

**ANALISIS *COMPUTATIONAL THINKING* DAN *PROBLEM SOLVING*
SISWA SMP PADA MATERI OPERASI BENTUK ALJABAR DITINJAU
DARI *SELF-REGULATED LEARNING***



TESIS

diajukan untuk memenuhi sebagian syarat memperoleh gelar Magister Pendidikan
pada Program Studi Pendidikan Matematika

Oleh

**Nida Fitria
NIM 2308910**

**PROGRAM STUDI MAGISTER PENDIDIKAN MATEMATIKA
FAKULTAS PENDIDIKAN MATEMATIKA DAN ILMU PENGETAHUAN ALAM
UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN INDONESIA
2025**

**ANALISIS *COMPUTATIONAL THINKING* DAN *PROBLEM SOLVING*
SISWA SMP PADA MATERI OPERASI BENTUK ALJABAR DITINJAU
DARI *SELF-REGULATED LEARNING***

Oleh
Nida Fitria
S.Mat Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, 2019

Sebuah Tesis yang diajukan untuk memenuhi salah satu syarat untuk memperoleh
gelar Magister Pendidikan (M.Pd) pada Program Studi Pendidikan Matematika
Fakultas Pendidikan Matematika dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam

© Nida Fitria 2025
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia
Agustus 2025

Hak Cipta dilindungi undang-undang
Tesis ini tidak boleh diperbanyak seluruhnya atau sebagian,
dengan dicetak ulang, difotokopi, atau cara lainnya tanpa izin penulis.

LEMBAR PENGESAHAN TESIS

ANALISIS COMPUTATIONAL THINKING DAN PROBLEM SOLVING SISWA SMP PADA MATERI OPERASI BENTUK ALJABAR DITINJAU DARI SELF-REGULATED LEARNING

Oleh

NIDA FITRIA

NIM 2308910

Disetujui dan disahkan oleh:

Pembimbing I



Prof. Al Jupri, S.Pd., M.Sc., Ph.D.
NIP. 198205102005011002

Pembimbing II



Dr. Kartika Yulianti, M.Si.
NIP. 198207282005012001

Mengetahui,

Ketua Program Studi Magister Pendidikan Matematika
Fakultas Pendidikan Matematika dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam



Dr. Jarnawi Afgani Dahlan, M.Kes
NIP. 196805111991011001

LEMBAR PERNYATAAN BEBAS PLAGIARISME

Saya yang bertanda tangan di bawah ini:

Nama : Nida Fitria

NIM : 2308910

Program Studi : S2 – Pendidikan Matematika

Judul Karya : Analisis *Computational Thinking* dan *Problem Solving* Siswa SMP
Pada Materi Operasi Bentuk Aljabar Ditinjau Dari *Self-Regulated Learning*

Dengan ini menyatakan bahwa karya tulis ini merupakan hasil kerja saya sendiri.

Saya menjamin bahwa seluruh isi karya ini, baik sebagian maupun keseluruhan,
bukan merupakan plagiarisme dari karya orang lain, kecuali pada bagian yang
telah dinyatakan dan disebutkan sumbernya dengan jelas.

Jika di kemudian hari ditemukan pelanggaran terhadap etika akademik atau unsur
plagarisme, saya bersedia menerima sanksi sesuai peraturan yang berlaku di
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia

Bandung, Agustus 2025

Yang membuat pernyataan



Nida Fitria

NIM. 2308910

KATA PENGANTAR

Puji syukur kehadirat Allah SWT yang telah melimpahkan rahmat, hidayah, dan karunia-Nya sehingga penulis dapat menyelesaikan tesis yang berjudul “Analisis *Computational Thinking* dan *Problem Solving* Siswa SMP pada Materi Operasi Bentuk Aljabar Ditinjau dari *Self-Regulated Learning*” ini dengan baik. Penulisan tesis ini merupakan salah satu syarat akademik untuk menyelesaikan program Magister Pendidikan pada Program Studi Pendidikan Matematika, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia.

Proses penyusunan tesis ini tidak terlepas dari berbagai tantangan dan pembelajaran yang memberikan pengalaman berharga bagi penulis. Dalam setiap tahapan penelitian, penulis memperoleh kesempatan untuk memperdalam pemahaman terkait *computational thinking*, *problem solving*, dan *self-regulated learning*, yang menjadi topik utama dalam penelitian ini.

Penelitian ini diharapkan dapat memberikan kontribusi yang bermanfaat bagi dunia pendidikan, baik dalam lingkup teoritis maupun praktis. Secara teoritis, tesis ini diharapkan mampu menjadi referensi bagi peneliti selanjutnya yang ingin mengkaji lebih dalam tentang hubungan antara *computational thinking*, *problem solving*, dan *self-regulated learning*.

Penulis menyadari bahwa meskipun tesis ini telah disusun dengan sebaiknya, masih terdapat banyak kekurangan yang memerlukan penyempurnaan. Oleh karena itu, kritik dan saran yang membangun dari pembaca sangat di harapkan demi perbaikan di masa mendatang.

Semoga tesis ini dapat memberikan manfaat tidak hanya bagi penulis, tetapi juga bagi para pendidik, peneliti, dan pembaca yang memiliki minat pada pengembangan pendidikan matematika.

Bandung, Agustus 2025

Penulis

UCAPAN TERIMAKASIH

Puji syukur saya panjatkan ke hadirat Allah SWT, yang telah memberikan rahmat dan karunia-Nya, sehingga saya dapat menyelesaikan tesis ini dengan baik. Dalam kesempatan ini, saya ingin mengucapkan terima kasih yang sebesar-besarnya kepada pihak-pihak yang telah memberikan dukungan, bantuan, dan bimbingan selama proses penyusunan tesis ini:

1. Prof. Al Jupri, S.Pd., M.Sc., Ph.D., sebagai Pembimbing I sekaligus Wakil Dekan I FPMIPA UPI, yang telah memberikan bimbingan, arahan, dan saran yang sangat berharga dalam menyelesaikan tesis ini. Bimbingan yang Prof. berikan sangat membantu saya untuk mengembangkan pemahaman dan kemampuan saya dalam penelitian ini.
2. Dr. Kartika Yulianti, M.Si., sebagai Pembimbing II sekaligus Ketua Program Studi Matematika, yang telah dengan sabar membimbing saya, memberikan kritik dan saran yang membangun untuk penyempurnaan penelitian ini. Bantuan dan dukungan Ibu sangat berarti bagi kelancaran tesis ini.
3. Saya ingin mengucapkan terima kasih kepada keluarga tercinta, yang selalu memberikan doa, dukungan moral, dan cinta yang tiada henti, sehingga saya dapat melewati segala tantangan yang ada dalam penyusunan tesis ini.
4. Tak lupa, kepada kawan-kawan yang selalu memberikan semangat, masukan, dan diskusi yang bermanfaat selama proses penelitian ini. Terima kasih atas kebersamaan, dukungan, dan bantuan yang tak ternilai harganya.

Semoga segala kebaikan yang diberikan kepada saya mendapatkan balasan yang setimpal dari Allah SWT. Saya menyadari bahwa dalam proses ini masih banyak kekurangan, namun saya berharap tesis ini dapat memberikan manfaat bagi pengembangan ilmu pengetahuan, khususnya dalam bidang pendidikan matematika.

Terima Kasih.

ABSTRAK

Nida Fitria (2308910). Analisis *Computational Thinking* dan *Problem Solving* Siswa SMP pada Materi Operasi Bentuk Aljabar Ditinjau dari *Self-Regulated Learning*.

Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menganalisis kemampuan *Computational Thinking* (CT) dan *problem solving* siswa SMP pada materi operasi bentuk aljabar ditinjau dari *Self-Regulated Learning* (SRL). Penelitian menggunakan pendekatan kualitatif dengan metode studi kasus, melibatkan 23 orang siswa kelas VIII di salah satu SMP di Kabupaten Cianjur yang dikelompokkan berdasarkan tingkat SRL tinggi, sedang, rendah. Instrumen penelitian meliputi tes uraian CT dan *problem solving*, angket SRL, serta wawancara semi-terstruktur. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa siswa dengan SRL tinggi cenderung mampu memenuhi seluruh aspek *problem solving* secara menyeluruh, namun tidak dengan CT. Siswa hanya dapat melakukan abstraksi dan mampu membangun model matematis dari soal kontekstual. Pada *problem solving* siswa mampu memahami, merencanakan, melaksanakan, solusi dengan baik. Siswa dengan SRL sedang umumnya mampu memenuhi sebagian besar indikator CT dan *problem solving*, seperti melakukan abstraksi, menyusun langkah-langkah penyelesaian dasar saat menggunakan rumus dan mengelompokkan suku sejenis. Siswa dapat melakukan dekomposisi dalam masalah yang lebih rumit. Akan tetapi, dalam menggeneralisasi pola siswa sering mengalami kesulitan, misalnya saat harus membangun model matematika dari soal cerita atau menyelesaikan persamaan kuadrat. Pada *problem solving*, siswa jarang melakukan evaluasi hasil kerja. Strategi penyelesaian yang digunakan cenderung berulang dan kurang adaptif. Siswa dengan SRL rendah menunjukkan kendala pada hampir semua aspek CT dan *problem solving*. Siswa mampu menyaring informasi penting dan menyusun langkah penyelesaian secara logis, kesulitan mengidentifikasi memecah masalah, mengenali pola,. Pada *problem solving*, siswa umumnya hanya mampu menyelesaikan bagian awal soal tanpa perencanaan dan refleksi, sehingga kesalahan konsep atau prosedur pada operasi bentuk aljabar sering terulang. Pemahaman terhadap operasi dasar aljabar seperti penjumlahan, pengurangan, atau penyusunan persamaan masih sangat terbatas, serta mudah menyerah saat menghadapi soal yang menantang.

