

**ENGINEERING DESIGN PROCESS-BASED STEM ON WIND TURBINE
PROJECT TO STRENGTHEN RENEWABLE ENERGY AWARENESS
AND CRITICAL THINKING SKILLS**

RESEARCH PAPER

Submitted to Requirement to Obtain Degree of *Sarjana Pendidikan* in
International Program on Science Education (IPSE)



Arranged by:

Hilda Oktapiani

NIM 2109638

SCIENCE EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND SCIENCE EDUCATION

UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN INDONESIA

2025

ENGINEERING DESIGN PROCESS- BASED STEM ON WIND TURBINE PROJECT TO STRENGTHEN RENEWABLE ENERGY AWARENESS AND CRITICAL THINKING SKILLS

Oleh
Hilda Oktapiani

Sebuah skripsi yang diajukan untuk memenuhi salah satu syarat memperoleh gelar
Sarjana Pendidikan pada Fakultas Pendidikan Matematika dan Ilmu Pengetahuan
Alam

© Hilda Oktapiani 2025
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia
Agustus 2025

Hak Cipta dilindungi undang-undang.

Skripsi ini tidak boleh diperbanyak seluruhnya atau sebagian,
dengan dicetak ulang, difoto kopi, atau cara lainnya tanpa ijin dari penulis.

APPROVAL SHEET

HILDA OKTAPIANI

ENGINEERING DESIGN PROCESS-BASED STEM-PjBL ON WIND TURBINE PROJECT TO STRENGTHEN RENEWABLE ENERGY AWARENESS AND CRITICAL THINKING SKILLS

Approved and confirmed by

Supervisor I



Dr. Eka Cahya Prima, S.Pd., M.T.
NIP 199006262014041001

Supervisor II



Dr. Nanang Winarno, S.Si., S.Pd., M.Pd.
NIP 198403212014041001

Received by,

Head of Science Education Study Program



Prof. Dr. Phil. Ari Widodo, M.Ed.
NIP. 196705271992031001

PLAGIARISM FREE DECLARATION

I, the undersigned:

Name : Hilda Oktapiani

Student ID : 2109638

Major : International Program on Science Education

Title : Engineering Design Process-Based STEM-PjBL on Wind
Turbine Project to Strengthen Renewable Energy Awareness and
Critical Thinking Skills

Hereby declare that this written work is the result of my own efforts. I guarantee that all parts of this work, whether in whole or in part, are free from plagiarism of other people's work, except for the sections that have been clearly stated and cited from the original sources.

If in the future any violation of academic ethics is found, including elements of plagiarism, I am willing to accept sanctions in accordance with the regulations applicable at the Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia

Bandung, August 1, 2025



Hilda Oktapiani

2109638

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All praise and gratitude are due to God Almighty, Allah S.W.T., for His blessings and grace, which have enabled me to complete this thesis successfully. Throughout the process of preparing this thesis, I have received immense support, guidance, and assistance from many individuals. Therefore, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to:

1. Dr. Eka Cahya Prima, S.Pd., M.T., as the first supervisor. The author would like to thank you for your continuous guidance, encouragement, and valuable advice, as well as your patience, constructive feedback, and constant support, which have greatly helped me improve my work and complete this research successfully
2. Dr. Nanang Winarno, S.Si., S.Pd., M.Pd., as the second supervisor. The author would like to thank you for your guidance, suggestions, and support during the completion of this thesis.
3. Prof. Dr. Phil. Ari Widodo, M.Ed., as the Head of Science Education Study Program. The author would like to thank you for your dedication and encouragement.
4. Mrs. Eliyawati, S.Pd., M.Pd, as the academic supervisor. The author would like to thank you for your help and unwavering support, which has motivated her throughout her studies.
5. All lecturers, assistants, and administrative staff of the IPSE Study Program, especially Mrs. Lilit, Mrs. Rika. Mrs. Eli, Mrs. Resik, Prof. Diana, Dr. Margi, Prof. Ari, Sir Eka, Sir Nanang, Sir Ikmanda, Prof. Yayan (alm), Sir Latief, thank you very much for the knowledge given, for all the valuable experiences, for motivation, and various forms of endless help.
6. The author sincerely expresses gratitude to the school and students who took part in this research. Their warm welcome, openness, and enthusiasm have played an important role in the successful completion of this study.
7. To my beloved family, Bapak, Mama, A Hilman, thank you for all the love you have given, the warm support, and the unceasing prayers for the author.

Thank you for always accepting the author's good and bad sides. Thank you for always believing in the author that she can achieve what she desires, and thank you for always being there when the author feels the world is not on her side. Thank you for always being home, the warmest place for the author to return to.

8. To Ajojing Girls, Ragil Dwikesuma Hartoyo, Annaya Keisa Fasya, Sifa Pringgi Alzazeera, and Zalfa Julia Rosyani, thank you for always accompanying the author during this academic year. Thank you for always supporting one another, offering advice and feedback, and walking together through all the challenges of this academic phase. Thank you for your sincerity and for everything we have gone through together. If the author could turn back time, the author would hope to have met and connected with you all sooner, as creating beautiful memories with you all is one of the greatest joys for which the author is grateful. May you all always be happy and may all your future endeavors be successful.
9. To my research partner, Nadia Adristi Raissa, thank you for your collaboration, ideas, and constant support throughout this research journey. The process has not been easy, but your cooperation and commitment made it much more manageable. The author is truly grateful to have had you as a partner during this research journey.
10. To the amazing and beautiful women of IPSE 2021: Annaya, Arifah, Ayu, Elfrida, Fani, Faza, Fildzah, Isti, Jihan, Khaliza, Lu'lu, Nadia, Nida, Nisrina, Nuralifia, Ragil, Sabila, Syahaarah, Sifa, and Zalfa. Thank you for your companionship over the past four years. Four years may seem brief to get to know one another, but you have left an indelible mark on the author's heart and life. You are not merely friends from the author's university days; you are family to the author.
11. To the author's two best friends, Savhira Aprilla Regina and Zihan Dwifakhira, thank you for always being the author's best friends. Not only are you good listeners, but you are also the author's best advisors.

