

**PENGEMBANGAN PROGRAM PERKULIAHAN PERENCANAAN
PENGAJARAN FISIKA BERSTRATEGI *IRTaMS* UNTUK
MENINGKATKAN PENGETAHUAN DAN KETERAMPILAN
MAHASISWA DALAM MENDESAIN PEMBELAJARAN MENGACU
MODEL PBL BERORIENTASI KETERAMPILAN 4C**



DISERTASI

diajukan untuk memenuhi sebagian syarat untuk memperoleh gelar Doktor
Pendidikan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam

Oleh:

NURHAYATI

2105633

**PROGRAM STUDI PENDIDIKAN ILMU PENGETAHUAN ALAM
FAKULTAS PENDIDIKAN MATEMATIKA DAN ILMU PENGETAHUAN ALAM
UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN INDONESIA**

2025

Pengembangan Program Perkuliahan Perencanaan Pengajaran Fisika Berstrategi *IRTaMS* untuk Meningkatkan Pengetahuan dan Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Mendesain Pembelajaran Mengacu Model PBL Berorientasi Keterampilan 4C

Oleh
Nurhayati

Dr. Universitas Pendidikan Indonensia, Bandung, 2025
M.Pd. Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, 2011
M.Si. Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, 2012

Sebuah Disertasi yang diajukan untuk memenuhi salah satu syarat memperoleh
gelar Doktor Pendidikan IPA (Dr.) pada Fakultas Pendidikan Matematika dan
Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam

© Nurhayati 2025
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia
Agustus 2025

© Hak Cipta dilindungi undang-undang.
Disertasi ini tidak boleh diperbanyak seluruhnya atau sebagian,
dengan dicetak ulang, difoto kopi, atau cara lainnya tanpa ijin dari penulis.

HALAMAN PENGESAHAN DISERTASI

NURHAYATI

**PENGEMBANGAN PROGRAM PERKULIAHAN PERENCANAAN
PENGAJARAN FISIKA BERSTRATEGI *IRTaMS* UNTUK
MENINGKATKAN PENGETAHUAN DAN KETERAMPILAN
MAHASISWA DALAM MENDESAIN PEMBELAJARAN MENGACU
MODEL PBL BERORIENTASI KETERAMPILAN 4C**

Disetujui dan disahkan oleh panitia disertasi:

Promotor,



Prof. Dr. Andi Suhandi, M.Si.

NIP. 196908171994031003

Ko-Promotor,



Dr. Muslim, M.Pd.

NIP. 196406061990031003

Anggota,



Prof. Dr. Ida Kaniawati, M.Si.

NIP. 196807031992032001

Ketua Program Studi Pendidikan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam
Fakultas Pendidikan Matematika dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia



Prof. Dr. phil. Ari Widodo, M. Ed.

NIP. 196705271992031001

PERNYATAAN BEBAS PLAGIARISME

Saya yang bertanda tangan di bawah ini:

Nama : Nurhayati
NIM : 2105633
Program Studi : Pendidikan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam
Judul Karya : Pengembangan Program Perkuliahan Perencanaan Pengajaran Fisika Berstrategi *IRTaMS* Untuk Meningkatkan Pengetahuan dan Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Mendesain Pembelajaran Mengacu Model PBL Berorientasi Keterampilan 4C

Dengan ini menyatakan bahwa karya tulis ini merupakan hasil kerja saya sendiri. Saya menjamin bahwa seluruh isi karya ini, baik sebagian maupun keseluruhan, bukan merupakan plagiarisme dari karya orang lain. Kecuali pada bagian yang telah dinyatakan dan disebutkan sumbernya dengan jelas.

Jika di kemudian hari ditemukan pelanggaran terhadap etika akademik atau unsur plagiarisme, saya bersedia menerima sanksi sesuai peraturan yang berlaku di Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia.

Bandung, Agustus 2025

Yang Membuat Pernyataan,



Nurhayati

KATA PENGANTAR

Alhamdulillah robbil ‘alamin, puji dan syukur penulis panjatkan ke hadirat Allah *Subhanallahu Wata’ala* karena atas rahmat dan karunia-Nya penulis dapat menyelesaikan proses penelitian dan penyusunan disertasi ini sebagaimana mestinya. Disertasi ini berjudul ”Pengembangan Program Perkuliahan Perencanaan Pengajaran Fisika Berstrategi IRTaMS Untuk Meningkatkan Pengetahuan dan Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Mendesain Pembelajaran Mengacu Model PBL Berorientasi Keterampilan 4C”. Adapun tujuan penulisan disertasi adalah untuk memenuhi salah satu dari syarat memperoleh gelar Doktor Pendidikan IPA pada Fakultas Pendidikan Matematika dan Ilmu pengetahuan Alam Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia.

Penelitian disertasi ini dilakukan sebagai upaya untuk meningkatkan pengetahuan dan keterampilan calon guru fisika dalam mendesain pembelajaran fisika mengacu model PBL berorientasi keterampilan 4C dengan cara mengembangkan program perkuliahan perencanaan pengajaran fisika dengan strategi IRTaMS. Disertasi ini memaparkan proses dan hasil pengembangan program perkuliahan meliputi: Rencana Pembelajaran Semester (RPS), Bahan Belajar Mandiri (BBM), Bahan Belajar *Workshop* (BBW), dan Lembar Kerja Mahasiswa (LKM). Selain itu, penelitian ini juga memaparkan hasil uji implementasi program perkuliahan pada salah satu Universitas di provinsi Kalimantan Barat. Tak lain harapan peneliti, agar proses dan hasil-hasil penelitian disertasi yang diperoleh dapat memberikan manfaat yang sebesar-besarnya, baik manfaat teoretis maupun manfaat praktis dalam perbaikan proses dan hasil perkuliahan Perencanaan Pengajaran Fisika di tingkat Universitas terutama yang diorientasikan pada peningkatan pengetahuan dan keterampilan pedagogik calon guru.

Pepatah mengatakan “Tak Ada Gading yang Tak Retak”, demikian juga dengan disertasi ini tentunya masih jauh dari kesempurnaan dan sangat boleh jadi masih mengandung berbagai kekeliruan, untuk itu penulis mengharapkan kritik dan saran yang bersifat membangun dari berbagai pihak untuk penyempurnaannya. Semoga Allah *Subhanallahu Wata’ala* menjadikan karya ini sebagai amal shaleh dan dapat memberikan manfaat yang sebesar-besarnya untuk pengembangan pembelajaran fisika di masa yang akan datang, Aamiin yaa robbal ‘alamiin.

Bandung, Agustus 2025

Nurhayati

UCAPAN TERIMA KASIH

Penulis menyadari bahwa proses penelitian dan penyelesaian disertasi ini tidak terlepas dari bantuan, bimbingan, dan dukungan dari berbagai pihak. Oleh karena itu, pada kesempatan kali ini, penulis ingin menyampaikan ucapan terima kasih yang tulus serta penghargaan yang setinggi-tingginya kepada yang terhormat:

1. Bapak Prof. Dr. Andi Suhandi, M.Si., selaku promotor sekaligus pembimbing akademik yang telah meluangkan waktu dan pikirannya untuk memberikan arahan-arahan dengan seksama, memberikan inspirasi, serta memberikan dukungan moral yang terus-menerus kepada penulis sehingga tetap bersemangat dalam menyelesaikan disertasi ini. Semoga amal baiknya mendapat ridho dari Allah *Subhanallahu Wata'ala*.
2. Bapak Dr. Muslim, M.Pd., selaku ko-promotor yang selalu meluangkan waktu dan pikirannya untuk memberikan arahan-arahan dengan seksama, memberikan inspirasi, serta memberikan dukungan moral yang terus-menerus kepada penulis sehingga tetap bersemangat dalam menyelesaikan disertasi ini. Semoga amal baiknya mendapat ridho dari Allah *Subhanallahu Wata'ala*.
3. Ibu Prof. Dr. Ida Kaniawati, M.Si., selaku anggota promotor yang dalam kesibukannya selalu memberikan bimbingan, tuntunan dan nasihat kepada penulis sehingga tetap bersemangat dalam menyelesaikan disertasi ini. Semoga amal baiknya mendapat ridho dari Allah *Subhanallahu Wata'ala*.
4. Bapak Prof. Dr. Chaerul Rochman, M.Pd., Bapak Dr. Achmad Samsudin, M.Pd., Ibu Prof. Dr. Winny Liliawati, S.Pd., M.Si., Bapak Dr. Eka Murdani, M.Pfis., Ibu Dr. Soka Hadiati, M.Pd., M.Si., Bapak Adi Pramuda, M.Pd., M.Si., dan Ibu Dr. Nurul Fajri Saminan, M.Pd. yang telah meluangkan waktu untuk berdiskusi pada saat penulis mengembangkan program perkuliahan untuk keperluan penelitian disertasi.
5. Bapak Prof. Dr. phil Ari Widodo, M.Ed., dan Dr. Eka Cahya Prima, M.T selaku ketua dan sekretaris Program Studi Doktorat Pendidikan IPA FMIPA UPI yang telah memberikan kesempatan dan arahan dalam penulisan disertasi ini.

6. Seluruh staf dosen, karyawan, dan segenap civitas akademika Prodi Pendidikan IPA FPMIPA Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia yang telah memberikan dukungan secara langsung maupun tidak langsung kepada penulis untuk menggali pengetahuan, pengalaman, dan wawasan sehingga dapat menyelesaikan studi ini dengan baik.
7. Bapak Prof. rer. nat. Adi Rahmat, M.Si., selaku Dekan FPMIPA UPI atas segala fasilitas dan kebijakan yang diberikan selama penulis menempuh pendidikan doktor.
8. Ketua Program Studi Pendidikan Fisika Ibu Ira Nofita Sari, M.Pd. dan Dosen Pengampuh Mata Kuliah Perencanaan Pengajaran Fisika Universitas PGRI Pontianak Ibu Dwi Fajar Saputri, M.Pd., M.Si. yang telah memberikan izin penelitian dan mendukung pelaksanaan penelitian serta mahasiswa/i universitas PGRI Pontianak atas waktu dan dedikasinya dalam proses penelitian penulis.
9. Bapak Dr. Muhamad Firdaus, M.Pd. sebagai Rektor dan Yudi Darma, M.Pd. sebagai Dekan FPMIPATEK serta seluruh civitas Universitas PGRI Pontianak yang telah memberikan izin tugas belajar dan mendukung studi S3 Pendidikan IPA di FPMIPA UPI.
10. Ketua Program Studi Pendidikan Fisika Universitas Tanjungpura Ibu Erwina Oktavianty, M.Pd. dan dosen pengampuh mata kuliah Bapak Naim Sulaiman, M.Pd. yang telah memberikan izin penelitian dan mendukung pelaksanaan penelitian serta mahasiswa/i universitas Tanjungpura atas waktu dan dedikasinya dalam proses penelitian penulis.
11. Bapak Dekan dan Wakil Dekan FKIP Universitas Tanjungpura yang telah memberikan izin penelitian sehingga disertasi ini dapat diselesaikan.
12. Kepala LLDIKTI Wilayah XI dan seluruh jajarannya yang telah memberikan izin tugas belajar dan mendukung studi S3 Pendidikan IPA di FPMIPA UPI.
13. BPI dan LPDP sebagai pihak sponsor yang mendanai studi S3 Pendidikan IPA di FPMIPA UPI mulai dari 2021-2025.
14. Orang tua tercinta, Ibu Syafariah dan Bapak Sabaruddin, serta Ibu Darmini dan Bapak Ahmad yang tiada henti menengadahkan tangan melantunkan doa untuk

penulis, senantiasa memberikan dukungan dan merangkul penulis ketika sedih, serta tersenyum ketika cita-cita penulis tercapai. Semoga Allah *Subhanallahu Wata'ala* memuliakan, memberkahi, serta meridhoinya dunia dan akhirat.

15. Suami tercinta Wahyudi yang telah memberikan dukungan langsung maupun tidak langsung, senantiasa mendoakan penulis agar sukses dan meraih keberkahan dalam menyelesaikan studi ini serta anak-anak tercinta Daffa Dzikan Adz Dzaky dan Afnan Rizqan Ar Rafif yang telah bersedia sabar menunggu ibunya saat menyelesaikan disertasi ini. Semoga Allah *Subhanallahu Wata'ala* selalu merahmati dan memberkahinya.
16. Sabriani, selaku kakak pertama, Fitriani selaku kakak kedua, Haryanti selaku adik, para abang dan adik ipar (Iwan, Dadang, Eka), serta para keponakan (Kakak Kesya, Kakak Nisa, Kakak Aliya, Abg Ryan, Abg Davin, Dede Izzan, dan Dede Devan) yang selalu memberikan dukungan dan doa untuk penulis.
17. Teman-teman seperjuangan S3 Pendidikan IPA FPMIPA UPI angkatan 2021 yang telah mendukung dan memotivasi penulis selama studi.
18. Semua pihak yang tidak dapat disebutkan namanya satu persatu

Semoga segala amal kebaikan yang telah diperbuat dalam membantu dan mendukung penulis dalam menyelesaikan penelitian dan penyusunan disertasi ini mendapatkan balasan yang setimpal dari Allah *Subhanallahu Wata'ala*, Aamiin.

