

**STRATEGI *INTERPERSONAL* PADA PENYIDIKAN PERKARA PIDANA  
PENCURIAN DI POLRES LANGKAT**

**DISERTASI**

**diajukan untuk memenuhi sebagian syarat untuk memperoleh gelar**

**Doktor Linguistik**



Oleh

**RAMADANI**

**2211396**

**PROGRAM STUDI LINGUISTIK**

**SEKOLAH PASCASARJANA**

**UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN INDONESIA**

**2025**

**LEMBAR HAK CIPTA**

**STRATEGI *INTERPERSONAL* PADA PENYIDIKAN PIDANA  
PEENCURIAN DI POLRES LANGKAT**

Oleh

**RAMADANI**  
S.Pd, STKIP Budidaya Binjai, 2014  
M.Hum, Universitas Negeri Medan, 2017

Sebuah Disertasi yang diajukan untuk memenuhi salah satu syarat  
memperoleh gelar Doktor (Dr.) pada Program Studi Linguistik Sekolah  
Pascasarjana Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia

© Ramadani, 2025

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia

Agustus 2025

Hak Cipta dilindungi undang-undang  
Disertasi ini tidak boleh diperbanyak seluruh atau sebagian,  
Dengan cetak ulang, difotokopi, atau cara lainnya tanpa izin dari penulis

## LEMBAR PENGESAHAN

### LEMBAR PENGESAHAN

RAMADANI

2211396

### STRATEGI *INTERPERSONAL* PADA PENYIDIKAN PERKARA PIDANA PENCURIAN DI POLRES LANGKAT

Disetujui dan disahkan oleh panitia disertasi

Promotor



Prof. Dr. Aceng Ruhendi Syaifullah, M.Hum  
NIP. 195607081980121001

Kopromotor



Wawan Gunawan, M.Ed., Ph.D.  
NIP.197209162000031001

Penguji Internal 1




R. Dian Dia-an Muniroh, S.Pd., M.Hum., Ph.D  
NIP. 198110242005012001

Penguji Internal 2



Dr. Budi Hermawan, S.Pd., M.PC  
NIP. 1978092220101220001

Penguji Eksternal



Prof. Amrin Saragih, M.A., Ph.D  
NIP. 195501131982031002

Mengetahui,

Ketua Program Studi Linguistik Sekolah Pascasarjana  
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia



Prof. Dadang Sudana, M.A., Ph. D.  
NIP. 196009191990031001

## ABSTRAK

Penelitian ini mengkaji bahasa evaluatif yang terealisasi pada tuturan pemeriksa dan terperiksa dalam penyidikan kepolisian di Polres Langkat, Sumatera Utara. Tujuan penelitian ini adalah untuk menganalisis tuturan terhadap kecenderungan munculnya tuturan *appraisal* dalam tuturan pemeriksa dan terperiksa pada penyidikan kepolisian; untuk mengevaluasi pola *appraisal* yang terealisasi dalam tuturan pemeriksa dan terperiksa pada penyidikan; untuk mengevaluasi dampak *appraisal* yang direalisasikan oleh pemeriksa terhadap *respon* terperiksa dalam berkomunikasi dalam penyidikan kepolisian; untuk mengevaluasi bagaimanakah *power relation* direalisasikan dalam konteks penyidikan kepolisian. Untuk menjawab semua tujuan penelitian ini, penelitian ini menggunakan teori Linguistik Sistemik Fungsional (LSF) yang dikemukakan oleh Halliday dan kolega, khususnya penelitian ini menggunakan teori *Appraisal* Martin, White dan Rose (2003, 2005, 2007). Metodologi yang digunakan dalam penelitian ini adalah kualitatif deskriptif yang merupakan data yang diperoleh berupa data asli atau natural yang terjadi secara alami di lapangan tanpa intervensi dan manipulasi. Setelah pengumpulan data, tahapan yang pertama dilakukan adalah data dianalisis melalui pengkodean data teori *appraisal* Martin, White dan Rose (2003, 2005, 2007). Tahapan yang kedua adalah menghitung realisasi tuturan yang muncul pada *attitude*, *engagement* dan *graduation*. Tahapan yang terakhir adalah pendeskripsian data berdasarkan teori Martin, White dan Rose (2003, 2005, 2007). Hasil penelitian ini menunjukkan (1) Dari jumlah realisasi *attitude*, *engagement* dan *graduation* didominasi oleh *engagement* dan didominasi oleh pemeriksa, ini mengartikan bahwa selama interogasi berlangsung pemeriksa dominan menunjukkan posisi kepada lawan bicaranya (terperiksa); (2) hasil penelitian menunjukkan ada 23 pola strategi *interpersonal* yang direalisasikan dalam penelitian ini dan didominasi dengan pola *heterogloss: contract: affect*, pola ini mengartikan bahwa selama interogasi partisipan cenderung memberi peluang bagi suara lain dan menggunakan cara perasaan (*ways of feeling*) dalam berinteraksi; (3) *respon* negatif terperiksa lebih dominan daripada pemeriksa dan sebaliknya *respon* positif pemeriksa lebih dominan daripada terperiksa hal ini disebabkan selama interogasi terperiksa sering mengekspresikan sikap sedih, tidak tenang, cemas, panik dan sebagainya karena dalam konteks ini posisi terperiksa sebagai yang bersalah; (4) *power* yang direalisasikan dalam penelitian ini sebagai alat untuk pengakuan bagi terperiksa dan pemeriksa dominan menggunakan *power* selama interogasi berlangsung.

