CHAPTER 3

METHODOLOGY

This chapter elaborates the method employed in this research and describes the

procedures of the study to answer the three questions stated in Chapter 1. It covers

the formulation of the problems, research design, research site and participants,

data collection, and data analysis.

3.1 Formulation of the Problems

How is Quantum Thinker implemented in teaching reading?

2. What are the benefits of using Quantum Thinker model in teaching

reading?

3. How do students respond to the implementation of Quantum Thinker

model in their reading activity?

3.2 Research Design

In order to answer the initial questions, this study employed qualitative method by

involving a case study, to establish the meaning of a social phenomenon from the

participants' view by identifying a culture-sharing group and studying how it

developed shared schemes of behavior over time (Creswell, 2003). In relation to

that definition, this study was conducted to find out how the implementation of

Quantum Thinker is implemented, supports students' reading comprehension and

benefits for teacher and students in teaching reading and how the students respond

to the implementation of Quantum Thinker model. Those were indicated by

classroom benefits, reading model benefits, and also to reveal their responses

during the reading learning processes with this model.

To achieve depth information and understanding of Quantum Thinker

reading model in reading process by using qualitative method. The Case Study

method was chosen to answer the research questions in exploring Quantum

Thinker model in teaching reading. This is in line with Stake's (1995) statement as

cited by Creswell (2003) that case study is a qualitative method strategy in which

the researcher explores a program in-depth, an event, an activity, a process, or one

or more individuals in-depth by collecting detailed information using a variety of

data collection procedures over a sustained period of time. Merriam (1988) added

that case study involves description, explanation, and people's individual

judgments and collective social actions, beliefs, thoughts, and perception, so that

it can be classified as an evaluated study. Since the teaching program is made and

implemented by the teacher in order to evaluate its appropriateness, this study can

also be categorized as a qualitative program evaluation (Nunan, 1992, as cited by

Emilia, 2005). In relation with this, the teacher was also a participant observer

since he took part in the research (Merriam, 1998).

Furthermore, the characteristics of an individual unit such as a child, a

group, a class, a school, or a community is observed typically by the case study

researcher (Nunan, 1992). Similarly, Emilia (2005) states that a case study design

is employed in "a small scale, a single case" and "focus on one particular instance

of educational experience or practice".

3.3 Research Site and Participants

The study was conducted in a public senior high school in Bandung. This school

was chosen since the partnership is developed and supported which leads to the

enhancement of the feasibility of the research (Bowen, et al., 2009) Moreover,

nine eleventh grade students were involved as focused participants of the study

because the curriculum of grade 11 is suitable for the purpose of the research,

expecting students to learn English text such as Procedural text, Factual Report

text, Analytical Exposition text, and short Biography text, and providing more

opportunities and eases to conduct the research and gain focused data.

3.4 Data Collection

In order to collect the data, three instruments were used, those are, classroom

observations, written documents (Lesson Plans, Students' Activities Checklists,

Teacher's Field Notes, Students' worksheets, and video recording transcriptions),

and interview. Those instruments were aimed to gather the data accurately and to answer the proposed research questions.

The research was conducted in adjustment to the school timetable as can be seen at the Research Procedure section. The data were collected in three weeks. During the research, the writer took part and acted as participant researcher (teacher).

3.4.1 Instruments

The instruments used in this study were classroom observation, written documents, and interview. Those instruments were used in order to gain the data about the implementation of Quantum Thinker model in teaching reading, the benefits of using Quantum Thinker model in teaching reading and the students' responses of the implementation of Quantum Thinker model in their reading activity.

3.4.1.1 Classroom observation

In this study, classroom observation was used to gain data during the implementation of using Quantum Thinker model in teaching reading. The classroom observations were conducted five times. The type of the observation in this study was participant observation (Merriam, 1991) since the writer took part as the teacher in implementing the Quantum Thinker model in teaching reading. Nunan and Carter (2001) explained that participant observation is conducted by someone who is a member of the group under investigation (e.g. the teacher or student in the classroom). Each meeting spent 80 minutes and video recording was used in each meeting to be observed by the writer in order to gain more data about the reading process. Moreover, the following observations were also achieved by using students' activities checklist in observing reading process as described below.