Kata Kunci: *Computational Thinking*, *Problem Solving*, *Self-Regulated Learning*, Operasi Bentuk Aljabar, Siswa SMP

ABSTRACT

Nida Fitria (2308910). Analysis of Computational Thinking and Problem Solving of Junior High School Students on the Material of Algebraic Form Operations in View of Self-Regulated Learning.

This study aims to analyze junior high school students' Computational Thinking (CT) and problem-solving abilities in algebraic operations with respect to their level of Self-Regulated Learning (SRL). A qualitative approach with a case study method was employed, involving 23 eighth-grade students from a junior high school in Cianjur Regency, who were grouped into high, medium, and low SRL levels. The research instruments consisted of CT and problem-solving essay tests, an SRL questionnaire, and semi-structured interviews. The results indicate that students with high SRL tend to be able to fulfill all aspects of problem solving comprehensively, but not all aspects of CT. These students were able to perform abstraction and construct mathematical models from contextual problems, and they demonstrated competence in understanding, planning, executing, and solving problems effectively. Students with medium SRL generally met most CT and problem-solving indicators, such as performing abstraction, arranging basic solution steps when using formulas, and grouping similar terms. They could perform decomposition on more complex problems; however, they struggled to generalize patterns, for example, when constructing mathematical models from word problems or solving quadratic equations. In problem solving, they rarely evaluated their results, and their solution strategies were often repetitive and less adaptive. Students with low SRL exhibited difficulties in almost all aspects of CT and problem solving. Although they were able to filter important information and arrange logical solution steps, they struggled to break down problems and recognize patterns. In problem solving, they typically only completed the initial part of a problem without proper planning and reflection, which often resulted in repeated conceptual or procedural errors in algebraic operations. Their understanding of basic algebraic operations such as addition, subtraction, or equation formulation was very limited, and they tended to give up easily when faced with challenging problems

Kata Kunci: Computational Thinking, Problem Solving, Self-Regulated Learning, Algebraic Operations, Junior High School Students

DAFTAR TABEL

Tabel 3.1 Indikator <i>Computational Thinking</i>	52
Tabel 3.2 Pengelompokan Tingkat Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Siswa	53
Tabel 3.3 Indikator <i>Problem Solving</i>	54
Tabel 3.4 Pengelompokan Tingkat Kemampuan <i>Problem Solving</i> Siswa.....	55
Tabel 3.5 Pengelompokan Hasil Skor SRL.....	59
Tabel 3.6 Keterangan Interpretasi Rentang Presentase.....	60
Tabel 4.1 Instrumen Tes <i>Computational Thinking</i>	64
Tabel 4.2 Persentase Benar dan Salah pada Setiap Soal Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i>	67
Tabel 4.3 Tingkat Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Siswa	74
Tabel 4.4 Persentase Keberhasilan Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Siswa.....	75
Tabel 4.5 Instrumen Tes <i>Problem Solving</i>	89
Tabel 4.6 Persentase Benar dan Salah pada Setiap Soal Kemampuan <i>Problem Solving</i> ..	91
Tabel 4.7 Tingkatan Kemampuan <i>Problem Solving</i> Siswa.....	98
Tabel 4.8 Persentase Keberhasilan Kemampuan <i>Problem Solving</i> Siswa	99
Tabel 4.9 Data Kategori <i>Self-Regulated Learning</i> Siswa	114
Tabel 4.10 Daftar Subjek yang Diwawancara Berdasarkan <i>Self-Regulated Learning</i> ..	115
Tabel 4.11 Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Subjek S17	123
Tabel 4.12 Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Subjek S5.....	132
Tabel 4.13 Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Subjek S18.....	141
Tabel 4.14 Kemampuan <i>Problem Solving</i> Subjek S17	151
Tabel 4.15 Kemampuan <i>Problem Solving</i> Subjek S5	161
Tabel 4.16 Kemampuan <i>Problem Solving</i> Subjek S18	168
Tabel 4.17 Data Skor <i>Computational Thinking</i> dan <i>Problem Solving</i>	170

DAFTAR GAMBAR

Gambar 1.1. Peta Jaringan Keterkaitan Konsep <i>Computational Thinking, Problem Solving</i> , dan <i>Self-Regulated Learning</i>	6
Gambar 2.1 Proses Penelitian	48
Gambar 4.1 Jawaban Siswa Benar untuk Nomor 1.....	68
Gambar 4.2 Jawaban Siswa Salah untuk Nomor 1	70
Gambar 4.3 Jawaban Siswa Benar untuk Nomor 2.....	71
Gambar 4.4 Jawaban Siswa Salah untuk Nomor 2	72
Gambar 4.5 Jawaban Siswa Benar untuk Nomor 3.....	73
Gambar 4.6 Jawaban Siswa Salah untuk Nomor 3	74
Gambar 4.7 Jawaban Siswa pada Nomor 1 untuk Indikator Abstraksi.....	77
Gambar 4.8 Jawaban Siswa pada Nomor 1 untuk Indikator Dekomposisi	78
Gambar 4.9 Jawaban Siswa pada Nomor 1 untuk Indikator Algoritma.....	79
Gambar 4.10 Jawaban Siswa pada Nomor 2 untuk Indikator Abstraksi.....	81
Gambar 4.11 Jawaban Siswa pada Nomor 2 untuk Indikator Pengenalan Pola	82
Gambar 4.12 Jawaban Siswa pada Nomor 2 untuk Indikator Algoritma.....	83
Gambar 4.13 Jawaban Siswa pada Nomor 3 untuk Indikator Abstraksi.....	84
Gambar 4.14 Jawaban Siswa pada Nomor 3 untuk Indikator Dekomposisi	85
Gambar 4.15 Jawaban Siswa pada Nomor 3 untuk Indikator Algoritma.....	87
Gambar 4.16 Jawaban Siswa Benar untuk Nomor 1.....	93
Gambar 4.17 Jawaban Siswa Salah untuk Nomor 1	94
Gambar 4.18 Jawaban Siswa Benar untuk Nomor 2.....	95
Gambar 4.19 Jawaban Siswa Salah untuk Nomor 2	95
Gambar 4.20 Jawaban Siswa Benar untuk Nomor 3.....	96
Gambar 4.21 Jawaban Siswa Salah untuk Nomor 3	97
Gambar 4.22 Jawaban Siswa pada Nomor 1 untuk Tahapan Memahami Masalah (<i>See</i>)	102
Gambar 4.23 Jawaban Siswa pada Nomor 1 untuk Tahapan Merencanakan Penyelesaian Masalah (<i>Plan</i>).....	102
Gambar 4.24 Jawaban Siswa pada Nomor 1 untuk Tahapan Melaksanakan Rencana (<i>Do</i>)	104
Gambar 4.25 Jawaban Siswa pada Nomor 1 untuk Tahapan Mengevaluasi Hasil (<i>Check</i>)	105
Gambar 4.26 Jawaban Siswa pada Nomor 2 untuk Tahapan Memahami Masalah (<i>See</i>)	106
Gambar 4.27 Jawaban Siswa pada Nomor 2 untuk Tahapan Merencanakan Penyelesaian Masalah (<i>Plan</i>).....	107
Gambar 4.28 Jawaban Siswa pada Nomor 2 untuk Tahapan Melaksanakan Rencana (<i>Do</i>)	108
Gambar 4.29 Jawaban Siswa pada Nomor 2 untuk Tahapan Mengevaluasi Hasil (<i>Check</i>)	109
Gambar 4.30 Jawaban Siswa pada Nomor 3 untuk Tahapan Memahami Masalah (<i>See</i>)	110
Gambar 4.31 Jawaban Siswa pada Nomor 3 untuk Tahapan Merencanakan Penyelesaian Masalah (<i>Plan</i>).....	110
Gambar 4.32 Jawaban Siswa pada Nomor 3 untuk Tahapan Melaksanakan Rencana (<i>Do</i>)	111

Gambar 4.33 Jawaban Siswa pada Nomor 3 untuk Tahapan Mengevaluasi Hasil (<i>Check</i>)	112
Gambar 4.34 Jawaban Subjek S17 pada Soal Nomor 1	116
Gambar 4.35 Jawaban Subjek S17 pada Soal Nomor 2	118
Gambar 4.36 Jawaban Subjek S17 pada Soal Nomor 3	121
Gambar 4.37 Jawaban Subjek S5 pada Soal Nomor 1	126
Gambar 4.38 Jawaban Subjek S5 pada Soal Nomor 2	128
Gambar 4.39 Jawaban Subjek S5 pada Soal Nomor 3	130
Gambar 4.40 Jawaban Subjek S18 pada Soal Nomor 1	135
Gambar 4.41 Jawaban Subjek S18 pada Soal Nomor 2	137
Gambar 4.42 Jawaban Subjek S18 pada Soal Nomor 3	139
Gambar 4.43 Jawaban Subjek S17 pada Soal Nomor 1	143
Gambar 4.44 Jawaban Subjek S17 pada Soal Nomor 2	146
Gambar 4.45 Jawaban Subjek S17 pada Soal Nomor 3	149
Gambar 4.46 Jawaban Subjek S5 pada Soal Nomor 1	154
Gambar 4.47 Jawaban Subjek S5 pada Soal Nomor 2	157
Gambar 4.48 Jawaban Subjek S5 pada Soal Nomor 3	159
Gambar 4.49 Jawaban Subjek S18 pada Soal Nomor 1	164
Gambar 4.50 Jawaban Subjek S18 pada Soal Nomor 3	166

