

PENGEMBANGAN *REFUTATIONAL TEXTS* BERBANTUAN *AUGMENTED
REALITY* (RefTaR) UNTUK MENGUBAH KONSEPSI DAN MODEL
MENTAL PADA MATERI LISTRIK STATIS

TESIS

diajukan untuk memenuhi sebagian syarat memperoleh gelar Magister
Program Pendidikan Studi Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam



Oleh:
Mohd Zaidi Bin Amiruddin
NIM 2310958

PROGRAM MAGISTER PENDIDIKAN ILMU PENGETAHUAN ALAM
FAKULTAS PENDIDIKAN MATEMATIKA DAN ILMU PENGETAHUAN ALAM
UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN INDONESIA

2025

PENGEMBANGAN *REFUTATIONAL TEXTS* BERBANTUAN *AUGMENTED
REALITY* (RefTaR) UNTUK MENGUBAH KONSEPSI DAN MODEL
MENTAL PADA MATERI LISTRIK STATIS

Oleh
Mohd Zaidi Bin Amiruddin
S.Pd. Universitas Negeri Surabaya 2023

Sebuah tesis yang diajukan untuk memenuhi salah satu syarat memperoleh gelar
Magister (M.Pd.) pada Fakultas Pendidikan Matematika dan IPA

© Mohd Zaidi Bin Amiruddin
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia
Januari 2025

Hak Cipta dilindungi undang-undang.
Tesis ini tidak boleh diperbanyak seluruhnya atau sebagian
dengan dicetak ulang, fotokopi, atau cara lainnya tanpa izin dari penulis.

HALAMAN PENGESAHAN TESIS

MOHD ZAIDI BIN AMIRUDDIN

PENGEMBANGAN *REFUTATIONAL TEXTS* BERBANTUAN *AUGMENTED REALITY* (RefTaR) UNTUK MENGUBAH KONSEPSI DAN MODEL MENTAL PADA MATERI LISTRIK STATIS

Disetujui Oleh:

Pembimbing I

 11/10/15

Dr. Achmad Samsudin, M.Pd.
NIP. 198310072008120104

Pembimbing II



Prof. Dr. Andi Suhandi, M.Si.
NIP. 196908171994031003

Penguji I



Prof. Dr. Ida Kaniawati, M.Si.
NIP. 196807031992032001

Penguji II



Dr. Eka Cahya Prima, M.T.
NIP. 199006262014041001

Mengetahui,

Ketua Program Studi Pendidikan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam FPMIPA UPI



Prof. Dr. Phil. Ari Widodo, M.Ed.
NIP. 196705271992031001

UCAPAN TERIMA KASIH

Puji syukur penulis persembahkan kepada kehadiran Tuhan Yang Maha Esa karena berkat rahmat dan kurnia-Nya, penulis mampu menyelesaikan tesis yang berjudul “Pengembangan *Refutational Texts* Berbantuan *Augmented Reality* (RefTaR) untuk Mengubah Konsepsi dan Model Mental Pada Materi Listrik Statis” dengan baik.

Penyusunan tesis ini dapat terlaksana dengan baik berkat dukungan dari banyak pihak. Pada kesempatan ini penulis mengucapkan terima kasih kepada:

1. Dr. Achmad Samsudin, M.Pd. selaku dosen Pembimbing Akademik sekaligus Pembimbing I yang senantiasa membimbing mengarahkan penulis dengan penuh kesabaran selama perkuliahan dan penyusunan tesis ini.
2. Prof. Dr. Andi Suhandi, M.Si. selaku dosen Pembimbing II yang senantiasa membimbing mengarahkan penulis dengan penuh kesabaran selama perkuliahan dan penyusunan tesis ini.
3. Prof. Dr. Ida Kaniawati, M.Si. dan Dr. Eka Cahya Prima, M.T. selaku dosen penguji yang telah memberikan saran dan masukan untuk menyempurnakan tesis ini.
4. Prof. Dr. Phil. Ari Widodo, M.Ed. selaku Ketua Program Studi Pendidikan IPA, seluruh dosen, dan staf Tata Usaha yang telah memberikan izin dalam menyelesaikan tesis ini.
5. Amiruddin dan Mariam kedua orang tua penulis yang selalu memberikan dukungan dan doa.
6. Keluarga yang selalu memberikan dukungan, semangat serta doa untuk kelancaran selama perkuliahan.
7. Program Pendidikan Magister menuju Dokter untuk Sarjana Unggul (PMDSU) Batch VII yang telah memberikan beasiswa selama perkuliahan.
8. Mr. Hasan Firdaus, S.Kom., M.Pd. selaku kepala SMAS Permata Insani Islamic School Tangerang Banten yang telah memberikan izin untuk melakukan penelitian.
9. Bapak/Ibu Guru di SMAS Permata Insani Islamic School Tangerang Banten yang telah memberikan semangat dan dorongan untuk menyelesaikan tesis ini.

10. Teman-teman S2 dan S3 FPMIPA UPI yang telah memberikan semangat dan ruang diskusi sehingga tesis ini dapat terselesaikan.
11. Widyana Zuhrotun Nisa' yang telah mengerti dan memahami akan kesibukkan penulis sampai dengan tesis ini selesai.
12. Semua pihak yang telah membantu dan terlibat dalam proses penyelesaian tesis ini yang tidak dapat penulis sebutkan satu per satu.

Penulis menyadari bahwa penyusunan tesis ini tidak luput dari berbagai kekurangan. Penulis mengharapkan kritik dan saran yang membangun demi kesempurnaan dan perbaikan tesis ini.

Bandung, 23 Januari 2025

Penulis



Mohd Zaidi Bin Amiruddin
NIM.2301958

PENGEMBANGAN *REFUTATIONAL TEXTS* BERBANTUAN *AUGMENTED REALITY* (RefTaR) UNTUK MENGUBAH KONSEPSI DAN MODEL MENTAL PADA MATERI LISTRIK STATIS

Mohd Zaidi Bin Amiruddin

2310958

Pembimbing I: Dr. Achmad Samsudin, M.Pd.

Pembimbing II: Prof. Dr. Andi Suhandi, M.Si.

Prodi Magister Pendidikan IPA FPMIPA UPI

ABSTRAK

Penelitian ini bertujuan menghasilkan produk *Refutational Texts* berbantuan *Augmented Reality* (RefTaR) yang valid dan teruji untuk mengubah konsepsi dan model mental peserta didik SMA pada materi listrik statis. *Educational Design Research* digunakan sebagai metode penelitian yang mengacu pada model pengembangan ADDIE. Analisis kebutuhan pengembangan RefTaR dilakukan dengan meninjau artikel dan studi lapangan. Desain pengembangan dilakukan dengan membuat rancangan bahan belajar RefTaR, Aplikasi RefTaR, dan visualisasi AR dan video 3D. Pengembangan dilakukan sesuai dengan hasil rancangan yang telah dibuat dan kemudian dilakukan validasi oleh ahli untuk melihat kelayakan RefTaR. *Pretest-posttest randomized experimental design* dilakukan untuk memilih kelas eksperimen dan kontrol. Pemetaan konsepsi dan model mental peserta didik masing-masing menggunakan instrumen *four-tier conception test* dan instrumen *level of understanding*. Implementasi dilakukan dengan *purposive sampling* pada peserta didik yang mengalami miskonsepsi dan model mental *initial-synthetic* pada tahap *pretest*. Pada kelas eksperimen menggunakan RefTaR dan pada kelas kontrol menggunakan *Refutational Texts*. Hasil penelitian ini menunjukkan bahwa RefTaR (kelas eksperimen) memberikan dampak lebih baik ditinjau dari efektivitas dibandingkan *Refutational Texts* (kelas kontrol) dalam pengubahan konsepsi dan model mental peserta didik pada konsep listrik statis. Hal ini menunjukkan bahwa bahan belajar RefTaR memiliki potensi besar dalam membantu peserta didik untuk mengubah konsepsi dan model mental.

Kata kunci: *Augmented Reality*, Pengubahan Konsepsi, Listrik statis, Model mental, RefTaR

DEVELOPMENT OF *AUGMENTED REALITY*-ASSISTED *REFUTATIONAL TEXTS* (RefTaR) TO CHANGING CONCEPTIONS AND MENTAL MODELS ON STATIC ELECTRICITY MATERIALS

Mohd Zaidi Bin Amiruddin

2310958

1st Supervisor: Dr. Achmad Samsudin, M.Pd.

2nd Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Andi Suhandi, M.Si.

Magister of Science Education Study Program FPMIPA UPI

ABSTRACT

This study aimed to produce Refutational Texts assisted by Augmented Reality (RefTaR) products that are valid and tested to change the conceptions and mental models of high school students on static electricity material. Educational Design Research is used as a research method that refers to the ADDIE development model. The analysis of RefTaR development needs was carried out by reviewing articles and field studies. The development design was carried out by designing RefTaR learning materials, RefTaR applications, and AR visualizations and 3D videos. Development was carried out in accordance with the results of the design that had been made and then validated by experts to see the feasibility of RefTaR. Pretest-posttest randomized experimental design was conducted to select experimental and control classes. The mapping of conceptions and mental models of students respectively used a four-tier conception test instrument and a level of understanding instrument. Implementation was carried out by purposive sampling on students who experienced misconceptions and initial-synthetic mental models at the pretest stage. The experimental class used RefTaR and the control class used Refutational Texts. The results of this study indicate that RefTaR (experimental class) has a better impact in terms of effectiveness than Refutational Texts (control class) in changing students' conceptions and mental models on the concept of static electricity. This shows that RefTaR learning materials have great potential in helping students to change conceptions and mental models.

Keywords: Augmented Reality, Alteration Conception, Model Mental, RefTaR, Static electricity

PERNYATAAN BEBAS PLAGIARISME

Saya yang bertanda tangan di bawah ini:

Nama : Mohd Zaidi Bin Amiruddin

NIM : 2310958

Program Studi : S2-Pendidikan IPA

Judul Karya : Pengembangan *Refutational Texts* Berbantuan *Augmented Reality* (RefTaR) untuk Mengubah Konsepsi dan Model Mental Pada Materi Listrik Statis

Dengan ini menyatakan bahwa karya tulis ini merupakan hasil kerja saya sendiri. Saya menjamin bahwa seluruh isi karya ini, baik sebagian maupun keseluruhan, bukan merupakan plagiarisme dari karya orang lain, kecuali pada bagian yang telah dinyatakan dan disebutkan sumbernya dengan jelas.

Jika di kemudian hari ditemukan pelanggaran terhadap etika akademik atau unsur plagiarisme, saya bersedia menerima sanksi sesuai peraturan yang berlaku di Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia.

Bandung, 23 Januari 2025
Yang menyatakan,



Mohd Zaidi Bin Amiruddin
NIM.2301958

DAFTAR ISI

Halaman

LEMBAR HAK CIPTA.....	ii
HALAMAN PENGESAHAN TESIS	iii
ABSTRAK	vi
ABSTRACT	vii
PERNYATAAN BEBAS PLAGIARISME	viii
DAFTAR ISI.....	ix
DAFTAR TABEL	xi
DAFTAR GAMBAR.....	xiv
BAB I PENDAHULUAN.....	1
1.1 Latar Belakang Penelitian.....	1
1.2 Rumusan Masalah Penelitian.....	13
1.3 Tujuan Penelitian	14
1.4 Manfaat Penelitian	14
1.5 Definisi Operasional	15
BAB II KAJIAN PUSTAKA	18
2.1 <i>Refutational Texts Berbantuan Augmented Reality (RefTaR)</i>	18
2.2 Pengubahan Konsepsi	24
2.3 Pengubahan Model Mental	33
2.4 <i>Remedial Teaching</i> Menggunakan RefTaR.....	37
2.5 Tinjauan Konsep Listrik Statis	38
2.6 Kajian Miskonsepsi pada Materi Listrik Statis.....	44
2.7 Kerangka Pikir Penelitian	46
BAB III METODE PENELITIAN	48
3.1. Metode Penelitian	48
3.2. Model Pengembangan Produk.....	48
3.3. Tahapan Pengembangan RefTaR dengan Model ADDIE	49
3.4. Instrumen Penelitian	54
3.5. Teknik Analisis Data	69
BAB IV HASIL PENELITIAN.....	79

4.1. Karakteristik RefTaR untuk Mengubah Konsepsi dan Model Mental Peserta Didik.....	79
4.2. Kelayakan RefTaR untuk Mengubah Konsepsi dan Model Mental Peserta Didik.....	94
4.3. Pengubahan Konsepsi Peserta Didik SMA Menggunakan RefTaR dan <i>Refutational Texts</i>	100
4.4. Pengubahan Model Mental Peserta Didik SMA Menggunakan RefTaR ...	182
4.5. Efektivitas RefTaR dibanding dengan <i>Refutational Texts</i> dalam Mengubah Konsepsi dan Model Mental Peserta Didik	216
4.6. Respon Peserta didik Terhadap Penggunaan RefTaR pada Kelas Eksperimen	232
BAB V PEMBAHASAN	237
5.1. Karakteristik RefTaR untuk Mengubah Konsepsi dan Model Mental Peserta Didik.....	237
5.2. Kelayakan RefTaR untuk Mengubah Konsepsi dan Model Mental Peserta Didik.....	240
5.3. Pengubahan Konsepsi Peserta Didik SMA Menggunakan RefTaR.....	242
5.4. Pengubahan Model Mental Peserta Didik SMA Menggunakan RefTaR ...	258
5.5. Efektivitas RefTaR Dibanding dengan <i>Refutational Texts</i> Dalam Mengubah Konsepsi dan Model Mental Peserta Didik	270
5.6. Respon Peserta Didik Terhadap Penggunaan RefTaR dan <i>Refutational Texts</i> pada Mata Pelajaran Fisika	273
BAB VI KESIMPULAN, REKOMENDASI, DAN IMPLIKASI	276
6.1. Kesimpulan.....	276
6.2. Rekomendasi.....	279
6.3. Implikasi	279
DAFTAR PUSTAKA	280
DAFTAR LAMPIRAN	306