12. Lastly, for myself. Thank you for persevering this far despite the many challenges, obstacles, and hurdles you have faced. Thank you for never giving up even when you were very tired, thank you for continuing to believe that you can certainly complete everything you have started. Thank you for no longer comparing yourself to others. You may fall, but you must get up, stand, and jump higher to achieve your dreams. Once again, thank you and congratulations because the war is over. You did it. You can go home. You have won.

ENGINEERING DESIGN PROCESS-BASED STEM ON WIND TURBINE PROJECT TO STRENGTHEN RENEWABLE ENERGY AWARENESS AND CRITICAL THINKING SKILLS

Hilda Oktapiani
International Program on Science Education
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia
hildaoktapiani@upi.edu

ABSTRACT

This study investigated the effectiveness of integrating the Engineering Design Process (EDP) into STEM learning model to enhance middle school students' renewable energy awareness and critical thinking through wind turbine projects. A quasi-experimental non-equivalent pretest–posttest design was employed with a total of 62 participants: 31 students in the experimental class, which implemented STEM with EDP, and 31 students in the control class, which applied STEM without EDP. Data were collected using awareness questionnaires and critical thinking tests, while student performance was assessed to examine its correlation with the main variables. The data were analyzed using Wilcoxon, Mann–Whitney, t-tests, N-Gain, and correlation analyses. The findings indicated that the STEM-EDP model significantly improved renewable energy awareness, particularly in awareness and knowledge, environmental impact, and attitudes and opinions. Students' critical thinking skills also increased substantially, especially in inferring, evaluating statements, identifying assumptions, and observation, with a large effect size ($\eta^2 = .412$). Although student performance was generally higher in the experimental group, no significant correlation was found between student performance and either awareness or critical thinking. Overall, integrating EDP into STEM proved effective in strengthening students' cognitive skills, with further refinement needed to support affective engagement, reflection, and time management.

Keywords: Critical Thinking Skills, Engineering Design Process, Renewable Energy Awareness, STEM Learning Model

ENGINEERING DESIGN PROCESS BERBASIS STEM PADA PROYEK TURBIN ANGIN UNTUK MEMPERKUAT KESADARAN ENERGI TERBARUKAN DAN KETERAMPILAN BERPIKIR KRITIS SISWA

Hilda Oktapiani
International Program on Science Education
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia
hildaoktapiani@upi.edu

ABSTRAK

Penelitian ini mengkaji efektivitas integrasi *Engineering Design Process* (EDP) ke dalam model pembelajaran STEM untuk meningkatkan kesadaran energi terbarukan dan kemampuan berpikir kritis siswa SMP melalui proyek turbin angin. Desain penelitian yang digunakan adalah *quasi-experimental non-equivalent pretest-posttest* dengan total 62 partisipan: 31 siswa di kelas eksperimen yang menerapkan STEM dengan EDP, dan 31 siswa di kelas kontrol yang menerapkan STEM tanpa EDP. Data dikumpulkan melalui angket kesadaran dan tes berpikir kritis, sementara kinerja siswa juga dinilai untuk melihat korelasinya dengan variabel utama. Analisis data dilakukan menggunakan uji Wilcoxon, Mann-Whitney, t-test, N-Gain, dan analisis korelasi. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa model STEM-EDP secara signifikan meningkatkan kesadaran energi terbarukan, terutama pada aspek pengetahuan dan kesadaran, dampak lingkungan, serta sikap dan opini. Kemampuan berpikir kritis siswa juga meningkat secara substansial, khususnya pada keterampilan menyimpulkan, mengevaluasi pernyataan, mengidentifikasi asumsi, dan melakukan observasi, dengan ukuran efek yang besar ($\eta^2 = .412$). Meskipun kinerja siswa secara umum lebih tinggi pada kelompok eksperimen, tidak ditemukan korelasi yang signifikan antara kinerja siswa dengan kesadaran maupun berpikir kritis. Secara keseluruhan, integrasi EDP dalam STEM terbukti efektif dalam memperkuat keterampilan kognitif siswa, dengan kebutuhan perbaikan lebih lanjut pada aspek keterlibatan afektif, refleksi, dan manajemen waktu.

Kata Kunci: *Engineering Design Process*, Kesadaran Energi Terbarukan, Keterampilan Berpikir Kritis, Model Pembelajaran STEM

TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPROVAL SHEET	i
PLAGIARISM FREE DECLARATION	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT.....	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF TABLES.....	xi
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xiii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xiv
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Research Background.....	1
1.2 Research Problem.....	6
1.3 Operational Definitions	6
1.4 Research Objectives	9
1.5 Research Benefits	9
1.6 Scope of Research	10
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW	12
2.1 Renewable Energy Awareness	12
2.2 Critical Thinking Skills	14
2.3 STEM Learning Model	16
2.4 Engineering Design Process.....	18
2.5 STEM with Engineering Design Process	20
2.6 Renewable Energy Topic: Wind Turbine Project	22
CHAPTER III METHODOLOGY	26
3.1 Research Method and Research Design	26
3.2 Population and Sample.....	27
3.3 Hypothesis.....	28
3.4 Research Instrument.....	28
3.4.1 Students' Renewable Energy Awareness.....	29
3.4.2 Students' Critical Thinking Skills	31
3.4.3 Students' Performance	35