Kata kunci: Linguistik Forensik, Interogasi Polisi, Penyidikan, Strategi *Interpersonal*, *Appraisal*, *Attitude*, *Engagement*, *Graduation*

## **ABSTRACT**

This study examines evaluative language realized in the speech of investigators and interviewees during police interrogations at Polres Langkat, Sumatera Utara. The aim of this research is to analyze the tendency of appraisal occurrences in the speech of both investigators and interviewees during police interrogations; to evaluate the appraisal patterns realized in their utterances; to assess the impact of the investigators' realized appraisal on the interviewees' responses in the course of police interrogation; and to evaluate how power relations are realized in the context of police interrogation. To address these research objectives, this study employs the framework of Systemic Functional Linguistics (SFL) as proposed by Halliday and colleagues, with a particular focus on the Appraisal theory developed by Martin, White, and Rose (2003, 2005, 2007). The methodology adopted is qualitative descriptive, wherein the data collected are natural and authentic, occurring in real-life settings without intervention or manipulation. Following data collection, the first stage involved analyzing the data through coding based on the Appraisal theory of Martin, White, and Rose (2003, 2005, 2007). The second stage consisted of quantifying the realization of utterances in terms of Attitude, Engagement, and Graduation. The final stage entailed a detailed description of the data within the framework of Martin, White, and Rose's theory. The findings of this study indicate that: (1) Among the realizations of Attitude, Engagement, and Graduation, Engagement is the most dominant category, primarily produced by the investigators, suggesting that during interrogation, investigators predominantly assert their stance toward the interviewees; (2) Twenty-three interpersonal strategy patterns were identified, with the most frequent being the heterogloss: contract: affect pattern, indicating that during interrogation, participants tended to allow space for alternative voices while employing ways of feeling in their interaction; (3) Negative responses were more prevalent among interviewees than investigators, whereas positive responses were more frequent among investigators than interviewees. This pattern is attributed to the fact that interviewees often expressed feelings of sadness, unease, anxiety, panic, and similar emotions, as they were positioned as culpable in the interrogation context; (4) Power in this study was realized as a tool for eliciting confessions from interviewees, with investigators predominantly exercising power throughout the interrogation process.

**Keywords:** Forensic Linguistics, Police Interrogation, Investigation, Interpersonal Strategy, Appraisal, Attitude, Engagement, Graduation

## DAFTAR ISI

|                                                     |      |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------|
| LEMBAR PENGESAHAN .....                             | i    |
| KATA PENGANTAR.....                                 | ii   |
| DAFTAR ISI .....                                    | iv   |
| DAFTAR GAMBAR .....                                 | ix   |
| DAFTAR TABEL.....                                   | xi   |
| ABSTRAK .....                                       | xii  |
| ABSTRACT.....                                       | xiii |
| BAB I PENDAHULUAN .....                             | 1    |
| 1.1    Latar Belakang .....                         | 2    |
| 1.2    Ruang Lingkup Penelitian.....                | 8    |
| 1.3    Rumusan Masalah .....                        | 8    |
| 1.4    Tujuan Penelitian.....                       | 8    |
| 1.5    Manfaat Penelitian .....                     | 9    |
| 1.6    Definisi Operasional.....                    | 9    |
| 1.7    Struktur dan Pengorganisasian Disertasi..... | 12   |
| BAB II KAJIAN PUSTAKA .....                         | 14   |
| 2.1    Linguistik Forensik .....                    | 15   |
| 2.1.1    Pengertian Linguistik Forensik .....       | 15   |
| 2.1.2    Bidang Kajian Linguistik Forensik .....    | 17   |
| 2.1.3    Kejahatan dan Jejak Forensik.....          | 19   |
| 2.1.4    Fungsi Pakar Linguistik Forensik .....     | 22   |
| 2.2    Interogasi Polisi.....                       | 25   |
| 2.3    Penyidikan.....                              | 30   |
| 2.4    Metafungsi Interpersonal .....               | 32   |
| 2.5    Appraisal .....                              | 33   |
| 2.5.1    Komponen <i>Appraisal</i> .....            | 37   |
| 2.5.1.1 <i>Engagement</i> .....                     | 37   |
| 2.5.1.1.1 Monogloss .....                           | 38   |
| 2.5.1.1.2 Heterogloss.....                          | 39   |
| 2.5.1.1.2.1 <i>Heterogloss : Contract</i> .....     | 41   |
| 2.5.1.1.2.1.1 <i>Disclaim</i> .....                 | 42   |
| 2.5.1.1.2.1.2 <i>Proclaim</i> .....                 | 44   |