DAFTAR TABEL

Halaman

Tabel 1.1. Miskonsepsi atau Kesulitan Peserta Didik pada Materi Listrik Statis	5
Tabel 1.2. Profil Miskonsepsi Peserta Didik pada Materi Listrik Statis	6
Tabel 1.3. Profil Model Mental Peserta Didik pada Materi Listrik Statis	6
Tabel 2.1. Perbedaan <i>Refutation Texts</i> dan <i>Refutational Texts</i>	20
Tabel 2.2. Kategori <i>Four-Tier Conception Test</i>	30
Tabel 2.3. Kategori Perubahan Konsepsi Peserta Didik	31
Tabel 2.4. Kategori Perubahan Konsepsi Peserta Didik	32
Tabel 2.5. Rubrik Evaluasi Model Mental Secara Deskriptif dengan Metode SSI	34
Tabel 2.6. Rubrik Evaluasi Model Mental Secara Visualisasi dengan Metode SSI	34
Tabel 2.7. Metode Evaluasi Model Mental Menggunakan SSI	36
Tabel 2.8. Kategori Perubahan Model Mental	36
Tabel 2.9. Karakteristik Mata Pelajaran Fisika pada Fase F Kurikulum Merdeka	38
Tabel 2.10. Interaksi Antar Partikel	40
Tabel 2.11. Interaksi Antara Benda	41
Tabel 2.12. Deret Tribolistrik	42
Tabel 2.13. Sebaran Miskonsepsi atau Kesulitan yang Terjadi pada Siswa	44
 Tabel 3.1. Matriks Penelitian RefTaR	 54
Tabel 3.2. Hasil Validasi Instrumen <i>Four-Tier Conceptions Test</i>	58
Tabel 3.3. Hasil Validasi Instrumen <i>Level of Understanding</i> (Model Mental) ..	60
Tabel 3.4. Interpretasi Persentase Reliabilitas Instrumen	63
Tabel 3.5. Uji Coba Pertama (<i>Test</i>) Instrumen <i>Four-Tier Conception Test</i>	64
Tabel 3.6. Uji Coba Kedua (<i>Retest</i>) Instrumen <i>Four-Tier Conception Test</i>	65
Tabel 3.7. Interpretasi Tingkat Reliabilitas Instrumen <i>Four-Tier Conception Test</i>	66
Tabel 3.8. Uji Coba Pertama (<i>Test</i>) Instrumen <i>Level of Understanding</i>	67
Tabel 3.9. Uji Coba Kedua (<i>Retest</i>) Instrumen <i>Level of Understanding</i>	67
Tabel 3.10. Interpretasi Persentase Reliabilitas <i>Level of Understanding</i>	68
Tabel 3.11. Interpretasi Skor Kelayakan RefTaR	70
Tabel 3.12. Kategori Konsepsi Peserta Didik	70
Tabel 3.13. Kategori Perubahan Konsepsi Peserta Didik	72
Tabel 3.14. Kategori Perubahan Konsepsi Peserta Didik	73

Tabel 3.15. Rubrik Evaluasi Model Mental Secara Deskriptif dengan Metode SSI	73
Tabel 3.16. Rubrik Evaluasi Model Mental Secara Visualisasi dengan Metode SSI	74
Tabel 3.17. Metode Evaluasi Model Mental Menggunakan SSI	75
Tabel 3.18. Kategori Perubahan Model Mental	76
Tabel 3.19. Kriteria Efektivitas RefTaR	77
Tabel 3.20. Kriteria Interpretasi Respon Peserta Didik	78
Tabel 3.21. Interpretasi Respon Peserta Didik.....	78
Tabel 4.1. Aspek Kelayakan Isi RefTaR.....	95
Tabel 4.2. Aspek Kualitas Media RefTaR	96
Tabel 4.3. Aspek Kebahasaan	97
Tabel 4.4. Aspek Penyajian.....	98
Tabel 4.5. Aspek Kepraktisan	98
Tabel 4.6. Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Partikel Proton (Eks).....	101
Tabel 4.7. Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Partikel Elektron (Eks).....	103
Tabel 4.8. Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Partikel Neutron (Eks)	105
Tabel 4.9. Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Benda Bermuatan Listrik Positif (Eks).....	107
Tabel 4.10. Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Benda Bermuatan Listrik Negatif (Eks)	109
Tabel 4.11. Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Benda Netral (E).....	111
Tabel 4.12. Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Partikel Proton dengan Partikel Neutron (Eks)	113
Tabel 4.13. Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Partikel Elektron dengan Partikel Neutron (Eks)	115
Tabel 4.14. Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Partikel Neutron dengan Partikel Neutron (Eks)	117
Tabel 4.15. Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Partikel Atom Netral dengan Partikel Atom Netral (Eks)	119
Tabel 4.16. Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Molekul dengan Molekul (Eks).....	121
Tabel 4.17. Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Benda Netral dengan Benda Bermuatan Listrik (Eks)	123
Tabel 4.18. Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Gaya Coulomb (Eks)	125
Tabel 4.19. Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Partikel Proton (Ktrl)	128
Tabel 4.20. Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Partikel Elektron (Ktrl).....	130
Tabel 4.21. Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Partikel Neutron (Ktrl).....	132
Tabel 4.22. Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Benda Bermuatan Listrik Positif (Ktrl)	134

Tabel 4.23. Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Benda Bermuatan Listrik Negatif (Ktrl).....	136
Tabel 4.24. Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Benda Netral (Ktrl)	138
Tabel 4.25. Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Partikel Proton dengan Partikel Neutron (Ktrl).....	140
Tabel 4.26. Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Partikel Elektron dengan Partikel Neutron (Ktrl).....	143
Tabel 4.27. Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Partikel Neutron dengan Partikel Neutron (Ktrl).....	145
Tabel 4.28. Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Partikel Atom Netral dengan Partikel Atom Netral (Ktrl).....	147
Tabel 4.29. Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Molekul dengan Molekul (Ktrl)	149
Tabel 4.30. Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Benda Netral dengan Benda Bermuatan Listrik (Ktrl).....	151
Tabel 4.31. Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Gaya Coulomb (Ktrl)	154
Tabel 4.32. Model Mental Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Atom (Eks).....	184
Tabel 4.33. Model Mental Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Benda (Eks)....	186
Tabel 4.34. Model Mental Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Benda Netral dan Benda Bermuatan Listrik (Eks)	187
Tabel 4.35. Model Mental Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Benda Bermuatan Listrik (Eks)	189
Tabel 4.36. Model Mental Peserta Didik pada Konsep Hukum Coulomb (Eks)	191
Tabel 4.37. Model Mental Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Gaya Coulomb (Eks)	192
Tabel 4.38. Model Mental Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Atom (Ktrl)	194
Tabel 4.39. Model Mental Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Benda (Ktrl) ...	196
Tabel 4.40. Model Mental Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Benda Netral dan Benda Bermuatan Listrik (Ktrl)	197
Tabel 4.41. Model Mental Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Benda Bermuatan Listrik (Ktrl).....	199
Tabel 4.42. Model Mental Peserta Didik pada Konsep Hukum Coulomb (Ktrl)	201
Tabel 4.43. Model Mental Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Gaya Coulomb (Ktrl).....	202
Tabel 4.44. Efektivitas pada Konsep Partikel Proton	217
Tabel 4.45. Efektivitas pada Konsep Partikel Elektron	218
Tabel 4.46. Efektivitas pada Konsep Partikel Neutron	218
Tabel 4.47. Efektivitas pada Konsep Benda Bermuatan Listrik Positif.....	219
Tabel 4.48. Efektivitas pada Konsep Benda Bermuatan Listrik Negatif	219
Tabel 4.49. Efektivitas pada Konsep Benda Netral	220

Tabel 4.50. Efektivitas pada Konsep Interaksi Partikel Proton dengan Partikel Neutron.....	221
Tabel 4.51. Efektivitas pada Konsep Interaksi Partikel Elektron dengan Partikel Neutron	221
Tabel 4.52. Efektivitas pada Konsep Interaksi Partikel Neutron dengan Partikel Neutron	222
Tabel 4.53. Efektivitas pada Konsep Interaksi Partikel Atom Netral dengan Partikel Atom Netral	223
Tabel 4.54. Efektivitas pada Konsep Interaksi Molekul dengan Molekul	224
Tabel 4.55. Efektivitas pada Konsep Interaksi Benda Netral dengan Benda Bermuatan Listrik.....	224
Tabel 4.56. Efektivitas pada Konsep Gaya Coulomb	225
Tabel 4.57. Efektivitas pada Konsep Interaksi Atom.....	227
Tabel 4.58. Efektivitas pada Konsep Interaksi Benda.....	228
Tabel 4.59. Efektivitas pada Konsep Interaksi Benda Netral dan Benda Bermuatan Listrik.....	229
Tabel 4.60. Efektivitas pada Konsep Interaksi Benda Bermuatan Listrik	229
Tabel 4.61. Efektivitas pada Konsep Hukum Coulomb.....	230
Tabel 4.62. Efektivitas pada Konsep Interaksi Gaya Coulomb	231

DAFTAR GAMBAR

	Halaman
Gambar 1.1. <i>Network Visualization</i>	10
Gambar 1.2. <i>Network Visualization</i> Lanjutan	10
Gambar 1.3. Hubungan Variabel Penelitian.....	11
 Gambar 2.1. Contoh <i>Refutation Texts</i>	 19
Gambar 2.2. <i>Virtuality Continuum</i>	22
Gambar 2.3. Alur Sistem Aplikasi AR.....	23
Gambar 2.4. Format Tes Diagnostik <i>Two-Tier</i>	28
Gambar 2.5. Format Tes Diagnostik <i>Three-Tier</i>	28
Gambar 2.6. Format Tes Diagnostik <i>Four-Tier</i>	29
Gambar 2.7. Trace Pengubahan Konsepsi.....	32
Gambar 2.8. Model Mental	33
Gambar 2.9. Kemungkinan Kategori Pengubahan Model Mental	37
Gambar 2.10. Sifat Muatan Listrik.....	39
Gambar 2.11. Kerangka Pikir Penelitian RefTaR	47
 Gambar 3.1. Model Pengembangan ADDIE (Branch, 2009).....	 49
Gambar 3.2. Peta Lokasi Penelitian	51
Gambar 3.3. <i>Pretest-Posttest Randomized Experimental Design</i>	52
Gambar 3.4. Prosedur Penelitian RefTaR	53
Gambar 3.5. Format <i>Four-Tier Conception Test</i>	56
Gambar 3.6. Format Tes <i>Level of Understanding</i> (Model Mental).....	56
Gambar 3.7. Kemungkinan Kategori Pengubahan Konsepsi Peserta Didik	72
Gambar 3.8. Kemungkinan Kategori Pengubahan Model Mental	77
 Gambar 4.1. Desain Awal RefTaR.....	 82
Gambar 4.2. Desain Awal Logo RefTaR	83
Gambar 4.3. Desain Mockup Aplikasi RefTaR	83
Gambar 4.4. Desain AR	84
Gambar 4.5. Desain Video 3D	84
Gambar 4.6. Cover RefTaR.....	85
Gambar 4.7. Contoh Salah Satu Isi RefTaR.....	86
Gambar 4.8. Tampilan Aplikasi RefTaR yang Sudah di Install.....	87
Gambar 4.9. Pengembangan AR (a) Pembuatan Model Atom, (b) Pemodelan Interaksi Antar Partikel Bermuatan Listrik, (c) Pemodelan Partikel Positif dan Negatif.....	89
Gambar 4.10. Tampilan Hasil Pengembangan AR	89

Gambar 4.11. Pengembangan Video 3D, (a)Pembuatan Animasi 3D Orang Bagian Atas (b)Pembuatan Animasi 3D Orang Bagian Bawah, (c)Pemodelan Partikel Bermuatan Listrik, (d)Pergerakan Partikel Bermuatan Listrik, (e)Pemodelan Partikel Pada Kertas, (f)Pemodelan Partikel Pada Penggaris, (g)Pemodelan Partikel Pada Rambut, (h)Pemodelan Atom Netral, (i)Pemodelan Partikel Pada Dua Benda Netral, (j)Pemodelan Traking Partikel Pada Benda, (k)Pemodelan Molekul Air.....	93
Gambar 4.12. Hasil Pengembangan Video 3D pada Channel Youtube.....	94
Gambar 4.13. Pemetaan Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Partikel Proton (Eks)	157
Gambar 4.14. Pemetaan Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Partikel Elektron (Eks).....	158
Gambar 4.15. Pemetaan Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Partikel Neutron (Eks)	159
Gambar 4.16. Pemetaan Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Benda Bermuatan Listrik Positif (Eks).....	160
Gambar 4.17. Pemetaan Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Benda Bermuatan Listrik Negatif (Eks)	161
Gambar 4.18. Pemetaan Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Benda Netral (Eks)	162
Gambar 4.19. Pemetaan Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Partikel Proton dengan Neutron (Eks).....	163
Gambar 4.20. Pemetaan Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Partikel Elektron dengan Neutron (Eks).....	164
Gambar 4.21. Pemetaan Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Partikel Neutron dengan Neutron (Eks)	165
Gambar 4.22. Pemetaan Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Partikel Atom dengan Atom (Eks)	166
Gambar 4.23. Pemetaan Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Partikel Molekul dengan Molekul (Eks)	167
Gambar 4.24. Pemetaan Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Benda Bermuatan Listrik dengan Benda Netral (Eks)	168
Gambar 4.25. Pemetaan Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Gaya Coulomb (Eks).....	169
Gambar 4.26. Pemetaan Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Partikel Proton (Ktrl)	170
Gambar 4.27. Pemetaan Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Partikel Elektron (Ktrl)	171
Gambar 4.28. Pemetaan Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Partikel Neutron (Ktrl).....	172

