

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Acar, O., Patton, B.R. (2012). Argumentation and formal reasoning skills in an argumentation based guided inquiry course. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* 46 (2012) 4756 – 4760.
- Arikunto, S. (2010). *Prosedur Penelitian: Suatu Pendekatan Praktik*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.
- Arikunto, S. (2013). *Prosedur Penelitian: Suatu Pendekatan Praktik*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.
- Beck, U. (1992). *Risk society: towards a new modernity*. London: Sage.
- Departemen Pendidikan Nasional (2006). *Kurikulum 2006 Mata Pelajaran IPA SMA/MA*. Jakarta: depdiknas.
- Driver *et all*. (2000). Establishing the Norms of Scientific Argumentation in Classroom. *Science Education*, **85** (3), 287-312
- Erduran, Sibel. (2007). Methodological Foundations in the Study of Argumentation in Science Classrooms. *STOA*,3.
- Erduran, S., & Jimenez-Aleixandre, M.P. (2008). *Argumenation in Science Education*. Florida State University-USA: Spinger.
- Firdaus, M.R. (2012). *Hakikat Sains*. [Online]. Tersedia di: <http://www.mrifqifirdauspsdipa.blogspot.com/2012/09/hakikat-sains.html?m=1>. Diakses 11 September 2014
- Gardner, H. (1999). *The dicipline mind: What all students should understand*. New York: Simon & Schuster Inc.
- Giddens, A. (1999) *The Reith Lectures: Risk*. London: BBC.
- Grooms, J.A. (2011). *Using argument-driven inquiry to enhance students' argument sophistication when supporting a stance in the context of socioscientific issues*. (Disertasi). The Florida State University College Of Education.

- Hake, R. R. (1998). *Interactive Engagement Methods In Introductory Mechanics Courses*. [online] Tersedia : <http://www.physics.indiana.edu/~sdi/IEM-2b.pdf> [3 Maret 2014]
- Kelly, G. J., & Bazerman, C. (2003). *How Student Argue Scientific Claim: A Rhetorical-Semantic Analysis*. University of California: Oxford University Press.
- Kelly, G. J., & Takao, A. (2002). Epistemic levels in argumen: An analysis of university oceanography students' use of evidence in writing. *Science Education*, 86, 314-342.
- Kuhn, D. (1993). Science as argument: Implications for teaching and learning scientific thinking. *Science Education*, 77(3), 319-337.
- Kuhn, D. (2009). Teaching and Learning Science as Argument. *Wiley Online Library*. 10(1002).
- McNeill, K. L., Lizotte, D. J., & Krajcik, J. (2006). Supporting students' construction of scientific explanations by fading scaffolds in instructional materials. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 15(2), 153-191.
- Millar, R. and Osborne, J. F. ed. (1998). *Beyond 2000: Science education for the future*. London: King's College London.
- Muslim. (2013). *Penerapan Model Pembangkit Argumen Berbasis Investigasi Sains Dalam Pembelajaran Fisika Untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Argumentasi Siswa SMA*. Laporan Akhir Hibah Penelitian Dalam Rangka Implementasi Program DIA bermutu BACH III. Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia: tidak diterbitkan.
- Norris, S., Philips, L. & Osborne, J. (2007). *Scientific inquiry: the place of interpretation and argumenation*. In J. Luft, R. Bell & J. Gess-Newsome (Eds.), *Science as Inquiry in the Secondary Setting*. Arlington, VA: NSTA Press
- Osborne, J., Erduran, S., & Simon, S. (2001). Enhancing the quality of argumenation in school science. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 82(301).

- Osborne, J., Erduran, S., & Simon, S. (2004). Learning to teach Argumentation: Research And development in the science Classroom. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*.
- Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan Nomor 54. (2013). *Standar Kompetensi Lulusan Pendidikan Dasar Dan Menengah*. Jakarta: Kementrian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan Republik Indonesia
- Quinn, V. (1997). *Critical thinking in young minds*. London: David Fulton.
- Rachman, P. (2013). *Hakikat IPA*. [Online]. Tersedia di: <http://www.praditarachman.blogspot.com/2013/03/hakikat-ipa.html?m=1>. Diakses 11 September 2014.
- Rutherford, F.J. & Ahlgren, A. (1990). *Science for All Americans*. New York : Oxford University Press.
- Sampson, V., Gerbino, F. (2010). *Two Instructional Models That Teachers Can Use to Promote & Support Scientific Argumenation in the Biology Classroom The American Biology Teacher*, 72(7), 427–431.
- Schen, M. S. (2007). *Scientific reasoning skills development in the introductory biology courses for undergraduates*. (Disertasi). The Ohio State University, Columbus.
- Trend, R. (2009). Fostering Students' Argumenation Skills in Geoscience Education. *Journal of Geoscience Education*. 57(4), 224-232
- Wenning, C. J. (2006). A pramework for teaching the nature of science. *Journal of Physics Teacher Education Online*. 3(3), 3-10. Available at: <http://www.phy.ilstu.edu/jpteo>
- Zohar, A., & Nemet, F. (2002). Fostering students' knowledge and argumenation skills through dilemmas in human genetics. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 39(1), 35-62.