|                               |                                                                                                                             |     |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 2.5.1.1.2.2                   | <i>Heterogloss : Expand</i>                                                                                                 | 48  |
| 2.5.1.1.2.2.1                 | <i>Entertain</i>                                                                                                            | 48  |
| 2.5.1.1.2.2.2                 | <i>Attribute</i>                                                                                                            | 50  |
| 2.5.1.2                       | <i>Attitude</i>                                                                                                             | 51  |
| 2.5.1.2.1                     | <i>Affect</i>                                                                                                               | 53  |
| 2.5.1.2.2                     | <i>Judgement</i>                                                                                                            | 54  |
| 2.5.1.2.3                     | <i>Appreciation</i>                                                                                                         | 57  |
| 2.5.1.3                       | <i>Graduation</i>                                                                                                           | 59  |
| 2.5.1.3.1                     | <i>Focus</i>                                                                                                                | 62  |
| 2.5.1.3.2                     | <i>Force</i>                                                                                                                | 64  |
| 2.6                           | Penelitian Sebelumnya                                                                                                       | 65  |
| 2.7                           | Kerangka Pemikiran Penelitian                                                                                               | 72  |
| BAB III METODOLOGI PENELITIAN |                                                                                                                             | 74  |
| 3.1                           | Desain Penelitian                                                                                                           | 74  |
| 3.2                           | Sumber Data dan Tempat Penelitian                                                                                           | 75  |
| 3.3                           | Teknik Pengumpulan Data                                                                                                     | 77  |
| 3.3.1                         | Instrumen Penelitian                                                                                                        | 78  |
| 3.3.2                         | Triangulasi Data                                                                                                            | 79  |
| 3.4                           | Teknik Analisis Data                                                                                                        | 80  |
| 3.4.1                         | Analisis Data pada <i>Attitude</i>                                                                                          | 81  |
| 3.4.2                         | Analisis Data pada <i>Engagement</i> dan <i>Graduation</i>                                                                  | 84  |
| 3.4.3                         | Penyesuaian Pengkategorian Analisis                                                                                         | 88  |
| 3.4.4                         | Mekanisme Analisis Pola Realisasi <i>Appraisal</i> Pemeriksa dan Terperiksa                                                 | 89  |
| 3.4.5                         | Mekanisme Analisis Dampak Tuturan <i>Appraisal</i> Pemeriksa terhadap Respons Terperiksa                                    | 90  |
| 3.4.6                         | Mekanisme Analisis <i>Power</i> pada <i>Appraisal</i>                                                                       | 90  |
| BAB IV HASIL PENELITIAN       |                                                                                                                             | 92  |
| 4.1                           | Realisasi <i>Appraisal</i> yang Dominan pada Strategi <i>Interpersonal</i> Pemeriksa dan Terperiksa dalam Proses Interogasi | 99  |
| 4.1.1                         | Realisasi <i>Engagement</i>                                                                                                 | 100 |
| 4.1.1.1                       | Realisasi <i>Monogloss</i>                                                                                                  | 104 |
| 4.1.1.2                       | Realisasi <i>Heterogloss</i>                                                                                                | 106 |
| 4.1.1.2.1                     | <i>Heterogloss Contract</i>                                                                                                 | 110 |
| 4.1.1.2.1.1                   | <i>Disclaim</i>                                                                                                             | 115 |
| 4.1.1.2.1.2                   | <i>Proclaim</i>                                                                                                             | 120 |
| 4.1.1.2.1.2.1                 | <i>Concur</i>                                                                                                               | 121 |
| 4.1.1.2.1.2.1.1               | <i>Affirm</i>                                                                                                               | 121 |
| 4.1.1.2.1.2.1.2               | <i>Leading Question</i>                                                                                                     | 124 |
| 4.1.1.2.1.2.1.3               | <i>Concede</i>                                                                                                              | 128 |
| 4.1.1.2.1.2.2                 | <i>Endorse</i>                                                                                                              | 130 |
| 4.1.1.2.1.2.3                 | <i>Reinforce</i>                                                                                                            | 132 |