Table 3.1 Students' Activities Checklist

| LEARNING | ACTIVITIES | YES | NO | EVIDENCE |
|----------|------------|-----|----|----------|
| PROCESS | | | | |

| | The standards | | |
|-------------|----------------------------|---|---|
| | The students were | | |
| | motivated and engaged in | | |
| There is | the activity | | |
| Always | The students were | | |
| Another Way | interested to the title of | | |
| | the text, knew its | | |
| | meaning and used the | | |
| | target language with ease | | |
| | The students understood | | |
| Always | the reading instruction | | |
| Want to | The students grew | | |
| Know about | enthusiasm in creating | | |
| Something | questions related to the | | |
| | title of the text | | |
| | The students actively | | |
| | looked for ideas based on | | |
| Look for As | their knowledge toward | | |
| Many Ideas | the topic | | |
| | The students faced | | |
| | several difficulties on | | |
| | relating the topic with | | |
| | their knowledge | | |
| | The students actively | | |
| Find The | looked for ideas based on | | |
| Example In | their experience toward | | |
| The | the topic | | |
| Environment | The students faced | | |
| | several difficulties on | | |
| | relating the topic with | | |
| | their experience | | |
| | | 1 | L |

| | The student read the text |
|------------|---------------------------|
| Stay Focus | The students faced |
| on What is | several difficulties on |
| Wanted | reading the text |
| | The students could |
| | comprehend the text |

Adapted from the principles of Quantum Thinker by DePorter (2009)

In connection with classroom observation, teacher field notes were also used during the observation in five meetings. Patton (1990) stated that field notes are beneficial in a qualitative research in order to achieve the content analysis. Therefore, the real-life teaching situation and reflection were recorded by these instrument. In addition, students' responses were also observed during the observation. It was analyzed by categorizing the students' activities checklists and teacher field notes into several codes of responses. Each students' response was presented by the evidence found during the teaching and learning activities in the class. The criteria which were used in order to analyze the students' responses of the implementation of Quantum Thinker model in teaching reading are as follows:

Table 3.2 Observed Students' Responses

| Reading Process Procedure | | Students' Responses |
|---------------------------------------|--------|--------------------------|
| Observing | Enth | = Enthusiastic |
| (There is Always Another Way) | Crea | = Creative |
| Questioning | Re | = Reluctant |
| (Always Want to Know About | Con | = Confused |
| Something) | (+) AS | = Longer Attention Span |
| Exploring | (-) AS | = Shorter Attention Span |
| (Look for As Many Ideas) | | |
| Associating | | |
| (Find the Example in The Environment) | | |

Communicating
(Stay Focus on What is Wanted)

3.4.1.2 Written documents

As one of the instruments of this research, written document was used to gather the data in answering the research questions. The written documents were in forms of 1) Lesson plans during the five meetings observation, 2) Students' activities checklists and teacher field notes, 3) Students' worksheet during the five meetings observation, and 4) Video recording transcriptions.

Teacher's field notes (see Appendix D) were analyzed to point out the teacher's perception toward the learning process in the class. Nunan & Carter (2001) stated that teacher's field notes provide a chronological comments on the events that occur in the class. Field notes provide a human, interpretive, dimension to observational data (Insani, 2014). Teacher's field notes were also used to evaluate the teacher and the students' performance in the implementation of Quantum Thinker model. Therefore, in order to gain more data of the observation, several notes were always written by the teacher. In addition, students' activities checklists would be analyzed to see how the students respond the learning process. Last, written document is video recording transcription (see Appendix F) that was transcribed from each meeting. Finally, several evidences related to the reading activities by implementing Quantum Thinker model was carried out by the writer.

3.4.1.3 *Interview*

In this study, interview with open- and close-ended questions was aimed to collect the information about the students' thoughts, feelings, responses, difficulties, and expectations towards the implementation of Quantum Thinker model in their reading activity during the observation. As Creswell (2003) stated that in qualitative research, open-ended interview is used to gain detailed views from participant. The focus group interview was conducted in this study to gain more insight from the students. Richardson and Rabiee (2001) described that focus

group interview is a technique which involves the use of in-depth group interview

in which participants are selected because they are purposive. In addition, focus

group interview provide a convenient situation to the students in order to gather

the individual knowledge (Brown et al, 1989, p. 40) as cited in Patton (1990).