Bandung, Agustus 2025

Nurhayati

**PENGEMBANGAN PROGRAM PERKULIAHAN PERENCANAAN
PENGAJARAN FISIKA BERSTRATEGI *IRTaMS* UNTUK
MENINGKATKAN PENGETAHUAN DAN KETERAMPILAN
MAHASISWA DALAM MENDESAIN PEMBELAJARAN MENGACU
MODEL PBL BERORIENTASI KETERAMPILAN 4C**

Nurhayati
Program Studi Pendidikan IPA

ABSTRAK

Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menghasilkan program perkuliahan perencanaan pengajaran fisika (PPF) berstrategi *Interactive Reading, Task, Modelling* dan *Scaffolding* (IRTaMS) yang layak dan teruji dalam meningkatkan pengetahuan dan keterampilan mahasiswa untuk mendesain pembelajaran fisika mengacu model *problem based learning* (PBL) berorientasi keterampilan 4C. Penelitian ini menggunakan metode *Developmental Research* (DR) dengan model pengembangan ADDIE (*Analyze, Design, Develop, Implementation, dan Evaluation*). Partisipan penelitian ini adalah 24 mahasiswa program studi pendidikan fisika semester 5 yang mengikuti mata kuliah PPF pada salah satu perguruan tinggi di Kalimantan Barat. Data kualitatif dalam penelitian ini diukur menggunakan lembar studi dokumentasi, lembar observasi keterlaksanaan perkuliahan, dan angket persepsi guru dalam studi pendahuluan. Sedangkan data kuantitatif diukur menggunakan tes pengetahuan, lembar penilaian dokumen (instrumen keterampilan 4C, modul ajar dan LKPD), lembar validasi perangkat perkuliahan, lembar validasi instrumen penelitian, dan skala sikap respons mahasiswa. Teknik analisis data menggunakan analisis kualitatif, analisis V Aiken, analisis statistik deskriptif, dan N-Gain. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa: (1) program perkuliahan PPF berstrategi IRTaMS yang dikembangkan memiliki karakteristik: berorientasi untuk melatih pengetahuan dan keterampilan mahasiswa dalam mendesain pembelajaran fisika mengacu model PBL berorientasi keterampilan 4C; berlandaskan teori pembelajaran andragogi, teori belajar konstruktivistik, dan teori belajar sosial; dilaksanakan dalam mode *online* melalui pembelajaran mandiri dan mode *offline* melalui pembelajaran *workshop*; dan dilengkapi dengan bahan belajar berbasis ICT, (2) program perkuliahan PPF berstrategi IRTaMS layak digunakan dengan nilai kevalidan (nilai V Aiken) di atas batas minimum 0,71; 100% kesetujuan mahasiswa terhadap kepraktisan bahan belajar; dan keefektifan program perkuliahan dalam meningkatkan pengetahuan dan keterampilan mahasiswa dalam mendesain pembelajaran mengacu model PBL berorientasi keterampilan 4C dalam kategori peningkatan sedang hingga tinggi; (3) program perkuliahan PPF berstrategi IRTaMS dapat meningkatkan pengetahuan mahasiswa dalam mendesain pembelajaran mengacu model PBL berorientasi keterampilan 4C dengan peningkatan kategori tinggi; (4) program perkuliahan PPF berstrategi IRTaMS dapat meningkatkan keterampilan mahasiswa dalam mendesain pembelajaran mengacu model PBL berorientasi keterampilan 4C. Peningkatan keterampilan mengonstruksi instrumen penilaian keterampilan 4C pada kategori sedang, peningkatan keterampilan mendesain modul ajar dan menyusun LKPD mengacu model *problem based learning* berorientasi keterampilan 4C pada kategori tinggi. (5) mahasiswa memberikan respons positif terhadap pelaksanaan perkuliahan PPF berstrategi IRTaMS. Oleh sebab itu, dapat disimpulkan bahwa program perkuliahan perencanaan pengajaran fisika berstrategi IRTaMS layak dan teruji dalam meningkatkan pengetahuan dan keterampilan mahasiswa mendesain pembelajaran fisika mengacu model *problem based learning* berorientasi keterampilan 4C.

**DEVELOPMENT OF A PHYSICS INSTRUCTION PLANNING COURSE
PROGRAM USING THE IRTaMS STRATEGY TO ENHANCE
STUDENTS' KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS IN DESIGNING
INSTRUCTIONAL BASED ON THE 4C SKILL-ORIENTED PBL MODEL**

Nurhayati
Program Studi Pendidikan IPA

ABSTRACT

This study aims to produce a physics instruction planning lecture program using the Interactive Reading, Task, Modeling and Scaffolding (IRTaMS) strategy that is feasible and tested in enhance students' knowledge and skills in designing physics instructional based on the 4C skill-oriented problem-based learning MODEL. This study uses the Developmental Research (DR) method with the ADDIE (Analyze, Design, Develop, Implementation, and Evaluation) development model. The participants of this study were 24 students of the 5th semester physics education study program who took the physics instruction planning course at one of the universities in West Kalimantan. Qualitative data in this study were measured using documentation study sheets, lecture implementation observation sheets, and teacher perception questionnaires in the preliminary study. Meanwhile, quantitative data were measured using knowledge tests, document assessment sheets (4C skills instruments, teaching modules and LKPD), lecture device validation sheets, research instrument validation sheets, and student response attitude scales. Data analysis techniques used were qualitative analysis, Aiken's V analysis, descriptive statistical analysis, and N-Gain. The results of the study showed that: (1) the PPF lecture program with the IRTaMS strategy developed has the following characteristics: oriented to train knowledge and skills in designing physics instructional based on the 4C skill-oriented problem-based learning model; based on andragogy theory learning, constructivist learning theory, and social learning theory; implemented in online mode through independent learning and offline mode through workshop learning; and equipped with ICT-based learning materials, (2) the PPF lecture program with the IRTaMS strategy is suitable for use in research with a validity value (V Aiken value) above the minimum limit of 0.71; 100% agreement of student responses to the practicality of learning materials; and the effectiveness of the lecture program in improving students' knowledge and skills in designing learning referring to the 4C skill-oriented PBL model in the medium to high improvement category; (3) the PPF lecture program with the IRTaMS strategy can improve students' knowledge in designing learning referring to the 4C skill-oriented PBL model with a high improvement category; (4) the PPF lecture program with the IRTaMS strategy can improve students' skills in designing learning referring to the 4C skill-oriented PBL model. Improvement in skills in constructing 4C skill assessment instruments is in the medium category, improvement in skills in designing teaching modules and compiling LKPD referring to the 4C skill-oriented problem-based learning model is in the high category. (5) Students gave a positive response to the implementation of PPF lectures with the IRTaMS strategy. Therefore, it can be concluded that the physics instruction planning course using the IRTaMS strategy is valid and tested in enhance students' knowledge and skills in designing physics instructional based on the 4C skill-oriented problem-based learning model.

DAFTAR ISI

HALAMAN JUDUL.....	i
HALAMAN HAK CIPTA	ii
HALAMAN PENGESAHAN.....	iii
HALAMAN PERNYATAAN BEBAS PLAGIASI	iv
KATA PENGANTAR	v
HALAMAN UCAPAN TERIMA KASIH	vi
ABSTRAK	ix
ABSTRACT	x
DAFTAR ISI.....	xi
DAFTAR TABEL	xv
DAFTAR GAMBAR	xix
DAFTAR LAMPIRAN.....	xxv
BAB I PENDAHULUAN	1
1.1 Latar Belakang Penelitian	1
1.2 Rumusan Masalah Penelitian	18
1.3 Tujuan Penelitian	19
1.4 Manfaat Penelitian	20
1.5 Ruang Lingkup Penelitian	20
1.6 Definisi Operasional.....	21
BAB II TINJAUAN PUSTAKA.....	24
2.1 Keterampilan 4C	24
2.1.1 Keterampilan Berpikir Kritis	24
2.1.2 Keterampilan Berpikir Kreatif	29
2.1.3 Kemampuan Berkomunikasi	33
2.1.4 Kemampuan Berkolaborasi	35
2.2 Pembekalan Keterampilan 4C Melalui Model <i>Problem Based Learning</i>	36

2.3	Guru dan Mahasiswa Calon Guru dalam Merancang Pembelajaran Berorientasi Keterampilan 4C.....	47
2.4	Perkuliahan PPF dengan Strategi IRTaMS untuk Melatihkan Pengetahuan dan Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Mendesain Pembelajaran Berorientasi Keterampilan 4C	50
2.4.1	Strategi <i>Interactive Reading</i>	51
2.4.2	Pendekatan <i>Workshop</i>	53
2.4.3	Strategi <i>Task Based Learning</i>	55
2.4.4	Strategi <i>Modelling</i>	56
2.4.5	Strategi <i>Scaffolding</i>	57
2.5	Landasan Teori Pengembangan Program Perkuliahan PPF dengan Strategi IRTaMS	62
2.6	Kerangka Pikir Penelitian	67
2.7	Hipotesis Penelitian.....	71
BAB III METODOLOGI PENELITIAN		73
3.1	Metode Penelitian.....	73
3.2	Model Pengembangan Produk	74
3.3	Prosedur Penelitian.....	76
3.4	Teknik Pengumpul Data dan Instrumen Penelitian	83
3.5	Analisis Instrumen Penelitian	99
3.6	Teknik Analisis Data	111
BAB IV HASIL PENELITIAN.....		119
4.1	Karakteristik Program Perkuliahan Perencanaan Pengajaran Fisika dengan Strategi IRTaMS.....	119
4.1.1	Hasil Analisis Kebutuhan	120
4.1.2	Hasil Analisis Desain.....	137
4.1.3	Hasil Analisis Pengembangan.....	144
4.2	Kelayakan Program Perkuliahan Perencanaan Pengajaran Fisika dengan Strategi IRTaMS.....	168

4.2.1 Hasil Hasil Validasi Ahli Terhadap Program Perkuliahan PPF.....	169
4.2.2 Hasil Analisis Kepraktisan Bahan Belajar Melalui Uji Coba Individu.....	175
4.2.3 Hasil Analisis Efektivitas Program Perkuliahan PPF Melalui Uji Coba Kelompok Kecil	176
4.3 Peningkatan Pengetahuan Mahasiswa tentang Mendesain Pembelajaran Fisika Mengacu Model PBL Berorientasi Keterampilan 4C Pada Kelas Implementasi.....	192
4.3.1 Peningkatan Pengetahuan Mahasiswa Sebelum dan Sesudah diterapkan Program Perkuliahan Secara Keseluruhan Pada Kelas Implementasi	193
4.3.2 Peningkatan Pengetahuan Mahasiswa Sebelum dan Sesudah diterapkan Program Perkuliahan untuk Tiap Materi Pada Kelas Implementasi	196
4.4 Peningkatan Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Mendesain Pembelajaran Mengacu Model PBL Berorientasi Keterampilan 4C Pada Kelas Implementasi.....	203
4.4.1 Peningkatan Keterampilan Mengonstruksi Instrumen Penilaian Keterampilan 4C Pada Kelas Implementasi	203
4.4.2 Peningkatan Keterampilan Mendesain Modul Ajar Pada Kelas Implementasi	216
4.4.3 Keterampilan Menyusun LKPD Pada Kelas Implementasi.....	231
4.5 Respon Mahasiswa Terhadap Pelaksanaan Perkuliahan PPF dengan Strategi IRTaMS	235
BAB V PEMBAHASAN	238
5.1 Karakteristik Program Perkuliahan Perencanaan Pengajaran Fisika dengan Strategi IRTaMS.....	238
5.2 Kelayakan Program Perkuliahan Perencanaan Pengajaran Fisika dengan Strategi IRTaMS	247
5.3 Peningkatan Pengetahuan Mahasiswa tentang Mendesain Pembelajaran	

Fisika Mengacu Model PBL Berorientasi Keterampilan 4C	265
5.4 Peningkatan Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Mendesain Pembelajaran	
Mengacu Model PBL Berorientasi Keterampilan 4C	279
5.5 Respon Mahasiswa Terhadap Pelaksanaan Perkuliahan PPF dengan	
Strategi IRTaMS	313
 BAB VI SIMPULAN, IMPLIKASI DAN REKOMENDASI.....	319
6.1 Simpulan	319
6.2 Implikasi.....	321
6.3 Rekomendasi	322
 DAFTAR PUSTAKA	323

DAFTAR TABEL

Tabel 2.1. Indikator Keterampilan Berpikir Kritis Menurut Ahli.....	26
Tabel 2.2. Rincian Indikator Keterampilan Berpikir Kritis Tiruneh, dkk. (2017)	28
Tabel 2.3. Penjabaran Indikator untuk Tiap Aspek Keterampilan Berpikir Kreatif (Guilford, 1975)	31
Tabel 2.4. Indikator Kemampuan Komunikasi Ilmiah (Levy, dkk., 2009).....	34
Tabel 2.5. Indikator Kemampuan Kolaborasi Menurut Greenstein (2012)	35
Tabel 2.6. Matriks Hubungan Aktivitas Pembelajaran Berbasis Model PBL dengan Keterampilan 4C yang Dilatihkan	42
Tabel 2.7. Matriks Keterkaitan Aktivitas Perkuliahan Melalui Strategi IRTaMS dengan Peningkatan Pengetahuan dan Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Mendesain Pembelajaran Fisika Mengacu Model PBL Berorientasi Keterampilan 4C	58
Tabel 3.1. Rangkuman Instrumen Pengumpulan Data Berdasarkan Pertanyaan Penelitian	83
Tabel 3.2. Rincian Jumlah Soal pada Setiap Materi	92
Tabel 3.3. Aspek Penilaian Dokumen Instrumen Tes Keterampilan Berpikir Kritis.....	93
Tabel 3.4. Aspek Penilaian Dokumen Instrumen Tes Keterampilan Berpikir Kreatif.....	93
Tabel 3.5. Aspek Penilaian Dokumen Instrumen Keterampilan Kolaborasi	94
Tabel 3.6. Aspek Penilaian Dokumen Instrumen Keterampilan Komunikasi	94
Tabel 3.7. Aspek Penilaian Dokumen Modul Ajar	95
Tabel 3.8. Rubrik Penilaian Dokumen LKPD	97
Tabel 3.9. Rangkuman Hasil Validasi Ahli untuk Tes Pengetahuan Desain Pembelajaran Fisika Mengacu Model PBL Berorientasi Keterampilan 4C	100
Tabel 3.10. Rekapitulasi Revisi Soal Tes Pengetahuan Desain Pembelajaran Fisika Mengacu Model PBL Berorientasi Keterampilan	

Berdasarkan Hasil Validasi Ahli.....	102
Tabel 3.11. Rangkuman Hasil Validasi Ahli untuk Rubrik Penilaian Keterampilan Mengonstruksi Instrumen Keterampilan 4C	103
Tabel 3.12. Rangkuman Hasil Validasi Ahli untuk Rubrik Penilaian Keterampilan Mendesain Modul Ajar.....	103
Tabel 3.13. Rangkuman Hasil Validasi Ahli untuk Rubrik Penilaian Keterampilan Menyusun LKPD.....	105
Tabel 3.14. Rekapitulasi Revisi Lembar Penilaian Keterampilan Instrumen Penilaian Keterampilan 4C dan Desain Pembelajaran Mengacu pada Model PBL Berorientasi Keterampilan 4C Berdasarkan Hasil Validasi Ahli	106
Tabel 3.15. Rangkuman Hasil Validasi Ahli untuk Skala Sikap	107
Tabel 3.16. Rangkuman Statistik dari Person dan Item untuk Tes Pengetahuan Desain Pembelajaran Fisika Mengacu Model <i>Problem Based Learning</i> Berorientasi Keterampilan 4C	109
Tabel 3.17. Kriteria Tingkat Kesukaran Butir Soal Pengetahuan Desain Pembelajaran Fisika Mengacu Model PBL Berorientasi Keterampilan 4C	110
Tabel 3.18. Rangkuman Tingkat Kesulitan Item Soal Tes Pengetahuan Desain Pembelajaran Fisika Mengacu Model PBL Berorientasi Keterampilan 4C	111
Tabel 3.19. Kriteria N-Gain (Hake, 2002).....	113
Tabel 3.20. Penentuan Level Pengetahuan Mahasiswa tentang Desain Pembelajaran Fisika Mengacu Model PBL Berorientasi Keterampilan 4C	115
Tabel 3.21. Penentuan Level Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Mendesain Pembelajaran Mengacu Model PBL Berorientasi Keterampilan 4C	117
Tabel 4.1. Rumusan Capaian Mata Kuliah PPF	122
Tabel 4.2. Persentase Pengetahuan Mahasiswa tentang Keterampilan 4C dan Model Pembelajaran yang Berorientasi Keterampilan 4C	123
Tabel 4.3. Persentase Keterampilan Mahasiswa Fisika dalam Mendesain	