|                 |                                                                                                               |     |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 4.1.1.2.1.2.3.1 | <i>Justification</i> .....                                                                                    | 133 |
| 4.1.1.2.1.2.3.2 | <i>Pronounce</i> .....                                                                                        | 136 |
| 4.1.1.2.2       | <i>Heterogloss Expand</i> .....                                                                               | 138 |
| 4.1.1.2.2.1     | <i>Entertain</i> .....                                                                                        | 143 |
| 4.1.1.2.2.1.1   | <i>Epistemic Modality</i> .....                                                                               | 144 |
| 4.1.1.2.2.1.2   | <i>Evidentiality</i> .....                                                                                    | 148 |
| 4.1.1.2.2.1.3   | <i>Rhetorical Question</i> .....                                                                              | 152 |
| 4.1.1.2.2.1.4   | <i>Expository Question</i> .....                                                                              | 157 |
| 4.1.1.2.2.1.5   | <i>Deontic Modality</i> .....                                                                                 | 159 |
| 4.1.1.2.2.2     | <i>Attribute</i> .....                                                                                        | 161 |
| 4.1.1.2.2.2.1   | <i>Acknowledgement</i> .....                                                                                  | 162 |
| 4.1.2.          | <i>Realisasi Attitude</i> .....                                                                               | 164 |
| 4.1.2.1         | <i>Affect</i> .....                                                                                           | 171 |
| 4.1.2.1.1       | <i>Dis/inclination</i> .....                                                                                  | 176 |
| 4.1.2.1.2       | <i>Un/happiness</i> .....                                                                                     | 181 |
| 4.1.2.1.3       | <i>In/security</i> .....                                                                                      | 186 |
| 4.1.2.1.4       | <i>Dis/satisfaction</i> .....                                                                                 | 192 |
| 4.1.2.2         | <i>Realisasi Judgement</i> .....                                                                              | 198 |
| 4.1.2.2.1       | <i>Normality</i> .....                                                                                        | 208 |
| 4.1.2.2.2       | <i>Capacity</i> .....                                                                                         | 215 |
| 4.1.2.2.3       | <i>Tenacity</i> .....                                                                                         | 223 |
| 4.1.2.2.4       | <i>Veracity</i> .....                                                                                         | 227 |
| 4.1.2.2.5       | <i>Propriety</i> .....                                                                                        | 235 |
| 4.1.2.3         | <i>Realisasi Appreciation</i> .....                                                                           | 242 |
| 4.1.2.3.1       | <i>Reaction Impact</i> .....                                                                                  | 253 |
| 4.1.2.3.2       | <i>Reaction Quality</i> .....                                                                                 | 258 |
| 4.1.2.3.3       | <i>Composition Balance</i> .....                                                                              | 263 |
| 4.1.2.3.4       | <i>Composition Complexity</i> .....                                                                           | 267 |
| 4.1.2.3.5       | <i>Valuation</i> .....                                                                                        | 272 |
| 4.1.3           | <i>Realisasi Graduation</i> .....                                                                             | 277 |
| 4.1.3.1         | <i>Focus</i> .....                                                                                            | 280 |
| 4.1.3.1.1       | <i>Focus : Soften</i> .....                                                                                   | 284 |
| 4.1.3.1.2       | <i>Focus : Sharpen</i> .....                                                                                  | 286 |
| 4.1.3.2         | <i>Force</i> .....                                                                                            | 289 |
| 4.1.3.2.1       | <i>Force Intensification</i> .....                                                                            | 295 |
| 4.1.3.2.2       | <i>Force Quantification</i> .....                                                                             | 299 |
| 4.2.            | <i>Realisasi Pola Appraisal pada Strategi Interpersonal dalam Merespons</i><br><i>Penyidikan Polisi</i> ..... | 307 |
| 4.2.1.          | <i>Heterogloss : Contract : Affect</i> .....                                                                  | 310 |
| 4.2.2.          | <i>Monogloss : Affect</i> .....                                                                               | 311 |
| 4.2.3.          | <i>Heterogloss : Contract : Judgement</i> .....                                                               | 312 |
| 4.2.4.          | <i>Monogloss : Judgement</i> .....                                                                            | 313 |
| 4.2.5.          | <i>Heterogloss : Expand : Judgement</i> .....                                                                 | 314 |
| 4.2.6.          | <i>Heterogloss : Expand : Affect</i> .....                                                                    | 314 |
| 4.2.7.          | <i>Heterogloss : Contract : Appreciation</i> .....                                                            | 316 |
| 4.2.8.          | <i>Monogloss : Affect : Force</i> .....                                                                       | 317 |



|                                                                                                                        |     |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 4.2.9. <i>Heterogloss : Contract : Judgement : Force</i> .....                                                         | 318 |
| 4.2.10. <i>Monogloss : Judgement : Force</i> .....                                                                     | 319 |
| 4.2.11. <i>Heterogloss : Expand : Judgement : Force</i> .....                                                          | 319 |
| 4.2.12. <i>Monogloss : Appreciation</i> .....                                                                          | 320 |
| 4.3. Dampak Realisasi <i>Appraisal</i> pada Strategi <i>Interpersonal</i> Pemeriksa terhadap Pertanyaan Pemeriksa..... | 321 |
| 4.3.1. Respons Positif.....                                                                                            | 321 |
| 4.3.1.1 <i>Engagement : Heterogloss</i> .....                                                                          | 322 |
| 4.3.1.2 <i>Heterogloss : Contract : Disclaim : Deny</i> .....                                                          | 322 |
| 4.3.1.2.1 <i>Heterogloss : Contract : Disclaim : Counter</i> .....                                                     | 323 |
| 4.3.1.2.2 <i>Heterogloss : Contract : Proclaim : Concur : Affirm</i> .....                                             | 324 |
| 4.3.1.2.3 <i>Heterogloss : Contract : Proclaim : Concur : Concede</i> .....                                            | 324 |
| 4.3.1.2.4 <i>Heterogloss : Contract : Proclaim : Concur : Leading questions</i> .....                                  | 325 |
| 4.3.1.2.5 <i>Heterogloss : Contract : Proclaim : Endorse</i> .....                                                     | 326 |
| 4.3.1.2.5 <i>Heterogloss : Contract : Proclaim : Reinforce : Justification</i> .....                                   | 327 |
| 4.3.1.2.6 <i>Heterogloss : Contract : Proclaim : Reinforce : Pronounce</i> .....                                       | 328 |
| 4.3.1.2.7 <i>Heterogloss : Expand : Entertain : Epistemic Modality</i> .....                                           | 328 |
| 4.3.1.2.8 <i>Heterogloss : Expand : Entertain : Evidentiality</i> .....                                                | 329 |
| 4.3.1.2.9 <i>Heterogloss : Expand : Entertain : Rhetorical Question</i> .....                                          | 330 |
| 4.3.1.2.10 <i>Heterogloss : Expand : Entertain : Expository Question</i> ...                                           | 330 |
| 4.3.1.2.11 <i>Heterogloss : Expand : Attribute : Acknowledgement</i> .....                                             | 332 |
| 4.3.1.3 <i>Attitude</i> .....                                                                                          | 332 |
| 4.3.1.3.1 <i>Dis/Inclination</i> .....                                                                                 | 333 |
| 4.3.1.3.2 <i>Un/happiness</i> .....                                                                                    | 334 |
| 4.3.1.3.3 <i>In/security</i> .....                                                                                     | 334 |
| 4.3.1.3.4 <i>Dis/satisfaction</i> .....                                                                                | 335 |
| 4.3.1.3.5 <i>Normality</i> .....                                                                                       | 336 |
| 4.3.1.3.6 <i>Capacity</i> .....                                                                                        | 336 |
| 4.3.1.3.7 <i>Tenacity</i> .....                                                                                        | 337 |
| 4.3.1.3.8 <i>Veracity</i> .....                                                                                        | 338 |
| 4.3.1.3.9 <i>Propriety</i> .....                                                                                       | 338 |
| 4.3.1.3.10 <i>Reaction Impact</i> .....                                                                                | 339 |
| 4.3.1.3.11 <i>Reaction Quality</i> .....                                                                               | 340 |
| 4.3.1.3.12 <i>Composition Balance</i> .....                                                                            | 341 |
| 4.3.1.3.13 <i>Composition Complexity</i> .....                                                                         | 342 |
| 4.3.1.3.14 <i>Valuation</i> .....                                                                                      | 343 |
| 4.3.2. Respons Negatif .....                                                                                           | 343 |
| 4.3.2.1. <i>Security</i> .....                                                                                         | 344 |
| 4.3.2.2. <i>Tenacity</i> .....                                                                                         | 345 |
| 4.3.2.3 <i>Capacity</i> .....                                                                                          | 346 |
| 4.3.2.4. <i>Composition Complex</i> .....                                                                              | 347 |
| 4.4. Realisasi <i>Power Relation</i> dalam Konteks Penyidikan Kepolisian.....                                          | 348 |
| 4.4.1. <i>Engagement</i> .....                                                                                         | 355 |