Keegan (2009) added that group interview is conducted in order to create relaxed,

versatile and open environment, foster creative forum, and enable diversity of

response.

The interview was conducted to the students after the observations were

done. It was delivered in Bahasa Indonesia in order to make students easier to

answer and to gain in-depth responses from them. The focus group interview

involves an informal situation. As stated by Patton (1990) that informal

conversational interview is the most open-ended approach to interviewing since it

is highly responsive to individual differences and situational changes. Moleong

(2000) added that informal situation is involved in order to obtain sincere

statements and answers from the answer. The interview guideline can be seen in

Appendix C. The questions formulated in the interview were aimed to gain the

data about reading as one of English skills, Quantum Thinker model implemented

by the teacher and how it affects the students' reading skill, and the students'

expectations of a better Quantum Thinker model.

3.4.2 Research procedures

3.4.2.1 Teacher's activity before the program

Before starting the research, the researcher who was also the teacher prepared the

lesson by following several points proposed by Paul (2003). In analyzing the

lesson plans, the lesson activities were read by the researcher to see the sequences

between each activity and implemented the Quantum thinker model in the lesson

plan to support the classroom activities.

1) The core target for the lesson

The general target of the lesson was to give students chances to express

their ideas toward the text that is learned by questioning, answering, reading, and

discussing. The lesson was also used to engage students in their reading process,

so that their ideas toward the text were expected to be the information consisted in the text. At the end of the program, the teacher would like to find out the students' development in reading English as a foreign language.

2) The equipment and lesson material that would be needed

Since the reading model used was Quantum Thinker model, the main equipments of the lesson were pictures and students handout. The pictures were used in order to trigger the students' prior knowledge toward the topic at the beginning of the lesson. Therefore, the pictures were varied in each meeting due to the different topic based on the lesson plan (see Appendix A) such as pictures of bicycle, lightning, cigarette, fast food, and B. J. Habibie. Meanwhile, the students handouts were used by students to note their questions and answers toward the text learned in each meeting that later, after they read the text, the students handouts would be marked for questions and answers that appeared in the text. Furthermore, the students handouts were in a form of table that consists of prediction column (questions and answers) and mark column.

Regarding the material given, the material was chosen by the researcher that closely related to students' daily life and environment. The material also was in line with the curriculum and syllabus at the school. After choosing the materials and arranging the activities, the researcher started to design the lesson plan and prepared the teaching media.

3) The activities that will be used

Generally, the technique used in teaching reading was stages based on the curriculum 2013, namely Observing, Questioning, Exploring, Associating, and Communicating. Those stages of activity were conducted by the teacher in each meeting.

3.4.2.2 Teacher and Students' Activity: Implementing the Lesson

In implementing the lesson, the teacher combined the curriculum 2013 stages and Quantum Thinker model that consist of Observing (*There is Always Another Way*), Questioning (*Always Want to Know About Something*), Exploring (*Look for*

as Many Ideas), Associating (Find The Example in The Environment), and Communicating (Stay Focus on What is Wanted).

The following Table 3.3 shows the research timetable conducted in this research.

Table 3.3 The Research Timetable

| No. | Activities | Meeting(s) | | | | |
|------|----------------------------|------------|---|---|---|---|
| 140. | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | Intriduction and Classroom | | | | | |
| | Observation 1 | √ | | | | |
| 2 | Classroom Observation 2 | | ٧ | | | |
| 3 | Classroom Observation 3 | | | ٧ | | |
| 4 | Classroom Observation 4 | | | | ٧ | |
| 5 | Classroom Observation 5 | | | | | |
| | and Interview | | | | | ٧ |

The following is the summary table of the lesson conducted during the observations.