Modul Ajar Mengacu Model PBL Berorientasi Keterampilan 4C ...	128
Tabel 4.4. Kategorisasi Level Keterampilan Mahasiswa Fisika dalam Membuat LKPD Berorientasi Keterampilan 4C	130
Tabel 4.5. Rumusan CPMK dan Sub CPMK Perkuliahan PPF	145
Tabel 4.6. Sebaran Rumusan Indikator Pembelajaran Tiap Sub CPMK	147
Tabel 4.7. Hasil Pengembangan Strategi Pembelajaran dan Modus Perkuliahan Tiap Materi	151
Tabel 4.8. Indikator pembelajaran yang melandasi pengembangan Bahan Belajar Mandiri (BBM)	158
Tabel 4.9. Indikator pembelajaran yang melandasi pengembangan Bahan Belajar <i>Workshop</i> (BBW).....	163
Tabel 4.10. Rangkuman Hasil Koefisien V Aiken RPS untuk Tiap Aspek Penilaian	169
Tabel 4.11. Rangkuman Hasil Masukan dan Saran Ahli Terhadap RPS	170
Tabel 4.12. Rangkuman Hasil Koefisien V Aiken BBM untuk Tiap Aspek Penilaian	171
Tabel 4.13. Rangkuman Saran dan Masukan Validasi BBM.....	171
Tabel 4.14. Rangkuman Hasil Koefisien V Aiken BBW untuk Tiap Aspek Penilaian	173
Tabel 4.15. Rangkuman Saran dan Masukan Validasi BBW dan LKM.....	174
Tabel 4.16. Persentase Rerata Hasil Tanggapan Mahasiswa Terhadap Keterbacaan BBM dan BBW	175
Tabel 4.17. Peningkatan Pengetahuan Mahasiswa tentang Mendesain Pembelajaran Fisika Mengacu Model PBL Berorientasi Keterampilan 4C untuk Tiap Materi Pada Kelas Uji Coba.....	178
Tabel 4.18. Peningkatan Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Mengonstruksi Instrumen Penilaian Keterampilan 4C untuk Tiap Aspek Pada Kelas Uji Coba	180
Tabel 4.19. Peningkatan Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Mendesain Modul Ajar untuk Tiap Aspek Pada Kelas Uji Coba	182
Tabel 4.20. Peningkatan Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Menyusun	

LKPD untuk Tiap Aspek Pada Uji Coba	185
Tabel 4.21. Rekapitulasi Hasil Respon Mahasiswa terhadap Pelaksanaan Perkuliahan PPF dengan Strategi IRTaMS pada Uji Coba Kelompok Kecil	187
Tabel 4.22. Rangkuman Hasil Wawancara Mahasiswa terhadap Pelaksanaan Perkuliahan pada Uji Coba Terbatas	189
Tabel 4.23. Rangkuman Sebelum dan Sesudah Perbaikan Program Perkuliahan.....	191
Tabel 4.24. Deskripsi Data Pengetahuan Mahasiswa tentang Mendesain Pembelajaran Fisika Mengacu Model PBL Berorientasi Keterampilan 4C Pada Kelas Implementasi.....	193
Tabel 4.25. Peningkatan Pengetahuan Mahasiswa tentang Mendesain Pembelajaran Fisika Mengacu Model PBL Berorientasi Keterampilan 4C untuk Tiap Materi Pada Kelas Implementasi.....	196
Tabel 4.26. Deskripsi Data Keterampilan Mahasiswa Mengonstruksi Instrumen Penilaian Keterampilan 4C Pada Kelas Implementasi.....	203
Tabel 4.27. Peningkatan Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Mengonstruksi Instrumen Penilaian Keterampilan 4C untuk Tiap Aspek Pada Kelas Implementasi	206
Tabel 4.28. Deskripsi Data Keterampilan Mahasiswa Mendesain Modul Ajar Pada Kelas Implementasi	217
Tabel 4.29. Peningkatan Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Mendesain Modul Ajar untuk Tiap Aspek Pada Implementasi	219
Tabel 4.30. Deskripsi Data Keterampilan Mahasiswa Menyusun LKPD Pada Kelas Implementasi	231
Tabel 4.31. Peningkatan Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Menyusun LKPD untuk Tiap Aspek Pada Implementasi	233
Tabel 4.32. Rekapitulasi Hasil Respon Mahasiswa terhadap Pelaksanaan Perkuliahan pada Kelas Implementasi	235

DAFTAR GAMBAR

Gambar 1.1.	Bagan Distribusi Persentase Mahasiswa Tiap Level Pengetahuan.....	8
Gambar 1.2	Bagan Distribusi Persentase Mahasiswa Tiap Level Keterampilan	8
Gambar 1.3	Hasil Survei tentang Implementasi model PBL dalam Pembelajaran	10
Gambar 1.4	Visualisasi <i>Networking</i> Kemunculan Kata Kunci dalam Penelitian Pelatihan Profesional Calon Guru	16
Gambar 1.5	Visualisasi <i>Networking</i> Kemunculan Kata Kunci dalam Penelitian Rencana Pembelajaran Berbasis Keterampilan 4C	17
Gambar 2.1.	Bagan Kerangka Pikir Penelitian	71
Gambar 3.1.	Bagan Model Pengembangan ADDIE Pada Penelitian.....	75
Gambar 3.2.	Desain <i>Within-Subject Approach (One-Group Pre-Test and Posttest)</i>	80
Gambar 3.2.	Desain <i>Within-Subject Approach (One-Group Pre-Task and Posttask)</i>	81
Gambar 4.1.	Kesulitan Guru dalam Mengimplementasikan Model PBL	125
Gambar 4.2.	Penyajian Contoh Desain Masalah yang Dibuat oleh Guru	127
Gambar 4.3.	<i>Wright Map</i> Tiap Indikator Mendesain Modul Ajar	129
Gambar 4.4.	Tampilan BBM 1.....	161
Gambar 4.5.	Tampilan BBM 2.....	161
Gambar 4.6.	Tampilan BBM 3.....	162
Gambar 4.7.	Tampilan BBW 1	164
Gambar 4.8.	Tampilan BBW 2	165
Gambar 4.9.	Tampilan BBW 3	166
Gambar 4.10.	Karakteristik Program Perkuliahan Perencanaan Pengajaran Fisika dengan Strategi IRTaMS.....	168
Gambar 4.11.	Diagram Persentase Mahasiswa Tiap Kategori Peningkatan Pengetahuan pada Setiap Materi di Kelas Uji Coba.....	179

Gambar 4.12. Diagram Persentase Mahasiswa Tiap Kategori Peningkatan Mengonstruksi Instrumen Penilaian Keterampilan 4C pada Setiap Aspek Pada Kelas Uji Coba	182
Gambar 4.13. Diagram Persentase Mahasiswa Tiap Kategori Peningkatan Mendesain Modul Ajar pada Setiap Aspek Pada Kelas Uji Coba.....	184
Gambar 4.14. Diagram Persentase Mahasiswa Tiap Kategori Peningkatan Menyusun LKPD Pada Kelas Uji Coba	186
Gambar 4.15. Diagram Persentase Mahasiswa Tiap Kategori Peningkatan Pengetahuan pada Kelas Implementasi untuk Keseluruhan Materi	194
Gambar 4.16. Pola Perubahan Level Pengetahuan Mahasiswa pada Kelas Implementasi untuk Keseluruhan Materi	195
Gambar 4.17. Diagram Persentase Jumlah Mahasiswa Tiap Kategori Peningkatan Materi Karakteristik Abad ke-21 dan Keterampilan 4C Pada Kelas Implementasi	197
Gambar 4.18. Pola Perubahan Level Pengetahuan Mahasiswa Materi Karakteristik Abad ke-21 dan Keterampilan 4C Pada Kelas Implementasi	198
Gambar 4.19. Diagram Persentase Jumlah Mahasiswa Tiap Kategori Peningkatan Materi Perencanaan Pembelajaran Fisika Berorientasi Keterampilan 4C Pada Kelas Implementasi	199
Gambar 4.20. Pola Perubahan Level Pengetahuan Mahasiswa Materi Perencanaan Pembelajaran Fisika Berorientasi Keterampilan 4C.....	200
Gambar 4.21. Diagram Persentase Jumlah Mahasiswa Tiap Kategori Peningkatan Materi Model PBL Berorientasi Keterampilan 4C Pada Kelas Implementasi.....	201
Gambar 4.22. Pola Perubahan Level Pengetahuan Mahasiswa Materi Model PBL Berorientasi Keterampilan 4C pada Kelas Implementasi	202

Gambar 4.23. Diagram Persentase Mahasiswa Tiap Kategori Peningkatan Keterampilan Mahasiswa Mengonstruksi Instrumen Penilaian Keterampilan 4C Pada Kelas Implementasi	204
Gambar 4.24. Pola Perubahan Level Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Mengonstruksi Instrumen Keterampilan 4C Pada Kelas Implementasi	205
Gambar 4.25. Diagram Persentase Mahasiswa Tiap Kategori Peningkatan Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Mengonstruksi Instrumen Tes Keterampilan Berpikir Kritis Pada kelas Implementasi	208
Gambar 4.26. Pola Perubahan Level Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Mengonstruksi Instrumen Tes Keterampilan Berpikir Kritis	209
Gambar 4.27. Diagram Persentase Mahasiswa Tiap Kategori Peningkatan Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Mengonstruksi Instrumen Tes Keterampilan Berpikir Kreatif Pada kelas Implementasi	210
Gambar 4.28. Pola Perubahan Level Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Mengonstruksi Instrumen Tes Keterampilan Berpikir Kreatif Pada Kelas Implementasi	211
Gambar 4.29. Diagram Persentase Mahasiswa Tiap Kategori Peningkatan Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Mengonstruksi Instrumen Lembar Observasi Kemampuan Kolaborasi Pada kelas Implementasi	212
Gambar 4.30. Pola Perubahan Level Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Mengonstruksi Instrumen Kemampuan Kolaborasi Pada Kelas Implementasi	213
Gambar 4.31. Diagram Persentase Mahasiswa Tiap Kategori Peningkatan Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Mengonstruksi Instrumen Lembar Observasi Kemampuan Komunikasi Lisan Pada Kelas Implementasi	214
Gambar 4.32. Pola Perubahan Level Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Mengonstruksi Instrumen Kemampuan Komunikasi Lisan Pada Kelas Implementasi	215

Gambar 4.33. Diagram Persentase Mahasiswa Tiap Kategori Peningkatan Keterampilan Mahasiswa Mendesain Modul Ajar Pada Kelas Implementasi	217
Gambar 4.34. Pola Perubahan Level Keterampilan Mahasiswa untuk Keseluruhan Aspek Modul Ajar Pada Kelas Implementasi	218
Gambar 4.35. Diagram Persentase Mahasiswa Tiap Kategori Peningkatan Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Merumuskan Tujuan Pembelajaran Pada Kelas Implementasi.....	220
Gambar 4.36. Pola Perubahan Level Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Merumuskan Tujuan Pembelajaran.....	221
Gambar 4.37. Diagram Persentase Mahasiswa Tiap Kategori Peningkatan Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Merumuskan KKTP Pada Kelas Implementasi	222
Gambar 4.38. Pola Perubahan Level Keterampilan Mahasiswa untuk Aspek KKTP Pada Kelas Implementasi	223
Gambar 4.39. Diagram Persentase Mahasiswa Tiap Kategori Peningkatan Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Menyusun <i>Subject Matter</i> Pada kelas Implementasi	224
Gambar 4.40. Pola Perubahan Level Keterampilan Mahasiswa untuk Aspek <i>Subject Matter</i> Pada Kelas Implementasi.....	225
Gambar 4.41. Diagram Persentase Mahasiswa Tiap Kategori Peningkatan Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Menyusun Rencana Asesmen Pada kelas Implementasi.....	226
Gambar 4.42. Pola Perubahan Level Keterampilan Mahasiswa untuk Aspek Rencana Asesmen Pada Kelas Implementasi	227
Gambar 4.43. Diagram Persentase Mahasiswa Tiap Kategori Peningkatan Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Mengembangkan Perangkat dan Media Pembelajaran Pada kelas Implementasi.....	228
Gambar 4.44. Pola Perubahan Level Keterampilan Mahasiswa untuk Aspek Perangkat dan Media Pembelajaran Pada Kelas Implementasi....	228

Gambar 4.45	Diagram Persentase Mahasiswa Tiap Kategori Peningkatan Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Merancang Langkah Pembelajaran Pada kelas Implementasi.....	229
Gambar 4.46	Pola Perubahan Level Keterampilan Mahasiswa untuk Aspek Langkah Pembelajaran	230
Gambar 4.47	Diagram Persentase Mahasiswa Tiap Kategori Peningkatan Keterampilan Mahasiswa Menyusun LKPD Pada Kelas Implementasi	232
Gambar 4.48	Pola Perubahan Level Keterampilan Mahasiswa dalam Menyusun LKPD Pada Kelas Implementasi.....	234
Gambar 5.1.	Tangkap Layar Halaman Petunjuk Penggunaan BBM	272
Gambar 5.2.	Tangkap Layar Halaman Video Pendahuluan.....	273
Gambar 5.3.	Tangkap Layar Halaman Penyajian Materi.....	274
Gambar 5.4.	Tangkap Layar Halaman Penyajian Link dan Barcode Referensi Tambahan.....	275
Gambar 5.5.	Tangkap Layar Halaman Penyajian Link dan Barcode Tes dan Tugas.....	276
Gambar 5.6.	<i>Scaffolding</i> Penyusunan Instrumen Tes Keterampilan Berpikir Kritis	281
Gambar 5.7.	<i>Modelling</i> Penyusunan Instrumen Tes Keterampilan Berpikir Kritis	283
Gambar 5.8.	Proses Penyelesaian Tugas Kelompok Menggunakan <i>Scaffolding dan Modelling</i>	284
Gambar 5.9.	Cuplikan Percakapan Dosen dan Mahasiswa saat Pembelajaran	285
Gambar 5.10.	Contoh Soal Keterampilan Berpikir Kritis Indikator <i>Reasoning</i> (Penalaran) yang di Konstruksi oleh Mahasiswa	287
Gambar 5.11.	Contoh Soal Keterampilan Berpikir Kritis yang Belum Tepat di Konstruksi Mahasiswa	291
Gambar 5.12.	Contoh Soal Keterampilan Berpikir Kreatif Aspek <i>Fluency</i> yang di Konstruksi Mahasiswa	293

Gambar 5.13. Contoh Soal Keterampilan Berpikir Kreatif yang Belum Tepat Mengukur Aspek <i>Fluency</i>	297
Gambar 5.14. Contoh Soal Keterampilan Berpikir Kreatif yang Belum Tepat Mengukur Aspek <i>Originality</i>	297
Gambar 5.15. Contoh Rubrik Penilaian Kemampuan Kolaborasi yang Dirancang oleh Mahasiswa	300
Gambar 5.16. Contoh Rubrik Penilaian Kemampuan Komunikasi yang Dirancang oleh Mahasiswa	302
Gambar 5.17. <i>Scaffolding</i> dalam Merumuskan Tujuan Pembelajaran (TP)	306
Gambar 5.18. <i>Modelling</i> dalam Merumuskan Tujuan Pembelajaran (TP)	306
Gambar 5.19. Dokumentasi saat Simulasi Modul Ajar dan LKPD	308
Gambar 5.20. Contoh LKPD Penyelidikan yang Dibuat Mahasiswa Sebelum Perkuliahan.....	310
Gambar 5.21. Contoh LKPD Pemecahan Masalah yang Dibuat Mahasiswa Sebelum Perkuliahan	311
Gambar 5.22. Contoh Rumusan Masalah yang Dirancang oleh Mahasiswa Setelah Implementasi Perkuliahan	312
Gambar 5.23. Cuplikan Tanggapan Calon Guru terhadap Pelaksanaan Simulasi.....	313