|                                                        |     |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 4.4.2. <i>Affect</i> .....                             | 356 |
| 4.4.3. <i>Judgement</i> .....                          | 357 |
| 4.4.4. <i>Appreciation</i> .....                       | 358 |
| 4.4.5. <i>Graduation</i> .....                         | 359 |
| BAB V PEMBAHASAN .....                                 | 361 |
| 5.1. Pembahasan.....                                   | 361 |
| BAB VI KESIMPULAN, IMPLIKASI, REKOMENDASI .....        | 387 |
| 6.1 Kesimpulan .....                                   | 387 |
| 6.2 Implikasi.....                                     | 394 |
| 6.2.1. Implikasi Teoritis.....                         | 394 |
| 6.2.2. Implikasi Praktis.....                          | 394 |
| 6.2.3. Implikasi Institusional.....                    | 394 |
| 6.3. Rekomendasi .....                                 | 395 |
| 6.3.1. Untuk Praktisi (Pemeriksa/Penyidik/Polisi)..... | 395 |
| 6.3.2. Untuk Institusi Kepolisian.....                 | 395 |
| 6.3.3. Untuk Penelitian Selanjutnya .....              | 396 |
| DAFTAR PUSTAKA .....                                   | 397 |

## DAFTAR GAMBAR

|                                                                                                           |     |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Gambar 2.1 Sistem Interpersonal semantic dan variable tenor.....                                          | 31  |
| Gambar 2.2 Ringkasan dari sumber appraisal (Martin dan White 2005:38) ...                                 | 35  |
| Gambar 2.3 Jaringan sistem dari Engagement menurut Martin dan White (2005)<br>.....                       | 36  |
| Gambar 2.4 Jaringan Sistem dari Engagement – Contract: Disclaim<br>(Martin dan White, 2005, h. 122).....  | 40  |
| Gambar 2. 5 Jaringan sistem dari Engagement – dialogic expansion<br>(Martin dan White, 2005, h. 117)..... | 45  |
| Gambar 2.6 Judgement dan Appreciation sebagai affect yang dilembagakan                                    | 50  |
| Gambar 2.7 Jaringan sistem dari affect menurut Martin dan White (2005) ....                               | 51  |
| Gambar 2.8 Struktur sistem dari Judgement menurut Martin dan White (2005)<br>.....                        | 52  |
| Gambar 2.9 Jaringan sistem dari Appreciation menurut Martin dan White (2005)<br>.....                     | 55  |
| Gambar 2.10 Jaringan sistem dari Graduation (Martin dan White, 2005, h. 234)<br>.....                     | 58  |
| Gambar 2.11 Struktur sistem dari Graduation: Focus (Martin dan White, 2005)<br>.....                      | 60  |
| Gambar 2.12 Struktur sistem dari Graduation: Force (Martin dan White, 2005)<br>.....                      | 61  |
| Gambar 4.1 Grafik Distribusi Appraisal pada Interogasi.....                                               | 83  |
| Gambar 4.2 Grafik Distribusi Engagement pada Interogasi .....                                             | 86  |
| Gambar 4.3 Grafik distribusi Heterogloss pada Interogasi.....                                             | 91  |
| Gambar 4.4 Grafik Distribusi Heterogloss : Contract pada Interogasi .....                                 | 95  |
| Gambar 4.5 Grafik Distribusi Heterogloss Expand pada interogasi .....                                     | 122 |
| Gambar 4.6 Grafik Attitude pada Interogasi .....                                                          | 148 |
| Gambar 4.7 Grafik Affect pada Interogasi .....                                                            | 156 |
| Gambar 4.8 Grafik Reaslisasi Judgement pada interogasi.....                                               | 186 |
| Gambar 4.9 Grafik Appreciation pada Interogasi .....                                                      | 229 |

|                                                                          |     |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Gambar 4.10 Grafik Graduation pada Interogasi.....                       | 257 |
| Gambar 4.11 Grafik Focus pada Interogasi .....                           | 260 |
| Gambar 4.12 Grafik Force pada Interogasi.....                            | 268 |
| Gambar 4.13 Realisasi power pada Appraisal dalam Penyidikan Kepolisian . | 326 |