Gambar 4.29. Pemetaan Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Benda Bermuatan Listrik Positif (Ktrl)	173
Gambar 4.30. Pemetaan Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Benda Bermuatan Listrik Negatif (Ktrl).....	174
Gambar 4.31. Pemetaan Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Benda Netral (Ktrl).....	175
Gambar 4.32. Pemetaan Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Partikel Proton dengan Neutron (Ktrl)	176
Gambar 4.33. Pemetaan Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Partikel Elektron dengan Neutron (Ktrl)	177
Gambar 4.34. Pemetaan Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Partikel Neutron dengan Neutron (Ktrl).....	178
Gambar 4.35. Pemetaan Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Partikel Atom dengan Atom (Ktrl).....	179
Gambar 4.36. Pemetaan Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Molekul dengan Molekul (Ktrl)	180
Gambar 4.37. Pemetaan Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Benda Bermuatan Listrik dengan Benda Netral (Ktrl).....	181
Gambar 4.38. Pemetaan Konsepsi Peserta Didik pada Konsep Gaya Coulomb (Ktrl)	182
Gambar 4.39. Pemetaan Model Mental Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Partikel Atom (Eks).....	204
Gambar 4.40. Pemetaan Model Mental Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Benda (Eks)	205
Gambar 4.41. Pemetaan Model Mental Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Benda Netral dan Benda Bermuatan Listrik (Eks).....	206
Gambar 4.42. Pemetaan Model Mental Peserta Didik pada Konsep Benda Bermuatan Listrik (Eks)	207
Gambar 4.43. Pemetaan Model Mental Peserta Didik pada Konsep Hukum Coulomb (Eks).....	208
Gambar 4.44. Pemetaan Model Mental Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Gaya Coulomb (Eks)	209
Gambar 4.45. Pemetaan Model Mental Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Atom (Ktrl).....	211
Gambar 4.46. Pemetaan Model Mental Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Benda (Ktrl).....	212
Gambar 4.47. Pemetaan Model Mental Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Benda Netral dan Benda Bermuatan Listrik (Ktrl).....	213
Gambar 4.48. Pemetaan Model Mental Peserta Didik pada Konsep Benda Bermuatan Listrik (Ktrl).....	214
Gambar 4.49. Pemetaan Model Mental Peserta Didik pada Konsep Hukum Coulomb (Ktrl)	215

Gambar 4.50. Pemetaan Model Mental Peserta Didik pada Konsep Interaksi Gaya Coulomb (Ktrl).....	216
Gambar 4.51. Perubahan Konsepsi Peserta Didik secara Keseluruhan.....	226
Gambar 4.52. Perubahan Model Mental Peserta Didik secara Keseluruhan....	231
Gambar 4.53. Hasil Respon Peserta Didik terhadap Penggunaan RefTaR pada Kelas Eksperimen	232
Gambar 4.54. Hasil Respon Peserta Didik terhadap Perubahan Konsepsi dan Model Mental pada Kelas Eksperimen.....	233
Gambar 4.55. Hasil Respon Peserta Didik terhadap Penggunaan <i>Refutational Texts</i> pada Kelas Kontrol.....	234
Gambar 4.56. Hasil Respon Peserta Didik terhadap Perubahan Konsepsi dan Model Mental pada Kelas Kontrol	236
Gambar 5.1. Jawaban Peserta Didik Sebelum dan Setelah Menggunakan RefTaR pada Konsep Interaksi Atom.....	260
Gambar 5.2. Visualisasi Atom Netral Tarik-Menarik.....	261
Gambar 5.3. Jawaban Peserta Didik Sebelum dan Setelah Menggunakan RefTaR pada Konsep Benda	262
Gambar 5.4. Visualisasi Benda Netral Menjadi Benda Bermuatan Listrik.....	263
Gambar 5.5. Jawaban Peserta Didik Sebelum dan Setelah Menggunakan RefTaR pada Interaksi Benda Netral dan Benda Bermuatan Listrik	264
Gambar 5.6. Visualisasi Benda Bermuatan Listrik Menarik Benda Netral	265
Gambar 5.7. Jawaban Peserta Didik Sebelum dan Setelah Menggunakan RefTaR pada Interaksi Benda Bermuatan Listrik	266
Gambar 5.8. Visualisasi Pergerakan Partikel pada Elektroskop	267
Gambar 5.9. Jawaban Peserta Didik Sebelum dan Setelah Menggunakan RefTaR pada Konsep Hukum Coulomb.....	268
Gambar 5.10. Visualisasi Arah Gaya	269
Gambar 5.11. Jawaban Peserta Didik Sebelum dan Setelah Menggunakan RefTaR pada Konsep Interaksi Gaya Coulomb	269
Gambar 5.12. Arah Gaya Tarik Menarik	270

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abdel-Raheem, A. (2020). Mental model theory as a model for analysing visual and multimodal discourse. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 155, 303–320.
- Abdinejad, M., Talaie, B., Qorbani, H. S., & Dalili, S. (2021). Student perceptions using augmented reality and 3d visualization technologies in chemistry education. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 30, 87–96.
- Abdullah, M. (2017). Fisika Dasar II. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 6(11), 951–952., 3(1), 10–27.
<https://medium.com/@arifwicaksanaa/pengertian-use-case-a7e576e1b6bf>
- Abdullah, M., & Fisika Dasar, I. I. (2017). *Institut Teknologi Bandung*. Ganesha.
- Abdushelishvili, L. (2023). Linguistic-communicative aspects of strategic communication. *Language and Culture*, 29.
- Ablyayev, M. R., Abliakimova, A. N., & Seidametova, Z. S. (2020). Criteria of evaluating augmented reality applications. *Advanced Engineering Research (Rostov-on-Don)*, 20(4), 414–421.
- Abraham, J. K., Meir, E., Perry, J., Herron, J. C., Maruca, S., & Stal, D. (2009). Addressing undergraduate student misconceptions about natural selection with an interactive simulated laboratory. *Evolution: Education and Outreach*, 2, 393–404.
- Ackermans, K., Rusman, E., Nadolski, R., Specht, M., & Brand-Gruwel, S. (2019). Video-or text-based rubrics: What is most effective for mental model growth of complex skills within formative assessment in secondary schools? *Computers in Human Behavior*, 101, 248–258.
- Adams, W. K., & Wieman, C. E. (2011). Development and validation of instruments to measure learning of expert-like thinking. *International Journal of Science Education*, 33(9), 1289–1312.
- Admoko, S., Yantidewi, M., & Oktafia, R. (2019). The implementation of guided discovery learning using virtual lab simulation to reduce students' misconception on mechanical wave. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1417(1), 12089.
- Aguilar, S. J., Polikoff, M. S., & Sinatra, G. M. (2019). *Refutation texts: a new approach to changing public misconceptions about education policy*. July.
<https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X19849416>
- Ainsworth, S. (2006). DeFT: A conceptual framework for considering learning with multiple representations. *Learning and Instruction*, 16(3), 183–198.
- Akçayır, M., & Akçayır, G. (2017). Advantages and challenges associated with augmented reality for education: A systematic review of the literature. *Educational Research Review*, 20, 1–11.
- Åkerfeldt, A., Insulander, E., & Knutsson, O. (2023). *Editorial for the Special Collection: Remediation of Learning*. Stockholm University Press.
- Akhadi, M. (2011). Pengetahuan dasar untuk membaca dan memanfaatkan peta nuklida. *Buletin Alara*, 13(2).
- Aksan, H. (2023). *Kamus Fisika: istilah, rumus, penemuan*. Nuansa Cendekia.
- Alamsyah, D. P., Parulian, J. M., & Herliana, A. (2023). Augmented reality android based: Education of modern and traditional instruments. *Procedia Computer*

- Science*, 216, 266–273.
- Allan, R. N. (2013). *Reliability evaluation of power systems*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Amiruddin, M. Z. Bin, Agustin, M., Samsudin, A., Suhandi, A., & Coştu, B. (2024). A decade of TPACK in science education: Trends and insights from bibliometric analysis. *Journal of Pedagogical Research*, 1–23.
- Amiruddin, M. Z. Bin, Samsudin, A., Suhandi, A., & Costu, B. (2024). Bibliometric investigation in misconceptions and conceptual change over three decades of science education. *International Journal of Educational Methodology*, 10(issue-3-august-2024), 367–385.
- Amiruddin, M. Z. B., Samsudin, A., Coştu, B., Suhandi, A., Kaniawati, I., & Apriliyanti, N. (2024). Impressive analysis of high school students' abilities in physics measurement materials of the new indonesian-paradigm curriculum via the rasch model. *JIPF (Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan Fisika)*, 9(2), 253–265.
- Amiruddin, M. Z. Bin, Samsudin, A., Suhandi, A., Coştu, B., & Prahani, B. K. (2025). Scientific mapping and trend of conceptual change: A bibliometric analysis. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 11, 101208. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2024.101208>
- Amiruddin, M. Z. Bin, Samsudin, A., Suhandi, A., Suliyannah, S., Costu, B., & Purwanto, M. G. (2024). Needs analysis of students' mental model representation through visualisation to support SDGs 4: A preliminary study. *E3S Web of Conferences*, 513, 4005.
- Amiruddin, M. Z., Samsudin, A., Suhandi, A., & Costu, B. (2025a). An analysis of first years senior high school students' mental models: a case study on the concept of straight motion. *Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn)*, 19(1), 249–256.
- Amiruddin, M. Z., Samsudin, A., Suhandi, A., & Costu, B. (2025b). Mapping students' mental models on the material of uniform motion through graphical representation: a cross sectional study. *Semarak International Journal of Innovation in Learning and Education*, 5(1), 5–18.
- Anggraeni, V., Enawaty, E., & Rasmawan, R. (2012). *Deskripsi miskonsepsi siswa pada materi atom , molekul , dan senyawa*. 2005, 1–8.
- Anggraeni, V., Enawaty, E., & Rasmawan, R. (2018). Deskripsi miskonsepsi siswa pada materi atom, molekul, dan ion di SMP Negeri 21 Pontianak. *Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Pembelajaran Khatulistiwa (JPPK)*, 7(1).
- Anidar, J. (2017). Teori belajar menurut aliran kognitif serta implikasinya dalam pembelajaran. *Jurnal Al-Taujih: Bingkai Bimbingan Dan Konseling Islami*, 3(2), 8–16.
- Ansari, S. (2024). *Where is the conflict? exploring cognitive conflict as an instructional strategy for third-to eighth-grade students*. The Chicago School of Professional Psychology.
- Arena, F., Collotta, M., Pau, G., & Termine, F. (2022). An overview of augmented reality. *Computers*, 11(2), 28.
- Arifah, U., & Saefudin, A. A. (2017). Menumbuhkembangkan kemampuan pemahaman konsep matematika dengan menggunakan model pembelajaran guided discovery. *Union: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematik*, 5(3), 263–272.
- Arikunto, S. (2010). Research procedure a practical approach. *Jakarta: Rineka Cipta*, 152, 21–28.

- Arthurs, L. A., & Elwonger, J. M. (2018). Mental models of groundwater residence: a deeper understanding of students' preconceptions as a resource for teaching and learning about groundwater and aquifers. *Journal of Astronomy & Earth Sciences Education*, 5(1), 53–66.
- Aslan, A., & Demircioğlu, G. (2014). The effect of video-assisted conceptual change texts on 12th grade students' alternative conceptions: The gas concept. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 116, 3115–3119.
- Assem, H. D., Nartey, L., Appiah, E., & Aidoo, J. K. (2023). A review of students' academic performance in physics: attitude, instructional methods, misconceptions and teachers qualification. *European Journal of Education and Pedagogy*, 4(1), 84–92.
- Asterhan, C. S. C., & Resnick, M. S. (2020). Refutation texts and argumentation for conceptual change: A winning or a redundant combination? *Learning and Instruction*, 65, 101265.
- Atac, H., Constantinou, M., Meziani, Z.-E., Paolone, M., & Sparveris, N. (2021). Measurement of the neutron charge radius and the role of its constituents. *Nature Communications*, 12(1), 1759.
- Ausubel, D. P. (1966). Meaningful reception learning and the acquisition of concepts. In *Analyses of concept learning* (pp. 157–175). Elsevier.
- Baiduri, B., Ismail, A. D., & Sulfiyah, R. (2020). Understanding the concept of visualization phase student in geometry learning. *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 9(2), 2353–2359.
- Baldassarre, G., & Granato, G. (2019). Representation internal-manipulation (rim): a neuro-inspired computational theory of consciousness. *ArXiv E-Prints*, arXiv-1912.
- Bandura, A. (2014). Social cognitive theory of moral thought and action. In *Handbook of moral behavior and development* (pp. 45–103). Psychology press.
- Basori, H., Suhandi, A., Samsudin, A., Kaniawati, I., & Rusdiana, D. (2020). Teaching electrical resistance of a conductor concept using the cd-ccoi model supported by dynamic model and dynamic analogy in improving the mental model. *Journal of Engineering Science and Technology*, 15, 39–47.
- Batell, B., Low, M., Neil, E. T., & Verhaaren, C. B. (2022). Review of neutral naturalness. *ArXiv Preprint ArXiv:2203.05531*.
- Batlolona, J. R., & Diantoro, M. (2023). Mental models and creative thinking skills in students' physics learning. *Creativity Studies*, 16(2), 433–447.
- Bayrak, B. K. (2013). Using Two-Tier Test to Identify Primary Students' Conceptual Understanding and Alternative Conceptions in Acid Base. *Online Submission*, 3(2), 19–26.
- Beker, K., Kim, J., Van Boekel, M., van den Broek, P., & Kendeou, P. (2019). Refutation texts enhance spontaneous transfer of knowledge. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 56, 67–78.
- Billingham, M. (2002). Augmented reality in education. *New Horizons for Learning*, 12(5), 1–5.
- Bissinger, C., & Grosshans, H. (2020). A new computational algorithm for the interaction between electrically charged particles. *SN Applied Sciences*, 2, 1–10.
- Bittermann, A., McNamara, D., Simonsmeier, B. A., & Schneider, M. (2023). The