DAFTAR LAMPIRAN

Lampiran 1. Kisi-kisi Penulisan Soal <i>Computational Thinking</i>	223
Lampiran 2. Kisi-kisi Penulisan Soal <i>Problem Solving</i>	227
Lampiran 3. Pedoman Penskoran Tes <i>Computational Thinking</i>	230
Lampiran 4. Pedoman Penskoran Tes <i>Problem Solving</i>	239
Lampiran 5. Kisi-kisi Penyusunan Pernyataan Angket <i>Self-Regulated Learning</i>	251
Lampiran 6. Pedoman Wawancara <i>Problem Solving</i>	258
Lampiran 7. Pedoman Wawancara <i>Computational Thinking</i>	260
Lampiran 8. Kisi-kisi Pedoman Wawancara <i>Self-Regulated Learning</i>	262
Lampiran 9. Lembar Validasi Instrumen Tes Soal <i>Computational Thinking</i>	263
Lampiran 10. Lembar Validasi Instrumen Tes Soal <i>Problem Solving</i>	279
Lampiran 11. Lembar Validasi Instrumen Angket <i>Self-Regulated Learning</i>	293
Lampiran 12. Lembar Validasi Instrumen Pedoman Wawancara Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i>	301
Lampiran 13. Lembar Validasi Instrumen Pedoman Wawancara Kemampuan <i>Problem Solving</i>	307
Lampiran 14. Lembar Validasi Instrumen Pedoman Wawancara <i>Self-Regulated Learning</i>	315
Lampiran 15. Soal Tes Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i>	323
Lampiran 16. Soal Tes Kemampuan <i>Problem Solving</i>	325
Lampiran 17. Angket <i>Self-Regulated Learning</i>	327
Lampiran 18. Daftar Subjek Penelitian.....	331
Lampiran 19. Sample Transkrip Wawancara <i>Self-Regulated Learning</i>	332
Lampiran 20. Sample Hasil Jawaban Siswa <i>Computational Thinking</i>	335
Lampiran 21. Sample Hasil Jawaban Siswa <i>Problem Solving</i>	336
Lampiran 22. Sample Hasil Jawaban Siswa <i>Self-Regulated Learning</i>	337
Lampiran 23. Surat Keputusan Pembimbing	339
Lampiran 24. Data Skor Jawaban Siswa dalam Soal <i>Computational Thinking</i>	342
Lampiran 25. Data Skor Jawaban Siswa dalam Soal <i>Problem Solving</i>	343
Lampiran 26. Data Skor Jawaban Siswa dalam Angket <i>Self Regulated Learning</i>	344

DAFTAR ISI

LEMBAR HAK CIPTA.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
LEMBAR PERNYATAAN BEBAS PLAGIARISME	iv
KATA PENGANTAR	v
UCAPAN TERIMAKASIH.....	vi
ABSTRAK.....	vii
<i>ABSTRACT.....</i>	viii
DAFTAR TABEL.....	ix
DAFTAR GAMBAR	x
DAFTAR LAMPIRAN.....	xii
DAFTAR ISI.....	xiii
BAB I PENDAHULUAN	1
1.1. Latar Belakang Masalah.....	1
1.2. Rumusan Masalah.....	7
1.3. Tujuan Penelitian	8
1.4. Manfaat Penelitian	8
BAB II TINJAUAN PUSTAKA	11
2.1 <i>Computational Thinking (CT)</i>	11
2.1.1. Definisi <i>Computational Thinking (CT)</i>	11
2.1.2. Indikator <i>Computational Thinking</i>	14
2.1.3. Faktor-Faktor yang Mempengaruhi <i>Computational Thinking</i>	18
2.2 <i>Problem Solving</i>	18
2.2.1. Pengertian <i>Problem Solving</i>	18
2.2.2. Indikator <i>Problem Solving</i>	19
2.2.3. Faktor-faktor yang Mempengaruhi <i>Problem Solving</i>	22
2.3 <i>Self-Regulated Learning (SRL)</i>	22
2.3.1. Pengertian <i>Self-Regulated Learning</i>	22
2.3.2. Indikator <i>Self-Regulated Learning</i>	25
2.3.3. Faktor-Faktor yang Mempengaruhi <i>Self-Regulated Learning</i>	29
2.4 Aljabar.....	30
2.4.1. Pengertian Aljabar.....	30
2.4.2. Bentuk Aljabar	31
2.4.3. Jenis-Jenis Operasi Pada bentuk Aljabar	33

2.5	Keterkaitan dan Perbedaan antara <i>Computational Thinking</i> dengan <i>Problem Solving</i>	35
2.6	Keterkaitan antara <i>Computational Thinking</i> dengan <i>Self-Regulated Learning</i>	38
2.7	Keterkaitan antara <i>Problem Solving</i> dengan <i>Self-Regulated Learning</i>	41
2.8	Keterkaitan antara <i>Computational Thinking</i> , <i>Problem Solving</i> dengan <i>Self-Regulated Learning</i>	41
2.9	Penelitian Yang Relevan.....	42
2.10	Definisi Operasional	45
2.11	Kerangka Berpikir.....	47
BAB III	METODE PENELITIAN	49
3.1	Desain Penelitian	49
3.2	Subjek dan Tempat Penelitian.....	50
3.3	Instrumen Penelitian	52
3.4	Prosedur Penelitian	57
3.5	Analisis Data	58
3.6	Keabsahan Data	60
3.7	Etika Penelitian	62
BAB IV	HASIL PENELITIAN	64
4.1	Data Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Siswa Pada Operasi Bentuk Aljabar	64
4.2	Data Kemampuan <i>Problem Solving</i> Siswa Pada Operasi Bentuk Aljabar	88
4.3	Data <i>Self-Regulated Learning</i>	113
4.3.1.	Data Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Siswa Berdasarkan <i>Self-Regulated Learning</i>	115
4.3.2.	Data Kemampuan <i>Problem Solving</i> Siswa Berdasarkan <i>Self-Regulated Learning</i>	142
4.4	Tes Hubungan <i>Computational Thinking</i> dan <i>Problem Solving</i>	170
BAB V	PEMBAHASAN	173
5.1	Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Siswa Pada Operasi Bentuk Aljabar ..	173
5.1.1.	Abstraksi	174
5.1.2.	Dekomposisi.....	175
5.1.3.	Pengenalan Pola	176
5.1.4.	Algoritma	177
5.2	Kemampuan <i>Problem Solving</i> Siswa Pada Operasi Bentuk Aljabar	179
5.2.1.	Tahap Memahami Masalah	179
5.2.2.	Tahap Merencanakan Langkah Penyelesaian Masalah	180

5.2.3. Tahap Melaksanakan Rencana	180
5.2.4. Tahap Mengevaluasi Hasil	181
5.3 Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Siswa Berdasarkan <i>Self-Regulated Learning</i>	182
5.3.1 Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Siswa yang Memiliki <i>Self-Regulated Learning</i> tinggi.....	182
5.3.2 Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Siswa yang Memiliki <i>Self-Regulated Learning</i> sedang.....	185
5.3.3 Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Siswa yang Memiliki <i>Self-Regulated Learning</i> rendah.....	186
5.4 Kemampuan <i>Problem Solving</i> Siswa Berdasarkan <i>Self-Regulated Learning</i>	188
5.4.1 Kemampuan <i>Problem Solving</i> Siswa yang Memiliki <i>Self-Regulated Learning</i> Tinggi	188
5.4.2 Kemampuan <i>Problem Solving</i> Siswa yang Memiliki <i>Self-Regulated Learning</i> Sedang	190
5.4.3 Kemampuan <i>Problem Solving</i> Siswa yang Memiliki <i>Self-Regulated Learning</i> Rendah.....	192
5.5 Hubungan Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> dan <i>Problem Solving</i> Siswa pada Materi Operasi Bentuk Aljabar	194
BAB VI PENUTUP	196
6.1. Kesimpulan	196
6.2. Saran	201
DAFTAR PUSTAKA	205
LAMPIRAN	222