DAFTAR LAMPIRAN

Lampiran 1.	Perangkat Program Perkuliahan (RPS).....	356
Lampiran 2.	Lembar Validasi Program Perkuliahan PPF	381
Lampiran 3.	Lembar Validasi Bahan Belajar Mandiri dan Bahan Belajar <i>Workshop</i>	386
Lampiran 4.	Lembar Validasi Instrumen Tes Pengetahuan tentang Desain Pembelajaran Fisika Mengacu Model PBL Berorientasi Keterampilan 4C.....	392
Lampiran 5.	Lembar Validasi Instrumen Rubrik Penilaian Keterampilan Mengonstruksi Instrumen Penilaian Keterampilan 4C.....	400
Lampiran 6.	Lembar Validasi Instrumen Rubrik Penilaian Keterampilan Mendesain Modul Ajar.....	413
Lampiran 7.	Lembar Validasi Instrumen Rubrik Penilaian Keterampilan Menyusun LKPD	422
Lampiran 8.	Lembar Validasi Skala Sikap Respon Mahasiswa Terhadap Pelaksanaan Perkuliahan dengan Strategi IRTaMS	434
Lampiran 9.	Contoh LKM yang Telah Diisi oleh Mahasiswa	438
Lampiran 10.	Contoh <i>Posttask</i> yang Dikumpulkan oleh Mahasiswa	449

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Ahmad, M. (2018). Validitas model pembelajaran matematika realistik berbasis budaya mandailing dalam membelajarkan kemampuan koneksi matematis siswa. *Jurnal Education and Development*, 6(2), 1–8.
- Ahmed, M. R. A. (2020). The efficiency of task-based learning approach (tbl) on developing saudi efl communication skills. *The Asian ESP Journal*, 140-159.
- Ahtee, M., Juuti, K., Lavonen, J., & Suomela, L. (2011). Questions asked by primary student teachers about observations of a science demonstration. *European Journal of Teacher Education*, 34(3), 347-361.
- Aidoo, B. (2023). Teacher educators experience adopting problem-based learning in science education. *Education Sciences*, 13(11), 1-13.
- Aiken, L. R. (1985). Three coefficients for analyzing the reliability and validity of ratings. Educational and psychological measurement. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 45(1), 131–142.
- Akker, J. van den. (2013). *Curricular development research as a specimen of educational design research*. In T. Plomp & N. Nieveen (Ed.), *Educational design research part a: an introduction* (2013 ed., hal. 52–71). Netherlands Institute for Curriculum Development (SLO). <http://international.slo.nl/publications/edr/>
- Aldoobie, N. (2015). ADDIE model. *American International Journal of Contemporary Research*, 5(6), 68–72.
- Alenezi, A. (2020). The role of e-learning materials in enhancing teaching and learning behaviors. *International Journal of Information and Education Technology*, 10(1), 48–56.
- Alenezi, M., Wardat, S., & Akour, M. (2023). The need of integrating digital education in higher education: Challenges and opportunities. *Sustainability*, 15(6), 1-12.
- Almasco, M. A. A. (2023). 21st century skills: Teachers’ integration in face-to-face instruction. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 19(01), 315-333.
- Almulla, M. A. (2022). Investigating important elements that affect students’ readiness for and practical use of teaching methods in Higher Education. *Sustainability*, 15(1), 1–20.

- Alsaleh, N. J. (2020). Teaching Critical Thinking Skills: Literature Review. *Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology-TOJET*, 19(1), 21-39.
- Alrwaished, N., Alkandari, A., & Alhashem, F. (2017). Exploring in- and pre-service science and mathematics teachers' technology, pedagogy, and content knowledge (TPACK): What next? *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 13(9), 6113–6131.
- Alshobramy, H. A. (2019). The effectiveness of bandura's social learning theory in learning english speaking skill among secondary school efl students. *International Journal of Vocational and Technical Education Research*, 5(5), 11–23.
- Álvarez-Huerta, P., Muela, A., & Larrea, I. (2022). Disposition toward critical thinking and creative confidence beliefs in higher education students: The mediating role of openness to diversity and challenge. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 43, 101003.
- Amakraw, Y., & Kartika, N. (2022). Strategi implementasi praktikum pembelajaran ilmu pengetahuan alam untuk siswa sekolah dasar dan menengah. *SEARCH: Science Education Research Journal*, 1(1), 34-41.
- Amani, J., & Mkimbili, S. T. (2025). Developing Critical Thinking Skills among Secondary Schools Students: The Role of Research Project. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 58, 101883.
- Amaringga, N. G., Amin, M., & Irawati, M. H. (2021). The effect of problem-based learning module containing research result to improve students' scientific literacy. In AIP Conference Proceedings, 2330(1).
- Amelia, D. P., & Harahap, A. (2021). Application of interactive multimedia-based mathematics learning media to increase students' interest in learning. *Budapest International Research and Critics Institute (BIRCI-Journal): Humanities and Social Sciences*, 4(2), 3153–3161.
- Amelia, R., Rofiki, I., Tortop, H. S., & Abah, J. A. (2020). Pre-service teachers' scientific explanation with e-scaffolding in blended learning. *Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Fisika Al-Biruni*, 9(1), 33–40.
- Amin, A., & Sulistiyono, S. (2021). Pengembangan handout fisika berbasis contextual teaching and learning (ctl) untuk meningkatkan aktivitas dan hasil belajar fisika siswa sma. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika Undiksha*, 11(1), 29–38.
- Ana, I. A. N., & Muksodah, S. A. (2024). Melatih komunikasi ilmiah peserta didik melalui inkuiri terbimbing berbantuan phet simulation dalam pembelajaran ipa smp abad 21. In *Proceeding Seminar Nasional IPA*, 487-499.

- Anderson, L. W., Krathwohl, D. R., & Bloom, B. S. (2001). *A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: a revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives*.
<http://books.google.com/books?id=JPkXAQAAMAAJ&pgis=1>
- Anggraeni, D. M., Prahani, B. K., Suprpto, N., Shofiyah, N., & Jatmiko, B. (2023). Systematic review of problem based learning research in fostering critical thinking skills. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 49, 1-12.
- Anghel, E., Khorramdel, L., & von Davier, M. (2024). The use of process data in large-scale assessments: A literature review. *International Educational Research Institute Journal*, 12(1), 1-33.
- Aras, I., Pratiwi, E., Nanna, A. W. I., & Barumbun, M. (2022). Role of scaffolding for reflective thinking on the mathematical problem solving. *AKSIOMA: Jurnal Program Studi Pendidikan Matematika*, 11(1), 718-726.
- Ardianti, R., Sujarwanto, E., & Surahman, E. (2021). Problem-based learning: Apa dan bagaimana. *DIFFRACTION: Journal for Physics Education and Applied Physics*, 3(1), 27-35.
- Arends, R. I. (2012). *Learning to Teach. 9th Ed.* McGraw Hill Companies.
- Arends, R. I, & Kilcher, A. (2010). Teaching for student learning: Becoming an accomplished teacher. In *Teaching for Student Learning: Becoming an Accomplished Teacher*. Routledge New York.
- Argaw, A. S., Haile, B. B., Ayalew, B. T., & Kuma, S. G. (2016). The effect of problem based learning (PBL) instruction on students' motivation and problem solving skills of physics. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 13(3), 857–871.
- Ariyanti, I., Lesmono, A. D., & Supriadi, B. (2019). Kelayakan e-modul flow virtual simulation berbasis. *Jurnal Pembelajaran Fisika*, 8(3), 214–221.
- Arnyana, I. B. P. (2019). Pembelajaran untuk meningkatkan kompetensi 4c (communication, collaboration, critical thinking dan creative thinking) untuk menyongsong era abad 21. *Prosiding: Konferensi Nasional Matematika Dan IPA Universitas PGRI Banyuwangi*, 1(1).
- Aslan, A. (2021). Problem-based learning in live online classes: Learning achievement, problem-solving skill, communication skill, and interaction. *Computers & Education*, 171, 104237.
- Astuti, A. P., Aziz, A., Sumarti, S. S., & Bharati, D. A. L. (2019). Preparing 21st century teachers: implementation of 4c character's pre-service teacher

- through teaching practice. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1233(1).
- Avargil, S., Herscovitz, O., & Dori, Y. J. (2012). Teaching thinking skills in context-based learning: Teachers' challenges and assessment knowledge. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 21, 207–225.
- Avdiu, E., Bekteshi, E., & Gollopeni, B. (2025). Learning skills for the future—implementing the 21st-century learning. *Multidisciplinary Science Journal*, 7(1), 1-8.
- Ayu, H. D., Chusniyah, D. A., Kurniawati, M. P., Purwanti, P. F., Lukitawanti, S. D., & Putri, A. N. (2024). Problem-based learning (PBL) as an effective solution to enhance understanding of physics concepts: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Environment and Sustainability Education*, 2(2), 86-105.
- Bahari, A., Zhang, X., & Ardasheva, Y. (2021). Establishing a computer-assisted interactive reading model. *Computers & Education*, 172, 104261.
- Banditvilai, C. (2020). The Effectiveness of Reading Strategies on Reading Comprehension. *International Journal of Social Science and Humanity*, 10(2), 46–50.
- Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychological Review*, 84(2), 191.
- Bandura, A. (1999). Social cognitive theory: An agentic perspective. *Asian Journal of Social Psychology*, 2(1), 21–41.
- Bara, E., & Bara, G. (2023). The connection between assessment and learning outcomes. *Balkan Journal of Interdisciplinary Research*, 9(2), 1-8.
- Barrett, T., & Moore, S. M. (2011). *New approaches to problem-based learning*. Routledge New York.
- Barthakur, A., Joksimovic, S., Kovanovic, V., Richey, M., & Pardo, A. (2022). Aligning objectives with assessment in online courses: Integrating learning analytics and measurement theory. *Computers & Education*, 190, 1-16.
- Baştan, A., & Dülek, A. (2023). Learned violence: bandura's social learning theory in edward bond's the children. *Nevşehir Hacı Bektaş Veli Üniversitesi SBE Dergisi*, 13(1), 106-118.
- Belland, B. R. (2016). Developing my perspectives on scaffolding and problem-based learning: a retrospective view. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning*, 10(2), 1.

- Bock, T., Thomm, E., Bauer, J., & Gold, B. (2024). Fostering student teachers' research-based knowledge of effective feedback. *European Journal of Teacher Education*, 47(2), 389-407.
- Botha, A., du Toit-Brits, C., & Blignaut, J. H. (2025). Charting new pathways: unleashing the potential of self-directed learning and the transformative role of teachers in education. *Education Sciences*, 15(5), 1-19.
- Branch, R. M., & Varank, İ. (2009). *Instructional design: The ADDIE approach* (Vol. 722, p. 84). New York: Springer.
- Brandt, W. C. (2023). Measuring student success skills: A review of the literature on creative thinking. National Center for the Improvement of Educational Assessment. <https://www.nciea.org/library/measuringstudent-success-skills-a-review-of-the-literature-on-creative-thinking>.
- Brown, S. (2005). Assessment for learning. *Learning and Teaching in Higher Education*, 1, 81-89.
- Bruner, J. S. (1961). The act of discovery. *Harvard Educational Review*, 31, 21-32.
- Bryce, T. G. K., & Blown, E. J. (2024). Ausubel's meaningful learning re-visited. *Current Psychology*, 43(5), 4579-4598.
- Bush, A., & Grotjohann, N. (2020). Collaboration in teacher education: A cross-sectional study on future teachers' attitudes towards collaboration, their intentions to collaborate and their performance of collaboration. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 88, 1-9.
- Buyukkarci, K. (2009). *A critical analysis of task-based learning*. January 2009.
- Cai, Z., Mao, P., Wang, D., He, J., Chen, X., & Fan, X. (2022). Effects of scaffolding in digital game-based learning on student's achievement: A three-level meta-analysis. *Educational Psychology Review*, 34(2), 537-574.
- Cantrell, S. C., & Hughes, H. K. (2008). Teacher efficacy and content literacy implementation: An exploration of the effects of extended professional development with coaching. *Journal of Literacy Research*, 40(1), 95-127.
- Chai, C. S., Rahmawati, Y., & Jong, M. S. Y. (2020). Indonesian science, mathematics, and engineering preservice teachers' experiences in STEM-TPACK design-based learning. *Sustainability*, 12(1), 9050.
- Chakraborty, S. (2024). Empowering and engaging adult learners: strategies to facilitate self-directed learning in adult education. *International Journal For*

Multidisciplinary Research, 6(3), 1–26.

- Chalkiadaki, A. (2018). A systematic literature review of 21st century skills and competencies in primary education. *International Journal of Instruction*, 11(3), 1–16.
- Chang, S. J., Lee, K. E., Yang, E., & Ryu, H. (2022). Evaluating a theory-based intervention for improving eHealth literacy in older adults: a single group, pretest–posttest design. *BMC geriatrics*, 22(1), 1-9.
- Chen, S., & Zhang, B. (2019). Improving prospective teachers' lesson planning knowledge and skills through lesson study. *Theory and Practice of Lesson Study in Mathematics: An International Perspective*, 549–575.
- Chen, C. M., Li, M. C., & Chen, T. C. (2020). A web-based collaborative reading annotation system with gamification mechanisms to improve reading performance. *Computers & education*, 144, 1-17.
- Chen, S. Y., Lai, C. F., Lai, Y. H., & Su, Y. S. (2022). Effect of project-based learning on development of students' creative thinking. *The International Journal of Electrical Engineering & Education*, 59(3), 232-250.
- Cherbonnier, A., Hémon, B., Michinov, N., Jamet, E., & Michinov, E. (2025). Collaborative skills training using digital tools: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction*, 41(7), 4155-4173.
- Chin, C. (2007). Teacher questioning in science classrooms: Approaches that stimulate productive thinking. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching: The Official Journal of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching*, 44(6), 815–843.
- Choo, S. S., Rotgans, J. I., Yew, E. H., & Schmidt, H. G. (2011). Effect of worksheet scaffolds on student learning in problem-based learning. *Advances in Health Sciences Education*, 16, 517–528.
- Cho, H., Cho, S. M., Cho, B. M., Jeong, S. H., & Cho, S. Y. (2021). A study on key components of problem-based learning through literature review. *Journal of Problem-Based Learning*, 8(2), 69-74.
- Chouseinoglou, S., & Ateşkan, A. (2025). Exploring creative thinking skills and creativity in English courses through the lenses of creative pedagogy in two continuum IB schools. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 58, 101901.
- Chu, S. K. W., Reynolds, R. B., Tavares, N. J., Notari, M., & Lee, C. W. Y. (2021). *21st century skills development through inquiry-based learning from theory*

to practice. Springer International Publishing.