- landscape of research on prior knowledge and learning: A bibliometric analysis. *Educational Psychology Review*, 35(2), 58.
- Borghi, A. M., Binkofski, F., Castelfranchi, C., Cimatti, F., Scorolli, C., & Tummolini, L. (2017). The challenge of abstract concepts. *Psychological Bulletin*, 143(3), 263.
- Bower, M., Howe, C., McCredie, N., Robinson, A., & Grover, D. (2014). Augmented Reality in education—cases, places and potentials. *Educational Media International*, 51(1), 1–15.
- Bozkurt Altan, E., & Tan, S. (2021). Concepts of creativity in design based learning in STEM education. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 31(3), 503–529.
- Braasch, J. L. G., Goldman, S., & Wiley, J. (2013). The influences of text and reader characteristics on learning from refutations in science texts. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 105, 561–578. <https://doi.org/10.1037/A0032627>
- Braibant, S., Giacomelli, G., & Spurio, M. (2011). *Particles and fundamental interactions: an introduction to particle physics*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Branch, R. M. (2009). *Instructional design: The ADDIE approach* (Vol. 722). Springer.
- Brookhart, S. M. (2017). *How to give effective feedback to your students*. ASCD.
- Broughton, S. H., Sinatra, G., & Reynolds, R. (2010). The Nature of the refutation text effect: an investigation of attention allocation. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 103, 407–423. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220670903383101>
- Brown, E. J., Fujimoto, K., Blumenkopf, B., Kim, A. S., Kontson, K. L., & Benz, H. L. (2023). Usability assessments for augmented reality head-mounted displays in open surgery and interventional procedures: A systematic review. *Multimodal Technologies and Interaction*, 7(5), 49.
- Budiman, R. (2016). Developing learning media based on augmented reality (AR) to improve learning motivation. *Journal of Education, Teaching and Learning*, 1(2), 89–94.
- Burns, E. C., Martin, A. J., Kennett, R., Pearson, J., & Munro-Smith, V. (2023). High school students' out-of-school science participation: A latent class analysis and unique associations with science aspirations and achievement. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 60(3), 451–483. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21806>
- Cai, S., Liu, C., Wang, T., Liu, E., & Liang, J. (2021). Effects of learning physics using Augmented Reality on students' self-efficacy and conceptions of learning. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 52(1), 235–251.
- Caleon, I. S., & Subramaniam, R. (2010). *Do students know what they know and what they don ' t know ? using a four-tier diagnostic test to assess the nature of students ' alternative conceptions.* 313–337. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-009-9122-4>
- Caleon, I., Subramaniam, R., Caleon, I., & Subramaniam, R. (2010). *Development and application of a three - tier diagnostic test to assess secondary students ' understanding of waves* 0693. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690902890130>
- Campbell, D. T., & Stanley, J. C. (2015). *Experimental and quasi-experimental designs for research*. Ravenio books.
- Campbell, S., Greenwood, M., Prior, S., Shearer, T., Walkem, K., Young, S.,

- Bywaters, D., & Walker, K. (2020). Purposive sampling: complex or simple? Research case examples. *Journal of Research in Nursing*, 25(8), 652–661.
- Carmigniani, J., Furht, B., Anisetti, M., Ceravolo, P., Damiani, E., & Ivkovic, M. (2011). Augmented reality technologies, systems and applications. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 51, 341–377.
- Carraro, M., Furlan, A., & Netland, T. (2024). Unlocking team performance: How shared mental models drive proactive problem-solving. *Human Relations*, 00187267241247962.
- Castro-Alonso, J. C., Ayres, P., & Sweller, J. (2019). Instructional visualizations, cognitive load theory, and visuospatial processing. *Visuospatial Processing for Education in Health and Natural Sciences*, 111–143.
- Cetin-Dindar, A., & Geban, O. (2011). Development of a three-tier test to assess high school students' understanding of acids and bases. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 15, 600–604.
- Chan, S. W., Looi, C. K., & Sumintono, B. (2021). Assessing computational thinking abilities among Singapore secondary students: A Rasch model measurement analysis. *Journal of Computers in Education*, 8(2), 213–236.
- Cherukunnath, D., & Singh, A. P. (2022). Exploring cognitive processes of knowledge acquisition to upgrade academic practices. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 682628.
- Chi, M. T. H. (2005). Commonsense conceptions of emergent processes: Why some misconceptions are robust. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 14(2), 161–199.
- Chi, M. T. H., Slotta, J. D., & De Leeuw, N. (1994). From things to processes: A theory of conceptual change for learning science concepts. *Learning and Instruction*, 4(1), 27–43.
- Chiang, T. H. C., Yang, S. J. H., & Hwang, G.-J. (2014). An augmented reality-based mobile learning system to improve students' learning achievements and motivations in natural science inquiry activities. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, 17(4), 352–365.
- Chipman, S. F., & Segal, J. W. (2013). Higher cognitive goals for education: An introduction. *Thinking and Learning Skills*, 2, 1–18.
- Chiu, M.-H., & Lin, J.-W. (2019). Modeling competence in science education. *Disciplinary and Interdisciplinary Science Education Research*, 1(1), 1–11.
- Chowdhury, R. (2023). Holistic flexibility for deploying systems thinking as a cognitive skill. *Systemic Practice and Action Research*, 1–23.
- Clough, M. P. (2006). Learners' responses to the demands of conceptual change: Considerations for effective nature of science instruction. *Science & Education*, 15, 463–494.
- Criado, A. M., Carmona, A. G., Criado, A. M., & García-carmona, A. (2010). *Prospective teachers' difficulties in interpreting elementary phenomena of electrostatic interactions: Indicators of the status of their intuitive ideas*. *Prospective Teachers' Difficulties in Interpreting Elementary Phenomena of Electrostatic Interactions* (Vol. 0693). <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690902792393>
- Crogman, H., Peters, R., & Trebeau Crogman, M. (2018). Probing students misconceptions results from concept inventory and their understanding in science learning. *European Journal of Physics Education*, 9(1), 23–44.

- Dai, C., Liao, M., Li, X., Chen, S., Gao, P., & Sheng, P. (2022). Electric field-induced giant columns of polarized water molecules. *Physical Review Research*, 4(3), 33164.
- Damayanti, A. E., Syafei, I., Komikesari, H., & Rahayu, R. (2018). Kelayakan media pembelajaran fisika berupa buku saku berbasis android pada materi fluida statis. *Indonesian Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 1(1), 63–70.
- Darmaji, D., Kurniawan, D. A., & Irdianti, I. (2019). Physics education students' science process skills. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, 8(2), 293–298.
- De Gupita, N., Yanti, A. R., & Untoro, N. (n.d.). Analysis of student's misconceptions in static and dynamic electricity physics using the three tier test. *Impulse: Journal of Research and Innovation in Physics Education*, 2(2), 119–127.
- Dehghani, M., Mohammadhasani, N., Hoseinzade Ghalevandi, M., & Azimi, E. (2023). Applying AR-based infographics to enhance learning of the heart and cardiac cycle in biology class. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 31(1), 185–200.
- Devianty, D., & Syuhendri, S. (2023). Preliminary development of refutation texts for conceptual change on lunar phases. *2nd International Conference on Science Education and Sciences 2022 (ICSES 2022)*, 212–222.
- Diakidoy, I. A. N., Mouskounti, T., & Ioannides, C. (2011). Comprehension and learning from refutation and expository texts. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 46(1), 22–38. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1598/RRQ.46.1.2>
- Didiş, N., Eryılmaz, A., & Erkoç, Ş. (2014). Investigating students' mental models about the quantization of light, energy, and angular momentum. *Physical Review Special Topics-Physics Education Research*, 10(2), 20127.
- Din, M. (2020). Evaluating university students' critical thinking ability as reflected in their critical reading skill: A study at bachelor level in Pakistan. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 35, 100627.
- Diñçer, T., & Özcan, Ö. (2021). Pre-service physics teachers' mental models about the electric field. *European Journal of Physics*, 43(2), 25702.
- Dirac, P. A. M. (1930). A theory of electrons and protons. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Containing Papers of a Mathematical and Physical Character*, 126(801), 360–365.
- DiSessa, A. A., & Sherin, B. L. (1998). What changes in conceptual change? *International Journal of Science Education*, 20(10), 1155–1191.
- Dobbs, E. R. (2013). *Basic electromagnetism*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Dole, J. A. (2000). Readers, texts and conceptual change learning. *Reading & Writing Quarterly*, 16(2), 99–118.
- Driver, R., & Easley, J. (1978). *Pupils and paradigms: A review of literature related to concept development in adolescent science students*.
- Duit, R., & Treagust, D. F. (2003). Conceptual change: A powerful framework for improving science teaching and learning. *International Journal of Science Education*, 25(6), 671–688.
- Ecarma-Robinson, I. R. Y. (2010). *Students' mental models of biological membranes: Use of a tutorial assessment to dispel misconceptions*. California State University, Fullerton.

- Elidolu, G., Akyuz, E., Arslan, O., & Arslanoğlu, Y. (2022). Quantitative failure analysis for static electricity-related explosion and fire accidents on tanker vessels under fuzzy bow-tie CREAM approach. *Engineering Failure Analysis*, 131, 105917.
- Engelhard Jr, G. (1992). The measurement of writing ability with a many-faceted Rasch model. *Applied Measurement in Education*, 5(3), 171–191.
- Evagorou, M., Erduran, S., & Mäntylä, T. (2015). The role of visual representations in scientific practices: from conceptual understanding and knowledge generation to ‘seeing’ how science works. *International Journal of Stem Education*, 2, 1–13.
- Fährnich, B., Weitkamp, E., & Kupper, J. F. (2023). Exploring ‘quality’ in science communication online: Expert thoughts on how to assess and promote science communication quality in digital media contexts. *Public Understanding of Science*, 32(5), 605–621.
- Fakhrhah, F., Muhibbuddin, M., & Sarong, M. A. (2017). Peningkatan pemahaman konsep siswa materi pengklasifikasian phylum arthropoda melalui model pembelajaran langsung (direct instruction). *BIOTIK: Jurnal Ilmiah Biologi Teknologi Dan Kependidikan*, 2(2), 93–98.
- Faqih, K. M. S. (2022). Factors influencing the behavioral intention to adopt a technological innovation from a developing country context: The case of mobile augmented reality games. *Technology in Society*, 69, 101958.
- Faraggi, E. (2022). There is only charge: Heisenberg-Coulomb based theory of the quarks, nucleons, and the nuclei. *Authorea Preprints*.
- Faridi, H., Tuli, N., Mantri, A., Singh, G., & Gargrish, S. (2021). A framework utilizing augmented reality to improve critical thinking ability and learning gain of the students in Physics. *Computer Applications in Engineering Education*, 29(1), 258–273.
- Fauzi, A. (2011). Pentingnya pembelajaran fisika melalui kegiatan laboratorium fisika berbasis inquiry. *Jurnal Orbit*, 7(1).
- Ferré, S., Huchard, M., Kaytoue, M., Kuznetsov, S. O., & Napoli, A. (2020). Formal concept analysis: from knowledge discovery to knowledge processing. *A Guided Tour of Artificial Intelligence Research: Volume II: AI Algorithms*, 411–445.
- Fidan, M., & Tuncel, M. (2019). Integrating augmented reality into problem based learning: The effects on learning achievement and attitude in physics education. *Computers and Education*, 142(July), 103635. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103635>
- Floridi, L. (2019). Translating principles into practices of digital ethics: Five risks of being unethical. *Philosophy & Technology*, 32(2), 185–193.
- Fraser, K. L., Ayres, P., & Sweller, J. (2015). Cognitive load theory for the design of medical simulations. *Simulation in Healthcare*, 10(5), 295–307.
- Fратиwi, N. J., Samsudin, A., Ramalis, T. R., Saregar, A., Diani, R., & Ravanis, K. (2020). Developing MeMoRI on newton’s laws: for identifying students’ mental models. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 9(2), 699–708.
- Fulmer, G. W., Chu, H.-E., Treagust, D. F., & Neumann, K. (2015). Is it harder to know or to reason? Analyzing two-tier science assessment items using the Rasch measurement model. *Asia-Pacific Science Education*, 1(1), 1 – 16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41029-015-0005-x>

- Gadgil, S., Nokes-Malach, T. J., & Chi, M. T. H. (2012). Effectiveness of holistic mental model confrontation in driving conceptual change. *Learning and Instruction*, 22(1), 47–61.
- Geban, Ö. (2007). *Effect of instruction based on conceptual change activities on students' understanding of static electricity*.
- Gebre, E. (2022). Conceptions and perspectives of data literacy in secondary education. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 53(5), 1080–1095.
- Georgiou, Y., & Kyza, E. A. (2018). Relations between student motivation, immersion and learning outcomes in location-based augmented reality settings. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 89, 173–181.
- Giancoli, D. C. (2008). *Physics for scientists and engineers with modern physics* (Vol. 2). Pearson Education.
- Gilbert, J. K. (2005). Visualization: A metacognitive skill in science and science education. In *Visualization in science education* (pp. 9–27). Springer.
- Gopi, B., Logeshwaran, J., Gowri, J., & Aravindarajan, V. (2022). The Identification of quantum effects in electronic devices based on charge transfer magnetic field model. *NeuroQuantology*, 20(8), 5999.
- Griffiths, D. (2020). *Introduction to elementary particles*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Guerra-Reyes, F., Guerra-Dávila, E., Naranjo-Toro, M., Basantes-Andrade, A., & Guevara-Betancourt, S. (2024). Misconceptions in the learning of natural sciences: a systematic review. *Education Sciences*, 14(5), 497.
- Gultom, S., & Situmorang, A. S. (2018). Peningkatan kemampuan pemahaman matematis siswa dengan menggunakan model pencapaian konsep. *Medan. JPMT*, 4(1).
- Gunawan, G., Harjono, A., Hermansyah, H., & Herayanti, L. (2019). Guided inquiry model through virtual laboratory to enhance students' science process skills on heat concept. *Jurnal Cakrawala Pendidikan*, 38(2), 259–268.
- Gurel, D. K., Eryilmaz, A., & McDermott, L. C. (2015). A review and comparison of diagnostic instruments to identify students' misconceptions in science. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 11(5), 989–1008. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2015.1369a>
- Guruswamy, C., Somers, M. D., & Hussey, R. G. (1997). Students' understanding of the transfer of charge between conductors. *Physics Education*, 32(2), 91.
- Gutiérrez-Castillo, J. J., Palacios-Rodríguez, A., Martín-Párraga, L., & Serrano-Hidalgo, M. (2023). Development of digital teaching competence: pilot experience and validation through expert judgment. *Education Sciences*, 13(1), 52.
- Hadinugrahaningsih, T., Rahmawati, Y., & Suryani, E. (2022). An analysis of preservice Chemistry teachers' misconceptions of reduction-oxidation reaction concepts. *JOTSE: Journal of Technology and Science Education*, 12(2), 448–465.
- Hadisaputra, S., Savalas, L. R. T., & Laksmiwati, B. D. (2023). Development of augmented reality-based online learning media to improve students' mental models on the topic of environmental pollution. *1st Nusa Tenggara International Conference on Chemistry (NITRIC 2022)*, 194–204.
- Haertel, G. D., Walberg, H. J., & Weinstein, T. (1983). Psychological models of educational performance: A theoretical synthesis of constructs. *Review of Educational Research*, 53(1), 75–91.