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abdullaeva, L., & Koshanova, M. (2023). Improving students' thinking abilities by solving logical problems. *Q A Iasayı atyndaǵy Halyqaralyq qazaq-túrik ýniversitetiniň habarlary (fizika matematika informatika seruasy)*, 25(2), 7–17. <https://doi.org/10.47526/2023-2/2524-0080.01>
- Abdullah, A. H., Othman, M. A., Ismail, N., Abd Rahman, S. N. S., Mokhtar, M., & Zaid, N. M. (2019). Development of mobile application for the concept of pattern recognition in computational thinking for mathematics subject. Dalam *Proceedings of the 2019 IEEE International Conference on Engineering, Technology and Education (TALE)* (hlm. 1–9). <https://doi.org/10.1109/TALE48000.2019.9225910>
- Akil, W. N. H., & Matore, M. E. M (2023). Shocking truths about three factors that influenced computational thinking (I wish I learned earlier!). *International Journal of Academic Research in Progressive Education and Development*, 12(2). <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarped/v12-i2/17205>
- Akerkar, S. R. (2004). Analysis and visualization of time-varying data using the concept of 'activity modeling'. *Master's thesis, Dept. of Electrical and computer engineering-University of Arizona*.
- Alnashr, M. S., & Nuraini, L. (2022). Pengaruh keterampilan computational thinking guru madrasah ibtidaiyah dalam pembelajaran tematik berbasis kearifan lokal. *Kifah*, 1. <https://doi.org/10.35878/kifah>
- Alyani, F., & Ramadhina, A. L. (2022). The relation between self-regulated learning and mathematical problem-solving during Covid-19. *Journal of Education Research and Evaluation*, 6(4), 645–652. <https://doi.org/10.23887/jere.v6i4.47593>
- Amalina, I. K., & Vidákovich, T. (2023). Cognitive and socioeconomic factors that influence the mathematical problem-solving skills of students. *Heliyon*, 9(9). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e19539>
- Amin, N., Hakim, F., & Gazali, M (2022). Analisis kesulitan siswa kelas VII dalam memecahkan masalah matematika materi operasi bentuk aljabar. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika (Judika Education)*, 5(2), 176–186. <https://doi.org/10.31539/judika.v5i2.4998>
- Andhyka, A. (2018). Penerapan algoritma genetika pada permasalahan matematika. vol, 4, 23-29.
- Andreescu, T., & Andrica, D. (2014). Complex numbers in algebraic form. Dalam T. Andreescu & D. Andrica (Ed.), *Complex numbers from A to Z* (hlm. 1–30). Birkhäuser Boston. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-8176-8415-0_1
- Apriani, A., Ismarmiyati, I., Susilowati, D., Kartarina, K., & Suktiningsih, W. (2021). Penerapan computational thinking pada pelajaran matematika di Madrasah Ibtidaiyah Nurul Islam Sekarbela Mataram. *ADMA: Jurnal Pengabdian dan Pemberdayaan Masyarakat*, 1(2), 47–56. <https://doi.org/10.30812/adma.v1i2.1017>
- Ardiningtyas, M., Harahap, T. H., & Panggabean, E. M. (2023). Penerapan teori Piaget dalam pembelajaran matematika di sekolah menengah atas: studi kasus

- di sekolah SMA Negeri 3 Medan. *Tut Wuri Handayani: Jurnal Keguruan Dan Ilmu Pendidikan*, 2(2), 66-71. <https://doi.org/10.59086/jkip.v2i2.294>
- Arora, S., & Kaur, A. (2024). Role of problem-solving ability in promoting sustainable development. *Edumania*, 2, 158–164. <https://doi.org/10.59231/edumania/9044>
- Aspers, P., & Corte, U. (2021). What is qualitative in research. *Qualitative Sociology*, 44(4), 599–608. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11133-021-09497-w>
- Assaf, D., Adorni, G., Lutz, E., Negrini, L., Piatti, A., Mondada, F., Mangili, F., & Gambardella, L. M. (2024). The CTSkills app—Measuring problem decomposition skills of students in computational thinking. *arXiv*. <http://arxiv.org/abs/2411.14945>
- Baharullah, Satriani, S., Arriah, F., & Hidayah, A. (2022). Implementation of the Merdeka Belajar curriculum through the application of project-based learning models to improve student learning outcomes in mathematics learning. *MaPan*, 10(2), 334–347. <https://doi.org/10.24252/mapan.2022v10n2a6>
- Bakar, S. A., Salim, N. R., Ayub, A. F. M., & Gopal, K. (2021). Success indicators of mathematical problem-solving performance among Malaysian matriculation students. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 20(3), 97–116. <https://doi.org/10.26803/ijler.20.3.7>
- Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Prentice Hall.
- Bandura, A. (1994). Encyclopedia of mental health (Vol. 4). Academic Press. <http://www.des.emory.edu/mfp/BanEncy.html>
- Bayuningsih, A. S., Usodo, B., & Subanti, S. (2017). Analysis of junior high school students' problem-solving ability reviewed from self-regulated learning. *International Journal of Science and Applied Science: Conference Series*, 2(1), 51. <https://doi.org/10.20961/ijssacs.v2i1.16678>
- Bazhenova, I. V., Klunnikova, M. M., & Pak, N. I. (2022). An intelligent model to assess the level of the computational algorithmic component of students' computational thinking. *Informatika i obrazovanie*, 37(4), 71–79. <https://doi.org/10.32517/0234-0453-2022-37-4-71-79>
- Boccardo, M. E., & Meneghetti, R. C. G. (2023). Computational thinking and problem-solving methodology: Possible approaches in the context of mathematics teaching and learning. Dalam *Pathways to Knowledge: Exploring the Horizons of Education* (hlm. 517-536). Seven Editora. <https://doi.org/10.56238/ptoketheeducati-037>
- Brown, M., Nodyke, S., Thies, C. G., & Edward Elgar Publishing. (2022). *Teaching undergraduate political methodology*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Bruner, J. (1974). *Toward a theory of instruction*. Harvard University Press.
- Chaabi, H., Azmani, A., & Dodero, J. M. (2019). Analysis of the relationship between computational thinking and mathematical abstraction in primary education. Dalam *Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Technological Ecosystems for Enhancing Multiculturality* (hlm. 981–986). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3362789.3362881>

- Charitsis, C., Piech, C., & Mitchell, J. C. (2023). Detecting the reasons for program decomposition in CS1 and evaluating their impact. Dalam *SIGCSE 2023—Proceedings of the 54th ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education* (Vol. 1, hlm. 1014–1020). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3545945.3569763>
- Chen, P. P. (2023). Interactions between self-regulated learning and assessment for learning in an undergraduate introductory computer science course. *New Directions for Teaching and Learning*, 2023(174), 49–56. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tl.20548>
- Chongo, S., Osman, K., & Nayan, N. A. (2020). Level of computational thinking skills among secondary science student: Variation across gender and mathematics achievement. *Science Education International*, 31(2), 159–163. <https://doi.org/10.33828/sei.v31.i2.4>
- Christi, S. R. N., & Rajiman, W. (2023). Pentingnya berpikir komputasional dalam pembelajaran matematika. *Journal on Education*, 5(4), 12590–12598.
- Cohen, G., & Cohen, A. (2023). Mapping difficulties in problem-solving process during video-assisted self-regulated learning training for students: Introducing the PS-SOS MAP. *Ubiquity Proceedings*. <https://doi.org/10.5334/uproc.99>
- Cohen, G., & Cohen, A. (2024). Mapping educators' insights of student problem-solving difficulties while implementing video-assisted self-regulated learning training in classrooms. *European Journal of Open, Distance and E-Learning*, 26(S1), 20–34. <https://doi.org/10.2478/eurodl-2024-0005>
- Curzon, J. W. P., Marsh, W., & Sentance, S. (2016a). Abstraction and common classroom activities. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, 13–15 Oktober 2016, 112–113. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2978249.2978272>
- Curzon, J. W. P., Marsh, W., & Sentance, S. (2016b). Abstraction and common classroom activities. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, 13–15 Oktober 2016, 112–113. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2978249.2978272>
- Daud, F., & Danial, M. (2023). Pengembangan e-learning berbasis LMS computational thinking platform flipped classroom di SMA Negeri 18 Luwu Utara. *UJBE: Unnes Journal of Biology Education*, 6(2), 46–56. <https://doi.org/10.35580/ujbe.v6i2.45006>
- de Araújo, I. D. R., & Nóbrega, N. Y. F. L. (2023). Self-regulation of learning in higher education—An integrative review / Autorregulação da aprendizagem no ensino superior—Uma revisão integrativa. *Dialogia*, 8(2), 116–135. <https://doi.org/10.48017/dj.v8i22614>
- Demir, K., Çaka, C., Yaman, N. D., İslamoğlu, H., & Kuzu, A. (2022). Examining the current definitions of computational thinking. Dalam *Research Anthology on Computational Thinking, Programming, and Robotics in the Classroom* (hlm. 224–252). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-2411-7.ch011>
- Denning, P. J., & Tedre, M. (2021). Computational thinking for professionals. *Communications of the ACM*, 64(12), 30–33. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3491268>

- Denny, E., & Weckesser, A. (2022). How to do qualitative research?: Qualitative research methods. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, 129(7), 1166–1167. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.17150>
- Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (Eds.). (2011). *The Sage handbook of qualitative research*. sage.
- Dewey, J. (2024). *Democracy and education*. Columbia University Press.
- Diah Silvia, R., & Siska Pramasdyahsari, A. (2023). Analisis kemampuan computational thinking siswa pada materi aljabar ditinjau dari pemecahan masalah matematis. Dalam *Jurnal Pendidikan dan Riset Matematika* (Vol. 5, No. 2). <http://ejurnal.budiutomomalang.ac.id/index.php/prismatika>
- Diantary, V. A., & Akbar, B. (2022). Perbandingan keterampilan computational thinking antara sekolah dasar akreditasi A dengan sekolah dasar akreditasi B pada mata pelajaran matematika. *Jurnal Cendekia: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 6(3), 2749–2756. <https://doi.org/10.31004/cendekia.v6i3.1576>
- Dinh, C. T., & Phuong, H. Y. (2024). Examining student characteristics, self-regulated learning strategies, and their perceived effects on satisfaction and academic performance in MOOCs. *Electronic Journal of e-Learning*, 22(8), 41–59. <https://doi.org/10.34190/ejel.22.8.3446>
- Dong, X., Yuan, H., Xue, H., Li, Y., Jia, L., Chen, J., Shi, Y., & Zhang, X. (2024). Factors influencing college students' self-regulated learning in online learning environment: A systematic review. *Nurse Education Today*, 133, 106071. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEDT.2023.106071>
- Dougherty, M. V. (2021). The use of confidentiality and anonymity protections as a cover for fraudulent fieldwork data. *Research Ethics*, 17(4), 480-500. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17470161211018257> (Original work published 2021)
- Elicer, R., & Tamborg, A. L. (2023). A critical case study on the implementation of computational thinking in mathematics education. *Implementation and Replication Studies in Mathematics Education*, 3(1), 44–74. <https://doi.org/10.1163/26670127-bja10011>
- Elmawati. (2023). *Peningkatan computational thinking siswa SMP dengan project based learning berbantuan aplikasi Scratch ditinjau dari self-regulated learning* (Tesis, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia). <https://repository.upi.edu/114266/>
- Elstub, S., & Pomatto, G. (2022). Case study research. Dalam *Research Methods in Deliberative Democracy* (hlm. 406–419). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780192848925.003.0028>
- Elston, D. M. (2019). Presentation of data. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, 80(1), 55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2017.11.009>
- Fitriatien, S. R., & Mutianingsih, N. (2020). Peningkatan kemampuan belajar mandiri pada mata kuliah operasional riset melalui self regulated learning. *Jurnal Mosharafa: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 9(1). <http://journal.institutpendidikan.ac.id/index.php/mosharafa>
- Flavell, J. H. (1979). Metacognitive development. Dalam *Structural/Process Theories of Complex Human Behavior*. (hlm. 213–245). Springer.
- Follmer, D. J. (2023). Implementing a simple, scalable self-regulated learning intervention to promote graduate learners' statistics self-efficacy and concept