3.5	Data Analysis	36
3.5.1	Renewable Energy Awareness	36
3.5.2	Critical Thinking Skills	38
3.5.3	Correlation Analysis.....	41
3.6	Research Procedure	43
CHAPTER IV RESULT AND DISCUSSION		47
4.1	Comparison of Students' Renewable Energy Awareness between Experiment and Control Classes	47
4.1.1	Overall Analysis of Students' Renewable Energy Awareness.....	48
4.1.2	Indicator-Based Analysis of Students' Renewable Energy Awareness.....	49
4.1.3	Statistical Analysis by Students' Renewable Energy Awareness Indicators.....	53
4.1.4	Discussion of Students' Renewable Energy Awareness Results	57
4.2	Comparison of Students' Critical Thinking Skills between Experiment and Control Classes.....	59
4.2.1	Overall Analysis of Students' Critical Thinking Skills	60
4.2.2	Indicator-Based Analysis of Students' Critical Thinking Skills.....	62
4.2.3	Statistical Analysis by Students' Critical Thinking Skills Indicators	65
4.2.4	Discussion of Students' Critical Thinking Skills Results	71
4.3	Analysis of STEM with EDP Implementation Based on Student Performance	72
4.3.1	Overall Analysis of the Learning Model Implementation.....	73
4.3.2	Analysis of Student Performance on Common Steps between Learning Models.....	75
4.3.3	The correlation between Student Performance and Renewable Energy Awareness.....	76
4.3.4	The Correlation between Student Performance and Critical Thinking Skills.....	77
4.3.5	The Correlation between Student Performance and the STEM with Engineering Design Process Stages	79
4.3.6	The Correlation between Student Performance and Each Stage of STEM with Engineering Design Process	80
4.3.7	Discussion of the Analysis Results of Student Performance	83
4.4	The Implementation of STEM with Engineering Design Process: Stage- by-Stage Analysis.....	86
CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION		98
5.1	Conclusion	98
5.2	Recommendation.....	100

REFERENCES.....	101
APPENDICES	111
AUTOBIOGRAPHY	182

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 STEM Learning Model Stages.....	18
Table 3.1 Non-Equivalent Pre-test Post-Test Control Group Design (Creswell, 2018)	27
Table 3.2 Participant Distribution	27
Table 3.3 Research Instrument.....	29
Table 3.4 Indicators of Students' Renewable Energy Awareness Questionnaire .	29
Table 3.5 Indicators of Students' Critical Thinking Skills in Open-Ended Essay Questions.....	31
Table 3.6 Indicator and Criteria of Assessment Rubric of Critical Thinking Skills (Ijirana et al., 2022).....	32
Table 3.7 Validity Interpretation (King & Minium, 1993)	33
Table 3.8 Result of Validation Test Item	34
Table 3.9 Reliability Interpretation	34
Table 3.10 Result of Reliability	35
Table 3.11 N-Gain Score Category (Agustina Karnawati, 2020)	38
Table 3.12 Rank Biserial Correlation Score Interpretation.....	38
Table 3.13 Partial Eta Squared Score Interpretation.....	41
Table 3.14 Pearson Correlation Interpretation (Jabnabillah & Margina, 2022) ...	42
Table 3.15 The Implementation Activities	44
Table 4.1 Wilcoxon and Mann-Whitney Test Results on Students' Renewable Energy Awareness.....	48
Table 4.2 Wilcoxon Test Results by Renewable Energy Awareness Indicator....	53
Table 4.3 Mann-Whitney Test Results by Renewable Energy Awareness Indicator	55
Table 4.4 Rank Biserial Correlation Results by Renewable Energy Awareness Indicator	56
Table 4.5 Descriptive Analysis of Students' Critical Thinking Skills.....	60
Table 4.6 Normality and Homogeneity Test Results for Students' Critical Thinking Skills.....	60
Table 4.7 Paired Sample and Independent Sample t-test Results for Students' Critical Thinking Skills.....	61
Table 4.8 Normality and Homogeneity Test Results by Critical Thinking Skills Indicator	65
Table 4.9 Wilcoxon Test Results by Critical Thinking Skills Indicator	67
Table 4. 10 Mann–Whitney U Test Results by Critical Thinking Skills Indicator	68
Table 4.11 Rank Biserial Correlation Results by Critical Thinking Skills Indicator	70
Table 4.12 Descriptive Analysis Results of Learning Model Implementation Stages	73

Table 4.13 Normality and Homogeneity Test Results for Student Performance..	74
Table 4.14 Independent Sample t-test Result for Student Performance	74
Table 4.15 Normality and Homogeneity Test Results for Student Performance on Common Steps between Learning Models	75
Table 4. 16 Independent Sample t-test Results for Student Performance on Common Steps between Learning Models	76
Table 4.17 Spearman's rho Correlation Results between Student Performance and Students' Renewable Energy Awareness.....	76
Table 4.18 Normality Test for Student Performance	78
Table 4.19 Pearson Correlation Results between Student Performance and Students' Critical Thinking Skills.....	78
Table 4. 20 Normality Test for Correlation between Student Performances and STEM with Engineering Design Process Stages	79
Table 4.21 Spearman's rho Correlation between Student Performance and STEM with Engineering Design Process Stages	80
Table 4.22 Normality Test Results for Each Stage of STEM Project-Based Learning with Engineering Design Process	81
Table 4.23 Spearman's rho Correlation between Student Performance and Each Stage of STEM-Project Based Learning.....	81
Table 4. 24 Spearman's rho Correlation between Student Performance and Each Stage of Engineering Design Process	82