Table 3.4 Lesson Summary

| Meeting | Topic | Lesson | Reading Model |
|------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| | | Objective | (Quantum Thinker) |
| 1 | How to Ride a | • Identifying the | |
| (5 th September, | Bicycle | social function | |
| 2014) | (Procedural Text) | and elements of | |
| | | Procedural Text. | |
| | | Arranging | |
| | | jumbled steps of | |
| | | Procedural Text. | |
| | | • Writing tips in | |
| | | Procedural Text. | |
| 2 | What is Lightning | • Identifying the | |
| (12 th September, | and Thunder? | social function | |
| 2014) | (Factual Report | and elements of | The Quantum Thinker |
| | Text) | Factual Report | The Quantum Timiker |

| • Identifying main topic, detailed information, and certain information of Factual Report Text. • Note taking of Factual Report Texts. 3 | | | Text. | model was used |
|--|------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| topic, detailed information, and certain information of Factual Report Text. Note taking of Factual Report Texts. Texts. Texts. Texts. Note taking of Factual Report Texts. Good for Our Health! (Analytical Exposition Text) Exposition Text Texts. The Dangerous of (Analytical Exposition) Texts. Topic, detailed information, and certain information of Analytical Exposition Text. Texts. Texts. Identifying the social function and elements of Analytical Exposition Text. Texts. Identifying main topic, detailed information of Analytical Exposition Text. Texts. Identifying the social function and elements of Analytical Exposition Text. The Dangerous of Analytical Exposition Text. A The Dangerous of Analytical Exposition Text. Exposition Text. A The Dangerous of Analytical Exposition Text. Analytical Exposition Text. The Dangerous of Analytical Exposition Text. | | | • Identifying main | |
| information, and certain information of Factual Report Text. Note taking of Factual Report Texts. Note taking of Factual Report Texts. Note taking of Factual Report Texts. Ohote taking of Factual Report Texts. Identifying the social function and elements of Analytical Exposition Text. Identifying main topic, detailed information, and certain information of Analytical Exposition Text. Creating a Mind Map from a topic in Analytical Exposition Text. The Dangerous of Objectives in each meeting. See appendix A for further information. | | | | • |
| certain information of Factual Report Text. Note taking of Factual Report Texts. Note taking of Factual Report Texts. Good for Our Health! (Analytical Exposition Text) Exposition Text) Fast Food (Junk (Analytical Exposition Text) Texts. Identifying main topic, detailed information, and certain information of Analytical Exposition Text. Creating a Mind Map from a topic in Analytical Exposition Text. The Dangerous of (26 th September, 2014) The Dangerous of (Analytical Exposition) Analytical Exposition Text. A The Dangerous of (Analytical Exposition) Analytical Exposition Text. A Analytical Exposition Text. | | | • | |
| information of Factual Report Text. Note taking of Factual Report Texts. Note taking of Factual Report Texts. 3 Fast Food (Junk (19 th September, Good for Our Health! (Analytical Exposition Text) Exposition Text) Exposition Text) 4 The Dangerous of (26 th September, Smoking 2014) (Analytical Exposition) The Dangerous of (Analytical Exposition) Analytical Exposition Text. The Dangerous of (Analytical Exposition Text) Analytical Exposition Text. The Dangerous of (Analytical Exposition Text) Analytical Exposition Text. The Dangerous of (Analytical Exposition Text) Analytical Exposition Text. | | | | |
| Factual Report Text. Note taking of Factual Report Texts. Note taking of Factual Report Texts. Note taking of Factual Report Texts. Food (Junk (19 th September, 2014) Good for Our Health! (Analytical Exposition Text) Identifying the social function and elements of Analytical Exposition Text. Identifying main topic, detailed information, and certain information of Analytical Exposition Text. Creating a Mind Map from a topic in Analytical Exposition Text. Creating a Mind Map from a topic in Analytical Exposition Text. Creating a Mind Map from a topic in Analytical Exposition Text. A (26 th September, 2014) Good for Our Health! (Analytical Exposition Text. Identifying main topic, detailed information of Analytical Exposition Text. Creating a Mind Map from a topic in Analytical Exposition Text. A (26 th September, Smoking (Analytical Exposition) Analytical | | | | - |