- knowledge. *Journal of Statistics and Data Science Education*, 31(1), 80–90. <https://doi.org/10.1080/26939169.2022.2040402>
- Fried, D., Legay, A., Ouaknine, J., & Vardi, M. Y. (2018). Sequential relational decomposition. Dalam *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM/IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science* (hlm. 432–441). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3209108.3209203>
- Fried, D., Legay, A., Ouaknine, J., & Vardi, M. Y. (2022). Sequential relational decomposition. *Logical Methods in Computer Science*, 18(1), 37:1–37:29. [https://doi.org/10.46298/LMCS-18\(1:37\)2022](https://doi.org/10.46298/LMCS-18(1:37)2022)
- Gentile, M., Nanda, W., Saputra, E., Nussbaumer, A., & Cloude, E. B. (2022). System design for using multimodal trace data in modeling self-regulated learning. *Frontiers in Education*, 7, Article 928632. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2022.928632>
- Gong, D., Yang, H. H., & Cai, J. (2020). Exploring the key influencing factors on college students' computational thinking skills through flipped-classroom instruction. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 17(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-020-00196-0>
- Goniwada, S.R. (2023). Datafication Analytics. In: Introduction to Datafication. Apress, Berkeley, CA. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4842-9496-3_3
- Govender, I. (2022). Research status in computational thinking in STEM education. (hlm. 1–20). *InTechOpen*. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.104472>
- Greckhamer, T., & Cilesiz, S. (2022). *Qualitative research: Foundations, approaches, and practices*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190224851.013.214>
- Greenwood, C. R., Walker, D., Carta, J. J., & Higgins, S. K. (2006). Developing a general outcome measure of growth in the cognitive abilities of children 1 to 4 years old: The early problem-solving indicator. *School Psychology Review*, 35(4), 535–551. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02796015.2006.12087960>
- Grover, S., & Pea, R. (2013). Computational thinking in K-12: A review of the state of the field. *Educational Researcher*, 42(1), 38–43. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X12463051>
- Gumanti, G., Maimunah, M., & Roza, Y. (2022a). Kemampuan pemecahan masalah matematis siswa SMP Kecamatan Bantan. *PRISMA*, 11(2), 310. <https://doi.org/10.35194/jp.v11i2.2301>
- Gunawan Supiarmo, M., Turmud, & Susanti, E. (2021). Proses berpikir komputasional siswa dalam menyelesaikan soal PISA konten change and relationship berdasarkan self-regulated learning. *Jurnal Numeracy*, 8(1), 58–72. <https://doi.org/10.46244/numeracy.v8i1.1378>
- Guo, J., & Di ng, Q. (2023). Self-Regulation, Motivation, Emotion, and Skill Mastery of Online Learning: New Evidence From a Survey During COVID-19. In Supporting Self-Regulated Learning and Student Success in Online Courses (pp. 238-254). IGI Global.

- Handayani, R. D., Prastowo, S. H. B., Prihandono, T., Nuraini, L., Supriadi, B., Maryani, M., Bektiarso, S., Lesmono, A. D. L., & Mahardika, I. K. (2022). Computational thinking: Students' abstraction on the concepts of kinematics. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 8(1), 114–118. <https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v8i1.1188>
- Hansen, N. K., & Hadjerrouit, S. (2021). Exploring students' computational thinking for mathematical problem-solving: A case study. Dalam *Proceedings of the International Association for Development of the Information Society (IADIS) International Conference on Cognition and Exploratory Learning in the Digital Age (CELDA)*, 18th Virtual Conference, 13–15 Oktober 2021 (hlm. 1–10). International Association for Development of the Information Society (IADIS). <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED621547>
- Hapizah, H., Mariela, A. M., & Mulyono, B. (2024). Assessing seventh-grade students' computational thinking skills through problem-based learning: Focus on integer addition and subtraction. *Journal of Honai Math*, 7(2), 197–214. <https://doi.org/10.30862/jhm.v7i2.560>
- Harahap, D. P. (2023). Meningkatkan self regulated learning pada siswa melalui strategi belajar berdasar regulasi diri. *Journal on Education*, 5(3), 7056–7068.
- Harding, S. M., English, N., Nibali, N., Griffin, P., Graham, L., Alom, B., & Zhang, Z. (2019). Self-regulated learning as a predictor of mathematics and reading performance: A picture of students in grades 5 to 8. *Australian Journal of Education*, 63(1), 74–97. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0004944119830153>
- Hariyani, L., Yurniwati, Y., & Utami, A. D. (2024). The effect of discovery learning in mathematics learning on computational thinking in terms of self-regulated learning (SRL) in student. *Jurnal Elementaria Edukasia*, 7(2), 2801–2814. <https://doi.org/10.31949/jee.v7i2.9551>
- Harris, K. R., Graham, S., MacArthur, C. A., Reid, R., & Mason, L. H. (2015). Self-regulated learning processes and children's writing. Dalam D. H. Schunk & B. J. Zimmerman (Eds.), *Handbook of self-regulation of learning and performance* (hlm. 142–158). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203839010.ch12>
- Hartawan, I. G. N. Y., Putri, L. H. A., & Mahayukti, G. A. (2024). Junior high school student's computational thinking ability in solving mathematical problems. *Jurnal Pedagogi dan Pembelajaran*, 7(1), 124–133. <https://doi.org/10.23887/jp2.v7i1.78001>
- Helbold, M. (2023). Problem-solving, process, and idea creation tools. Dalam M. Helbold (Ed.), *Virtual and innovative quality management across the value chain: Industry insights, case studies and best practices* (hlm. 193–204). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-30089-9_18
- Hidayat, R., Astuti, R., & Purnamasari, A. I. (2023). Implementasi algoritma K-nearest neighbor untuk mengestimasi computational thinking mahasiswa

- dalam pembelajaran hybrid (studi kasus STMIK IKMI Cirebon). *Jurnal Mahasiswa Teknik Informatika*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.36040/jati.v7i1.6504>
- Hidayat, T. K. (2022). Literasi matematis dan self-regulated learning siswa SMA dalam blended learning model berbantuan Google Classroom [Skripsi, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia]. <https://reader-repository.upi.edu/index.php/display/file/77315/4/13>
- Horton, N. J., & Hardin, J. S. (2021). Integrating computing in the statistics and data science curriculum: Creative structures, novel skills and habits, and ways to teach computational thinking. *Journal of Statistics and Data Science Education*, 29(S1), S1–S3. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10691898.2020.1870416>
- Hunutlu, Ş. (2023). Self-regulation strategies in online EFL/ESL learning: A systematic review. *SiSal Journal*, 14(2), 136–166. Kanda University of International Studies. <https://doi.org/10.37237/140203>
- Indrasari, D., & Sarjana, K. (2022). Efektivitas model pembelajaran problem solving dengan teori Bruner terhadap hasil belajar siswa kelas VII materi pecahan. *Journal of Mathematics Education and Application*, 2(1), 141–151. <https://mathjournal.unram.ac.id/index.php/Griya/indexGriya>
- Isharyadi, R., & Juandi, D. (2023). A systematics literature review of computational thinking in mathematics education: Benefits and challenges. *Formatif: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan MIPA*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.30998/formatif.v13i1.15922>
- Jayantika, G. A. N. T., Parwati, N. N., Sudhata, I. G. W., & Sudarma, I. K. (2024). The evaluate analysis of problem solving ability student and its causes: A case study in higher middle school student. *International Journal of Religion*, 5(11), 3977–3990. <https://doi.org/10.61707/ngr5y161>
- Jupri, A., & Drijvers, P. (2016). Student difficulties in mathematizing word problems in algebra. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 12(9), 2481–2502. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2016.1299a>
- Jusra, H., & Rahmawati, A. D. (2022). Analysis of students' mathematical reasoning abilities in terms of self-regulated learning. *Jurnal Inspirasi Pendidikan*, 12(2), 68–76. <https://doi.org/10.21067/jip.v12i2.7175>
- Srinivasa, K. G., Kurni, M., & Kuppala, S. (2022). *Learning, teaching, and assessment methods for contemporary learners: Pedagogy for the digital generation*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-6734-4>
- Kaput, J. J., Carraher, D. W., & Blanton, M. L. (2017). *Algebra in the early grades*. Routledge.
- Karim, A. (2023). Kemampuan pemecahan masalah calon guru SD ditinjau dari self regulated learning. *Journal on Education*, 5(4), 16581–16590. <http://jonedu.org/index.php/joe/article/view/2816/2401>