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.2: The seven steps of engineering design process (Adapted from Massachusetts Department of Education, 2006)	20
Figure 2.3: The stages of Integrating STEM Project-Based Learning with Engineering Design Process.....	22
Figure 2.4: Design Project.....	24
Figure 3.1: The scheme of research procedure	46
Figure 4.1: The Distribution of Student Responses on the Awareness Knowledge Indicator in (a) Experiment Class and (b) Control Class	50
Figure 4.2: The Distribution of Student Responses on the Environmental Impact Indicator in (a) Experiment Class and (b) Control Class	50
Figure 4.3: The Distribution of Student Responses on the Policy and Investment Indicator in (a) Experiment class and (b) Control class	51
Figure 4.4: The Distribution of Student Responses on the Attitude and Opinion Indicator in (a) Experiment Class and (b) Control Class	51
Figure 4.5: The Distribution of Student Responses on the Education and Social Responsibility Indicator in (a) Experiment Class and (b) Control Class	52
Figure 4.6: (a) The Average Scores of Students' Critical Thinking Skills in the Experimental Class (b) The Average Scores of Students' Critical Thinking Skills in the Control Class (c) Comparison of Average Post-test Scores by Indicator in the Experimental and Control Classes (d) Comparison of Average N-Gain Scores by Indicator in the Experimental and Control Classes.....	63
Figure 4.7: Correlation between Student Performance, Renewable Energy Awareness, and Critical Thinking Skill	78
Figure 4.8: Students' Sketch Ideas: (a) Student 1 and (b) Student 2	90
Figure 4. 9: Group of students testing their project	93

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A.1 Expert Judgement Sheet	112
Appendix A.2 Readability Test Result	113
Appendix A.3 Final Version of Renewable Energy Awareness Questionnaire..	115
Appendix A.4 Raw Data of Critical Thinking Item Validation	116
Appendix A.5 Result of Critical Thinking Validation Analysis	117
Appendix A.6 Final Version of Critical Thinking Test	118
Appendix A.7 Critical Thinking Assessment Rubric.....	125
Appendix B.1 Worksheet – Experimental Class (STEM + EDP).....	128
Appendix B.2 Worksheet – Control Class (STEM).....	131
Appendix B.3 Module Experiment	132
Appendix B.4 Worksheet Assessment Rubric	134
Appendix C.1 Lesson Plan for Experiment Class	136
Appendix C.2 Lesson Plan for Control Class	144
Appendix C.3 Learning Documentation	152
Appendix D. 1 Recapitulation of Students’ Renewable Energy Awareness Pre-test Scores	154
Appendix D.2 Recapitulation of Students’ Renewable Energy Awareness Post-test Scores	155
Appendix D.3 Statistical Test Results – Renewable Energy Awareness (Overall Analysis)	156
Appendix D.4 Statistical Test Results – Renewable Energy Awareness (Based on Indicators)	157
Appendix D.5 Statistical Test Results – Renewable Energy Awareness (Based on N-Gain Each Indicators)	158
Appendix D.6 Recapitulation of Students’ Critical Thinking Skills Pre-test Scores	159
Appendix D.7 Recapitulation of Students’ Critical Thinking Skills Post-test Scores	160
Appendix D.8 Statistical Test Results – Critical Thinking Skills (Overall Analysis)	161
Appendix D. 9 Statistical Test Results – Critical Thinking Skills (Based on Indicators)	163
Appendix D.10 Statistical Test Results – Critical Thinking Skills (Based on N-Gain Each Indicators).....	165
Appendix D.11 Recapitulation of Students’ Worksheet Scores – Experiment Class	168
Appendix D. 12 Recapitulation of Students’ Worksheet Scores – Control Class	169
Appendix D. 13 Statistical Test Results – Student Performance	170

Appendix D. 14 Statistical Test Results – Correlation between Student Performance and Students’ Renewable Energy Awareness Scores	171
Appendix D. 15 Statistical Test Results – Correlation between Student Performance and Students’ Critical Thinking Skills Scores	172
Appendix D.16 Statistical Test Results – Correlation between Student Performance and Stages in the Learning Model	173
Appendix E.1 Letter of Request to School.....	175
Appendix E.2 Letter of Approval from School.....	176
Appendix E.3 Observation Sheet	177
Appendix E.4 Plagiarism Checking	179
Appendix E.5 AI Checking	180
Appendix E.6 Article Submission.....	181

REFERENCES

- Abdelrahman, R. M. (2020). Metacognitive Awareness and Academic Motivation and Their Impact on Academic Achievement of Ajman University Students. *Heliyon*, 6(9). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e04192>
- Abdurrahman, A., Maulina, H., Nurulsari, N., Sukamto, I., Umam, A. N., & Mulyana, K. M. (2023). Impacts of Integrating Engineering Design Process into STEM Makerspace on Renewable Energy Unit to Foster Students' System Thinking Skills. *Heliyon*, 9(4). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e15100>
- Adetunla, A., & Madonsela, N. (2021). Harnessing Industry 4.0 Technology to Improve Productivity in Manufacturing Industries: A Case of CNC Based Welding Factory. *Proceedings of 2021 IEEE 12th International Conference on Mechanical and Intelligent Manufacturing Technologies, ICMIMT 2021*, 180–185. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICMIMT52186.2021.9476203>
- Adetunla, A., Rominiyi, O., Adaramola, B., & Adeoye, A. (2022). Development of A Wind Turbine for A Hybrid Solar-Wind Power System. *Heliyon*, 8(11). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e11458>
- Afin, A. P., & Kiono, B. F. T. (2021). Potensi Energi Batubara serta Pemanfaatan dan Teknologinya di Indonesia Tahun 2020 – 2050 : Gasifikasi Batubara. *Jurnal Energi Baru Dan Terbarukan*, 2(2), 144–122. <https://doi.org/10.14710/jebt.2021.11429>
- Agustina Karnawati, R. (2020). Effectiveness of Blended Learning with The Flipped Classroom Model on Shochuukyuu Bunpou In 21st-Century Dynamics Skill Towards Japanese Language Education Study Program Muhammadiyah University Prof. Dr. Hamka. *IJLECR - INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF LANGUAGE EDUCATION AND CULTURE REVIEW*, 6(2), 156–167. <https://doi.org/10.21009/ijlecr.062.17>
- Aini, S. N., Munahefi, D. N., Pramasdyahsari, A. S., & Setyowati, R. D. (2024). *PRISMA, Prosiding Seminar Nasional Matematika Engineering Design Process STEM: Proyek Miniatur Gazebo Joglo*. 7, 37–43. <https://proceeding.unnes.ac.id/prisma>
- Al Bahij, A., Nadiroh, Sihadi, & Amrullah, F. (2020). *E D U K A S I Analisis Pengaruh Literasi Energi Terhadap Perilaku Hemat Energi Pada Siswa Sekolah Dasar* (Vol. 12, Issue 1). <http://journal.ummgl.ac.id/nju/index.php/edukasi>
- Alimisis alimisis, D. (2013). Educational robotics: Open Questions and New Challenges. In *Themes in Science & Technology Education* (Vol. 6, Issue 1). www.iais.fraunhofer.de/roberta-