- Christanti, R., & Sukoco, A. A. (2022). Freedom to learn–independent campus policy: Do we really find our freedom?. *Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn)*, 16(2), 189-198.
- Cordero, K., Nussbaum, M., Ibaseta, V., Otaíza, M. J., Gleisner, S., Gonzalez, ´ S., Rodríguez-Montero, W., Strasser, K., Verdugo, R., Ugarte, A., Chiuminatto, P., & Carland, C. (2015). Read create share (rcs): A new digital tool for interactive reading and writing. *Computers & Education*, 82, 486–496.
- Cruz, C. D. (2025). Developing a gamified learning application to enhance engagement for deaf and mute learners. *AIP Conference Proceedings* (Vol. 3287, Issue 1). American Institute of Physics.
- Darling-Hammond, L., Hyler, M. E., & Gardner, M. (2017). Effective teacher professional development. In *Effective teacher professional development*. Learning Policy Institute.
- Darmawan, C. (2020). Implementasi kebijakan profesi guru menurut undang-undang republik indonesia nomor 14 tahun 2005 tentang guru dan dosen dalam perspektif hukum pendidikan. *Wacana Paramarta: Jurnal Ilmu Hukum*, 19(2), 61–68.
- Das, B. C. (2012). Effectiveness of self-study material for teaching general science to school students. *Journal of Indian Education*, 37(4), 114–132.
- de Oliveira Biazus, M., & Mahtari, S. (2022). The impact of project-based learning (PjBL) model on secondary students’ creative thinking skills. *International Journal of Essential Competencies in Education*, 1(1), 38-48.
- Des Marchais, J. E. (1999). A Delphi technique to identify and evaluate criteria for construction of PBL problems. *Medical Education*, 33(7), 504–508.
- Dilekçi, A., & Karatay, H. (2023). The effects of the 21st century skills curriculum on the development of students’ creative thinking skills. *Thinking skills and creativity*, 47, 1-11.
- Downing, K. (2010). Problem-based learning and metacognition. *Asian Journal on Education and Learning*, 1(2), 75–96.
- Drew, S. V. (2012). Open up the ceiling on the Common Core State Standards: Preparing students for 21st-century literacy now. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 56(4), 321–330.
- Edmonds, W. A., & Kennedy, T. D. (2017). *An applied guide to research designs :*

- quantitative, qualitative, and mixed methods* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Engin, M. (2014). Macro-scaffolding: Contextual support for teacher learning. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education (Online)*, 39(5), 26–40.
- Ennis, R. H. (1993). Critical thinking assessment. *Theory into Practice*, 32(3), 179–186.
- Ennis, R. H. (2015). The nature of critical thinking: outlines of general critical thinking disposition and abilities. *Sixth International Conference on Thinking at MIT*, 2013, 1–8.
- Ernawati, M. D. W., Rini, E. F. S., Aldila, F. T., Haryati, T., & Perdana, R. (2023). Do creative thinking skills in problem-based learning benefit from scaffolding?. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 20(3), 399–417.
- Faber, E. L., Colthorpe, K., Ainscough, L., & Kibedi, J. (2024). Students' approaches to developing scientific communication skills. *Advances in Physiology Education*, 48: 639–647.
- Facione, P. (1990). *Critical thinking: a statement of expert consensus for purposes of educational assessment and instruction*. Research Findings and Recommendations.
- FaqeAbdulla, B. I. (2024). Analyzing the structure and effectiveness of lesson plans for pre-service English language teachers. *International Journal of English Learning and Applied Linguistics (IJELAL)*, 4(2), 143–162.
- Farhang, Q., Hashemi, A. P. S. S. A., & Ghorianfar, A. P. S. M. (2023). Lesson plan and its importance in teaching process. *International Journal of Current Science Research and Review*, 6(08), 5901–5913.
- Fazlia, H. S. (2024). Persepsi guru tentang filsafat pendidikan dan implikasinya dalam pembelajaran abad 21. *Jurnal Pemikiran dan Kajian Pendidikan*, 8(11).
- Febriana, R. (2021). *Kompetensi guru*. Jakarta: Bumi aksara.
- Ferdianto, F., Setiyani, & Nurulfatwa, D. (2019). 3D page flip professional: Enhance of representation mathematical ability on linear equation in one variable. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1188(1).
- Ferreira, D., & MacLean, G. (2018). Andragogy in the 21st century: Applying the assumptions of adult learning online. *Language Research Bulletin*, 32(1).

- Fisher, W. P. J. (2007). Rating scale instrument quality criteria. *Rasch Measurement Transactions*, 21(1), 1095.
- Fitriati, F., Rosli, R., Iksan, Z., & Hidayat, A. (2023). Exploring challenges in preparing prospective teachers for teaching 4C skills in mathematics classroom: A school-university partnership perspectives. *Cogent Education*, 11(1), 1–22.
- Fitriani, N., Gunawan, G., & Sutrio, S. (2017). Berpikir kreatif dalam fisika dengan pembelajaran conceptual understanding procedures (cups) berbantuan lkpd. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika dan Teknologi*, 3(1), 24–33.
- Fitria, D., Lufri, L., Elizar, E., & Amran, A. (2023). 21st century skill-based learning (teacher problems in applying 21st century skills). *International Journal of Humanities Education and Social Sciences*, 2(4), 1366-1373.
- Fraillon, J., Ainley, J., Schulz, W., Friedman, T., & Gebhardt, E. (2014). Preparing for Life in a Digital Age. In *Preparing for Life in a Digital Age*.
- Freeman, S., Eddy, S. L., McDonough, M., Smith, M. K., Okoroafor, N., Jordt, H., & Wenderoth, M. P. (2014). Active learning increases student performance in science, engineering, and mathematics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(23), 8410–8415.
- Frey, R. F., Brame, C. J., Fink, A., & Lemons, P. P. (2022). Teaching discipline-based problem solving. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 21(2), 1-9.
- Fu, B. (2015). Interactive reading model and college english reading. *International Conference on Education, Management, Arts, Economics and Social Science*, 670–673.
- Gani, A. R. F., Sari, W. D. P., Ariqoh, H., Fauza, M. R., & Siregar, S. R. (2021). Analisis penerapan tugas berbasis riset pada mata kuliah etnobiologi. *BEST Journal (Biology Education, Sains and Technology)*, 4(1), 149-155.
- Garrison, D. R. (2007). Online community of inquiry review: Social, cognitive, and teaching presence issues and challenges. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks*, 11(1), 61–72.
- Garvey, W. D., & Griffith, B. C. (1972). Communication and information processing within scientific disciplines: Empirical findings for psychology. *Information Storage and Retrieval*, 8, 123–126.
- Geary, D. C. (1995). Reflections of evolution and culture in children's cognition: Implications for mathematical development and instruction. *American psychologist*, 50(1), 24-37.

- Ghafoor, A., Kiani, A., Kayani, S., & Kayani, S. (2012). An exploratory study of microteaching as an effective technology. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 3(4), 224–238.
- Goodnough, K. C., & Hung, W. (2008). Engaging teachers' pedagogical content knowledge: Adopting a nine-step problem-based learning model. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning*, 2(2).
- González-Pérez, L. I., & Ramírez-Montoya, M. S. (2022). Components of Education 4.0 in 21st century skills frameworks: systematic review. *Sustainability*, 14(3), 1-31.
- Gosavi, C. S., & Arora, S. (2022). Active learning strategies for engaging students in higher education. *Journal of Engineering Education Transformations*, 1-7.
- Greenstein, L. M. (2012). *Assessing 21st century skills: A guide to evaluating mastery and authentic learning*. Corwin Press.
- Griffiths, G., Sohlberg, M. M., & Biancarosa, G. (2011). A Review of Models of Reading Comprehension with Implications for Adults with mTBI and the Campus Reader. *Campus Reader*, 1–23. www.campusreader.org
- Gu, L., Huang, R., & Marton, F. (2004). In L. Fan, N. Y. Wong, J. Cai, & S. Li (Eds.), *How Chinese learn mathematics: Perspectives from insiders Teaching with variation: An effective way of mathematics teaching in China*. World Scientific.
- Guilford, J. P. (1956). The structure of intellect. *Psychological Bulletin*, 53(4), 267–293.
- Guilford, J. P. (1975). Varieties of creative giftedness, their measurement and development. *Gifted child quarterly*, 19(2), 107-121.
- Gupta, N., Ali, K., Jiang, D., Fink, T., & Du, X. (2024). Beyond autonomy: unpacking self-regulated and self-directed learning through the lens of learner agency-a scoping review. *BMC Medical Education*, 24(1), 1-12.
- Hake, R. R. (2002). Relationship of individual student normalized learning gains in mechanics with gender, high-school physics, and pretest scores on mathematics and spatial visualization. *Physics Education Research Conference*, 8(1), 1–14.
- Hakkinen, P., Jarvela, S., Makitalo-Siegl, K., Ahonen, A., Naykki, P., & Valtonen, T. (2017). Preparing teacher–students for twenty-first-century learning practices (PREP 21): A framework for enhancing collaborative problem-solving and strategic learning skills. *Teachers and Teaching*, 23(1), 25–41.

- Halpern, D. F. (2013). Thought and knowledge: An introduction to critical thinking, Fifth Edition. *Thought and Knowledge: An Introduction to Critical Thinking, Fifth Edition* (Issue 2013).
- Hamza, M., Shadab, H., Zara, A., Shadab, H., Asif, Z., Iqbal, S. (2025). Metacognitive strategies differ across academic levels and influence success: a cross-sectional study. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Modern Technology (IJSRMT)*, 4(5), 38-44.
- Han, F., & Ellis, R. . A. (2019). Identifying consistent patterns of quality learning discussions in blended learning environments. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 40, 12–19.
- Herlinawati, H., Marwa, M., Ismail, N., Liza, L. O., & Situmorang, D. D. B. (2024). The integration of 21st century skills in the curriculum of education. *Heliyon*, 10(15), 1-11.
- Henning, J. A., Artman, B., Nelson, R., Dille, J., & Feusner, C. (2024). Surveyed preservice teachers reveal skills acquired from 1: 1 environment. *Issues and Trends in Learning Technologies*, 12(1), 4-21.
- Hidayah, R., Fajaroh, F., Parlan, P., & Dasna, I. W. (2022). collaborative problem based learning to improve metacognitive of chemistry students: systematic literature review. *Al-Ishlah: Jurnal Pendidikan*, 14(4), 6991-7000.
- Hidayatullah, Z., Wilujeng, I., Nurhasanah, N., Gusemanto, T. G., & Makhrus, M. (2021). Synthesis of the 21st century skills (4c) based physics education research in Indonesia. *JIPF (Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan Fisika)*, 6(1), 88-97.
- Hidayatullah, H. A., & Fahmi, A. R. (2025). PBL berbasis workshop sebagai strategi pembelajaran efektif dalam pendidikan teknik kimia. *Didaktika: Jurnal Kependidikan*, 14(2), 2397-2406.
- Himmah, N., & Musdi, E. (2022). Improving problem-solving skills with worksheets using the discovery learning model-assisted quick response code. *International Journal of Trends in Mathematics Education Research*, 5(4), 422-428.
- Hoang, V. L., Bui, H. T., Le, T. T., Tran, D. T., Hoang, H. T., Huynh, H. T. P., & Nguyen, T. H. H. (2025). Effectiveness of a train-the-trainer workshop series on teaching methods among nurse educators: An exemplar from Vietnam. *Frontiers in Education*, 9(1), 1-11.
- Hobri, Ummah, Khoirul, I., & Yuliati, Nanik, Dafik. (2020). The effect of jumping task based on creative problem solving on students' problem solving ability. *International Journal of Instruction*, 13(1), 387-406.

- House, S. K., & Wahl, L. L. (2021). Intercollegiate collaboration to promote interprofessional education (IPE). *Teaching and Learning in Nursing*, 16(3), 281-284.
- Houseknecht, J. B., Bachinski, G. J., Miller, M. H., White, S. A., & Andrews, D. M. (2020). Effectiveness of the active learning in organic chemistry faculty development workshops. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 21(1), 387-398.
- Howard, J. L., Bureau, J., Guay, F., Chong, J. X., & Ryan, R. M. (2021). Student motivation and associated outcomes: A meta-analysis from self-determination theory. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 16(6), 1300-1323.
- Hmelo-Silver, C. E., & Barrows, H. S. (2006). Goals and strategies of a problem-based learning facilitator. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning*, 1(1), 4.
- Hmelo-Silver, C. E., & DeSimone, C. (2013). Problem-based learning: An instructional model of collaborative learning. In *The International Handbook of Collaborative Learning*, 370–385.
- Hockings, C., Thomas, L., Ottaway, J., & Jones, R. (2018). Independent learning—what we do when you're not there. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 23(2), 145–161.
- Hsibollah, H. M., & Hassan, H. (2022). Creating meaningful learning experiences with active, fun, and technology elements in the problem-based learning approach and its implications. *Malaysian Journal of Learning & Instruction*, 19(1), 147-181.
- Hu, W., & Adey, P. (2002). A scientific creativity test for secondary school students. *International Journal of Science Education*, 24(4), 389–403.
- Hung, W., Jonassen, D. H., & Liu, R. (2008). Problem based learning. In M. Spector, D. Merrill, J. van Merriënboer, & M. Driscoll (Eds.). *Handbook of research on educational communications and technology*. Erlbaum.
- Hung, W. (2013). Problem-based learning: A learning environment for enhancing learning transfer. *New Directions for Adult and Continuing Education*, 137, 27–38.
- Hung, W. (2006). The 3C3R Model: A Conceptual Framework for Designing Problems in PBL. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning*, 1(1), 5–22.

- Ibrahim, A. (2016). Definition Purpose and Procedure of Developmental Research: An Analytical Review. *Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences*, 1(6), 1–6.
- Ichsan, I., Subroto, D. E., Dewi, R. A. P. K., Ulimaz, A., & Arief, I. (2023). The effect of student worksheet with creative problem solving based on students problem solving ability. *Journal on Education*, 5(4), 11583–11591.
- Inch, E. S., & Tudor, K. H. (2015). *Critical thinking and communication*. In Pearson Education. Pearson Education.
- Indarta, Y., Jalinus, N., Abdullah, R., & Samala, A. D. (2021). 21st century skills : tvet dan tantangan abad 21. *Edukatif: Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan*, 3(6), 4340–4348.
- Ivanova, I., Kozhuharova, P., & Todorova, R. (2022). Sustainable professional development through coaching: benefits for teachers and learners. *Strategies for Policy in Science & Education*, 30(5).
- Irwandani, I., & Juariyah, S. (2016). Pengembangan media pembelajaran berupa komik fisika berbantuan sosial media instagram sebagai alternatif pembelajaran. *Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Fisika Al-Biruni*, 5(1), 33-42.
- Islami, J. M. M., Ilmin, L., Afny, D. N., Supriyanto, A., & Habibi, M. M. (2024). SLR: Penerapan pembelajaran berbasis komunitas untuk meningkatkan kompetensi peserta didik di era disrupsi. *Jurnal Ilmiah Profesi Pendidikan*, 9(4), 2832–2848.
- Ismawati, Parmiti, D. P., & Sudatha, I. G. W. (2023). Interactive learning media in fifth-grade indonesian elementary school subjects. *International Journal of Elementary Education*, 7(1), 143–153.
- Istikharoh, L. (2017). A lesson study-based challenge on developing students' interaction in efl class through workshop technique. *Asia Pacific Education Conference*, 109, 172–176.
- Izhar, N. A., Ishak, N. A., & Baharudin, S. M. (2023). A bibliometric analysis of 21st century learning using scopus database. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 22(3), 225-240.
- Jama, J. (2018). Philosophy Tvet Di Era Derupsi. *Jurnal Filsafat Indonesia*, 1(3), 104–111.
- Janssen, N., Walraven, A., Lazonder, A. W., & Gijlers, H. (2023). Modelling as expert-guidance during teacher practitioner research. *European Journal of Teacher Education*, 1–19.