- Halford, G. S. (2014). *Children's understanding: The development of mental models*. Psychology Press.
- Halliday, D., Resnick, R., & Walker, J. (2013). *Fundamentals of physics*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Hanam, E. S., Van Harling, V. N., Agustina, E. B., Budirohmi, A., Rismawati, E., Pada, S. S., Agustine, D., Afrida, J., Irvani, A. I., & Arifuddin, W. (2024). *Elektron dalam Atom*. Mega Press Nusantara.
- Handhika, J., Kurniadi, E., & Muda, I. (2014). Pengembangan media pembelajaran bermuatan konflik kognitif untuk mengurangi dugaan miskonsepsi pada matakuliah fisika dasar. *Jurnal Materi Dan Pembelajaran Fisika*, 4(2).
- Hanfstingl, B., Arzenšek, A., Apschner, J., & Göll, K. I. (2021). Assimilation and accommodation. *European Psychologist*.
- Harefa, D., & Sarumaha, M. (2020). *Teori Pengenalan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam Sejak Dini*. Pm Publisher.
- Harjono, A., Gunawan, G., Adawiyah, R., & Herayanti, L. (2020). An interactive e-book for physics to improve students' conceptual mastery. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (IJET)*, 15(5), 40–49.
- Harsch, R. M., & Kendeou, P. (2023). Learning from refutation texts about scientific topics with analogical and causal explanations. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 73(March), 102172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2023.102172>
- Hasan, S., Bagayoko, D., & Kelley, E. L. (1999). Misconceptions and the certainty of response index (CRI). *Physics Education*, 34(5), 294–299.
- Hashish, A. H., Seyd-Darwish, I., & Tit, N. (2020). Addressing some physical misconceptions in electrostatics of Freshman Engineering students. *International Journal for Innovation Education and Research*, 8(2), 1–7.
- Hatmojo, Y. I., Panjaitan, C. E., Azis, S. M., Al Hakim, V. G., & Ratnawati, D. (2024). Augmented reality based android application for introduction of pneumatic hydraulic components. *JINoP (Jurnal Inovasi Pembelajaran)*, 10(2), 264–279.
- Haug, E. G. (2022). *Potential simplification of charge and the Coulomb force without affecting predictions*.
- Heale, R., & Twycross, A. (2015). Validity and reliability in quantitative studies. *Evidence-Based Nursing*, 18(3), 66–67.
- Henderson, J. B., Langbeheim, E., & Chi, M. T. H. (2017). Addressing robust misconceptions through the ontological distinction between sequential and emergent processes. In *Converging Perspectives on Conceptual Change* (pp. 26–33). Routledge.
- Hermita, N., Suhandi, A., Syaodih, E., Samsudin, A., Isjoni, Johan, H., Rosa, F., Setyaningsih, R., Sapriadil, & Safitri, D. (2017). Constructing and Implementing a Four Tier Test about Static Electricity to Diagnose Pre-service Elementary School Teacher' Misconceptions. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 895(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/895/1/012167>
- Hermita, N., Suhandi, A., Syaodih, E., Samsudin, A., Johan, H., Rosa, F., Setyaningsih, R., & Safitri, D. (2017). Constructing and implementing a four tier test about static electricity to diagnose pre-service elementary school teacher' misconceptions. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 895(1), 12167.

- Hess, R. (2013). *Blender foundations: The essential guide to learning blender 2.5*. Routledge.
- Heystek, S. (2018). *An investigation of learners' understanding of electrostatics illustrations in school textbooks*. North-West University.
- Hiromitsu, K., & Asai, T. (2023). Generalized Internal Model. *Intruders in the Mind: Interdisciplinary Perspectives on Thought Insertion*, 239.
- Hoemann, K., Nielson, C., Yuen, A., Gurera, J. W., Quigley, K. S., & Barrett, L. F. (2021). Expertise in emotion: A scoping review and unifying framework for individual differences in the mental representation of emotional experience. *Psychological Bulletin*, 147(11), 1159.
- Hoeve-Bakker, B. J. A., Kerkhof, K., Heron, M., Thijsen, S. F. T., & van Gorkom, T. (2024). Evaluation of different standard and modified two-tier testing strategies for the laboratory diagnosis of lyme borreliosis in a European setting. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases*, 1–10.
- Hrepic, Z. (2002). *Identifying students' mental models of sound propagation*. Kansas State University.
- Hrepic, Z., Zollman, D. A., & Rebello, N. S. (2010). Identifying students' mental models of sound propagation: The role of conceptual blending in understanding conceptual change. *Physical Review Special Topics-Physics Education Research*, 6(2), 20114.
- Hsu, Y. (2006). The effects of metaphors on novice and expert learners' performance and mental-model development. *Interacting with Computers*, 18(4), 770–792.
- Hubert, C., Cohen, K., Ghazaryan, A., Lemeshko, M., Rapaport, R., & Santos, P. V. (2020). Attractive interactions, molecular complexes, and polarons in coupled dipolar exciton fluids. *Physical Review B*, 102(4), 45307.
- Hughes, S., Lyddy, F., & Kaplan, R. (2013). The impact of language and response format on student endorsement of psychological misconceptions. *Teaching of Psychology*, 40(1), 31–37.
- Hughes, S., Lyddy, F., & Lambe, S. (2013). Misconceptions about psychological science: A review. *Psychology Learning & Teaching*, 12(1), 20–31.
- Hull, M. M., Jansky, A., & Hopf, M. (2021). Probability-related naïve ideas across physics topics. *Studies in Science Education*, 57(1), 45–83.
- Hung, Y., Chen, C., & Huang, S. (2017). Applying augmented reality to enhance learning: a study of different teaching materials. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 33(3), 252–266.
- Hwang, G.-J., Wu, P.-H., Chen, C.-C., & Tu, N.-T. (2016). Effects of an augmented reality-based educational game on students' learning achievements and attitudes in real-world observations. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 24(8), 1895–1906.
- Iatsyshyn, A. V, Kovach, V. O., Lyubchak, V. O., Zuban, Y. O., Piven, A. G., Sokolyuk, O. M., Iatsyshyn, A. V, Popov, O. O., Artemchuk, V. O., & Shyshkina, M. P. (2020). *Application of augmented reality technologies for education projects preparation*.
- Ibáñez, M.-B., & Delgado-Kloos, C. (2018). Augmented reality for STEM learning: A systematic review. *Computers & Education*, 123, 109–123.
- Ibili, E., & Sahin, S. (2015). The effect of augmented reality assisted geometry

- instruction on students' achievement and attitudes. *Teaching Mathematics and Computer Science*, 13(2), 177–193.
- Ibnu, M., Indriyani, B., Inayatullah, H., & Guntara, Y. (2019). Aplikasi rasch model: pengembangan instrumen tes untuk mengukur miskonsepsi mahasiswa pada materi mekanika. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Pendidikan FKIP*, 2(1), 205–210.
- Irwansyah, F. S., Yusuf, Y. M., Farida, I., & Ramdhani, M. A. (2018). Augmented reality (AR) technology on the android operating system in chemistry learning. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 288, 12068.
- Islamiyah, K. K., Rahayu, S., & Dasna, I. W. (2022). The effectiveness of remediation learning strategy in reducing misconceptions on chemistry: A systematic review. *Tadris: Jurnal Keguruan Dan Ilmu Tarbiyah*, 7(1), 63–77.
- Jati, B. M. E., & Priyambodo, T. K. (2024). *Fisika dasar, listrik-magnet, optika, fisika modern untuk mahasiswa ilmu-ilmu eksakta dan teknik*. Penerbit Andi.
- Jin, G., Ramazan, O., & Danielson, R. W. (2024). Effects of refutational texts and seductive pictures on conceptual change. *The Journal of Experimental Education*, 92(4), 605–625.
- Johnson-Laird, P. N. (1980). Mental models in cognitive science. *Cognitive Science*, 4(1), 71–115.
- Johnson-Laird, P. N. (1983). *Mental models: Towards a cognitive science of language, inference, and consciousness* (Issue 6). Harvard University Press.
- Johnson-Laird, P. N. (2010). Mental models and human reasoning. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(43), 18243–18250.
- Johnson-Laird, P. N. (2013). Mental models and cognitive change. *Journal of Cognitive Psychology*, 25(2), 131–138.
- Jonassen, N., & Jonassen, N. (2002). Electrostatic Effects. *Electrostatics*, 75–99.
- Jones, S. H., Johnson, M., & Campbell, B. (2015). Hot factors for a cold topic: Examining the role of task-value, attention allocation, and engagement on conceptual change. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 42, 62–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEDPSYCH.2015.04.004>
- Kadarwati, A., & Malawi, I. (2017). *Pembelajaran tematik: (Konsep dan aplikasi)*. Cv. Ae Media Grafika.
- Kaltakci-Gurel, D., Eryilmaz, A., & McDermott, L. C. (2017). Development and application of a four-tier test to assess pre-service physics teachers' misconceptions about geometrical optics. *Research in Science & Technological Education*, 35(2), 238–260.
- Kang, S., Scharmann, L. C., Noh, T., & Koh, H. (2005). The influence of students' cognitive and motivational variables in respect of cognitive conflict and conceptual change. *International Journal of Science Education*, 27(9), 1037–1058.
- Kanli, U. (2015). Using a two-tier test to analyse students' and teachers' alternative concepts in astronomy. *Science Education International*, 26(2), 148–165.
- Keldysh, L. (2024). Ionization in the field of a strong electromagnetic wave. In *Selected Papers of Leonid V Keldysh* (pp. 56–63). World Scientific.
- Kembara, M. D., Rozak, R. W. A., & Hadian, V. A. (2019). based lectures to improve students' 4c (communication, collaboration, critical thinking, and creativity) skills. *International Symposium on Social Sciences, Education, and Humanities (ISSEH 2018)*, 22–26.

- Kerlinger, F. N. (1966). *Foundations of behavioral research*.
- Kesim, M., & Ozarslan, Y. (2012). Augmented reality in education: current technologies and the potential for education. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 47, 297–302.
- Khan, T., Johnston, K., & Ophoff, J. (2019). The impact of an augmented reality application on learning motivation of students. *Advances in Human-Computer Interaction*, 2019.
- Khishfe, R. (2023). Improving students' conceptions of nature of science: A review of the literature. *Science & Education*, 32(6), 1887–1931.
- Khumaidi, A., Kusairi, S., Wisodo, H., & Nasrudin, N. (2022). Analysis of students' mental models through the level understanding on the concept of straight motion kinematics graphics. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2468(1).
- Kiling, B. N., & Kiling, I. Y. (2015). Tinjauan konsep diri dan dimensinya pada anak dalam masa kanak-kanak akhir. *Jurnal Psikologi Pendidikan & Konseling*, 1(2).
- Kimberlin, C. L., & Winterstein, A. G. (2008). Validity and reliability of measurement instruments used in research. *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy*, 65(23), 2276–2284.
- Kirschner, P. A., Sweller, J., Kirschner, F., & Zambrano R, J. (2018). From cognitive load theory to collaborative cognitive load theory. *International Journal of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning*, 13, 213–233.
- Klausmeier, H. J. (1992). Concept learning and concept teaching. *Educational Psychologist*, 27(3), 267–286.
- Klopfer, E. (2008). *Augmented learning: Research and design of mobile educational games*. MIT press.
- Koçyiğit, Ş. (2003). *The relation among students' gender, socio-economic status, interest, experience and misconceptions about static electricity at ninth grade level*. Citeseer.
- Kounlaxay, K., Shim, Y., Kang, S.-J., Kwak, H.-Y., & Kim, S. K. (2021). Learning media on mathematical education based on augmented reality. *KSII Transactions on Internet and Information Systems (TIIS)*, 15(3), 1015–1029.
- Kowalski, P., & Taylor, A. K. (2011). Effectiveness of refutational teaching for high-and low-achieving students. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 79–90.
- Kurnaz, M. A., & Eksi, C. (2015). An analysis of high school students' mental models of solid friction in physics. *Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice*, 15(3).
- Lachman, R., Lachman, J. L., & Butterfield, E. C. (2015). *Cognitive psychology and information processing: An introduction*. Psychology Press.
- Lacks, D. J., & Mohan Sankaran, R. (2011). Contact electrification of insulating materials. *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*, 44(45). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3727/44/45/453001>
- Laine, T. H., Nygren, E., Dirin, A., & Suk, H.-J. (2016). Science Spots AR: a platform for science learning games with augmented reality. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 64, 507–531.
- Laksana, D. N. L. (2016). Miskonsepsi dalam materi IPA sekolah dasar. *JPI (Jurnal Pendidikan Indonesia)*, 5(2), 166–175.
- Latipah, J., Jamilah, S. N., & Sari, S. T. (2021). Analysis of student's mental model