## DAFTAR TABEL

|                                                                                                                        |     |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Tabel 2.1 Konfigurasi Proposisi dan Proposal (Halliday, 1994:69).....                                                  | 30  |
| Tabel 2.2 Semantik Interpersonal Hubungannya dengan<br>Lexicogrammar dan Fonologi (Martin dan White 2005, H. 35) ..... | 32  |
| Tabel 2.3 Monoglossic dan Heteroglossic diadaptasi dari<br>Martin dan White (2005, h 100).....                         | 38  |
| Tabel 2.4 Jaringan sistem dari Engagement: contract and expand<br>(Martin dan White, 2005, h. 104).....                | 39  |
| Tabel 2.5 Tipologi Affect (Martin dan White 2005, h. 51) .....                                                         | 51  |
| Tabel 2.6 Tipologi Judgement: Social Esteem<br>(Martin dan White, 2005, h. 53).....                                    | 53  |
| Tabel 2.7 Tipologi Judgement: Social Sanction<br>(Martin dan White 2005, h. 53).....                                   | 54  |
| Tabel 2.8 Tipologi Appreciation (Martin dan White 2005).....                                                           | 55  |
| Tabel 2.9 The gradability of attitudinal meanings (Martin dan White 2005)...                                           | 56  |
| Tabel 2.10 The gradability of engagement values (Martin dan White 2005)...                                             | 57  |
| Tabel 3.1 Analisis Attitude .....                                                                                      | 72  |
| Tabel 3.2 Analisis Engagement dan Graduation .....                                                                     | 74  |
| Tabel 4.1 Realisasi Appraisal pada Interogasi Polisi .....                                                             | 81  |
| Tabel 4.2 Realisasi Engagement pada Interogasi .....                                                                   | 84  |
| Tabel 4.3 Realisasi Heterogloss pada interogasi .....                                                                  | 90  |
| Tabel 4.4 Realisasi Heterogloss : Contract pada Interogasi .....                                                       | 93  |
| Tabel 4.5 Realisasi Heterogloss Expand pada Interogasi.....                                                            | 120 |
| Tabel 4.6 Realisasi Attitude pada Interogasi .....                                                                     | 144 |
| Tabel 4.7 Realisasi Affect pada Intergosi.....                                                                         | 150 |
| Tabel 4.8 Realisasi Judgement pada Interogasi.....                                                                     | 179 |
| Tabel 4.9 Realisasi Appreciation pada Interogasi .....                                                                 | 222 |
| Tabel 4.10 Realisasi Graduation pada Interogasi .....                                                                  | 255 |
| Tabel 4.11 Realisasi Focus pada Interogasi.....                                                                        | 258 |
| Tabel 4.12 Realisasi Force pada Interogasi.....                                                                        | 266 |
| Tabel 4.13 Realisasi Pola Appraisal pada<br>Strategi Interpersonal dalam Penyidikan .....                              | 283 |
| Tabel 4.14 Realisasi Power pada Appraisal dalam<br>Konteks Penyidikan Kepolisian .....                                 | 323 |

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Adenike, A.A. (2021). Pragmatic Acts in Crime-Motivated Police Interactions in Ilorin, Nigeria. *Issues in Language and Literacy Studies*. Vol.7. No.1
- Alhuthali,M, (2024). Analysis of Two EFL Writing Genres Using Appraisal Theory. *British Journal of English Linguistics* Vol. 12, No. 3, August, 2024
- Aljuraywi, J.A., Alyousef, H.S., (2021). Attitude In Political Discourse: An Appraisal Analysis of Attitude In Donald Trump And Joe Biden's Interviews On 60 Minutes , *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*, 18(3), 176-193; 2022.
- Allen, Graham. (2000). *Intertextuality*. London, Canada, and New: Routledge
- Arronson, K. (1991). *Social Interaction and the Recycling of Legal evidence*. In N. Coupland, J. Wiemann, & H. giles (eds) *Miscommunication Problem and Resource of Talk in Context*: 215-243. Sage Publication.
- Babbie, E. R. (2020). *The Practice of Social Research*. Cengage Learning.
- Bednarek, Monika dan Helen Caple. (2010). Playing with Environmental Stories in The News—Good or Bad Practice? *Discourse & Communication*, SAGE Publications Vol 4(1) 5–31.
- Birot, Sabir. (2008). *Evaluation in Media Reporting: A Comparative Analysis in BBC, CNN and Aljazeera Reports*. Desertation of Department of English Language and Literature at Applied Language Studies Unit, The University of Liverpool.
- Cahyono,P,S., Pribody,Y,I, (2020). Scaffolding in Narrative Learning: Appraisal Analysis in Teachers' Talk. *Teknosastik* Vol. 18, No. 1
- Casey, E. (2020). *Digital Evidence and Computer Crime: Forensic Science, Computers, and the Internet*. Academic Press.