- Ang, T. Z., Salem, M., Kamarol, M., Das, H. S., Nazari, M. A., & Prabakaran, N. (2022). A Comprehensive Study of Renewable Energy Sources: Classifications, Challenges and Suggestions. In *Energy Strategy Reviews* (Vol. 43). Elsevier Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2022.100939>
- Ari Widodo. (2021). Ari Widodo Pembelajaran Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam Pembelajaran Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam Dasar-Dasar Untuk Praktik.
- Aydin Gunbatar, S., Ekiz Kiran, B., Boz, Y., & Roehrig, G. H. (2024). A Closer Examination of The STEM Characteristics of The STEM Activities Published in NSTA Journals. *Research in Science and Technological Education*, 42(4), 952–977. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02635143.2022.2121692>
- Balai Besar Survei dan Pengujian Ketenagalistrikan Energi Baru, T. dan K. E. (2021, January 30). *Potensi Energi Angin Indonesia 2020*.
- Belecina, R. R., & Ocampo, J. M. (2018). Effecting Change on Students' Critical Thinking in Problem Solving. In *EDUCARE: International Journal for Educational Studies* (Vol. 10, Issue 2). Minda Masagi Press. www.mindamas-journals.com/index.php/educare
- Bell, S. (2010). Project-Based Learning for the 21st Century: Skills for the Future. *The Clearing House: A Journal of Educational Strategies, Issues and Ideas*, 83(2), 39–43. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00098650903505415>
- Bereiter, C., & Scardamalia, M. (1987). The Psychology of Written Composition. *Psychology Press*.
- Bryan, L., & Guzey, S. S. (2020). K-12 STEM Education: An Overview of Perspectives and Considerations. *Hellenic Journal of STEM Education*, 1(1), 5–15. <https://doi.org/10.51724/hjstemed.v1i1.5>
- Çakırlar Altuntaş, E., & Turan, S. L. (2018). Awareness of Secondary School Students About Renewable Energy Sources*. *Renewable Energy*, 116, 741–748. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2017.09.034>
- Cecelia, M., M, S., & Nancy, R. (2013). *Bloom taxonomy*. The eLearning Guild.
- Chairunnisya, S., Abdurrahman, Distrik, I. W., Herlina, K., Rosidin, U., & Rabbani, G. F. (2023). Engineering Design Process (EDP) Strategy Integrated PjBL-STEM in Learning Program: Need Analysis to Stimulate Numeracy Literacy Skills on Renewable Energy Topic. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 9(12), 11197–11206. <https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v9i12.6088>
- Chiu, J. L., & Linn, M. C. (2014). *Value of Visualizations*.
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). Design Research - *creswell*.

- Cross, N. (2006). *Designerly ways of Knowing*. Springer.
- Dourish, P., Rank, V. B., & Europarc, X. (1992). *Awareness and Coordination in Shared Workspaces*.
- Ennis, R., & Weir, E. (1985). *The Ennis Weir Critical Thinking Essay T*.
- Erez, A., & Judge, T. A. (2001). Relationship of Core Self-Evaluations to Goal Setting, Motivation, and Performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(6), 1270–1279. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.86.6.1270>
- Eshiemogie, S. O., Ighalo, J. O., & Banji, T. I. (2022). Knowledge, Perception and Awareness of Renewable Energy by Engineering Students in Nigeria: A Need For The Undergraduate Engineering Program Adjustment. *Cleaner Engineering and Technology*, 6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clet.2021.100388>
- Facione, P. A. (1990). Critical Thinking: A Statement of Expert Consensus for Purposes of Educational Assessment and Instruction (*The Delphi Report*).
- Fadiarahma Vistara, M., & Wijayanti, K. (2022). Systematic Literature Review: STEM Approach through Engineering Design Process with Project-Based Learning Model to Improve Mathematical Creative Thinking Skills. In *Mathematics Education Journals* (Vol. 6, Issue 2). Online. <http://ejournal.umm.ac.id/index.php/MEJ>
- Fatiin, J. M., Winarno, N., Samsudin, A., Wahyuningsih, Y., & Nurhaida, P. (2024). Improving Students' Collaboration Skills by using STEM-Engineering Design Process (Edp) on Motion and Force Materials. In *Jl. Geger Arum No. 11A* (Vol. 157, Issue 2).
- Fatmasari, F., & Ahmadi, A. (2024). Korelasi antara Self-Awareness dengan Prestasi Belajar Remaja Usia 14-15 Tahun. *Kopula: Jurnal Bahasa, Sastra, Dan Pendidikan*, 6(2), 203–215. <https://doi.org/10.29303/kopula.v6i2.5257>
- Fraenkel, J. R., Wallen, N. E., & Hyun, H. H. (2011). *How to Design and Evaluate Research in Education*.
- Gafoor, K. A., & Gafoor, A. (2012). Considerations in Measurement of Awareness National Seminar on Emerging. 2. <https://doi.org/10.13140/2.1.2109.2643>
- Goldschmidt, G. (1991). The Dialectics of Sketching. *Creativity Research Journal*, 4(2), 123–143. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10400419109534381>
- Guamanga, M. H., Saiz, C., Rivas, S. F., & Almeida, L. S. (2024). Analysis of The Contribution of Critical Thinking and Psychological Well-Being to Academic Performance. *Frontiers in Education*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2024.1423441>