| Text. Note taking of Factual Report Texts. 3 Fast Food (Junk (19 th September, 2014) Good for Our Health! (Analytical Exposition Text) Exposition Text) Good for Our Health! (Analytical Exposition Text) Identifying main topic, detailed information, and certain information of Analytical Exposition Text. Creating a Mind Map from a topic in Analytical Exposition Text. Creating a Mind Map from a topic in Analytical Exposition Text. 4 The Dangerous of (26 th September, Smoking (Analytical Exposition) 4 The Dangerous of Smoking (Analytical Exposition) 4 The Dangerous of Analytical Exposition and elements of Analytical Exposition Analytical Exposition Text. | | | | objectives in each |
| •Note taking of Factual Report Texts. 3 Fast Food (Junk (19th September, 2014) Good for Our Health! (Analytical Exposition Text) Exposition Text) 4 The Dangerous of (26th September, 2014) September, 2014) (Analytical Exposition) 4 (Analytical Exposition) 4 The Dangerous of (Analytical Exposition) 4 (Analytical Exposition) 4 (Analytical Exposition) 4 (Analytical Exposition Text) 4 (Analytical Exposition) 4 (Analytical Exposition) 4 (Analytical Exposition) | | | _ | meeting. See |
| Factual Report Texts. 3 Fast Food (Junk (19 th September, 2014) Good for Our Health! (Analytical Exposition Text) 6 Creating a Mind Map from a topic in Analytical Exposition Text. 4 The Dangerous of (26 th September, 2014) (Analytical Exposition) 4 The Dangerous of (26 th September, 2014) (Analytical Exposition) Factual Report Texts. Identifying the social function and elements of Analytical Exposition Text. Creating a Mind Map from a topic in Analytical Exposition Text. 4 The Dangerous of (Analytical Exposition Text. Analytical Exposition Analytical Exposition Analytical | | | | appendix A for |
| Texts. 3 Fast Food (Junk (19th September, 2014) Good for Our Health! (Analytical Exposition Text) Exposition Text) Exposition Text Food) is Never Good for Our Health! (Analytical Exposition Text) Exposition Text Identifying main topic, detailed information, and certain information of Analytical Exposition Text. Creating a Mind Map from a topic in Analytical Exposition Text. 4 The Dangerous of (26th September, 2014) (Analytical Exposition) Identifying the social function and elements of Analytical exposition Text. | | | | further information. |
| 3 Fast Food (Junk Food) is Never Good for Our Health! (Analytical Exposition Text) Exposition Text) 4 The Dangerous of (26th September, 2014) The Dangerous of (Analytical Exposition) Text (19th September, 2014) Food) is Never Good for Our Health! (Analytical Exposition Text. Identifying main topic, detailed information, and certain information of Analytical Exposition Text. Creating a Mind Map from a topic in Analytical Exposition Text. Identifying the social function and elements of Analytical Exposition Text. Identifying the social function and elements of Analytical Exposition Text. | | | - | |
| (19th September, 2014) Food) is Never Good for Our Health! (Analytical Exposition Text) Food) is Never Good for Our Health! (Analytical Exposition Text) Identifying main topic, detailed information, and certain information of Analytical Exposition Text. Creating a Mind Map from a topic in Analytical Exposition Text. The Dangerous of (26th September, Smoking 2014) (Analytical Exposition) Analytical Exposition Text. | 3 | Fast Food (Junk | | |
| Good for Our Health! (Analytical Exposition Text) Part of the properties of the pro | | ` | | |