- Karla, D., Pandey, V. K., Rastogi, P., & Kumar, S. (2022). A comprehensive review on significance of problem-solving abilities in workplace. *World Journal of English Language*, 12(3), 88–95. <https://doi.org/10.5430/wjel.v12n3p88>
- Khiat, H., & Vogel, S. (2022). A self-regulated learning management system: Enhancing performance, motivation and reflection in learning. *Journal of University Teaching and Learning Practice*, 19(2), 43–59. <https://doi.org/10.53761/1.19.2.4>
- Kieran, C. (2014). Algebra teaching and learning. Dalam S. Lerman (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of mathematics education* (hlm. 27–32). Springer Netherlands. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-4978-8_6
- Kirana, D. L. (2022). Meningkatkan self regulated learning dengan model pembelajaran siklis sebagai modal dalam menghadapi era Society 5.0. *Indonesian Journal of Counseling and Development*, 4(2), 86–96. <https://doi.org/10.32939/ijcd.v4i2.1733>
- Koh, K. H., Basawapatna, A., Bennett, V., & Repenning, A. (2010). Towards the automatic recognition of computational thinking for adaptive visual language learning. Dalam *Proceedings of the 2010 IEEE Symposium on Visual Languages and Human-Centric Computing (VL/HCC)* (hlm. 59–66). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/VLHCC.2010.17>
- Lahiri, S. (2023). A qualitative research approach is an inevitable part of research methodology: An overview. *International Journal of Future Management Research*, 5(3). www.ijfmr.com
- Lalihatu, F., Mataheru, W., & Huwaa, N. C. (2023). Analisis pemecahan masalah bentuk aljabar pada siswa kelas VII SMP Negeri 19 Ambon. *Atom: Jurnal Riset Mahasiswa*, 1(2), 67–79. <https://doi.org/10.30598/atom.1.2.67-79>
- Leite, P. T., Ferreira Guarda, G., & Silveira, F. (2023). Building awareness about computational thinking as a research field through a graduate course for computer scientists: A metacognitive and self-regulated proposal. Dalam *Anais do XXXI Workshop sobre Educação em Computação (WEI 2023)*, João Pessoa, PB, Brasil (hlm. 224–234). Sociedade Brasileira de Computação. <https://doi.org/10.5753/wei.2023.230615>
- Li, G., Liu, X., & Hou, J. (2024). Research on the teaching model of interdisciplinary computational thinking cultivating from the perspective of problem-solving. Dalam J. Gan, Y. Pan, J. Zhou, D. Liu, X. Song, & Z. Lu (Ed.), *Computer Science and Educational Informatization* (hlm. 292–303). Springer Nature Singapore.
- Lipkovski, A., Muzika Dizdarević, M., & Odžak, A. (2024). Measuring conceptual knowledge of basic algebraic concepts. *Teaching of Mathematics*, 27(1), 33–51. <https://doi.org/10.57016/TM-NYKZ1813>
- Liu, S., Peng, C., & Srivastava, G. (2023). What influences computational thinking? A theoretical and empirical study based on the influence of learning engagement on computational thinking in higher education. *Computer*

- Applications in Engineering Education*, 31(6), 1690–1704. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cae.22669>
- Liu, Y., Xu, H., Chen, Q., & Zhan, P. (2022). The measurement of problem-solving competence using process data. *Advances in Psychological Science*, 30(3), 522–535. <https://doi.org/10.3724/sp.j.1042.2022.00522>
- Ma, H., Zhao, M., Wang, H., Wan, X., Cavanaugh, T. W., & Liu, J. (2021). Promoting pupils' computational thinking skills and self-efficacy: A problem-solving instructional approach. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 69(3), 1599–1616. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-021-10016-5>
- Maharani, P. P., Juandi, D., & Nurlaelah, E. (2024). Analisis kemampuan computational thinking peserta didik SMP dalam menyelesaikan masalah matematika ditinjau dari mathematical habits of mind. *SIGMA DIDAKTIKA: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 4(2), 255–263. <https://doi.org/10.17509/sigmadidaktika.v12i1.72518>
- Majeed, B. H., Jawad, L. F., & ALRikabi, H. T. S. (2022). Computational thinking (CT) among university students. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies (iJIM)*, 16(10), 244–252. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijim.v16i10.30043>
- Malo, M. W. (2024). Analisis kemampuan pemecahan masalah mahasiswa dalam matakuliah matematika diskrit pada materi fungsi. *Jurnal Arjuna: Publikasi Ilmu Pendidikan, Bahasa dan Matematika*, 2(2), 335–344. <https://doi.org/10.61132/arjuna.v2i2.702>
- Mardianti Asyhaer, D., Pramestie Wulandari, N., & Sarjana, K. (2023). Pengaruh self-regulated learning terhadap kemampuan pemecahan masalah perbandingan pada santriwati MTs. *JCAR (Jurnal Cendekia Akuntansi dan Riset) Education*, 5(Special Issue), 19–27. <https://doi.org/10.29303/jcar.v5iSpecialIssue.3676>
- Masaki, F. (2023). Self-regulated learning from a cultural psychology perspective: Shifting from strategy to process with the trajectory equifinality approach. *Human Arenas*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42087-023-00326-w>
- Maulana, A., Nuur, N., Yuniar, E., Retnowati, I., & Fuadin, A. (2023). Analisis kesulitan siswa dalam memahami konsep dan menyelesaikan soal aljabar. *Atmosfer: Jurnal Pendidikan, Bahasa, Sastra, Seni, Budaya, dan Sosial Humaniora*, 1(1), 22–33.
- Mayer, R. E. (2023). Problem solving. Dalam *International Encyclopedia of Education* (Edisi ke-4, hlm. 229–234). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818630-5.14023-0>
- Men, Q., Gimbert, B., & Cristol, D. (2023). The effect of self-regulated learning in online professional training. *International Journal of Mobile and Blended Learning*, 15(2), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.4018/IJMBL.318225>
- Molins, L. L., & García, E. C. (2023). How to embed SRL in online learning settings? Design through learning analytics and personalized learning design

- in Moodle. *Journal of New Approaches in Educational Research*, 12(1), 120–138. <https://doi.org/10.7821/naer.2023.1.1127>
- Mukhibin, A., & Juandi, D. (2023). The implementation of computational thinking on mathematics learning research: A systematic literature review. *Hipotenusa: Journal of Mathematical Society*, 5(1), 82–94. <https://doi.org/10.18326/hipotenusa.v5i1.9007>
- Mulcahy, C. A., Gagnon, J. C., Atkinson, V. S., & Miller, J. A. (2023). Self-regulated strategy development for algebra problem solving. *Teaching Exceptional Children*, 57(2), 104–114. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00400599231167816>
- Muniroh, A., Usodo, B., & Subanti, S. (2017). Algebraic form problem solving based on student abstraction ability. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 895(1), 012038. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/895/1/012038>
- Musliha, M., & Revita, R. (2021). Pengaruh model pembelajaran problem based learning terhadap kemampuan pemecahan masalah matematis ditinjau dari self regulated learning siswa. *JRPM (Jurnal Review Pembelajaran Matematika)*, 6(1), 68–82. <https://doi.org/10.15642/jrpm.2021.6.1.68-82>
- Nuraini. (2023). Dampak pembelajaran pendidikan agama Islam di sekolah inklusi dan sekolah luar biasa. *Adiba: Journal of Education*, 3(1), 129–140. <https://adisampublisher.org/index.php/adiba/article/view/258>
- Nuraisa, D., Saleh, H., & Raharjo, S. (2021). Profile of students' computational thinking based on self-regulated learning in completing Bebras tasks. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 5(2), 40–50.
- Nurfauziah, N., & Zanthy, L. S. (2019). Analisis kemampuan pemecahan masalah matematik siswa SMP pada materi bilangan bulat. *Journal on Education*, 1(2), 215–228. <https://doi.org/10.31004/joe.v1i2.49>
- Nurmasyitah, P., Salim, A., Fransiska, I., Daris, K., Suryani, K., & Manajemen Pendidikan Tinggi, M. (2023). Implementation Merdeka curriculum of learning to students' learning activities. *Jurnal Nasional Holistic Science*, 3(1), 39–44. <https://doi.org/10.30596/jcositte.v1i1.xxxx>
- Partee, B. H., Ter Meulen, A., & Wall, R. E. (1993). Basic concepts of algebra. Dalam B. H. Partee, A. Ter Meulen, & R. E. Wall (Ed.), *Mathematical methods in linguistics* (hlm. 247–253). Springer Netherlands. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-2213-6_9
- Parveen, A., Jan, S., Rasool, I., Waseem, R., & Bhat, R. A. (2023). Self-regulated learning. Dalam *Handbook of research on redesigning teaching, learning, and assessment in the digital era* (hlm. 388–414). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-8292-6.ch020>
- Pasterk, S., & Benke, G. (2024). Computational thinking for self-regulated learning. Dalam *Annual Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education (ITiCSE)*, 1, 640–645. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3649217.3653565>