- Gutwin, C. (1999). The Effects of Workspace Awareness Support on the Usability of Real-Time Distributed Groupware. In *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction* (Vol. 6, Issue 3).
- HACIOĞLU, Y., & GÜLHAN, F. (2021). The Effects of STEM Education on the 7th Grade Students' Critical Thinking Skills and STEM Perceptions. *Journal of Education in Science, Environment and Health*. <https://doi.org/10.21891/jeseh.771331>
- Han, J., Kelley, T., Mentzer, N., & Knowles, J. G. (2021). Community of Practice in Integrated STEM Education: A Systematic Literature Review. <https://ir.library.illinoisstate.edu/jste/vol56/iss2/5>
- Hasanah, U. (2020). Key Definitions of STEM Education: Literature Review. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Environmental and Science Education*, 16(3), e2217. <https://doi.org/10.29333/ijese/8336>
- Heard, Jonathan., Scoular, Claire., & Duckworth, Daniel. (2020). *Critical Thinking: Definition and Structure*. Australian Council for Educational Research.
- Herro, D., & Quigley, C. (2017). Exploring Teachers' Perceptions of STEAM Teaching Through Professional Development: Implications for Teacher Educators. *Professional Development in Education*, 43(3), 416–438. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19415257.2016.1205507>
- Hmelo-Silver, C. E. (2004). Problem-Based Learning: What and How Do Students Learn? In *Educational Psychology Review* (Vol. 16, Issue 3).
- Hoque, F., Yasin, R. M., & Sopian, K. (2022). Revisiting Education for Sustainable Development: Methods to Inspire Secondary School Students toward Renewable Energy. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 14(14). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14148296>
- Hussein, B. (2021). Addressing Collaboration Challenges in Project-Based Learning: The Student's Perspective. *Education Sciences*, 11(8). <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci11080434>
- Ibrahim, A. (2025). Identifying Gaps and Contributions of Project-Based Learning in Vocational Education and STEM Fields: A Comprehensive Bibliometric Analysis. *Journal of Scientometric Research*, 14(1), 86–98. <https://doi.org/10.5530/jscires.20250763>
- Ijirana, Aminah, S., Supriadi, & Magfirah. (2022). Critical Thinking Skills of Chemistry Education Students in Team Project-Based Stem-Metacognitive

- Skills Learning During the Covid-19 Pandemic. *Journal of Technology and Science Education*, 12(2), 397–409. <https://doi.org/10.3926/jotse.1697>
- Indri Nurcahyati, R., & Iwan Wicaksono, dan. (2020). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Pbl (Problem Based Learning) Terhadap Hasil Belajar Siswa SMP Pada Materi Cahaya.
- Jabnabillah, F., & Margina, N. (2022). Analisis Korelasi Pearson Dalam Menentukan Hubungan Antara Motivasi Belajar Dengan Kemandirian Belajar Pada Pembelajaran Daring. In *Jurnal Sintak* (Vol. 1, Issue 1). <https://doi.org/>
- Jalinus, N., Nabawi, A., & Mardin, A. (2017). The Seven Steps of Project Based Learning Model to Enhance Productive Competences of Vocational Students.
- Jati, H. F., Darsono, S. N. A. C., Hermawan, D. T., Yudhi, W. A. S., & Rahman, F. F. (2019). Awareness and Knowledge Assessment of Sustainable Development Goals Among University Students. *Jurnal Ekonomi & Studi Pembangunan*, 20(2). <https://doi.org/10.18196/jesp.20.2.5022>
- Johnson, D. W., Johnson, R. T., & Smith, K. A. (2023). Cooperative Learning Returns to College: What Evidence Is There That It Works? In *Learning from Change: Landmarks in Teaching and Learning in Higher Education from Change Magazine, 1969-1999* (pp. 205–212). Taylor and Francis. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00091389809602629>
- Kacan, E. (2015). Renewable Energy Awareness in Vocational and Technical Education. *Renewable Energy*, 76, 126–134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2014.11.013>
- Kelley, T. R., & Knowles, J. G. (2016). A Conceptual Framework for Integrated STEM Education. In *International Journal of STEM Education* (Vol. 3, Issue 1). Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-016-0046-z>
- Kerby, D. S. (2014). The Simple Difference Formula: An Approach to Teaching Nonparametric Correlation. *Comprehensive Psychology*, 3, 11.IT.3.1. <https://doi.org/10.2466/11.it.3.1>
- King, B. M., & Minium, E. W. (1993). *Statistical Reasoning in Psychology and Education*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Kusumaningtyas, D. A., Sukarelawan, M. I., Adhantoro, M. S., & Saputra, W. N. E. (2025). Evaluating the Effectiveness of Intervention on Professional and Pedagogical Skills Among Prospective Physics Teachers. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, 14(3), 2290–2303. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v14i3.31864>