| Health! (Analytical Exposition Text) Health! (Analytical Exposition Text) Identifying main topic, detailed information, and certain information of Analytical Exposition Text. Creating a Mind Map from a topic in Analytical Exposition Text. Creating a Mind Map from a topic in Analytical Exposition Text. 4 The Dangerous of (26 th September, Smoking social function and elements of Analytical Exposition) Analytical | | ŕ | | |
| (Analytical Exposition Text) Exposition Text. • Identifying main topic, detailed information, and certain information of Analytical Exposition Text. • Creating a Mind Map from a topic in Analytical Exposition Text. 4 The Dangerous of (26 th September, Smoking (Analytical Exposition) 4 (Analytical Exposition Text) • Identifying the social function and elements of Analytical Exposition | 2014) | | | |
| Exposition Text) • Identifying main topic, detailed information, and certain information of Analytical Exposition Text. • Creating a Mind Map from a topic in Analytical Exposition Text. 4 The Dangerous of (26 th September, Smoking (Analytical Exposition) • Identifying main topic, detailed information, and certain information of Analytical Exposition Text. • Creating a Mind Map from a topic in Analytical Exposition Text. | | | | |
| topic, detailed information, and certain information of Analytical Exposition Text. • Creating a Mind Map from a topic in Analytical Exposition Text. 4 The Dangerous of (26 th September, Smoking (Analytical Exposition) • Identifying the social function and elements of Analytical Exposition) | | | _ | |
| information, and certain information of Analytical Exposition Text. • Creating a Mind Map from a topic in Analytical Exposition Text. 4 The Dangerous of (26 th September, Smoking Smoking (Analytical Exposition) • Identifying the social function and elements of Analytical Exposition) | | Exposition Text) | | |
| certain information of Analytical Exposition Text. • Creating a Mind Map from a topic in Analytical Exposition Text. 4 The Dangerous of (26 th September, Smoking (Analytical Exposition) • Identifying the social function and elements of Analytical Exposition) | | | - | |
| information of Analytical Exposition Text. • Creating a Mind Map from a topic in Analytical Exposition Text. 4 The Dangerous of (26 th September, Smoking (Analytical Exposition Analytical Exposition Analytical Analytical Exposition | | | | |
| Exposition Text. • Creating a Mind Map from a topic in Analytical Exposition Text. 4 The Dangerous of (26 th September, Smoking (Analytical Exposition) • Identifying the social function and elements of Analytical Exposition) | | | | |
| Exposition Text. • Creating a Mind Map from a topic in Analytical Exposition Text. 4 The Dangerous of (26 th September, Smoking Smoking (Analytical Exposition) • Identifying the social function and elements of Analytical Exposition) | | | Analytical | |
| Map from a topic in Analytical Exposition Text. 4 The Dangerous of (26 th September, Smoking social function and elements of Exposition) (Analytical Analytical | | | • | |
| Map from a topic in Analytical Exposition Text. 4 The Dangerous of (26 th September, Smoking social function and elements of Exposition) (Analytical Analytical | | | Creating a Mind | |
| topic in Analytical Exposition Text. 4 The Dangerous of (26 th September, Smoking social function 2014) (Analytical and elements of Exposition) topic in Analytical Exposition Text. | | | | |
| Analytical Exposition Text. 4 The Dangerous of (26 th September, Smoking social function and elements of Exposition) (Analytical Analytical | | | - | |
| Exposition Text. 4 The Dangerous of • Identifying the social function (26 th September, Smoking social function and elements of Exposition) Exposition | | | - | |
| (26 th September, Smoking social function 2014) (Analytical and elements of Exposition) Analytical | | | • | |
| (26 th September, Smoking social function 2014) (Analytical and elements of Exposition) Analytical | 4 | The Dangerous of | • Identifying the | |
| 2014) (Analytical and elements of Exposition) Analytical | (26 th September, | Smoking | social function | |
| Exposition) Analytical | | _ | and elements of | |
| | | | Analytical | |
| | | r, | Exposition Text. | |