- through representation chemistry textbooks based on augmented reality. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1760(1), 12050.
- LaToza, T. D., Venolia, G., & DeLine, R. (2006). Maintaining mental models: a study of developer work habits. *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Software Engineering*, 492–501.
- Lebuda, I., & Benedek, M. (2023). A systematic framework of creative metacognition. *Physics of Life Reviews*.
- Lecce, A. (2024). Reflections on the implications of artificial intelligence in inclusive education. *Workshop on Artificial Intelligence with and for Learning Sciences: Past, Present, and Future Horizons*, 27–34.
- Lee, G.-H., Shin, J.-H., Park, J.-Y., Song, S.-H., Kim, Y.-S., & Bao, L. (2005). An integrated theoretical structure of mental models: Toward understanding how students form their ideas about science. *Journal of the Korean Association for Science Education*, 25(6), 698–709.
- Li, F.-Y., Hwang, G.-J., Chen, P.-Y., & Lin, Y.-J. (2021). Effects of a concept mapping-based two-tier test strategy on students' digital game-based learning performances and behavioral patterns. *Computers & Education*, 173, 104293.
- Lin, C.-Y., & Wu, H.-K. (2021). Effects of different ways of using visualizations on high school students' electrochemistry conceptual understanding and motivation towards chemistry learning. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 22(3), 786–801.
- Lin, J., & Chiu, M. (2010). The mismatch between students' mental models of acids/bases and their sources and their teacher's anticipations thereof. *International Journal of Science Education*, 32(12), 1617–1646.
- Lin, W.-L., & Shih, Y.-L. (2022). Developmental trends of different creative potentials in relation to adolescents' critical thinking abilities. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 43, 100979.
- Lin, X.-F., Hwang, G.-J., Wang, J., Zhou, Y., Li, W., Liu, J., & Liang, Z.-M. (2023). Effects of a contextualised reflective mechanism-based augmented reality learning model on students' scientific inquiry learning performances, behavioural patterns, and higher order thinking. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 31(10), 6931–6951.
- Linda, R., Astuti, R. T., & Laksono, P. J. (2023). Miskonsepsi siswa dengan in depth interview pada materi struktur atom. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Pendidikan Kimia*, 2(1), 206–215.
- Liu, Z., Pan, S., Zhang, X., & Bao, L. (2022). Assessment of knowledge integration in student learning of simple electric circuits. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 18(2), 20102.
- Lutovac, S., & Flores, M. A. (2022). Conceptions of assessment in pre-service teachers' narratives of students' failure. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 52(1), 55–71.
- Mac Iver, D. J., & Epstein, J. L. (1991). Responsive practices in the middle grades: Teacher teams, advisory groups, remedial instruction, and school transition programs. *American Journal of Education*, 99(4), 587–622.
- Mahyudin, H., & Hasan, S. N. (2024). Etnofisika permainan tradisional dodorobe sebagai pengembangan bahan ajar fisika. *JIPFRI (Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan Fisika Dan Riset Ilmiah)*, 8(2), 82–91.
- Mansyurdin, & Safni. (2018). Pedoman pembelajaran remedial. *Fakultas*

Matematika Dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam.

- Marinda, L. (2020). Teori perkembangan kognitif Jean Piaget dan problematikanya pada anak usia sekolah dasar. *An-Nisa Journal of Gender Studies*, 13(1), 116–152.
- Mason, L., Zaccoletti, S., Carretti, B., Scrimin, S., & Diakidoy, I. A. N. (2019). The role of inhibition in conceptual learning from refutation and standard expository texts. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 17(3), 483–501. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-017-9874-7>
- Mayer, R. E. (1977). The sequencing of instruction and the concept of assimilation-to-schema. *Instructional Science*, 6(4), 369–388.
- Mayer, R. E. (1998). Cognitive, metacognitive, and motivational aspects of problem solving. *Instructional Science*, 26, 49–63.
- Mazibe, E. N., Gaigher, E., & Coetzee, C. (2023). *Exploring dynamic pedagogical content knowledge across fundamental concepts of electrostatics*. 19(3).
- Mehrens, W. A., & Lehmann, I. J. (1978). Measurement and evaluation in education and psychology. (No Title).
- Milgram, P., & Kishino, F. (1994). A taxonomy of mixed reality visual displays. *IEICE TRANSACTIONS on Information and Systems*, 77(12), 1321–1329.
- Mortimer, E. F., & El-Hani, C. N. (2014). *Conceptual profiles: a theory of teaching and learning scientific concepts* (Vol. 42). Springer Science & Business Media.
- Moshman, D. (2018). Metacognitive theories revisited. *Educational Psychology Review*, 30, 599–606.
- Mufida, S. N., Samsudin, A., Suhendi, E., Kaniawati, I., & Novia, H. (2023). CoSiReT: Innovation of ReT (Refutation Texts) to reduce students' misconceptions concerning transverse waves. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 9(11), 9363–9371.
- Mukuka, A., & Alex, J. K. (2024). Student teachers' knowledge of school-level geometry: implications for teaching and learning. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 13(3).
- Mursidi, A., & Soeharto, S. (2016). An introduction: evaluation of quality assurance for higher educational institutions using Rasch model. *Journal of Education, Teaching and Learning*, 1(1), 1-6.
- Muslina, M., Halim, A., & Khaldun, I. (2017). Kelayakan media animasi hukum newton ii tentang gerak pada bidang miring dan katrol di SMA kabupaten aceh besar. *JUPI (Jurnal IPA Dan Pembelajaran IPA)*, 1(1), 64–72.
- Muthiraparampil, S. T. (2012a). *Misconceptions in electrostatics among learners at university entry point: a South African case study*. May.
- Muthiraparampil, S. T. (2012b). *Misconceptions in Electrostatics among learners at university entry point: a South African case study*. Walter Sisulu University.
- Mutohhari, F., Sutiman, S., Nurtanto, M., Kholifah, N., & Samsudin, A. (2021). Difficulties in implementing 21st century skills competence in vocational education learning. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, 10(4), 1229–1236.
- Naplyokov, Y. V. (2018). Changing of mental models for effective decision-making. *Public Management*, 1, 209–228.
- Newman, J., & Newman, J. (2008). Electric forces and fields. *Physics of the Life Sciences*, 1–25.

- Noble, S., Scheinost, D., & Constable, R. T. (2021). A guide to the measurement and interpretation of fMRI test-retest reliability. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences*, 40, 27–32.
- Norman, D. A. (2014). Some observations on mental models. In *Mental models* (pp. 15–22). Psychology Press.
- Nurfiyani, Y., Putra, M. J. A., & Hermita, N. (2020). Analisis Miskonsepsi Siswa SD Kelas V Pada Konsep Sifat-sifat Cahaya. *Journal of Natural Science and Integration*, 3(1), 77–86.
- Ogundeji, O. M., Madu, B. C., & Onuya, C. C. (2019). Scientific explanation of phenomena and concept formation as correlates of students' understanding of physics concepts. *European Journal of Physics Education*, 10(3), 10–19.
- Olim, S. C., & Nisi, V. (2020). Augmented reality towards facilitating abstract concepts learning. *Entertainment Computing–ICEC 2020: 19th IFIP TC 14 International Conference, ICEC 2020, Xi'an, China, November 10–13, 2020, Proceedings 19*, 188–204.
- Onder-Celikkanli, N., & Tan, M. (2022). Determining Turkish high school students' misconceptions about electric charge imbalance by using a four-tier misconception test. *Physics Education*, 57(5). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6552/ac68c1>
- Ozkan, G., & Selcuk, G. S. (2015). Effect of technology enhanced conceptual change texts on students' understanding of buoyant force. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 3(12), 981–988.
- Özkan, G., & Selçuk, G. S. (2013). The use of conceptual change texts as class material in the teaching of “sound” in physics. *Asia-Pacific Forum on Science Learning and Teaching*, 14(1), 1–22.
- Palmer, D. H. (2003). Investigating the relationship between refutational text and conceptual change. *Science Education*, 87(5), 663–684. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.1056>
- Pan, S., & Zhang, Z. (2019). Fundamental theories and basic principles of triboelectric effect: A review. *Friction*, 7(1), 2–17. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40544-018-0217-7>
- Panagou, D., Kotsis, K. T., & Stylos, G. (2022). An empirical study on the evolution of students' perceptions in basic concepts of physics of primary and secondary education in Cyprus. *The Electronic Journal for Research in Science & Mathematics Education*, 26(2), 91–109.
- Papadogiannis, I., Wallace, M., Pouloupoulos, V., Vassilakis, C., Lepouras, G., & Platis, N. (2023). An Assessment of the Effectiveness of the Remedial Teaching Education Policy. *Knowledge*, 3(3), 349–363. <https://doi.org/10.3390/knowledge3030024>
- Paris, S. G., & Winograd, P. (2013). How metacognition can promote academic learning and instruction. In *Dimensions of thinking and cognitive instruction* (pp. 15–51). Routledge.
- Parmiti, D. P., & Simamora, A. (2021). Development of e-content using segmentation principles with e-assessment in the development of teaching materials course. *2nd International Conference on Technology and Educational Science (ICTES 2020)*, 83–90.
- Pasaribu, A., & Saparini, S. (2017). Pengembangan bahan ajar berbasis kontekstual untuk meremidiasi miskonsepsi pada materi gaya dan hukum Newton tentang

- gerak. *Jurnal Inovasi Dan Pembelajaran Fisika*, 4(1), 36–48.
- Peşman, H., & Eryılmaz, A. (2010). Development of a three-tier test to assess misconceptions about simple electric circuits. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 103(3), 208–222.
- Petri, G., & von Wangenheim, C. G. (2017). How games for computing education are evaluated? A systematic literature review. *Computers & Education*, 107, 68–90.
- Phon, D. N. E., Abidin, A. F. Z., Razak, M. F. A., Kasim, S., Basori, A. H., & Sutikno, T. (2019). Augmented reality: Effect on conceptual change of scientific. *Bulletin of Electrical Engineering and Informatics*, 8(4), 1537–1544. <https://doi.org/10.11591/eei.v8i4.1921>
- Pickup, B. T., & Goscinski, O. (1973). Direct calculation of ionization energies: I. Closed shells. *Molecular Physics*, 26(4), 1013–1035.
- Pinarbaşı, T., Canpolat, N., Bayrakçeken, S., & Geban, Ö. (2006). An investigation of effectiveness of conceptual change text-oriented instruction on students' understanding of solution concepts. *Research in Science Education*, 36(4), 313–335. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-005-9003-4>
- Pinarbaşı, T., Canpolat, N., Bayrakçeken, S., & Geban, Ö. (2006). An investigation of effectiveness of conceptual change text-oriented instruction on students' understanding of solution concepts. *Research in Science Education*, 36, 313–335.
- Plomp, T. (2013). Educational design research: An introduction. *Educational Design Research*, 11–50.
- Poehnl, S., & Bogner, F. X. (2013). A modified refutation text design: Effects on instructional efficiency for experts and novices. *Educational Research and Evaluation*, 19(5), 402–425. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/13803611.2013.789229>
- Politzer, P., Murray, J. S., & Bulat, F. A. (2010). Average local ionization energy: a review. *Journal of Molecular Modeling*, 16(11), 1731–1742.
- Poluektov, Y. M. (2020). Charge-symmetric description of electrons and positrons in the Dirac theory without negative energies. *Вопросы Атомной Науки и Техники*.
- Ponners, P. J., & Piller, Y. (2019). Investigating the impact of augmented reality on elementary students' mental model of scientists. *TechTrends*, 63, 33–40.
- Ponto, H. (2018). *Dasar Teknik Listrik*. Deepublish.
- Posner, G. J., Strike, K. A., Hewson, P. W., & Gertzog, W. A. (1982). Accommodation of a scientific conception: Toward a theory of conceptual change. *Science Education*, 66(2), 211–227.
- PosNER, Ge. J., Strike, K. A., Hewson, P. W., & Gertzog, W. A. (1982). Toward a theory of conceptual change. *Science Education*, 66(2), 211–227.
- Pottier, C., Petzing, J., Eghtedari, F., Lohse, N., & Kinnell, P. (2023). Developing digital twins of multi-camera metrology systems in blender. *Measurement Science and Technology*, 34(7), 75001.
- Pramanik, P. K. D., Pal, S., & Choudhury, P. (2018). Beyond automation: the cognitive IoT. artificial intelligence brings sense to the Internet of Things. *Cognitive Computing for Big Data Systems Over IoT: Frameworks, Tools and Applications*, 1–37.
- Pramarta, P., & Irfan, I. (2024). Android based letter recognition application with

- augmented reality implementation. *Journal of Intelligent Decision Support System (IDSS)*, 7(2), 203–209.
- Pratiwi, N. K. A., Suyono, S., & Leny, Y. (2019). The students' conception track of low-perception-students through the conceptual change (CCM) module based on mental models on electron configuration concept. *National Seminar on Chemistry 2019 (SNK-19)*, 155–158.
- Primayoga, G., Zainuddin, Z., & Suyidno, S. (2013). Implementasi model generative learning untuk mereduksi miskonsepsi fisika pada materi ajar dinamika partikel. *Berkala Ilmiah Pendidikan Fisika*, 1(3), 271–277.
- Prinz, A., Golke, S., & Wittwer, J. (2021). Counteracting detrimental effects of misconceptions on learning and metacomprehension accuracy: The utility of refutation texts and think sheets. *Instructional Science*, 49, 165–195. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11251-021-09535-8>
- Pujayanto, P., Budiharti, R., Radiyono, Y., Nuraini, N. R. A., Putri, H. V., Saputro, D. E., & Adhitama, E. (2018). Developing four tier misconception diagnostic test about kinematics. *Jurnal Cakrawala Pendidikan*, 37(2).
- Pujianto, A. (2013). Analisis konsepsi siswa pada konsep kinematika gerak lurus. *JPFT (Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika Tadulako Online)*, 1(1), 16–21.
- Purcell, E. M. (2013). *Electricity and magnetism*. Cambridge university press.
- Purnamawati, Y., & Gembong, S. (2013). Profil pemahaman konsep segitiga pada siswa sekolah dasar (SD) berdasarkan teori van heile. *JIPM (Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Matematika)*, 1(2).
- Puspitasari, R., & Mufit, F. (2021). Conditions of learning physics and students' understanding of the concept of motion during the covid-19 pandemic. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1876(1), 12045.
- Putri, A. H., Suhandi, A., & Samsudin, A. (2023). Facilitating three levels of representation through visual media: a pilot study on constructivism learning. *Journal of Southwest Jiaotong University*, 58(4).
- Putri, A. H., Suhandi, A., & Samsudin, A. (2024). Investigating the impact of three levels of representation-based visual media on students' mental models. *Physics Education*, 59(6), 65028.
- Rackers, J. A., Silva, R. R., Wang, Z., & Ponder, J. W. (2021). Polarizable water potential derived from a model electron density. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, 17(11), 7056–7084.
- Rahmawati, A., Nurlaili, I., Pratama, G. A., & Kurniawati, W. (2024). Analisis materi listrik dalam pembelajaran IPA di Sekolah Dasar. *Madani: Jurnal Ilmiah Multidisiplin*, 1(12).
- Rahmawati, L., Labibah, U. N., & Kuswanto, H. (2020). The implementation of android-based physics learning media integrated with landslide disaster education to improve critical thinking ability and disaster preparedness. In W. A., P. null, A. N.A., & D. M.I.B.M. (Eds.), *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1440, Issue 1). Institute of Physics Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1440/1/012042>
- Rante, S. V. N., & Allo, M. D. G. (2024). Minimizing misconceptions: designing an electrical and magnetic syllabus for prospective elementary school teachers using predict observe explain-conceptual change text (poe-cct). *Al-Ishlah: Jurnal Pendidikan*, 16(2), 1410–1422.
- Rawh, P., Samsudin, A., & Nugraha, M. G. (2020). Pengembangan *four-tier*