- Chen, Yumin. (2014). Exploring the Attitudinal Variations in the Chinese English Language Press on the 2013 Air Pollution Incident. *Discourse & Communication*, SAGE Publications Vol. 8(4) 331 –349.
- Chu,P,C, (2014). Supporting new arrival students' engagement with picture books: analysis of teacher talk using the appraisal theory. *Functional Linguistics* Vol. 1, No. 12.
- Cotterill, J. (1998) 'If it doesn't fit, you must acquit': metaphor and the O.J. Simpson criminal trial. *Forensic Linguistics* 5(2): 151–158. <https://doi.org/10.1558/ijsl.v5i2.141>
- Coulthard, M., A. Johnson and D. Wright (2017) *An Introduction to Forensic Linguistics: Language in Evidence*. second edition. London: Routledge
- Coulthard, M. and A. Johnson (2007) *An Introduction to Forensic Linguistics: Language in Evidence*. second edition. London: Routledge
- Cover, Rebecca Tamar. (2010). Aspect, Modality, and Tense in Badiaranke. Dissertation at Linguistics in the Graduate Division, University of California, Berkeley.
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2017). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches*. Sage Publications.
- Devira, M., & Westin, E. (2021). A genre and appraisal analysis of critical review texts in academic writing from a systemic functional linguistic perspective. *Journal of Research in Applied Linguistics*, 12(2), 22-36. <https://doi.org/10.22055/RALS.2021.17007>
- Dewhurst, Bratschi Jane. (2010). A Contrastive Study of Interpersonal Resources Employed in English and German Newspaper Commentary Texts. Dissertation at Department of English Centre for English Language Studies The University of Birmingham.
- Douglas, John E and Olshaker M. (1995). *Mindhunter: Inside the FBI's Elite Serial Crime Unit*. Scribner
- Dragos,V., Battistelli,D., Kelodjoue,E, (2018). Beyond sentiments and opinions: exploring social media with appraisal categorie. HAL Science.

- Eggins, S (2014) *An Introduction to Systemic Functional Linguistics*. London: Continuum
- Ferraro, F.E. (2015). *Investigative Interview: Psychology, Method, and Practice*. Florida: Taylor and Francis Group.
- Fisher, B. A. J. (2020). *Techniques of Crime Scene Investigation*. 9<sup>th</sup> Edition. CRC Press.
- Fisher, Ronald P, and Edward R.G. (1992). *Memory-Enhancing Techniques for Investigative Interviewing: The Cognitive Interview*. Charles C Thomas Publisher.
- Fryer, Daniel Lees. (2019). Engagement in Medical Research Discourse: A Multisemiotic Discourse-Semantic Study of Dialogic Positioning, disertasi pada University of Gothenburg.
- Ghel, R and Plecas, D. (2016). *Introduction to Criminal Investigation: Processes, Practices and Thinking*. New Westminster, BC: Justice Institute of British Columbia
- Gordon, N.J and Fleisher, W.L. (2006). *Effective Interviewing and Interrogation Techniques (third edition)*. Burlington, California, London: Elsevier Ltd
- Grossman, S. (2017). Effective Investigative Interviewing: Turning Interrogation into Conversations. *Journal of Criminalistics and Law*.
- Halliday, M. A. K. (1978). *Language as a Social Semiotics*. London: Edward Arnold.
- Halliday, M.A.K. (1994) *An Introduction to Functional Grammar*. edisi kedua London: Edward Arnold
- Halliday, M. A. K. (2005). *On Grammar*. London: Continuum
- Halliday, M.A.K. (2014) *An Introduction to Functional Grammar*. edisi keempat London: Routledge
- Halliday, M.A.K. and C. M. I. M. Matthiessen. (2006). *Construing Experience through Meaning: a Language Based Approach to Cognition*. London: Continuum
- Haryati, Sri. (2022). Realisasi *Appraisal* pada Tuturan Guru BK dan Siswa dalam Layanan Konseling. Disertasi pada Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia.



- Hess, K. M., & Orthmann, C. H. (2010). *Criminal Investigation* (9th ed.). Delmar: Cengage Learning.
- Iedema, R., S. Feez & P.R.R. White. (1994). *Media Literacy*, Sydney, Disadvantaged Schools Program, NSW Department of School Education
- Inbau ,dkk. (1986). *Criminal Interrogation and Confession*. 3<sup>rd</sup> edition, Balitmore/ MD: Williams and Wilkins.
- Johnson, A. J. (2008). *Changing stories: Achieving a change of state in suspect and witness knowledge through evaluation in police interviews with suspects and witnesses*. *Functions of Language*, 15(1), 84–114. John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/fol.15.1.06joh>
- Kartono, K. (2005) *Patologi Sosial*. Jakarta: Raja Gafindo Persada
- Leo, A.R and Drizin, A.S. (2012). *The Three Errors: Pathway to False Confession and Wrongful Conviction*. University of San Fransisco School of Law.
- Lihua, Liu. (2009). *Discourse Construction of Social Power: Interpersonal Rhetoric in Editorials of the China Daily*. *Discourse Studies*, SAGE Publications Vol 11(1): 59–78.
- Lyman, Michael D. (2020). *Criminal Investigation: The Art and the Science*. 9<sup>th</sup> Edition. Pearson.
- Magfiroh,I, Herdiawan,D,R., Rofi’I, A, (2021). An Appraisal Analysis of Narrative Text from the 11<sup>th</sup> Grade English Textbook. *Allure Journal: A Journal of Applied Linguistics, Linguistics, and Literature* Vol. 1, No. 1, July, 2021
- Martin, J. R. (1992). *English Text: Sytem and Structure*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Martin, J. R. (2010). Semantic variation modelling system, text and affiliation in social semiosis. In Bednaarek, M. dan J. R. Martin (eds) *New Discourse on Language: Funtional Perspectives on Modality, Identity and Affiliation*. London: Continuum, 1—34.
- Martin, J.R and D.Rose (2007) *Working with Discourse: Meaning Beyond the Clause*. London: Continuum
- Martin, J. R. dan White, P.R.R. (2005). *The Language of Evaluation Appraisal in English*. Palgrave Macmillan.