- Leu, D. J., Forzani, E., Rhoads, C., Maykel, C., Kennedy, C., & Timbrell, N. (2015). The New Literacies of Online Research and Comprehension: Rethinking the Reading Achievement Gap. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 50(1), 37–59. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rrq.85>
- Lin, K. Y., Wu, Y. T., Hsu, Y. T., & Williams, P. J. (2021). Effects of Infusing the Engineering Design Process into STEM Project-Based Learning to Develop Preservice Technology Teachers' Engineering Design Thinking. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-020-00258-9>
- Mabrurah, F. F., Qadar, R., & Sulaeman, N. F. (2023). Enhancing High School Students' Critical Thinking Skills through STEM-PjBL in Optics Topic. *Berkala Ilmiah Pendidikan Fisika*, 11(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.20527/bipf.v11i1.14068>
- Mardatillah, O., & Prayudha, S. J. (2024). The Importance of Critical Thinking Skills to Vocational Students: Strategies and Benefits. *VOCATECH: Vocational Education and Technology Journal*, 5(2), 115–125. <https://doi.org/10.38038/vocatech.v5i2.136>
- Marks-Bielska, R., Bielski, S., Pik, K., & Kurowska, K. (2020). The Importance of Renewable Energy Sources In Poland's Energy Mix. *Energies*, 13(18). <https://doi.org/10.3390/en13184624>
- Meeteren, B. Van, & Zan, B. (2010). Revealing the Work of Young Engineers in Early Childhood Education. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/301779712>
- Minas, A. M., García-Freites, S., Walsh, C., Mukoro, V., Aberilla, J. M., April, A., Kuriakose, J., Gaete-Morales, C., Gallego Schmid, A., & Mander, S. (2024). Advancing Sustainable Development Goals through energy access: Lessons from the Global South. In *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* (Vol. 199). Elsevier Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2024.114457>
- Mohd Razali, N., & Bee Wah, Y. (2011). Power Comparisons of Shapiro-Wilk, Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Lilliefors and Anderson-Darling tests. In *Journal of Statistical Modeling and Analytics* (Vol. 2, Issue 1).
- Murniati, M. E. (2022). Analisis Potensi Energi Angin Sebagai Pembangkit Energi Listrik Tenaga Angin Di Daerah Banyuwangi Kota Menggunakan Database Online-BMKG. *JURNAL SURYA ENERGY*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.32502/jse.v6i1.3364>
- Nugraha, M. G., Kidman, G., & Tan, H. (2024). Interdisciplinary STEM Education Foundational Concepts: Implementation for Knowledge Creation. *Eurasia*

Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 20(10).
<https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/15471>

- Nurbayani, D., Hindriana, A. F., & Sulistyono, S. (2023). Pembelajaran Berbasis Proyek Terintegrasi STEM (PjBL-STEM) Meningkatkan Keterampilan Rekayasa dan Sikap Kewirausahaan. *Quagga: Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Biologi*, 15(1), 54–64. <https://doi.org/10.25134/quagga.v15i1.6469>
- Odoi, B., Samita, S., Al-Hassan, S., & Twumasi-Ankrah, S. (2019). Efficiency of Bartlett And Levene's Tests for Testing Homogeneity of Variance Under Varying Number of Replicates and Groups in One-Way ANOVA. *International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering*, 8(6 Special Issue 4), 1219–1223. <https://doi.org/10.35940/ijitee.F1250.0486S419>
- Oliveira, R. G., Wang, R. Z., Kiplagat, J. K., & Wang, C. Y. (2009). Novel Composite Sorbent for Resorption Systems and for Chemisorption Air Conditioners Driven By Low-Generation Temperature. *Renewable Energy*, 34(12), 2757–2764. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2009.05.016>
- Petrakis, K., Wodehouse, A., & Hird, A. (2021). Physical Prototyping Rationale in Design Student Projects: An Analysis Based on the Concept of Purposeful Prototyping. *Design Science*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.1017/dsj.2021.6>
- Pingkan Aprileni Memorika Rianto, Pramudya Dwi Aristya Putra, & Zainur Rasyid Ridlo. (2023). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran PjBL dengan Pendekatan Engineering Design Process pada Pembelajaran IPA terhadap Keterampilan Berpikir Kritis Siswa SMP. *JURNAL PENDIDIKAN MIPA*, 13(4), 1087–1094. <https://doi.org/10.37630/jpm.v13i4.1272>
- Prakong, S. (2024). The Role of Critical Thinking in Enhancing Students' Problem-Solving Abilities in Higher Education. *Journal of Education, Humanities, and Social Research*, 1(1), 10–16. <https://doi.org/10.70088/scx8x622>
- Prasad, S., Dhundi, D., Giri, R., & Bagale, S. (2017). Developing 21st Century Skills Through Project-Based Learning In EFL Context: Challenges And Opportunities. www.tojned.net
- Putri, M. T., & Ferry, D. (2024). Analisis Hubungan Antara Self-Awareness Dengan Hasil Belajar Siswa Pada Mata Pelajaran Biologi. *BIODIK*, 10(1), 54–66. <https://doi.org/10.22437/biodik.v10i1.31937>
- Rahardhian, A. (2022). Pengaruh Pembelajaran PjBL Berbasis Stem Terhadap Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Siswa Pada Materi Listrik Dinamis. *Jurnal Inovasi Penelitian Dan Pembelajaran Fisika*, 3(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.26418/jippf.v3i1.50882>

- Rahmayanti, L., Rahmah, D. M., & Larashati, D. (2021). Analisis Pemanfaatan Sumber Daya Energi Minyak Dan Gas Bumi Di Indonesia. *Jurnal Sains Edukatika Indonesia (JSEI)*, 3(2), 9–16.
- Renewable Energy Agency, I. (2019). Republic of Azerbaijan Renewables Readiness Assessment About Irena About the RRA. www.irena.org
- Roehrig, G. H., Dare, E. A., Ellis, J. A., & Ring-Whalen, E. (2021). Beyond the Basics: A Detailed Conceptual Framework of Integrated STEM. In *Disciplinary and Interdisciplinary Science Education Research* (Vol. 3, Issue 1). Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43031-021-00041-y>
- Rosner, B., Glynn, R. J., & Lee, M. L. T. (2006). The Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test for Paired Comparisons of Clustered Data. *Biometrics*, 62(1), 185–192. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1541-0420.2005.00389.x>
- Santos, L. F. (2017). *Journal of Education and Practice* www.iiste.org ISSN (Vol. 8, Issue 20). Online. www.iiste.org
- Sapphira, A. P. V., Wahyuningsih, D., & Sari, M. W. (2023a). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Engineering Design Process (EDP) Berbasis Stem terhadap Keterampilan Berpikir Kritis Siswa SMP. *INKUIRI: Jurnal Pendidikan IPA*, 12(3), 214. <https://doi.org/10.20961/inkuiri.v12i3.79316>
- Sapphira, A. P. V., Wahyuningsih, D., & Sari, M. W. (2023b). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Engineering Design Process (EDP) Berbasis Stem terhadap Keterampilan Berpikir Kritis Siswa SMP. *INKUIRI: Jurnal Pendidikan IPA*, 12(3), 214. <https://doi.org/10.20961/inkuiri.v12i3.79316>
- Sasson, I., Yehuda, I., & Malkinson, N. (2018). Fostering the Skills of Critical Thinking and Question-Posing In A Project-Based Learning Environment. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 29, 203–212. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2018.08.001>
- Schmitt, N., & Stuits, D. M. (1985). *Factors Defined by Negatively Keyed Items: The Result of Careless Respondents?*
- Setyono, A. E., & Kiono, B. F. T. (2021). Dari Energi Fosil Menuju Energi Terbarukan: Potret Kondisi Minyak dan Gas Bumi Indonesia Tahun 2020 – 2050. *Jurnal Energi Baru Dan Terbarukan*, 2(3), 154–162. <https://doi.org/10.14710/jebt.2021.11157>
- Seventika, S. Y., Sukestiyarno, Y. L., & Mariani, S. (2018). Critical Thinking Analysis Based on Facione (2015) - Angelo (1995) logical mathematics material of vocational high school (VHS). *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 983(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/983/1/012067>