- Jdaitawi, M. (2020). The effect of using problem based learning upon students' emotions towards learning and levels of communication skills in three different disciplines. *Croatian Journal of Education*, 22(1), 207–240.
- Joyce, B., Weil, M., & Calhoun, E. (2009). *Models of Teaching*. Boston: Allyn Bacon. Pearson.
- Kandari, A. M. Al, & Qattan, M. M. Al. (2020). E-task-based learning approach to enhancing 21st-century learning outcomes. *International Journal of Instruction*, 13(1), 551–566.
- Karaca-Atik, A., Meeuwisse, M., Gorgievski, M., & Smeets, G. (2023). Uncovering important 21st-century skills for sustainable career development of social sciences graduates: A systematic review. *Educational Research Review*, 39, 100528.
- Katane, I., & Selvi, K. (2006). Teacher competence and further education as priorities for sustainable development of rural school in Latvia. *Journal of Teacher Education and Training*, 6(1), 41–59.
- Keenan, C. (2014). Mapping student-led peer learning in the UK. *Higher Education Academy*, 8, 1–50.
- Khan, H. F., Qayyum, S., Beenish, H., Khan, R. A., Iltaf, S., & Faysal, L. R. (2025). Determining the alignment of assessment items with curriculum goals through document analysis by addressing identified item flaws. *BMC Medical Education*, 25(1), 1-9.
- Kim, N. J., Belland, B. R., & Walker, A. E. (2018). Effectiveness of computer-based scaffolding in the context of problem-based learning for stem education: bayesian meta-analysis. *Educational Psychology Review*, 30(2), 397–429.
- Kim, S., Phillips, W. R., Pinsky, L., Brock, D., Phillips, K., & Kaery, J. (2006). A conceptual framework for developing teaching cases: A review and synthesis of literature across disciplines. *Medical Education*, 40, 867–876.
- Kivunja, C. (2014a). Do you want your students to be job-ready with 21st century skills? Change pedagogies: A paradigm shift from Vygotskyian social constructivism to critical thinking, problem solving and Siemens' digital onnectivism. *International Journal of Higher Education*, 3(3), 81–91.
- Kivunja, C. (2014b). Innovative pedagogies in higher education to become effective teachers of 21st century skills: Unpacking the learning and innovations skills domain of the new learning paradigm. *International Journal of Higher Education*, 3(4), 37–48.

- Knight, P. T., & Yorke, M. (2003). Employability and good learning in higher education. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 8(1), 3–16.
- Knight, J. K., & Wood, W. B. (2005). Teaching more by lecturing less. *Cell biology education*, 4(4), 298-310.
- Knowles, M. S. (1975). *Self-directed learning: A guide for learners and teachers*. Association Press.
- Knowles, M. S. (1978). Andragogy: Adult learning theory in perspective. *Community College Review*, 5(3), 9–20.
- Knowles, M. S., Holton, E. F., III, & Swanson, R. A. (2015). *The adult learner: The definitive classic in adult education and human resource development (8th ed.)*. Burlington, MA: Elsevier.
- Koňušíková, M., & Kostelník, J. (2009). *Creation and effectiveness of educational texts for directed self learning at secondary technical schools*.
- Koutroubas, V., & Galanakis, M. (2022). Bandura's social learning theory and its importance in the organizational psychology context. *Psychology*, 12(6), 315-322.
- Kosasih, E. (2021). *Pengembangan bahan ajar*. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- Kulo, S. A., Odundo, P. A., & Kibui, A. (2019). Interactive reading strategies on learner achievement in reading skills in secondary schools in Kisumu County, Kenya. *International Journal of English Language Teaching*, 7(5), 1-13.
- Kurniati, I., Malik, A. S., Maslachah, A., Muchtar, H. S., & Sulastini, R. (2022). Pendekatan andragogi pada proses pembelajaran di institut. *Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan (ILPEN)*, 1(1), 46–51.
- Kuvac, M., & Koc, I. (2018). The effect of problem-based learning on the metacognitive awareness of pre-service science teachers. *Educational Studies*, 45(5), 646–666.
- Leen, C. C., Hong, H., Kwan, F. F. H., & Ying, T. W. (2014). *Creative and critical thinking in Singapore schools*. Nanyang Technological University.
- Lestari, G. P., Syihabuddin, A. K., & Somad, M. A. (2023). The effectiveness of interactive reading models in improving early students language skills. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 22(9), 280-295.
- Levy, O. S., Eylon, B.-S., & Zahava, S. (2009). Teaching scientific communication

- skills in science studies: Does it make a difference? *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 7(5), 875–903.
- Linacre, J. M. (2023). *A User's Guide to WINSTEPS MINISTEP: Rasch-Model Computer Programs (Program manual 5.6.0)*. Winsteps®.
- Lim, T. (2023). Problem-based learning: Benefits, challenges, and the way forward. *In Innovations and challenges in Cambodian education: Youth's perspectives. Cambodian Education Forum* (pp. 21-36).
- Little, T. D., Chang, R., Gorrall, B. K., Waggenspack, L., Fukuda, E., Allen, P. J., & Noam, G. G. (2019). The retrospective pretest–posttest design redux: On its validity as an alternative to traditional pretest–posttest measurement. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 44(2), 175-183.
- Liu, Y., & Pásztor, A. (2022). Effects of problem-based learning instructional intervention on critical thinking in higher education: A meta-analysis. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 45, 1-21.
- Lonergan, R., Cumming, T. M., & O'Neill, S. C. (2022). Exploring the efficacy of problem-based learning in diverse secondary school classrooms: Characteristics and goals of problem-based learning. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 112, 1-11.
- Love, H. B., Cross, J. E., Fosdick, B. K., Tofany, E., & Dickmann, E. M. (2023). Teaching team science: the key to addressing 21st century global challenges. *Small Group Research*, 54(3), 396-427.
- Loyens, S. M., Magda, J., & Rikers, R. M. (2008). Self-directed learning in problem-based learning and its relationships with self-regulated learning. *Educational Psychology Review*, 20, 411–427.
- Lubis, F., & Yudhi, A. (2021). Study development of digital teaching materials support online learning during Covid-19. *In Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1811(1), 012029. IOP Publishing.
- Lu, Y. (2025). Self-directed learning strategies and knowledge construction of university students: Achieving learning goals and managing cognitive load. *International Journal of Education and Social Development*, 2(1), 13-19
- Ly, C. K. (2025). Language teaching materials evaluation: principles from theoretical perspectives. *Futurity Proceedings*, 1-15.
- Mafarja, N., Zulnaidi, H., & Fadzil, H. M. (2022). Using reciprocal teaching strategy to improve physics students' critical thinking ability. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 18(1), 1-14.

- Ma, N., Xin, S., & Du, J. Y. (2018). A peer coaching-based professional development approach to improving the learning participation and learning design skills of in-service teachers. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, 21(2), 291-304.
- Maher, F., Nisselle, A., Lynch, E., Martyn, M., Tytherleigh, R., Charles, T., & Gaff, C. (2023). Genomics education for medical specialists: case-based specialty workshops and blended learning. *Journal of Translational Genetics and Genomics*, 7(2), 94-109.
- Maining, E. M., Mangadang, A. T., Salic-Hairulla, M. A., Canalita, E. E., Sequento, F. R., & Yuenyong, C. (2019). Assessment of Science Teacher Competence in Teaching Secondary Science with Spiral Progression Approach. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1340(1).
- Maizora, S. (2016). *Pembuatan Media Pembelajaran dengan Macromedia Flash 8*.
- Maksić, S., & Jošić, S. (2021). Scaffolding the development of creativity from the students' perspective. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 41, 1-14.
- Maksum, H., Rizal, F., & Ulya, R. H. (2023). Problem-based blended learning models in vocational education: a developmental research. *International Journal of Information and Education Technology*, 13(12), 1968–1976.
- Mansfield, C. F., & Beltman, S. (2014). Teacher motivation from a goal content perspective: Beginning teachers' goals for teaching. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 65, 54–64.
- Marzano, R. J. (1988). *Dimensions of thinking: A framework for curriculum and instruction*. ERIC.
- McCauley, K. D., Hammer, E., & Hinojosa, A. S. (2017). An andragogical approach to teaching leadership. *Management Teaching Review*, 2(4), 312-324.
- McNeill, K. L., Lizotte, D. J., Krajcik, J., & Marx, R. W. (2004). Supporting students' construction of scientific explanations using scaffolded curriculum materials and assessments. *Annual Conference of the American Educational Research Association*.
- McPeck, J. (1990). *Teaching critical thinking: Dialogue and dialectic*. Routledge.
- Mehdipour–Rabori, R., Bagherian, B., & Nematollahi, M. (2021). Simulation-based mastery improves nursing skills in BSc nursing students: a quasi-experimental study. *BMC nursing*, 20, 1-7.
- Merga, M. K. (2017). Interactive reading opportunities beyond the early years:

- What educators need to consider. *Australian Journal of Education*, 61(3), 328–343.
- Merriënboer, J. J. G. V. (1997). *Training complex cognitive skills: A four-component instructional design model for technical training*. Educational Technology.
- Miller, P. S., Harris, C., & Watanabe, A. (1991). Professional coaching: A method for increasing effective and decreasing ineffective teacher behaviors. *Teacher Education and Special Education*, 14(3), 183–191.
- Miner-Romanoff, K., Rae, A., & Zakrzewski, C. E. (2019). A holistic and multifaceted model for ill-structured experiential problem-based learning: Enhancing student critical thinking and communication skills. *Journal of Problem Based Learning in Higher Education*, 7(1).
- Minard, C. (2024). Use of QR codes to enhance learning in a graduate-level clinical course. *Journal of Formative Design in Learning*, 8(2), 63-70.
- Minto, T. L. (2024). Material development in ELT: Principles and procedures. *Research Journal of English Language and Literature (RJELAL)*, 6(1), 316-323.
- Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge: A Framework for Teacher Knowledge. *Teachers College Record: The Voice of Scholarship in Education*, 108(6), 1017–1054.
- Moallem, M., Hung, W., & Dabbagh, N. (2019). *The Wiley handbook of problem-based learning*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Mohamed, S. A., Elazim Ibrahim, S. A., Abdelaalem, M. M., & Mohamed Eldiasty, N. E. (2025). Effect of problem-based learning approach program on meta-cognitive thinking skills and cooperative learning attitude among nursing students: quasi experimental study. *BMC nursing*, 24(1), 1-9.
- Moll, I. (2023). A critique of andragogy in the South African TVET context. *Journal of Vocational, Adult and Continuing Education and Training*, 6(1), 145-163.
- Moll, I. (2024). A psychological critique of Knowles' andragogy as a theory of learning. *Andragoška spoznanja*, 30(1), 151-170.
- Moore, T. (2011). Critical thinking and disciplinary thinking: A continuing debate. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 30(3), 261–274.
- Mufliharsi, R. (2024). Improving EFL Students' Reading Experience Through

- Task-Based Flipped Classroom. *UICELE*, 1(1), 1-13.
- Mustami, M. K. (2017). Validitas, kepraktisan, dan efektivitas perangkat pembelajaran biologi integrasi spiritual islam melalui pendekatan saintifik. *Al-Qalam*, 23(1), 70–77.
- Nabayra, J. N. (2023). Teacher-made videos as learning tool in elementary statistics during the pandemic: a developmental research. *International Journal of Information and Education Technology*, 13(1), 10–18.
- National Education Association. (2012). Preparing 21st century students for a global society: An educator's guide to the "Four Cs" (D. Van Roekel, Ed.). In *NEA (National Education Association)*.
- National Research Council. (2012). *A Framework for K-12 Science Education: Practices, Crosscutting Concepts, and Core Ideas*. National Academies Press.
- National Research Council. (2013). *Monitoring progress toward successful K-12 STEM education: A nation advancing?*. National Academies Press.
- Ndiung, S., & Jediut, M. (2020). Pengembangan instrumen tes hasil belajar matematika peserta didik sekolah dasar berorientasi pada berpikir tingkat tinggi. *Premiere Educandum: Jurnal Pendidikan Dasar dan Pembelajaran*, 10(1), 94.
- Ningtyas, H. A., & Rahmawati, L. (2023). Kelayakan isi, penyajian, kebahasaan, dan kegrafikan bahan ajar teks deskripsi di smp kelas vii. *Imajeri: Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa Dan Sastra Indonesia*, 6(1), 52–71.
- Nissen, J. M., Talbot, R. M., Nasim Thompson, A., & Van Dusen, B. (2018). Comparison of normalized gain and Cohen's d for analyzing gains on concept inventories. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 14(1), 10115.
- Nopriyeni, Prasetyo, Z. K., & Djukri. (2019). The implementation of mentoring based learning to improve pedagogical knowledge of prospective teachers. *International Journal of Instruction*, 12(3), 529–540.
- Norman, P. (2011). Planning for what kind of teaching? Supporting cooperating teachers as teachers of planning. *Teacher Education Quarterly*, 38(3), 49–68.
- Nuis, W., Segers, M., & Beausaert, S. (2023). Conceptualizing mentoring in higher education: A systematic literature review. *Educational Research Review*, 41, 1-22.
- Novitra, F., Festiyed, Yohandri, Asrizal. (2021). Development of online-based inquiry learning model to improve 21st-century skills of physics students in

senior high school. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 17(9).

Nurhayati, Wahyudi, & Angraeni, L. (2021). The influence of problem based learning model and critical thinking ability on higher order thinking skills (HOTS) of physics prospective teachers students. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 2104(1).

Nurhayati, Suhandi, A., Muslim, & Kaniawati, I. (2023). Analysis of teachers and prospective physics teachers' difficulties in implementing problem-based learning model to improve students' 4c skills. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 2596(1), 1-8.

Nurhayati, Suhandi, A., Muslim, & Kaniawati, I. (2023). Implementation of the problem based learning model in science education: trend and opportunity of research using systematic literature network analysis. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 9(8), 328-338.

Nurhayati, Suhandi, A., Muslim, Kaniawati, I., Wahyudi, Misbah, & Darman, D. R. (2025). Assessing the knowledge and skills of prospective physics teachers in designing 4c skills-oriented learning: rasch Analysis. *Qubahan Academic Journal*, 5(1), 718-741.

Nurramadhani, A., Lathifah, S. S., & Permana, I. (2020). Students' generated questions quality by developing stem-based e-module in science learning. *Scientiae Educatia*, 9(2), 134-152.

Oestergaard, L. G., Hansen, J. S., Ravn, M. B., & Maribo, T. (2024). Enhancing coherence and student engagement through portfolio assignments and peer-feedback. *Discover Education*, 3(1), 161.