- Pelánek, R., & Effenberger, T. (2023). The landscape of computational thinking problems for practice and assessment. *ACM Transactions on Computing Education*, 23(2), Article 23. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3578269>
- Peters-Burton, E. E., Cleary, T. J., & Kitsantas, A. (2018). Computational thinking in the context of science and engineering practices: A self-regulated learning approach. Dalam D. Sampson, D. Ifenthaler, J. M. Spector, & P. Isaías (Ed.), *Digital technologies: Sustainable innovations for improving teaching and learning* (hlm. 223–240). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-73417-0_13
- Piaget, J. (1952). *The origins of intelligence in children*. International Universities Press.
- Pintrich, P. R., & Zusho, A. (2002). The development of academic self-regulation: The role of cognitive and motivational factors. Dalam A. Wigfield & J. S. Eccles (Ed.), *Development of achievement motivation* (hlm. 249–284). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012750053-9/50012-7>
- Pitta-Pantazi, D., Chimonis, M., & Christou, C. (2020). Different types of algebraic thinking: An empirical study focusing on middle school students. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 18(5), 965–984. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-019-10003-6>
- Pizlo, Z. (2022). Problem solving: Definition of the main concepts. Dalam Z. Pizlo (Ed.), *Problem solving: Cognitive mechanisms and formal models* (hlm. 1–13). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009205603.002>
- Proctor, C. (2023). Computational thinking. Dalam R. J. Tierney, F. Rizvi, & K. Ercikan (Ed.), *International encyclopedia of education* (Edisi ke-4, hlm. 88–95). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818630-5.13078-7>
- Puran, R., Behzadi, M. H., Shahvarani, A., & Lotfi, F. H. (2017). The effects of training and other factors on problem solving in students. *European Journal of Contemporary Education*, 6(3), 448–460. <https://doi.org/10.13187/ejced.2017.3.448>
- Raimundo, A. J., & dos Santos, C. A. (2023). Computational thinking: Unplugged activities in elementary school. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Debates*, 4(1), 47–69. <https://doi.org/10.51249/jid.v4i01.1253>
- Ramadianti, A. A. (2023). Analisis global implementasi kurikulum Cambridge dalam dunia pendidikan. *Ecodunamika*, 4(2), 105–112. <https://ejournal.uksw.edu/ecodunamika/article/view/7144>
- Rana, D. S., Dimri, S. C., Malik, P., & Dhondiyal, S. A. (2022). Impact of computational thinking in engineering and K12 education. Dalam *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Inventive Computation Technologies (ICICT 2022)* (hlm. 697–701). IEEE.
- Rich, P. J., Egan, G., & Ellsworth, J. (2019). A framework for decomposition in computational thinking. Dalam *Proceedings of the 2019 ACM Conference on*

- Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education* (hlm. 416–421). ACM. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3304221.3319793>
- Rijali, A. (2019). Analisis Data Kualitatif. *Alhadharah: Jurnal Ilmu Dakwah*, 17(33), 81–95. <https://doi.org/10.18592/alhadharah.v17i33.2374>
- Riska, A., Gunur, B., Tamur, M., & Ramda, A. H. (2023). Pengaruh model pembelajaran problem solving berbantuan Geogebra terhadap kemampuan pemecahan masalah matematika. *Jurnal Cendekia: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 14(2), 1631–1642. <https://doi.org/10.31004/cendekia.v14i2.1761>
- Sampson, D. G., Spector, J. M., Ifenthaler, D., & Isaías, P. (2015). *Proceedings of the International Association for Development of the Information Society (IADIS) International Conference on Cognition and Exploratory Learning in the Digital Age (CELDA) (12th, Maynooth, Greater Dublin, Ireland, October 24–26, 2015)*. ERIC Clearinghouse. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED562348>
- Sanico, A. C. (2019). Causal effects of cognitive and affective factors on students' mathematical problem solving performance. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications (IJSRP)*, 9(9), 9391–9397. <https://doi.org/10.29322/ijrsp.9.09.2019.p9391>
- Sari, E. N. I., Nursanti, E. D. R., Fadholi, M., Aprinastuti, C., & Rismiyati, Y. (2023). Penerapan pendekatan computational thinking terhadap kemampuan pondasi pada materi energi dan perubahannya kelas 3 SD Negeri Bhaktikarya. *Edukasi: Jurnal Pendidikan Dasar*, 15(1), 76–86. <http://journal.ummg.ac.id/nju/index.php/edukasi/article/view/2625>
- Scherer, R., Siddiq, F., & Viveros, B. S. (2019). The cognitive benefits of learning computer programming: A meta-analysis of transfer effects. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 111(5), 764–792. <https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000314>
- Schunk, D. H., & Zimmerman, B. J. (2023). *Self-regulation of learning and performance: Issues and educational applications*. Routledge.
- Schwam, D. M., Commander, N., & Greenberg, D. (2022). Understanding self-regulated learning and its importance in online learning. Dalam *Research anthology on remote teaching and learning and the future of online education* (hlm. 1241–1264). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-7540-9.ch061>
- Selby, C. C. (2015). Relationships: Computational thinking, pedagogy of programming, and Bloom's taxonomy. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, 9–11 November 2015, 80–87. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2818314.2818315>
- Sembiring, F. S. E. (2022). Metacognition, achievement motivation and self regulated learning on working students. *International Journal of Research Publications*, 109(1), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.47119/ijrp1001091920223883>
- Sezer, H. B., & Namukasa, I. K. (2023). School and community practices of computational thinking in mathematics education through diverse

- perspectives. *Journal of Research in Science, Mathematics and Technology Education*, 6(SI), 137–160. <https://doi.org/10.31756/jrsmte.617si>
- Shabrina, P., Mostafavi, B., Dey Tithi, S., Chi, M., & Barnes, T. (2023). Learning problem decomposition-recomposition with data-driven Chunky Parsons Problems within an Intelligent Logic Tutor. *Zenodo*. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8115717>
- Sholihah, U., & Firdaus, A. I. (2023). Student's computational thinking ability in solving trigonometry problems in the review of self-regulated learning. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 9(2), 626–633. <https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v9i2.2821>
- Sidik, N. H. S., & Khusna, H. (2024). Analysis of computational thinking abilities of high school students based on self-regulated learning. *JTMT: Journal Tadris Matematika*, 5(1), 67–76. <https://doi.org/10.47435/jtmt.v5i1.2871>
- Silvia, R. D., & Pramasdyahsari, A. S. (2023). Analisis kemampuan computational thinking siswa pada materi aljabar ditinjau dari pemecahan masalah matematis. Dalam *Jurnal Pendidikan dan Riset Matematika* (Vol. 5, No. 2). <http://ejurnal.budiutomomalang.ac.id/index.php/prismatika>
- Sim, M. S., Siok, T. H., Shuang, G. C., Syed Ahmad, T. S. A., & Rahmat, N. H. (2023). Self-regulated learners: What drives them? *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 13(3). <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v13-i3/16476>
- Singh, B., & Kaunert, C. (2024). Computational thinking for innovative solutions and problem-solving techniques. Dalam *IGI Global* (hlm. 60–82). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-1974-1.ch004>
- Sirakaya, D. A. (2020). Investigating computational thinking skills based on different variables and determining the predictor variables. *Participatory Educational Research*, 7(2), 102–114. <https://doi.org/10.17275/per.20.22.7.2>
- Solehudin, S., Darhim, D., & Herman, T. (2024). Analisis kemampuan dekomposisi computational thinking siswa pada materi sistem persamaan linear dua variabel. *Jurnal Perspektif*, 8(2), 218. <https://doi.org/10.15575/jp.v8i2.304>
- Somad, N. A., Malay, M. N., & Wahyuni, C. (2022). Regulasi diri dalam belajar mahasiswa ditinjau dari orientasi tujuan dan kelekatan teman sebaya. *Jurnal Ilmiah Psyche*, 16(2), 61-82. <https://doi.org/10.33557/jpsyche.v16i2.2104>
- Stake, R., & Visse, M. (2023). Case study research. Dalam R. J. Tierney, F. Rizvi, & K. Ercikan (Ed.), *International Encyclopedia of Education* (Edisi ke-4, hlm. 85–91). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818630-5.11010-3>
- Su, L., Noordin, N., & Jeyaraj, J. J. (2023). Effectiveness of self-regulated learning intervention on foreign language learning at tertiary level: A systematic review. *World Journal of English Language*, 13(5), 403–411. <https://doi.org/10.5430/wjel.v13n5p403>
- Suarez Enciso, S., Yang, H. M., & Chacon Ugarte, G. (2024). *Skills for Life Series: Problem-Solving*. <https://doi.org/10.18235/0013098>

