figure 2.3 Scheme of Urine formation Mechanism..... | 19 |
| Figure 4.1 Average of Students' Pretest Score | 42 |
| Figure 4.2 Average of Students' Posttest Score | 43 |
| Figure 4.3 Average of Prettets and Posttetst in Boy and Girl Classes..... | 43 |
| Figure 4.4 Comparison of N-Gain in Boy and Girl Classes..... | 44 |
| Figure 4.5 Wimba Model Made by Girl Students'..... | 50 |
| Figure 4.6 Wimba Model Made by Boy Students'..... | 50 |
| Figure 4.7 N-gain and Project Score of Student | 52 |
| Figure 4.8 N-Gain Value of Boys Class and Girls Cognitive Domain achievement | 61 |
| Figure 4.9 Comparison of Average Score Between Girls and Boys Class | 65 |
| Figure 4.10 Comparison of Percentage Between Girls and Boys Class | 66 |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| A. INSTRUCTIONAL TOOLS | |
| Appendix A.1 Lesson Plan | 75 |
| Appendix A.2 Student's Worksheet..... | 85 |
| Appendix A.3 Project Planning Worksheet | 89 |
| B. RESEARCH INSTRUMENT | |
| Appendix B.1 Instrument of Test Items Judgments..... | 99 |
| Appendix B.2 Instrument Test Before Validation..... | 114 |
| Appendix B.3 Instrument Test After Validation..... | 121 |
| Appendix B.4 Rubric of Model Assesment | 126 |
| Appendix B.5 Instrument of Impression | 127 |
| C. RESULT OF RESEARCH DATA | |
| Appendix C.1 Data Processing of Test Item..... | 129 |
| Appendix C.2 Data Processing of <i>Likert-scale</i> | 140 |
| Appendix C.3 Data of Validation Result..... | 143 |
| D. DOCUMENTATION | |
| Appendix D.1 Documentation | 157 |