- diagnostic test* untuk mengidentifikasi profil konsepsi siswa pada materi alat-alat optik. *WaPFI (Wahana Pendidikan Fisika)*, 5(1), 84–89.
- Redish, E. F. (1994). Implications of cognitive studies for teaching physics. *American Journal of Physics*, 62(9), 796–803.
- Reichardt, C. S. (2019). *Quasi-experimentation: A guide to design and analysis*. Guilford Publications.
- Ren, H., Xu, N., Lin, Y., Zhang, S., & Yang, T. (2021). Remedial teaching and learning from a cognitive diagnostic model perspective: Taking the data distribution characteristics as an example. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 628607.
- Renner, C. H., & Renner, M. J. (2001). But I thought I knew that: Using confidence estimation as a debiasing technique to improve classroom performance. *Applied Cognitive Psychology: The Official Journal of the Society for Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 15(1), 23–32.
- Resbiantoro, G., & Setiani, R. (2022). A review of misconception in physics: the diagnosis, causes, and remediation. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 19(2).
- Reynolds, C. R., Altmann, R. A., & Allen, D. N. (2021). The problem of bias in psychological assessment. In *Mastering modern psychological testing: Theory and methods* (pp. 573–613). Springer.
- Richards, A. J., Jones, D. C., & Etkina, E. (2020). How students combine resources to make conceptual breakthroughs. *Research in Science Education*, 50, 1119–1141.
- Rif'at Shafwatul, A., Widodo, A., Sopandi, W., & Wu, H.-K. (2019). Developing a five-tier diagnostic test to identify students' misconceptions in science: an example of the heat transfer concepts. *İlköğretim Online*, 1014–1029.
- Rittle-Johnson, B., Matthews, P. G., Taylor, R. S., & McEldeen, K. L. (2011). Assessing knowledge of mathematical equivalence: A construct-modeling approach. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 103(1), 85.
- Roth, K. J. (1985). *Conceptual Change Learning and Student Processing of Science Texts*.
- Rouse, W. B., & Morris, N. M. (1986). On looking into the black box: Prospects and limits in the search for mental models. *Psychological Bulletin*, 100(3), 349.
- Rukmana, D., Suhandi, A., Ramalis, T. R., & Samsudin, A. (2022). Religious values-based learning materials on earth and space science: analysis spirituality and conceptual understanding levels. *Indonesian Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 5(3), 271–284.
- Russell, T., & Martin, A. K. (2023). Learning to teach science. In *Handbook of research on science education* (pp. 1162–1196). Routledge.
- Safitri, D., & Hartati, T. A. W. (2016). Kelayakan aspek media dan bahasa dalam pengembangan buku ajar dan multimedia interaktif biologi sel. *Florea: Jurnal Biologi Dan Pembelajarannya*, 3(2), 9–14.
- Safitri, G., & Sitompul, S. S. (2022). Analisis miskonsepsi peserta didik pada materi listrik statis menggunakan four tier diagnostic. 6(4), 593–601.
- Sakulphon, M., Srisawasdi, N., & Wangsomnuk, P. (2015). Examining relationship between biology attitudes and perceptions toward mobile augmented reality of photosynthesis and impact on gender difference. *Proceedings of the 23rd*

- International Conference on Computers in Education*, 836–841.
- Saleh, G., Alizadeh, R., & Dalili, A. (2020). Why the electron is negatively charged and the proton positively?! *matter: International Journal of Science and Technology*, 6(1), 2632.
- Salmi, H. (2012). Evidence of bridging the gap between formal education and informal learning through teacher education. *Reflecting Education*, 8(2), 45–61.
- Salyani, R., Nurmaliah, C., & Mahidin, M. (2020). Application of the 5E learning cycle model to overcome misconception and increase student learning activities in learning chemical bonding. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1460(1), 12102.
- Samsudin, A. (2023). Conceptual change based on virtual media (cc-vm) versus poe strategy: analysis of mental model improvement and changes on light wave concepts. *International Journal of Technology in Education and Science*, 7(2), 230–252.
- Samsudin, A., Afif, N. F., Nugraha, M. G., Suhandi, A., Fratiwi, N. J., Aminudin, A. H., Adimayuda, R., Linuwih, S., & Costu, B. (2021). Reconstructing students' misconceptions on work and energy through the PDEODE* E tasks with think-pair-share. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 18(1), 118–144.
- Samsudin, A., Aziah, N., Sasmita, D., Rasmitadila, R., Fatkhurrahman, M. A., Supriyatman, S., & Wibowo, F. C. (2020). Analyzing the students' conceptual change on kinetic theory of gases as a learning effect though computer simulations-assisted conceptual change model. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*.
- Samsudin, A., Suhandi, A., Rusdiana, D., Kaniawati, I., & Coştu, B. (2016). Investigating the effectiveness of an active learning based-interactive conceptual instruction (ALBICI) on electric field concept. *Asia-Pacific Forum on Science Learning and Teaching*, 17(1), 1–41.
- Sands, D. (2021). Misconceptions or mental models. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1929(1), 12004.
- Saputra, V. H., & Permata, P. (2018). Media pembelajaran interaktif menggunakan macromedia flash pada materi bangun ruang. *WACANA AKADEMIKA: Majalah Ilmiah Kependidikan*, 2(2), 116–125.
- Sax, H., & Clack, L. (2015). Mental models: a basic concept for human factors design in infection prevention. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 89(4), 335–339.
- Schank, R. C. (2014). *Conceptual information processing* (Vol. 3). Elsevier.
- Schmalstieg, D., & Hollerer, T. (2016). *Augmented reality: principles and practice*. Addison-Wesley Professional.
- Schneider, M., Rittle-Johnson, B., & Star, J. R. (2011). Relations among conceptual knowledge, procedural knowledge, and procedural flexibility in two samples differing in prior knowledge. *Developmental Psychology*, 47(6), 1525.
- Schroeder, N. L., & Kucera, A. (2022a). Refutation text facilitates learning: a meta-analysis of between-subjects experiments. *Educational Psychology Review*, 34, 957–987. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-021-09656-z>
- Schroeder, N. L., & Kucera, A. C. (2022b). Refutation text facilitates learning: A meta-analysis of between-subjects experiments. *Educational Psychology Review*, 34(2), 957–987.
- Schwartz, W. (2019). *Descriptive psychology and the person concept: Essential*

- attributes of persons and behavior*. Academic Press.
- Scott, P., Asoko, H., & Leach, J. (2013). Student conceptions and conceptual learning in science. In *Handbook of research on science education* (pp. 31–56). Routledge.
- Scott, T., & Guan, W. (2023). Challenges facing Thai higher education institutions financial stability and perceived institutional education quality. *Power and Education*, 15(3), 326–340.
- Selvaganapathy, R., & Benjamin, A. E. W. (n.d.). Remedial teaching technique to overcome learning difficulties in chemistry at the higher secondary level. *International Journal of Health Sciences*, 6(S1), 7843–7849.
- Selvarajan, P., & Vasanthagumar, T. (2022). Competencies of Low Achievers. *IJSSIR (International Journal of Social Sciences & Interdisciplinary Research)*, 11(01), 283–287. <https://gejournal.net/index.php/IJSSIR/article/view/189>
- Serway, R. A., & Jewett, J. W. (2000). Physics for scientists and engineers with modern physics. *A Book*.
- Setyaningrum, S., Setyaningrum, V., Novia, N., Nurmatin, S., Candra, A. D., Mirnawati, M., Azizah, N., Maitimu, C. V., Hadinugraha, S., & Darwis, R. (2023). *Ilmu Alamiah Dasar: Prinsip-Prinsip Dasar & Fenomena Alam*. PT. Sonpedia Publishing Indonesia.
- Shabiralyani, G., Hasan, K. S., Hamad, N., & Iqbal, N. (2015). Impact of visual aids in enhancing the learning process case research: District Dera Ghazi Khan. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 6(19), 226–233.
- Shidik, M. A. (2020). Hubungan antara motivasi belajar dengan pemahaman konsep fisika peserta didik MAN Baraka. *Jurnal Kumparan Fisika*, 3(2), 91–98.
- Sibley, M. J. N. (2021). *Introduction to Electromagnetism: From Coulomb to Maxwell*. CRC Press.
- Siegel, M. A., & Lee, J. A. C. (2001). “*But Electricity Isn’t Static*”: *Science Discussion, Identification of Learning Issues, and Use of Resources in a Problem-Based Learning Education Course*. ERIC Clearinghouse.
- Silitonga, M., Solihin, M. D., Isnaini, M., & Hutahaean, H. D. (2024). Application of learning media in Improving higher order thinking skills in electronics teaching materials. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 10(2), 648–653.
- Simeon, M. I., Samsudin, M. A., & Yakob, N. (2020). Effect of design thinking approach on students’ achievement in some selected physics concepts in the context of STEM learning. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 1–28.
- Sinatra, G. M., & Broughton, S. H. (2011). Bridging reading comprehension and conceptual change in science education: The promise of refutation text. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 46(4), 374–393.
- Smith III, J. P., DiSessa, A. A., & Roschelle, J. (1994). Misconceptions reconceived: A constructivist analysis of knowledge in transition. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 3(2), 115–163.
- Sonkar, S., Chen, X., Liu, N., Baraniuk, R. G., & Sachan, M. (2024). LLM-based cognitive models of students with misconceptions. *ArXiv Preprint ArXiv:2410.12294*.
- Spivey, M. J. (2023). Cognitive science progresses toward interactive frameworks.

- Topics in Cognitive Science*, 15(2), 219–254.
- Sriwahyuni, I., Risdianto, E., & Johan, H. (2019). Pengembangan bahan ajar elektronik menggunakan flip pdf professional pada materi alat-alat optik di sma. *Jurnal Kumparan Fisika*, 2(3), 145–152. <https://doi.org/10.33369/jkf.2.3.145-152>
- Stefanidou, C. G., Tsalapati, K. D., Ferentinou, A. M., & Skordoulis, C. D. (2019). Conceptual difficulties pre-service primary teachers have with static electricity. *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, 18(2), 300–313.
- Stewart, C., & Bower, M. (2019). Novice online educator conceptual frameworks: a mental model exploration of mindful learning design. *Educational Media International*, 56(1), 14–43.
- Sudjana, N. (2021). *Dasar dasar proses belajar mengajar*. Sinar Baru Algensindo.
- Sufiyah, S., Wasis, W., & Soetjipto, S. (2017). Pengembangan Perangkat Pembelajaran Model Guided Inquiry untuk Menurunkan Miskonsepsi Siswa pada Materi Optik. *JPPS (Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Sains)*, 7(1), 1441–1446.
- Suhandi, A., Surtiana, Y., Husnah, I., Setiawan, W., Siahaan, P., Samsudin, A., & Costu, B. (2020). Fostering high school students' misconception about boiling concept using conceptual change laboratory (CCLab) Activity. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 8(6), 2211–2217.
- Suhandi, A., & Wibowo, F. C. (2012). Pendekatan multirepresentasi dalam pembelajaran usaha-energi dan dampak terhadap pemahaman konsep mahasiswa. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika Indonesia*, 8(1).
- Sujarwanto, E. (2019). Pemahaman konsep dan kemampuan penyelesaian masalah dalam pembelajaran fisika. *DIFFRACTION: Journal for Physics Education and Applied Physics*, 1(1).
- Sukadi, E., & Sari, I. N. (2013). Miskonsepsi mahasiswa pendidikan fisika stkip PGRI Pontianak pada materi listrik statis. *Jurnal Pendidikan Informatika Dan Sains*, 2(2), 102–109.
- Sumintono, B., & Widhiarso, W. (2014). *Aplikasi model Rasch untuk penelitian ilmu-ilmu sosial (edisi revisi)*. Trim Komunikata Publishing House.
- Sumintono, B., & Widhiarso, W. (2015). *Aplikasi pemodelan rasch pada assessment pendidikan*. Trim komunikata.
- Suparwati, N. M. A. (2022). Analisis reduksi miskonsepsi kimia dengan pendekatan multi level representasi: Systematic literature review. *Jurnal Pendidikan Mipa*, 12(2), 341–348.
- Supena, I., Darmuki, A., & Hariyadi, A. (2021). The influence of 4c (constructive, critical, creativity, collaborative) learning model on students' learning outcomes. *International Journal of Instruction*, 14(3), 873–892.
- Supriyanto, A., & Asmilia, A. (2019). Aplikasi augmented reality (ar) alur pembuatan sim c berbasis android. *Jurnal Humaniora Teknologi*, 5(1), 35–40.
- Susilowati, N. E., Muslim, M., Efendi, R., & Samsudin, A. (2022). PISA 2021 creative thinking instrument for students: Physics teachers' perceptions. *Indonesian Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 5(2), 194–209.
- Sweller, J., Ayres, P., Kalyuga, S., Sweller, J., Ayres, P., & Kalyuga, S. (2011). Measuring cognitive load. *Cognitive Load Theory*, 71–85.
- Syawaludin, A., & Rintayati, P. (2019). Development of augmented reality-based interactive multimedia to improve critical thinking skills in science learning.