- Sugiyono, D. (2013). Metode penelitian pendidikan pendekatan kuantitatif, kualitatif dan R&D.
- Suhendri, H. (2011). Pengaruh kecerdasan matematis-logis dan kemandirian belajar terhadap hasil belajar matematika. Dalam *Jurnal Formatif* (Vol. 1, No. 1). <http://dx.doi.org/10.30998/formatif.v1i1.61>
- Sukmawati, R. A., Ridhani, M., Adini, M. H., Pramita, M., & Sari, D. P. (2021). Students' self-regulation learning ability in learning algebraic forms in wetland context with the help of interactive multimedia. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 758(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/758/1/012018>
- Sumartini, T., Rosyana, T., Afrilanto, M., Siliwangi, I., Terusan, J., & Sudirman, J. (2023). Analisis kemampuan pemecahan masalah matematis siswa SMP kelas VII dalam menyelesaikan soal materi aljabar. *Jurnal Pembelajaran Matematika Inovatif*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.22460/jpmi.v6i1.11637>
- Šumonja, M. (2023). Computational thinking in education – epistemology, pedagogy and politics. *Sociologija*, 65(1), 136–156. <https://doi.org/10.2298/SOC220401005S>
- Sun, Q., & Yang, Z. (2023). Implementing abstraction ability literacy in junior high school mathematics classroom teaching: Strategies to apply. *Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies*, 49(3), 522–528. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajess/2023/v49i31176>
- Supiarmo, M. G., Turmudi, & Susanti, E. (2021). Proses berpikir komputasional siswa dalam menyelesaikan soal PISA konten change and relationship berdasarkan self-regulated learning. *Jurnal Numeracy*, 8(1), 58–72. <https://doi.org/10.46244/numeracy.v8i1.1378>
- Surya, I., Perdana Sari, R., Rasio Henim, S., & Hanifah, P. (2022). Penerapan computational thinking pada materi percabangan dan perulangan untuk menyelesaikan permasalahan SMAN 5 Pekanbaru. *Dinamisia: Jurnal Pengabdian Kepada Masyarakat*, 6(3), 815–821. <https://doi.org/10.31849/dinamisia.v6i3.10020>
- Tafari, N., Murni, A., & Roza, Y. (2024). Analysis of students' ability to solve mathematical problems on contextual problems involving algebraic forms. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika dan IPA*, 15(3), 491–499. <https://doi.org/10.26418/jpmipa.v15i3.80590>
- Tao, X., Hanif, H., & Ebrahim, N. A. (2023). Emerging trends of self-regulated learning: A comprehensive bibliometric analysis. *World Journal of English Language*, 13(6), 252–269. <https://doi.org/10.5430/wjel.v13n6p252>
- Taufik, M., Inam, A., & Susanti, R. D. (2024). Computational thinking in mathematical problem solving: Pattern recognition. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary: Applied Business and Education Research*, 5(3), 791–797. <https://doi.org/10.11594/ijmaber.05.03.05>

- Tekdal, M. (2021). Trends and development in research on computational thinking. *Education and Information Technologies*, 26(5), 6499–6529. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10617-w>
- Thompson, W. B., & Fisher-Thompson, D. (2013). Analyzing data from studies depicted on video: An activity for statistics and research courses. *Teaching of Psychology*, 40(2), 139-142. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0098628312475035>
- Tim Gakko Tosho. (2021). *Matematika untuk Sekolah Menengah Pertama kelas VII* (Vol. 2). Pusat Kurikulum dan Perbukuan, Badan Penelitian dan Pengembangan dan Perbukuan Kementerian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan, Jalan Gunung Sahari Raya No. 4, Jakarta Pusat.
- Ting, K. S., Talib, O., Mohd Ayub, A. F., Zolkepli, M., Yee, C. C., & Hoong, T. C. (2023). Word problems as a vehicle for teaching computational thinking. *International Journal of Academic Research in Progressive Education and Development*, 12(1), 88–101. <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarped/v12-i1/16543>
- Tingting, W., Alejandra, R.-S., Shan, L., Susanne, P., & Lajoie. (2024). The relationship between students' self-regulated learning behaviours and problem-solving efficiency in technology-rich learning environments. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.13043>
- Torre, D., & Daley, B. J. (2023). Cyclical phases and processes of self-regulated learning. *Medical Teacher*, 45(5), 464–465. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2023.2186342>
- Trisnawati, A. (2018). Self regulated learning mahasiswa pada pembelajaran kooperatif STAD dipadu dengan blended learning dalam matakuliah kimia analisis instrumentasi. *Jurnal Pijar MIPA*, 13(1), 6–12. <https://doi.org/10.29303/jpm.v13i1.409>
- Tupouniuua, J. G. (2023). What challenges emerge when students engage with algorhythmatizing tasks? *Journal of Pedagogical Research*, 7(2), 93–107. <https://doi.org/10.33902/jpr.202318518>
- Tykhonova, T. V., & Koshkina, H. L. (2018). Computational thinking as a modern educational trend. *Open Educational E-environment of Modern University*, 5, 45–58.
- Udvaros, J., Forman, N., & Avornicului, M. S. (2023). Developing computational thinking with microcontrollers in Education 4.0. *International Journal of Advanced Natural Sciences and Engineering Researches*, 4(4), 103–108. <https://doi.org/10.59287/ijanser.2023.7.4.563>
- Umbara, U. (2017). Implikasi teori belajar konstruktivisme dalam pembelajaran matematika. *JUMLAHKU: Jurnal Matematika Ilmiah Universitas Muhammadiyah Kuningan*, 3(1), 31-38
- Usiskin, Z. (2015). What does it mean to understand some mathematics? Dalam J. R. Wang (Ed.), *Mathematics education* (hlm. 469–480). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-17187-6_46

- van Borkulo, S. P., Chytas, C., Drijvers, P., Barendsen, E., & Tolboom, J. (2023). Spreadsheets in secondary school statistics education: Using authentic data for computational thinking. *Digital Experiences in Mathematics Education*, 9(3), 420–443. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40751-023-00126-5>
- Voon, X. P., Wong, S. L., Wong, L. H., Khambari, M. N. M., & Syed-Abdullah, S. I. S. (2022). Developing computational thinking competencies through constructivist argumentation learning: A problem-solving perspective. *International Journal of Information and Education Technology*, 12(6), 529–539. <https://doi.org/10.18178/ijiet.2022.12.6.1650>
- Vu, A. D., Kehrer, T., & Tsigkanos, C. (2022, October). Outcome-preserving input reduction for scientific data analysis workflows. In *Proceedings of the 37th IEEE/ACM International Conference on Automated Software Engineering* (pp. 1-5). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3551349.3559558>
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes* (Vol. 86). Harvard University Press.
- Wang, Y., Xu, Z. L., Lou, J. Y., & Chen, K. D. A. (2023). Factors influencing the complex problem-solving skills in reflective learning: Results from partial least square structural equation modeling and fuzzy set qualitative comparative analysis. *BMC Medical Education*, 23(1), Article 123. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-023-04326-w>
- Wasito, H. (1997). *Pengantar Metodologi Penelitian: buku panduan mahasiswa*. PT Gramedia Pustaka Utama.
- Weintrop, D., Beheshti, E., Horn, M., Orton, K., Jona, K., Trouille, L., & Wilensky, U. (2016). Defining computational thinking for mathematics and science classrooms. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 25(1), 127–147. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-015-9581-5>
- Werdiningsih, E., & Abdul Hamid, B. (2022). Lima pendekatan dalam penelitian kualitatif. *LIKHITAPRAJNA Jurnal Ilmiah*, 24(1), 39-50.
- Widhagdha, M. F., & Ediyono, S. (2022). Case study approach in community empowerment research in Indonesia. *Indonesian Journal of Social Responsibility Review (IJSRR)*, 1(1), 71–76.
- Widiyawati, S., Utari, F. D., Aprinastuti, C., & Setyaningsih, T. W. (2022). Pembelajaran matematika berbasis computational thinking pada materi bangun ruang. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 9(2), 77–85.
- Winne, P. H. (2022). Learning analytics for self-regulated learning. Dalam *The handbook of learning analytics* (hlm. 78–85). SOLAR. <https://doi.org/10.18608/hla22.008>
- Wu, T. T., Asmara, A., Huang, Y. M., & Permata Hapsari, I. (2024). Identification of problem-solving techniques in computational thinking studies: Systematic literature review. *SAGE Open*, 14(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440241249897>
- Yokuş, E., & Kahramanoglu, R. (2022). An overview of computational thinking. *Anemon Muş Alparslan Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi*, 10(1), 157–173. <https://doi.org/10.18506/anemon.1033403>

- Yu-Shattuck, S. X. (2009). Connectness indicators and the prediction of problem solving success. *Investigations in Mathematics Learning*, 1(3), 27–48. <https://doi.org/10.1080/24727466.2009.11790285>
- Zacharis, K. V., & Niros, A. D. (2020). Computational thinking: Activities. In *Handbook of Research on Tools for Teaching Computational Thinking in P-12 Education* (pp. 140-158). IGI Global.
- Zakaria, N. I., & Iksan, Z. H. (2020). Computational thinking among high school students. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 8(11A), 9–16. <https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2020.082102>
- Zakaria, Z., & Latif, A. A. (2023). Assessment strategies in empowering self-regulated learning in higher education (hlm. 323–337). <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-6076-4.ch018>
- Zhang, S., & Wong, G. K. W. (2023). Exploring the association between computational thinking and cognitive abilities of elementary students: A preliminary study. *Communications in Computer and Information Science*, 1813 CCIS, 22–32. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-2449-3_3
- Zhao, M., & Hu, W. (2022). A practical research on the training of middle school students' computational thinking based on problem solving in systematic information process view. *2022 3rd International Conference on Education, Knowledge and Information Management (ICEKIM)*, 1037–1040. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICEKIM55072.2022.00225>
- Zhou, Q., Luo, X., Dong, Y., Khalid, F., & Ma, H. (2023). The effectiveness of self-directed learning in developing computational thinking of middle school students. *Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Education Technology and Computers*, 403–406. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3572549.3572613>
- Zimmerman, B. J. (2000). Attaining self-regulation: A social cognitive perspective. Dalam M. Boekaerts, P. R. Pintrich, & M. Zeidner (Ed.), *Handbook of self-regulation* (hlm. 13–39). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012109890-2/50031-7>
- Zimmerman, B. J. (2002). Becoming a self-regulated learner: An overview. *Theory Into Practice*, 41(2), 64–70. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip4102_2
- Zuod, R., & Namukasa, I. (2023). Computational thinking workshop: A new way to learn and teach mathematics. *Journal of Research in Science, Mathematics and Technology Education*, 6(2), 99–119. <https://doi.org/10.31756/jrsmte.624>