Osiesi, M. P., & Blignaut, S. (2025). Impact of the teacher education curriculum on the development of 21st-Century skills: Pre-service teachers' perceptions. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 11, 1-8.

Ovando-Tellez, M., Kenett, Y. N., Benedek, M., Bernard, M., Belo, J., Beranger, B., ... & Volle, E. (2023). Brain connectivity-based prediction of combining remote semantic associates for creative thinking. *Creativity Research Journal*, 35(3), 522-546.

Paetsch, J., & Drechsel, B. (2021). Factors influencing pre-service teachers' intention to use digital learning materials: a study conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic in Germany. *Frontiers in psychology*, 12, 1-12.

Owens, A. D., & Hite, R. L. (2022). Enhancing student communication competencies in STEM using virtual global collaboration project based

- learning. *Research in Science & Technological Education*, 40(1), 76–102.
- Palomino, V. J. D. D. H., Estrada-Araoz, E. G., & Cruz-Laricano, E. O. (2025). Effectiveness of an educational program in the development of creative thinking in Peruvian primary school students. *Sapienza: International Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, 6(2), e25028-e25028.
- Panduan Penyusunan Kurikulum Pendidikan Tinggi Mendukung Merdeka Belajar-Kampus Merdeka Menuju Indonesia Emas Tahun 2024. Jakarta: Direktorat Jenderal Pendidikan Tinggi, Riset, dan Teknologi Kementerian Pendidikan, Kebudayaan, Riset, dan Teknologi.
- Papp, K. K., Huang, G. C., Lauzon Clabo, L. M., Delva, D., Fischer, M., Konopasek, L., Schwartzstein, R. M., & Gusic, M. (2014). Milestones of critical thinking: A developmental model for medicine and nursing. *Academic Medicine*, 89(5), 715–720.
- Partnership for 21st Century Skills. (2009). *P21 framework definitions*. ERIC Clearinghouse.
- Payne, W., & Harvey, J. (2010). A framework for the design and development of physical employment tests and standards. *Ergonomics*, 53(7), 858–871.
- Pea, R. D. (2018). *The social and technological dimensions of scaffolding and related theoretical concepts for learning, education, and human activity*. Psychology Press.
- Penfield, R. D., & Giacobbi, Jr, P. R. (2004). Applying a score confidence interval to Aiken's item content-relevance index. *Measurement in Physical Education and Exercise Science*, 8(4), 213–225.
- Peraturan Pemerintah Nomor 19 Tahun 2005 tentang Standar Nasional Pendidikan.
- Peraturan Pemerintah Republik Indonesia Nomor 19 Tahun 2017 Tentang Perubahan Atas Peraturan Pemerintah Nomor 74 Tahun 2008 Tentang Guru.
- Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan Nasional Republik Indonesia Nomor 16 Tahun 2007 Tentang Standar Kualifikasi Akademik dan Kompetensi Guru.
- Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan Nomor 3 Tahun 2020 tentang Standar Nasional Pendidikan Tinggi
- Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan, Kebudayaan, Riset, dan Teknologi Nomor 53 Tahun 2023 Tentang Penjaminan Mutu Pendidikan Tinggi.
- Petek, E., & Bedir, H. (2018). An adaptable teacher education framework for

- critical thinking in language teaching. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 28, 56–72.
- Pham, L. N. K., & Hamid, M. O. (2013). Beginning EFL teachers' beliefs about quality questions and their questioning practices. *Teacher Development*, 17(2), 246–264.
- Piaget, J. (1973). *To understand is to invent: The future of education*. Viking Press.
- Pigai, F. Y. P., & Yulianto, S. (2024). Development of flipbook learning media to improve learning outcomes IPAS. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 10(8), 5775-5781.
- Pradhan, S., S. (2021). Effect of self-study materials on learning among senior secondary school students. *Sanshodhan Chetana*, 10(2), 7–17.
- Pragasam, J. A., Singh, C. K. S., Singh, T. S. M., Mostafa, N. A., Ja'afar, H., Abdullah, M. S., ...Khaja, F. N. M. (2018). The use of task-based learning (tbl) to improve form four students' performance in narrative writing. *International Journal of Academic Research in Progressive Education and Development*, 7(3), 48–59.
- Prayogi, S., & Asy'ari, M. (2021). Problem-based learning with character-emphasis and naturalist intelligence: examining students critical thinking and curiosity. *International Journal of Instruction*, 14(2), 217-232.
- Prahani, B. K., Jatmiko, B., Pristianti, M. C., Satriawan, M., Amelia, T., & Makahinda, T. (2023). The dHOTLearn model to improve critical thinking skills of physics education program undergraduate. *Journal of Higher Education Theory & Practice*, 23(19), 113-124.
- Prida, S. I. (2021). Penerapan model pembelajaran means ends analysis (MEA) berbasis tugas proyek untuk meningkatkan kemampuan berpikir kreatif matematis siswa. *Jurnal Dimensi Matematika*, 4(01), 301-308.
- Prihastuti, I., Widodo, A., Liliarsari, & Riandi. (2021). Belajar melalui video untuk melatih keterampilan berpikir kritis guru ipa. *Biosfer: Jurnal Biologi Dan Pendidikan Biologi*, 6(1), 37–43.
- Prihastuti, I., Widodo, A., Liliarsari, & Riandi, R. (2020). Profil kompetensi guru dalam merancang, melaksanakan dan menilai pembelajaran berpikir kritis. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Pendidikan*, 667–679.
- Prince, M. (2004). Does active learning work? A review of the research. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 93(3), 223–231.

- Pullu, E. K., & Gömleksiz, M. N. (2021). Authentic teaching tasks for academic success, attitude, problem solving, and creative thinking skills. *Discourse and Communication for Sustainable Education*, 12(2), 108-123.
- Purnanto, A. W., & Mustadi, A. (2016). Analisis kelayakan bahasa dalam buku teks tema 1 kelas I sekolah dasar kurikulum 2013. *Profesi Pendidikan Dasar*, 3(2), 102–111.
- Purwaningsih, E., Nurhadi, D., & Masjkur, K. (2019). TPACK development of prospective physics teachers to ease the achievement of learning objectives: A case study at the State University of Malang, Indonesia. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1185, 012042.
- Puspita, A. D., Maryani, I., & Sukma, H. H. (2023). Problem-based science learning in elementary schools: A bibliometric analysis. *Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn)*, 17(2), 285-293.
- Puntambekar, S. (2022). Distributed scaffolding: Scaffolding students in classroom environments. *Educational Psychology Review*, 34(1), 451-472.
- Putra, A., Stiadi, E., Palenti, C. D., Gusti, R., Sofino, S., & Saputra, A. J. (2024). Prinsip penyelenggaraan pembelajaran orang dewasa pada lokakarya komunitas belajar i program sekolah penggerak angkatan ii propinsi bengkulu untuk mewujudkan ekosistem sekolah yang berdiferensiasi. *Jurnal Pendidikan Luar Sekolah*, 18(1), 1–17.
- Putra, Z. A., Susilo, H., Suwono, H., & Ibrohim, I. (2025). Revealing the effect of problem-based learning combined with the use of digital mind map on students' creative thinking. *Journal of Pedagogical Research*, 9(3), 43-61.
- Putri, R. K., Bukit, N., & Simanjuntak, M. P. (2021). The effect of project based learning model's on critical thinking skills, creative thinking skills, collaboration skills, & communication skills (4C) physics in senior high school. In *6th Annual International Seminar on Transformative Education and Educational Leadership*, 323-330. Atlantis Press.
- Rahman, M. H. (2015). *Learning assessment in a self learning material*. IDEA Annual Conference, 229-232.
- Rahmawati, L., Jumadi, J., & Ikhsan, J. (2021). pengembangan instrumen penilaian kemampuan komunikasi sains sebagai bagian dari keterampilan abad 21. *Prima Magistra: Jurnal Ilmiah Kependidikan*, 2(2), 163-171.
- Rahmayanti, K. R., Hasanuddin, H., & Nelson, Z. (2018). Pengaruh Penerapan Metode Pembelajaran Aktif Modeling The Way terhadap Kemampuan Komunikasi Matematis Ditinjau dari Kemampuan Awal Siswa SMK Taruna

- Pekanbaru. *JURING (Journal for Research in Mathematics Learning)*, 1(1), 65.
- Ralph, E. G. (2014). The effectiveness of microteaching: Five years' findings. *Journal of Humanities Social Sciences and Education*, 1(7), 17–28.
- Rampean, B. A. O., Rohaeti, E., & Utami, W. P. (2022). Teacher difficulties for develop higher order thinking skills assessment instrument on reaction rate. *Jurnal Pendidikan Kimia Indonesia*, 6(1), 11-19.
- Razak, A. A., Ramdan, M. R., Mahjom, N., Zabit, M. N. M., Muhammad, F., Hussin, M. Y. M., & Abdullah, N. L. (2022). Improving critical thinking skills in teaching through problem-based learning for students: A scoping review. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 21(2), 342-362.
- Redhana, I. W. (2019). Mengembangkan keterampilan abad ke-21 dalam pembelajaran kimia. *Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan Kimia*, 13(1), 2239–2253.
- Richey, R. C., & Klein, J. D. (2014). *Design and development research*. In J.M.Spector et al (eds). *Handbook of research on educational communications and technology*. Springer.
- Rizki, I. A., & Suprpto, N. (2024). Project-oriented problem-based learning through SR-STEM to foster students' critical thinking skills in renewable energy material. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 33(4), 526-541.
- Rodríguez, C. C. C., Peña, L. F. A., & Osorio, M. F. J. (2022). A task-based teacher development program in a rural public school in colombia. *HOW*, 29(1), 1-20.
- Rosen, Y., Stoeffler, K., & Simmering, V. (2020). Imagine: Design for creative thinking, learning, and assessment in schools. *Journal of Intelligence*, 8(16), 1-20.
- Rowe, N., Martin, R., Buck, R., & Mabingo, A. (2021). Teaching collaborative dexterity in higher education: Threshold concepts for educators. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 40(7), 1515–1529.
- Rui, L., Nasri, N., M., Diyana, S., N., & Mahmud. (2024). The role of self-directed learning in promoting deep learning processes: a systematic literature review. *F1000Research*, 13(761), 1-24.
- Saavedra, A. R., & Opfer, D. V. (2012). *Teaching and learning 21st century skills: lessons from the learning sciences*. The Asian Society.
- Sabarudin, S. (2018). Materi pembelajaran dalam kurikulum 2013. *Jurnal An-Nur*:

Kajian Ilmu-Ilmu Pendidikan Dan Keislaman, 4(1), 1–18.

- Samsudin, Z., Shamsudin, Z., Arif, M., & Faisal, M. (2017). The application of Bandura's social learning theory in the teaching of academic writing. *Global Journal of Business & Social Science Review*, 5(2), 1–9.
- Saputri, D. F., Wahyudi, W., Nurhayati, N., Nurussaniah, N., & Misbah, M. (2023). Development of electronic modules based on science, technology, engineering and mathematical (stem) approaches in vibration and wave courses. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika Dan Keilmuan (JPFK)*, 9(1), 53–65.
- Saputra, S. O., Ayatusa'adah, A. A., & Septiana, N. (2022). Validitas dan keterbacaan penuntun praktikum berbasis pendekatan saintifik pada materi jaringan tumbuhan: (validity and readability of scientific approach-based practicum guidance on plant tissue material). *BIODIK*, 8(4), 48-58.
- Saputra, H. (2024). Penguatan kemampuan peserta didik dalam menghadapi era society 5.0 melalui pembelajaran matematika. *BERSATU: Jurnal Pendidikan Bhinneka Tunggal Ika*, 2(2), 287-302.
- Sari, D. S., & Wulanda, M. N. (2019). Pengembangan lembar kerja mahasiswa berbasis proyek dalam meningkatkan kemampuan berfikir kreatif mahasiswa. *Natural: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan IPA*, 6(1), 20–33.
- Sari, Y. I., Utomo, D. H., & Astina, I. K. (2021). The effect of problem based learning on problem solving and scientific writing skills. *International Journal of Instruction*, 14(2), 11-26.
- Sariyatun, Suryani, N., Sutimin, L. A., Abidin, N. F., & Akmal, A. (2021). The effect of digital learning material on students' social skills in social studies learning. *International Journal of Instruction*, 14(3), 417-432.
- Sartika, I., Dafrita, I. E., & Nawawi. (2024). Pengembangan e-modul berbasis problem based learning (pbl) terhadap kemampuan berpikir kritis siswa pada materi sistem pencernaan manusia kelas xi sman 1 samalantan. *Biodik: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Biologi*, 10(3), 311–320.
- Scheel, L., Vladova, G., & Ullrich, A. (2022). The influence of digital competences, self-organization, and independent learning abilities on students' acceptance of digital learning. *International journal of educational technology in higher education*, 19(1), 44.
- Schmidt, H. G., Loyens, S. M., Van Gog, T., & Paas, F. (2007). Problem-based learning is compatible with human cognitive architecture: Commentary on Kirschner, Sweller, and. *Educational Psychologist*, 42(2), 91–97.

- Schmid, R., Pauli, C., & Petko, D. (2023). Examining the use of digital technology in schools with a school-wide approach to personalized learning. *Educational technology research and development*, 71(2), 367-390.
- Schunk, D. H., & Greene, J. A. (2017). Historical, contemporary, and future perspectives on self-regulated learning and performance. In *In Handbook of self-regulation of learning and performance* (pp. 1–15). Routledge.
- Schunk, D. H. (2012). *Learning Theoris: An Educational Perspective*. Boston: Person.
- Schwab, K. (2016). *The fourth industrial revolution: what it means and how to respond*. World Economic Forum.
- Scott, & Cynthia, L. S. (2015). *The futures of learning 2: What kind of learning for the 21st century?* UNESCO Education Research and Foresight.
- Seemann, P., Štofková, Z., Poliaková, A., Biňasová, V., & Loučanová, E. (2024). Coaching approach as a sustainable means of improving the skills of management students. *Administrative Sciences*, 14(6), 114.
- Sehweil, M., Mahmoud, S., & Jeidi, M. (2022). The importance of the lesson plan elements in education and teachers' practices of them. *Radical Solutions in Palestinian Higher Education*, 87-99. Singapore: Springer Singapore.
- Selviana, A. S., & Sunarno, W. (2022). The effectiveness of using physics module with problem-based learning to enhance critical and creative thinking skills. *Journal of Education Research and Evaluation*, 6(1), 19-25.
- Septaria, K., Fatharani, A., Supardi, Z. A. I., Suyono, S., & Regita, M. A. (2025). Pengembangan sikap ilmiah abad 21 melalui lembar kerja berbasis teori pembelajaran sosial pada materi listrik statis. *Jurnal Penelitian Sains dan Pendidikan (JPSP)*, 5(1), 65-86.
- Setiawati, I., Rusman, & Djohar, A. (2020). Profile of the ability of teachers in planning and carrying out 4C skills-oriented science teaching. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1521(1), 042097.
- Shishigu, A., Hailu, A., & Anibo, Z. (2018). Problem-based learning and conceptual understanding of college female students in physics. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 14(1), 145–154.
- Shulman, L. (1987). Knowledge and teaching: Foundations of the new reform. *Harvard educational review*, 57(1), 1-23.
- Siegel, H. (1988). *Educating reason: Rationality, critical thinking, and education*.