- Shahali, E. H. M., Halim, L., Rasul, M. S., Osman, K., & Zulkifeli, M. A. (2017). STEM Learning Through Engineering Design: Impact on Middle Secondary Students' Interest Towards STEM. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 13(5), 1189–1211. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2017.00667a>
- Shofiah Jihni, Nanang Winarno, Achmad Samsudin, & Aritonang, R. M. (2024). STEM-Engineering Design Process (EDP) Learning to Increase Students' Learning Motivation on Solar System Topic. *Indonesian Journal of Science and Education*, 8(2), 107–119. <https://doi.org/10.31002/ijose.v8i2.1669>
- Siew, N. M., Goh, H., & Sulaiman, F. (2016). Integrating STEM in an Engineering Design Process: The Learning Experience of Rural Secondary School Students in an Outreach Challenge Program. *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, 15(4), 477–493.
- Silvestri, K. N., Jordan, M. E., Paugh, P., McVee, M. B., & Schallert, D. L. (2021). Intersecting Engineering and Literacies: A Review of the Literature on Communicative Literacies In K-12 Engineering Education. *Journal of Pre-College Engineering Education Research*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.7771/2157-9288.1250>
- Sirisrimangkorn, L. (2021). Improving EFL Undergraduate Learners' Speaking Skills Through Project-Based Learning Using Presentation. *Advances in Language and Literary Studies*, 12(3), 65. <https://doi.org/10.7575/aiac.all.v.12n.3.p.65>
- Slavin, R. E. (1996). *RESEARCH FOR THE FUTURE Research on Cooperative Learning and Achievement: What We Know, What We Need to Know*.
- Sulaiman, F., Rosales, J. J., & Kyung, L. J. (2024). The Effectiveness of Integrated Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics Project-Based Learning Module. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, 13(3), 1740–1754. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v13i3.26676>
- Sullivan, G. M. (2011). A Primer on the Validity of Assessment Instruments. *Journal of Graduate Medical Education*, 3(2), 119–120. <https://doi.org/10.4300/jgme-d-11-00075.1>
- Sumanti, V., & Dwi Jayanti Suhandoko, A. (2025). Pengembangan Perangkat Pembelajaran Berbasis STEM-Project Based Learning Untuk Meningkatkan Keterampilan Berpikir Kritis Dan Keterampilan Kolaborasi Siswa SD. *Jurnal Pendidikan Indonesia*, 6(1).
- Suwa, M., & Tversky, B. (1997). What Do Architects and Students Perceive in Their Design Sketches? A Protocol Analysis.

- Syukri, M., Soewarno, S., Halim, L., & Mohtar, L. E. (2018). The Impact Of Engineering Design Process on Teaching and Learning to Enhance Students' Science Problem-Solving Skills. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 7(1), 66–75. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v7i1.12297>
- Tipmontiane, K., & Williams, P. J. (2022). The Integration of the Engineering Design Process in Biology-related STEM Activity: A Review of Thai Secondary Education ASEAN Journal of Science and Engineering Education. *ASEAN Journal of Science and Engineering Education* 1, 1(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.17509/xxxx.vxix>
- Uzel, L., & Bilici, S. C. (2022). Engineering Design-based Activities: Investigation of Middle School Students' Problem-Solving and Design Skills. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 19(1), 163–179. <https://doi.org/10.36681/tused.2022.116>
- Wahono, B., Lin, P. L., & Chang, C. Y. (2020). Evidence of STEM Enactment Effectiveness in Asian Student Learning Outcomes. In *International Journal of STEM Education* (Vol. 7, Issue 1). Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-020-00236-1>
- Wall, W. P., Khalid, B., Urbański, M., & Kot, M. (2021). Factors Influencing Consumer's Adoption of Renewable Energy. *Energies*, 14(17). <https://doi.org/10.3390/en14175420>
- Wirasanti, S., Thomas, P., Setiyani, R., korespondensi, A., C-, G., & Sekaran Gunungpati, K. (2012). *Economic Education Analysis Journal* Efektifitas Metode Kooperatif Stad Terhadap Prestasi Belajar Akuntansi Kompetensi Dasar. *Jurnal Umum Kooperatif Method Stad Method Learning Achievement General Ledger*. <http://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/eeaj>
- Yona Ratri, A., Aristya Putra, P. D., Rusdianto, R., & Nuha, U. (2024). Pengembangan Modul Berbasis Engineering Design Process (EDP) dalam Meningkatkan Keterampilan Berpikir Kreatif Siswa SMP Pada Pembelajaran IPA. *Jurnal Sains Dan Edukasi Sains*, 7(2), 105–111. <https://doi.org/10.24246/juses.v7i2p105-111>