- Martin, J. R. dan D. Rose (2012). Genres and texts: living in the real world. *Indonesia Journal of Systemic Functional Linguistics*. 1 (1), pp 1—21.
- Matthiessen dkk. (2010). *Key Terms in Systemic Functional Linguistics*. New York, London: Continuum.
- Mc Menamin, G.R (2002). *Forensic Linguistics: Advances in Forensic Stylistics*. London: CRC Press
- Mei, Wu Siew and Desmond Allison. (2003). Exploring Appraisal in Claims of Student Writers in Argumentative Essays. *Prospect* Vol.18, No.3 pp. 71- 91
- Mohammed, T.A.S., 2024. A Critical Media and Appraisal Analysis of the Coverage on Mandela's Death in Arabic Language Media. *Forum for Linguistic Studies*. 7(1): 53–69. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30564/fls.v7i1.7465>
- Mooney, A. dan B. Evans. (2015). *Language, Society and Power: an Introduction*. Abingdon: Routledge
- Oddo, John. (2013). Precontextualization and The Rhetoric of Futurity: Foretelling Colin Powell's UN Address on NBC News. *Discourse & Communication*, SAGE Publications Vol 7(1) 25 –53.
- Olsson, J. (2008). *Forensic Linguistics*. Second edition. London: Continuum.
- Osterburg, James W and Richard H.Ward. (2010). *Criminal Investigation: A Method for Reconstructing the Past.* 6th Edition. Routledge
- Peterson, Marilyn, dkk. (2016). *Forensic Science and the Administration of Justice*. CRC Press.
- Philip, G. (2018). Presupposition as investigator certainty in a police interrogation: The case of Lorenzo Montoya's false confession. *Discourse and Society*. Vol.29(4) 399-419.
- Pounds, Gabrina. (2010). Attitude and Subjectivity in Italian and British Hard News Reporting: The Construction of A Culture-Specific 'Reporter' Voice. *Discourse Studies*, SAGE Publications Vol 12(1) 106–137.
- Prasetyo, Idha Dwi. (2011). A Comparative Analysis of Appraisal between Editorial and Opinion Column Exposing Ahmadiyah Issue Published on The Jakarta Globe and The Jakarta Pos Websites. Tesis pada Jurusan Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris, Universitas Sebelas Maret (tidak dipublikasikan).
- Puspandari, Nugraheni Woro. (2019). *Interpersonal Resources nn Academic*

Writing: Dominant Choices and Functions of Attitude, Engagement and Graduation: An Appraisal Analysis of Introduction Sections. Desertasi pada Jurusan Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia.

- Ramadani, dkk. (2023). Implicature and question Types of Police Interrogation : An Analysis of Communication in a Theft Case. *World Journal of English Language*. Vol.13, No.7.
- Saferstein, R. (2020). *Criminalistics: An Introduction to Forensic Science*. Pearson Education.
- Saidi,M, (2021). Appraisal Resources in an Academic Genre: English versus Persian Nutrition Research Articles. *Journal of Nutrition and Metabolism*. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/6659796>
- Santoso, D and Apriyanto, S. (2020). Pragmatics Implicature Analysis of Police Interrogation: Forensic Linguistics Analysis. *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation*. Vol. 24, Issue 06.
- Sarangi, Srikant. 2003. Editorial Evaluating Evaluative Language. 0165 4888/03/0023–0165 Walter de Gruyter: Text 23(2) (2003), pp. 165–170.
- Shepherd, E and Griffiths, A. (2011). *Investigative Interviewing: The Conversation Management Approach (Second edition)*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Soge, G. (2022). Commitment to Efficiency and Legitimacy: A Comparative Approach the Plea Negotiation System in the United States and China. *Temple University James E. Beasley School of Law*.
- Shuy, R. W. (1998). *The Language of Confession, Interrogation and Deception*. London. Sage Publication.
- Swanson, Charles R, Neil C. Chamelin and Trrito, L. (2019). *Criminal Investigation*. " 12th Edition. McGraw-Hill Education
- Taleghani, Azita Hojatollah. (2006). The Interaction of Modality, Aspect and Negation In Persian. Desertasi pada University of Arizona, tidak dipublikasikan.
- Thompson, G. (2014) *Introducing Functional Grammar*. London: Routledge
- Walsh, D and Oxburgh, G.E. (2018). *Investigative Interviewing and Suspects: Historical and Contemporary Developments in Research*. *Forensic Update* (92), winter 2007/8 (Feb 08): 41-45

- Wu,H, (2013). Appraisal Perspective on Attitudinal Analysis of Public Service Advertising Discourse. English Language and Literature Studies; Vol. 3, No. 1
- Xia,M, (2021). An Analysis of Political News from the Perspective of Graduation System within Appraisal Theory. Journal of Sociology and Ethnology Vol.3, No.8