- International Journal of Instruction*, 12(4), 331–344.
- Syuhendri, S., Siahaan, S. M., & Pasaribu, A. (2022). Lunar phases refutation texts: supplement texts to overcome students' misconceptions. *European Journal of Education and Pedagogy*, 3(6), 157–160.
- Tan, Y. S. M., & Caleon, I. S. (2022). "It's not just about grades": Teachers' alternative perceptions of students performing at a low level and of these students' academic success. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 118, 103816.
- Tangaraja, G., Mohd Rasdi, R., Abu Samah, B., & Ismail, M. (2016). Knowledge sharing is knowledge transfer: a misconception in the literature. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 20(4), 653–670.
- Taylor, A. K., & Kowalski, P. (2023). Refuting misconceptions: One size does not fit all. *Cholarship of Teaching and Learning in Psychology*. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1037/stl0000384>
- Thacker, I., Sinatra, G. M., Muis, K. R., Danielson, R. W., Pekrun, R., Winne, P. H., & Chevrier, M. (2020). Using persuasive refutation texts to prompt attitudinal and conceptual change. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 112(6), 1085.
- Thompson, J. (2020). Measuring student success skills: a review of the literature on complex communication. 21st Century Success Skills. *National Center for the Improvement of Educational Assessment*.
- Thurn, C. M., Hänger, B., & Kokkonen, T. (2020). Concept mapping in magnetism and electrostatics: Core concepts and development over time. *Education Sciences*, 10(5), 129.
- Timbi-Sisalima, C., Sánchez-Gordón, M., Otón-Tortosa, S., & Mendoza-González, R. (2024). Self-assessment guide to quality in accessible virtual education: an expert validation. *Sustainability*, 16(22), 10011.
- Tipler, P. A., & Mosca, G. (2007). *Physics for scientists and engineers*. Macmillan.
- Tippett, C. D. (2010). Refutation text in science education: A review of two decades of research. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 8, 951–970.
- Tokuhamma-Espinosa, T., Simmers, K., Batchelor, D., Nelson, A. D., & Borja, C. (2023). A theory of mental frameworks. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 1220664.
- Tolla, I., & Jabu, B. (2022). Development of the 4c teaching model to improve students' mathematical critical thinking skills. *International Journal of Educational Methodology*, 8(3), 493–504.
- Tompo, B., Ahmad, A., & Muris, M. (2016). The development of discovery-inquiry learning model to reduce the science misconceptions of junior high school students. *International Journal of Environmental and Science Education*, 11(12), 5676–5686.
- Topalsan, A. K., & Bayram, H. (2019). Identifying prospective primary school teachers' ontologically categorized misconceptions on the topic of "force and motion". *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 16(1), 85–109.
- Towne, L., & Shavelson, R. J. (2002). *Scientific research in education*. National Academies Press.
- Treagust, D. F. (1988). Development and use of diagnostic tests to evaluate students' misconceptions in science. *International Journal of Science Education*, 10(2), 159–169.
- Triepels, C. P. R., Smeets, C. F. A., Notten, K. J. B., Kruitwagen, R. F. P. M.,

- Futterer, J. J., Vergeldt, T. F. M., & Van Kuijk, S. M. J. (2020). Does three-dimensional anatomy improve student understanding? *Clinical Anatomy*, 33(1), 25–33.
- Trust, T., & Pektas, E. (2018). Using the ADDIE model and universal design for learning principles to develop an open online course for teacher professional development. *Journal of Digital Learning in Teacher Education*, 34(4), 219–233.
- Tsai, C., & Chou, C. (2002). Diagnosing students' alternative conceptions in science. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 18(2), 157–165.
- Ulya, F., Suhandi, A., & Samsudin, A. (2018). Achievement of conceived level of change of high school student as effect of video supported conceptual change text (vscctext) in teaching remedial concept boil. *International Conference on Mathematics and Science Education of Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia*, 3, 168–172.
- Uno, H. B., & Umar, M. K. (2023). *Mengelola kecerdasan dalam pembelajaran: sebuah konsep pembelajaran berbasis kecerdasan*. Bumi Aksara.
- Vanda, Y., & Dwiyanto, D. (2023). Usability analysis aplikasi mobile augmented reality (mar) untuk multimedia pembelajaran motherboard (Aplikasi AR-Mobo). *Infotekmesin*, 14(1), 105–110.
- Van Zile-Tamsen, C. (2017). Using Rasch analysis to inform rating scale development. *Research in Higher Education*, 58(8), 922–933.
- Vaughn, A. R. (2018). *The effectiveness of a refutation text with appeals to expertise in establishing credibility for conceptual change: A Mixed Methods Study*. University of Cincinnati.
- Vebrianto, S. (2016). *Eksplorasi Metode Geolistrik*. Universitas Brawijaya Press.
- Vidak, A., Šapić, I. M., Dananić, V., & Batista, J. (2023). Coulomb's Law: Augmented reality simulation. *The Physics Teacher*, 61(3), 172–174.
- Videnovik, M., Trajkovik, V., Kiøgnig, L. V., & Vold, T. (2020). Increasing quality of learning experience using augmented reality educational games. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 79(33), 23861–23885.
- Vishwanathan, P., van Oosterhout, H., Heugens, P. P., Duran, P., & Van Essen, M. (2020). Strategic CSR: A concept building meta-analysis. *Journal of Management Studies*, 57(2), 314–350.
- Von Aufschnaiter, C., & Rogge, C. (2010). Misconceptions or missing conceptions? *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 6(1), 3–18.
- Vosniadou, S. (2007). The cognitive-situative divide and the problem of conceptual change. *Educational Psychologist*, 42(1), 55–66.
- Vosniadou, S. (2013). Conceptual change in learning and instruction: The framework theory approach. In *International handbook of research on conceptual change* (pp. 11–30). Routledge.
- Vosniadou, S., & Ioannides, C. (1998). From conceptual development to science education: A psychological point of view. *International Journal of Science Education*, 20(10), 1213–1230.
- Vosniadou, S., Vamvakoussi, X., & Skopeliti, I. (2008). The framework theory approach to the problem of conceptual change. *International Handbook of Research on Conceptual Change*, 1, 3–34.
- Wahyudi, I., & Maharta, N. (2013). Pemahaman konsep dan miskonsepsi fisika

- pada guru fisika sma rsbi di bandar lampung. *Jurnal Pendidikan MIPA Universitas Lampung*, 14(1), 121125.
- Wang, J., Antonenko, P., & Dawson, K. (2020). Does visual attention to the instructor in online video affect learning and learner perceptions? An eye-tracking analysis. *Computers & Education*, 146, 103779.
- Wang, J., & Buck, G. A. (2016). Understanding a high school physics teacher's pedagogical content knowledge of argumentation. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 27(5), 577–604.
- Weerasinghe, M., Quigley, A., Ducasse, J., Čopič Pucihar, K., & Kljun, M. (2024). Educational augmented reality games. In *Augmented reality games II* (pp. 3–38). Springer.
- Weinberg, G., & McCann, L. (2019). *Super thinking: The big book of mental models*. Penguin.
- Weingartner, K., & Masnick, A. M. (2019). Refutation texts: Implying the refutation of a scientific misconception can facilitate knowledge revision. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, null, null. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEDPSYCH.2019.03.004>
- Welling, J., Gnambs, T., & Carstensen, C. H. (2024). Identifying disengaged responding in multiple-choice items: extending a latent class item response model with novel process data indicators. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 84(2), 314–339.
- Wells, J., Henderson, R., Traxler, A., Miller, P., & Stewart, J. (2020). Exploring the structure of misconceptions in the force and motion conceptual evaluation with modified module analysis. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 16(1), 10121.
- Weng, N. G., Bee, O. Y., Yew, L. H., & Hsia, T. E. (2016). An augmented reality system for biology science education in Malaysia. *International Journal of Innovative Computing*, 6(2).
- Wibowo, E., & Pratiwi, D. D. (2018). Pengembangan bahan ajar menggunakan aplikasi kvisoft flipbook maker materi himpunan. *Desimal: Jurnal Matematika*, 1(2), 147–156.
- Widodo, C. S. (2019). *Pengantar Biolistrik*. Universitas Brawijaya Press.
- Wilcox, B. R., & Lewandowski, H. J. (2017). Developing skills versus reinforcing concepts in physics labs: Insight from a survey of students' beliefs about experimental physics. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 13(1), 10108.
- Will, K. K., Masad, A., Vlach, H. A., & Kendeou, P. (2019). The effects of refutation texts on generating explanations. *Learning and Individual Differences*, null, null. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.LINDIF.2018.12.002>
- Windschitl, M., Thompson, J., & Braaten, M. (2008). Beyond the scientific method: Model-based inquiry as a new paradigm of preference for school science investigations. *Science Education*, 92(5), 941–967.
- Wiwita, L., & Hadi, W. (2020). Validation of experts to the module writing short text based on character education in class xi students of SMA Negeri 1 Pangkalan Susu. *Britain International of Linguistics Arts and Education (BioLAE) Journal*, 2(1), 422–435.
- Wright, P. M., & Craig, M. W. (2011). Tool for assessing responsibility-based education (TARE): Instrument development, content validity, and inter-rater

- reliability. *Measurement in Physical Education and Exercise Science*, 15(3), 204–219.
- Wu, H., Krajcik, J. S., & Soloway, E. (2001). Promoting understanding of chemical representations: Students' use of a visualization tool in the classroom. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching: The Official Journal of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching*, 38(7), 821–842.
- Wu, J., Guo, R., Wang, Z., & Zeng, R. (2021). Integrating spherical video-based virtual reality into elementary school students' scientific inquiry instruction: effects on their problem-solving performance. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 29(3), 496–509.
- Wulandari, I., & Oktaviani, N. M. (2021). Validitas bahan ajar kurikulum pembelajaran untuk pendidikan guru sekolah dasar. *Jurnal Cakrawala Pendas*, 7(1).
- Yan, Y., Hu, Y., Wang, L., Qian, X., Zhang, W., Reda, K., Wu, J., & Zheng, G. (2021). Electrostatic sensors—Their principles and applications. *Measurement*, 169, 108506.
- Yanagita, Y., Yokokawa, D., Fukuzawa, F., Uchida, S., Uehara, T., & Ikusaka, M. (2024). Expert assessment of ChatGPT's ability to generate illness scripts: an evaluative study. *BMC Medical Education*, 24(1), 536.
- Yang, D.-C., & Lin, Y.-C. (2015). Assessing 10-to 11-year-old children's performance and misconceptions in number sense using a four-tier diagnostic test. *Educational Research*, 57(4), 368–388.
- Yang, F.-Y., & Wang, H.-Y. (2023). Tracking visual attention during learning of complex science concepts with augmented 3D visualizations. *Computers & Education*, 193, 104659.
- Yilmaz, D., Tekkaya, C., & Sungur, S. (2011). The Comparative effects of prediction/discussion-based learning cycle, conceptual change text, and traditional instructions on student understanding of genetics. *International Journal of Science Education*, 33(5), 607–628. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500691003657758>
- Yilmaz, O. (2021). Augmented reality in science education: an application in higher education. *shanlax international journal of education*, 9(3), 136–148.
- Yilmaz, R. M. (2016). Educational magic toys developed with augmented reality technology for early childhood education. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 54, 240–248.
- Yoon, S., Anderson, E., Lin, J., & Elinich, K. (2017). How augmented reality enables conceptual understanding of challenging science content. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, 20(1), 156–168.
- Yuen, S. C.-Y., Yaoyuneyong, G., & Johnson, E. (2011). Augmented reality: An overview and five directions for AR in education. *Journal of Educational Technology Development and Exchange (JETDE)*, 4(1), 11.
- Yunanda, I., Susilo, H., & Ghofur, A. (2019). Identifikasi konsep materi keanekaragaman hayati dan protista pada siswa kelas X di Jawa Timur. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Dan Workshop Biologi-IPA Dan Pembelajarannya Ke-4. Malang*, 288–295.
- Yusuf, M. (2023). Development of arabic language teaching materials with 4d model for the second semester at STAI Al-Furqan Makassar. *Bulletin of Science Education*, 3(3), 152–170.

- Yusuf, M., & Setiawan, W. (2009). Studi kompetensi multirepresentasi mahasiswa pada topik elektrostatika. *Jurnal Pendidikan Teknologi Informasi Dan Komunikasi*, 2(1), 1–10.
- Zdybel, D. (2021). Children's conceptions of memory and imagination-from conceptual knowledge to metacognition. Phenomenographical study. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 41, 100855.
- Zengilowski, A., Nash, B. L., Schuetze, B. A., & Schallert, D. L. (2022). Bringing refutation texts back to their literacy roots: what do critical literacy and culturally responsive pedagogy have to teach us about students' conceptual change? *Literacy Research: Theory, Method, and Practice*, 71(1), 341–358.
- Zengilowski, A., Schuetze, B. A., Nash, B. L., & Schallert, D. L. (2021). A critical review of the refutation text literature: Methodological confounds, theoretical problems, and possible solutions. *Educational Psychologist*, 56(3), 175–195. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2020.1861948>
- Zhang, T., Shaikh, Z. A., Yumashev, A. V., & Chład, M. (2020). Applied model of E-learning in the framework of education for sustainable development. *Sustainability*, 12(16), 6420.
- Zhufeng, Y., & Sitthiworachart, J. (2024). Effect of augmented reality technology on learning behavior and attitudes of preschool students in science activities. *Education and Information Technologies*, 29(4), 4763–4784.
- Zou, H., Zhang, Y., Guo, L., Wang, P., He, X., Dai, G., Zheng, H., Chen, C., Wang, A. C., & Xu, C. (2019). Quantifying the triboelectric series. *Nature Communications*, 10(1), 1427.
- Zukhruf, K. D., Khaldun, I., & Ilyas, S. (2016). Remediasi miskonsepsi dengan menggunakan media pembelajaran interaktif pada materi fluida statis. *Jurnal Pendidikan Sains Indonesia (Indonesian Journal of Science Education)*, 4(1).
- Zvonova, E. V., Babieva, N. S., Mamedova, A. V., Tarabakina, L. V., Pestereva, N. A., & Kerimova, I. A. (2021). Video and media technologies in the educational space as a form of mental representation of students of different cultures. *World Journal on Educational Technology: Current Issues*, 13(4), 890–901.