Routledge.

- Simanjuntak, M. P., Hutahaeen, J., Marpaung, N., & Ramadhani, D. (2021). Effectiveness of problem-based learning combined with computer simulation on students' problem-solving and creative thinking skills. *International Journal of Instruction*, 14(3), 519–534.
- Siminto, S., Majdi, M., Hardiansyah, A., Rofi'i, A., & Gazali, A. (2025). Pembelajaran berbasis proyek: mengembangkan kreativitas dan kemampuan kolaboratif. *Jurnal Pendidikan dan Keguruan*, 3(4), 308-320.
- Simon, M. A. (1995). Prospective elementary teachers' knowledge of division. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 24, 233–254.
- Sinaga, P., Suhandi, A., & Liliyasi. (2015). The Effectiveness of scaffolding design in training writing skills physics teaching materials. *International Journal of Instruction*, 8(1), 19–32.
- Sinaga, P., & Setiawan, W., Liana, M. (2022). The impact of electronic interactive teaching materials (EITMs) in e-learning on junior high school students' critical thinking skills. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 46, 1-11.
- Siregar, D. A. N., Pasaribu, E., & Napitupulu, R. (2022). Pengaruh model pembelajaran modelling the way terhadap hasil belajar siswa pada subtema i organ gerak hewan di kelas v sd negeri 102068 kampung dame kecamatan dolok masihul. *Cendikia : Media Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan*, 13(1), 123–134.
- Sloop, J. C., Tsoi, M. Y., & Coppock, P. (2016). Benefits of using a problem-solving scaffold for teaching and learning synthesis in undergraduate organic chemistry i. *International Journal for the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 10(1).
- Soeharto, S., & Csapó, B. (2022). Assessing Indonesian student inductive reasoning: Rasch analysis. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 46, 1–16.
- Solano, J., Gutierrez, M. Z., Pinel-Guzmán, E., Henriquez, G., & Henriquez, G. S. (2023). Barriers and solutions to successful problem-based learning delivery in developing countries—a literature review. *Cureus*, 15(8), 1-7.
- Stanovich, K. E. (1980). Toward an interactive-compensatory model of individual differences in the development of reading fluency. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 16(1), 32–71.
- Strobel, J., & Barneveld, V. (2009). When is pbl more effective: a metasynthesis of meta-analyses comparing pbl to conventional classrooms. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem Based Learning*, 3(1).

- Sugianto, D., Abdullah, A. G., Elvyanti, S., & Muladi, Y. (2013). Modul virtual: multimedia flipbook dasar teknik digital. *Innovation of Vocational Technology Education*, 9(2), 101–116.
- Suhandi, A., & Utari, S. (2019). *Model-model praktikum fisika (pembekalan literasi sains & keterampilan abad 21 melalui kegiatan praktikum)*. Media Edukasi.
- Sujarwanto, E., & Putra, I. A. (2018). Investigasi keterampilan proses sains terintegrasi mahasiswa pendidikan fisika Universitas KH. A. Wahab Hasbullah. *Momentum: Physics Education Journal*, 2(2), 79–85.
- Dzaiy, A., H., S., & Abdullah, S., A. (2024). The use of active learning strategies to foster effective teaching in higher education institutions. *Zanco Journal of Human Sciences*, 28(4), 328-351.
- Sulastri, A., Badruzsaufari, B., Dharmono, D., Aufa, M. N., & Saputra, M. A. (2022). Development of science handouts based on critical thinking skills on the topic of the human digestive system. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 8(2), 475-480.
- Sumintono, B., & Widhiarso, W. (2015). *Aplikasi pemodelan rasch pada assessment pendidikan*. Penerbit Trim Komunikata.
- Sundaro, H. (2022). Positivisme dan post positivisme: refleksi atas perkembangan ilmu pengetahuan dan perencanaan kota dalam tinjauan filsafat ilmu dan metodologi penelitian. *Modul*, 22(1), 21-30.
- Sunardi, S., & Doringin, F. (2020). The 4Cs learning model in teacher professional development program. *Humaniora*, 11(2), 153-159.
- Supriyadi, L. A., & Gunanto, Y. E. (2021). A case study: Technological pedagogical and content knowledge (TPACK) of pre-service physics teacher to enhance the 4C's skills during online learning. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 7(4), 660–668.
- Suryana, E., Aprina, M. P., & Harto, K. (2022). Teori konstruktivistik dan implikasinya dalam pembelajaran. *JlIP-Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Pendidikan*, 5(7), 2070-2080.
- Suwiantini, L. A., Jampel, I. N., & Astawan, I. G. (2021). Learn energy sources with interactive learning multimedia. *Jurnal Ilmiah Sekolah Dasar*, 5(1), 119–128.
- Syafrina, R. (2022). Workshop teknik penulisan skripsi untuk meningkatkan self-efficacy mahasiswa semester akhir. *JPKPM*, 2(1), 2–5.
- Sztajn, P., Confrey, J., Wilson, P. H., & Edgington, C. (2012). Learning trajectory

- based instruction: Toward a theory of teaching. *Educational Researcher*, 41, 147–156.
- Taber, K. S. (2018). The use of cronbach's alpha when developing and reporting research instruments in science education. *Research in Science Education*, 48(6), 1273–1296.
- Tamrin, H., & Masykuri, A. (2024). Inovasi metode pembelajaran berbasis teknologi dalam meningkatkan motivasi belajar siswa. *Journal of Islamic Educational Development*, 1(1), 63–72.
- Taras, M. (2010). Assessment for learning: assessing the theory and evidence. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 2(2), 3015–3022.
- Tefera, A. S., Melaku, E. E., Urgie, B. M., Hassen, E. M., Tamene, T. D., & Gebeyaw, E. D. (2024). Barriers to implementing problem-based learning at the school of medicine of Debre Berhan University, Ethiopia. *BMC Medical Education*, 24(1), 501.
- Thornhill-Miller, B., Camarda, A., Mercier, M., Burkhardt, J. M., Morisseau, T., Bourgeois-Bougrine, S., ... & Lubart, T. (2023). Creativity, critical thinking, communication, and collaboration: Assessment, certification, and promotion of 21st century skills for the future of work and education. *Journal of Intelligence*, 11(3), 1-32.
- Tican, C., & Deniz, S. (2019). Pre-service teachers' opinions about the use of 21st century learner and 21st century teacher skills. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 8(1), 181–197.
- Tiruneh, D. T., Verburgh, A., & Elen, J. (2014). Effectiveness of Critical Thinking Instruction in Higher Education: A Systematic Review of Intervention Studies. *Higher Education Studies*, 4(1).
- Tiruneh, Dawit Tibebu, De Cock, M., Weldeclassie, A. G., Elen, J., & Janssen, R. (2017). Measuring critical thinking in physics: development and validation of a critical thinking test in electricity and magnetism. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 15(4), 663–682.
- Tiruneh, Dawit Tibebu, Weldeclassie, A. G., Kassa, A., Tefera, Z., De Cock, M., & Elen, J. (2016). Systematic design of a learning environment for domain-specific and domain-general critical thinking skills. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 64(3), 481–505.
- Tolboom, J., & Kuiper, W. (2013). How to utilize a classroom network to support teacher feedback in statistics education. In T. Plomp, & N. Nieveen (Eds.), *Educational design research, Part B: Illustrative cases* (pp. 665-692).

Enschede, the Netherlands: SLO.

- Torrance, E. P. (1977). *Creativity in the Classroom; What Research Says to the Teacher*. National Education Association.
- Torrance, E. P. (1990). *Torrance Tests of Creative Thinking: Manual for scoring and interpreting results*. Scholastic Testing Service.
- Torres-Malca, J. R., Vera-Ponce, V. J., Zuzunaga-Montoya, F. E., Talavera, J. E., La Cruz-Vargas, D., & Jhony, A. (2022). Content validity by expert judgment of an instrument to measure knowledge, attitudes and practices about salt consumption in the peruvian population. *Revista de La Facultad de Medicina Humana*, 22(2), 352–373.
- Tricio, J. A., Montt, J. E., Ormeño, A. P., Del Real, A. J., & Naranjo, C. A. (2017). Impact of faculty development workshops in student-centered teaching methodologies on faculty members' teaching and their students' perceptions. *Journal of Dental Education*, 81(6), 675-684.
- Trilling, B., & Fadel, C. (2009). *21st century skills: learning for life in our times*. Jossey-Bass.
- Turhan, G. M., & Demirci, I. A. (2021). What are the 21st-century skills for pre-service science and mathematics teachers: discussion in the context of defined 21st-century skills, self-skills and education curricula. *Journal of Educational Issues*, 7(1), 92–112.
- Tyas, I. C., Mutiah, A., & Rahman, A. A. (2024). Analisis aspek kebahasaan dan penyajian materi pada elemen menulis teks pidato dalam buku teks bahasa indonesia kelas viii kurikulum merdeka. *Stilistika: Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa Dan Sastra*, 17(2), 217–236.
- Tyas, R. (2017). Kesulitan penerapan problem based learning dalam pembelajaran matematika. *Jurnal Tecnoscienza*, 2(1), 43–52.
- Tyler, R. W. (2013). *Basic Principle of Curriculum and Instruction*. The University of Chicago Press.
- Ubaidillah, M., Hartono, H., Marwoto, P., Wiyanto, W., & Subali, B. (2023). How to improve critical thinking in physics learning? A systematic literature review. *Journal of Educational, Cultural and Psychological Studies (ECPS Journal)*, (28), 161-187.
- Ulfa, S., Lasfeto, D. B., & Kurniawan, C. (2019). Modelling the learner model based ontology in adaptive learning environment. *Journal of Disruptive Learning Innovation (JODLI)*, 1(1), 35–46.

- Ulfie, F., & Permana, S. (2021). The alignment between learning outcomes and assessment tasks in writing: A BRT analysis. *STAIRS: English Language Education Journal*, 2(2), 52-62.
- Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 14 Tahun 2005 Tentang Guru dan Dosen.
- Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 12 Tahun 2012 Tentang Pendidikan Tinggi.
- Utami, A., Astuti, D. P., & Sandi, A. P. (2023). Outline, validity, readability, and response toward the layout, content, and utility aspects of a STEM-based Module. *Journal of Science Education Research*, 7(1), 55-67.
- Uygun, N., & Isik Tertemiz, N. E. Ş. E. (2014). Effects of problem-based learning on student attitudes, achievement and retention of learning in math course. *Egitim Ve Bilim-Education and Science*, 39(174), 75–90.
- Väljataga, T., & Mettis, K. (2022). Secondary education students' knowledge gain and scaffolding needs in mobile outdoor learning settings. *Sustainability*, 14(12), 7031.
- Valtonen, T., Hoang, N., Sointu, E., Näykki, P., Virtanen, A., Pöysä-Tarhonen, J., & Kukkonen, J. (2021). How pre-service teachers perceive their 21st-century skills and dispositions: A longitudinal perspective. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 116.
- van de Pol, J., Volman, M., & Beishuizen, J. (2010). Scaffolding in teacher–student interaction: a decade of research. *Educational Psychology Review*, 22(3), 271–296.
- Varas, D., Santana, M., Nussbaum, M., Claro, S., & Imbarack, P. (2023). Teachers' strategies and challenges in teaching 21st century skills: Little common understanding. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 48, 1-12.
- Varol, B., & Erçetin, G. (2019). Effects of gloss type, gloss position, and working memory capacity on second language comprehension in electronic reading. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 34(7), 820–844.
- Vdovina, E., & Gaibisso, L. C. (2013). Developing critical thinking in the English language classroom: A lesson plan. *ELTA Journal*, 1(1), 54–68.
- Viyanti, V., Suyatna, A., & Naj'iyah, A. L. (2021). Analisis kebutuhan pengembangan strategi pembelajaran fisika berbasis stem di era digital mengakomodasi ragam gaya belajar dan pengetahuan awal. *Radiasi: Jurnal Berkala Pendidikan Fisika*, 14(1), 1–10.

- Voogt, Joke, & Roblin, N. P. (2012). A comparative analysis of international frameworks for 21 st century competences: Implications for national curriculum policies. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 44(3), 299–321.
- Vygotsky, L. S.. (1978). *Mind in society: Development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard university press.
- Walker, A., Aguiar, N. R., Soicher, R. N., Kuo, Y. C., & Resig, J. (2024). Exploring the relationship between motivation and academic performance among online and blended learners: a meta-analytic review. *Online Learning*, 28(4), 76-116.
- Walsh, J. A., & Sattes, B. D. (2016). *Quality questioning: Research-based practice to engage every learner*. Corwin Press.
- Wang, C. C. (2021). The process of implementing problem-based learning in a teacher education programme: an exploratory case study. *Cogent Education*, 8(1), 1-14.
- Wartono, W., Diantoro, M., & Bartlolona, J. R. (2018). Influence of problem based learning model on student creative thinking on elasticity topics a material. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika Indonesia*, 14(1), 32–39.
- Widodo, A. (2021). *Pembelajaran Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam Dasar-Dasar untuk Praktik*. In UPI Press.
- Wright, D. B. (2020). Gain Scores, ANCOVA, and Propensity Matching Procedures for Evaluating Treatments in Education. *Open Education Studies*, 2(1), 45–65.
- Wu, B., Hu, Y., & Wang, M. (2019). Scaffolding design thinking in online STEM preservice teacher training. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 50(5), 2271–2287.
- Wulandari, S., Octaria, D., & Mulbasari, A. S. (2021). Pengembangan e-modul berbantuan aplikasi flip PDF builder berbasis contextual teaching and learning. *JNPM (Jurnal Nasional Pendidikan Matematika)*, 5(2), 389–402.
- Yaman, S., & Yalçın, N. (2020). Effectiveness on creative thinking skills of problem based learning approach in science teaching. *Elementary Education Online*, 4(1), 42–42.
- Yanthi, M. D., Bhilawa, L., & Siregar, C. S. (2022). Kelayakan buku ajar analisis dan perancangan sistem informasi. *Mimbar Ilmu*, 27(2), 292–299.
- Yu, L., & Zin, Z. M. (2023). The critical thinking-oriented adaptations of problem-

based learning models: a systematic review. *Frontiers in Education*, 8, 1-13.

Yusuf, R. R., Abdjul, T., & Payu, C. S. (2023). Validitas, kepraktisan, dan efektivitas bahan ajar berbantuan google sites pada materi getaran, gelombang, dan bunyi. *Ideas: Jurnal Pendidikan, Sosial, dan Budaya*, 9(1), 199–208.

Zakime, A. (2018). What is task based learning. *Retrieved September, 14, 2020*.

Zhang, J. (2022). The influence of Piaget in the field of learning science. *Higher Education Studies*, 12(3), 162-168.

Zhong, Y., Guo, K., Su, J., & Chu, S. K. W. (2022). The impact of esports participation on the development of 21st century skills in youth: A systematic review. *Computers & Education*, 191, 1-21.

Zulyusri, Z., Elfira, I., Lufri, L., & Santosa, T. A. (2023). Literature study: Utilization of the PjBL model in science education to improve creativity and critical thinking skills. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 9(1), 133-143.