| | | • Identifying main | |
|---------------------------|---------------|--------------------|---|
| | | topic, detailed | |
| | | information, and | |
| | | certain | |
| | | information of | |
| | | Analytical | |
| | | Exposition Text. | |
| | | •Creating a Mind | |
| | | Map from a | |
| | | topic in | |
| | | Analytical | |
| | | Exposition Text. | |
| 5 | Bacharuddin | • Identifying the | |
| (3 rd October, | Jusuf Habibie | social function | |
| 2014) | (Biography) | and elements of | |
| | | Biography Text. | |
| | | • Identifying main | |
| | | topic, detailed | |
| | | information, and | |
| | | certain | |
| | | information of | |
| | | Biography Text. | |
| | | •Writing moral | |
| | | values from an | |
| | | Indonesian Role | |
| | | Model. | |
| | l . | 1 | I |

NOTE:

The activities were based on the lesson objectives. See Appendix A for further details.

3.5 Data Analysis

Data collection from classroom observation, written documents, and interview above had no meaning until it was classified, organized, and interpreted

(Alwasilah, 2000). Alwasilah (2000) further explained that to interpret the raw

data, the data were analyzed in three steps: coding, categorization, and

interpreting the data. Those instruments will be presented in a form of descriptive

explanation. Moreover, in order to enhance research reliability and validity, the

data gained from three instruments were cross-checked by using triangulation

(Vidovich, 2003). Triangulation is the use of multiple-data-collection methods

which contribute to the worthiness of the data and it can increase confidence in

research findings (Glesne and Peshkin, 1992). The statement is supported by

Denzin (1970) as cited in Patton (1990) that triangulation is useful to overcome

the intrinsic bias that comes from single-methods, single-observer, and single-

theory-studies.

3.5.1 Analysis of classroom observation

In this study, the data observation were analyzed from the recorded videos during

the activities in the class, particularly reading activities. The recorded videos were

very beneficial to see the real situation in the class and to support the data from

the students' activities checklists and teacher field notes. The recorded videos

were played back in times to gain analysis in details. Several notes were written

based on the students' behavior toward Quantum Thinker model's learning

activities (see Appendix D). Then, those notes were interpreted into some

categories to address the research questions (see Appendix E). The result of

analysis will be explained comprehensively.

3.5.2 Analysis of written documents

In order to achieve in-depth insight of the situation from several aspects, students'

activities checklists and teacher field notes (see Appendix D), and transcription of

recorded video were used. Written documents analysis was also used to describe

student learning related to the concept development, self-confident, social

interaction, communication, etc.

In addition, teacher's field notes and students' activities checklists were

very beneficial to comprehend teacher's perspective towards the learning process.

The writer could note what should be evaluated and improved from the lesson

plan in each meeting. In order to fully comprehend the field notes, teacher's field

notes were read repeatedly, categorized into several aspects related to the research

questions, and paired with relevant theories explained in Chapter II.

In order to find out evidences of appropriateness in implementing

Quantum Thinker model, transcription of recorded video was also analyzed. The

transcription was aimed to seek the implementation of Quantum Thinker model

whether it run appropriately or not during the observations. Then, the transcription

was compared to the students' activities checklists and teacher's field notes in

order to gain the real situation and feeling of participants during the class

observation.

From these instruments, the data gained were very beneficial in supporting

the data from focus group interview and were associated with relevant literature

that is presented in the next chapter.

3.5.3 Analysis of interview

In order to answer the third research question about students' responses of the

implementation of Quantum Thinker model in their reading activity, the result of

the interview session was analyzed by the writer. Interview is "constructed" by

researchers, therefore it is not naturally occurred and the direct access to the

experiences of the ones studied is not provided (Silverman, 1998).

In analyzing the data from interview, firstly, the data from interview were

transcribed by the writer into the written data. Secondly, the written data of

interview were examined by relating the development of students' reading

comprehension and students' responses to the implementation of Quantum

Thinker model. Thirdly, the results of interview will be explained in relation with

the research questions and relevant literature that is presented in the next chapter.

The results of data analysis derived from classroom observation, written

documents, and interview were cross-checked by using Triangulation.

Triangulation is the use of multiple-data-collection methods which contribute to

the worthiness of the data and it can increase confidence in research findings

(Glesne and Peshkin, 1992). Duff (2008) explains that theory may be triangulated when the same phenomenon is examined through different view of literature and from the perspective of researchers in different fields, data collection techniques and results can be triangulated (e.g., observation, interviews, document analysis).

Those data were contrasted and were matched in order to gain the relationship of Quantum Thinker model and students' responses in the teaching learning. Therefore, the events or facts of case study are supported by more than single source of evidence by triangulating the data (Yin, 2003). Merriam (1998) adds that triangulation strengthen the reliability and the internal validity in multiple methods of data collection and analysis.