

Daftar Pustaka

- Abrami, P.C. (2001). Improving judgments about teaching effectiveness using teacher rating forms. In Theall, M., Abrami, P.C and Mets. L.A., (Eds.). The student ratings debate: Are they valid? How can we best use them? [Special issue]. *New Directions for Institutional Research* 109, 59-87.
- Ackerman, J. M. (1993). The promise of writing to learn. *Written Communication*, 10, 334-370
- Ainsworth, S. (1999). The functions of multiple representations. *Computers and Education*,33, 131-152.
- Ainsworth, S., & Van Labeke, N. (2004). Multiple forms of dynamic representation. *Learning and Instruction*, 14(3), 241-255
- Ann, W (2008). Teacher transformation in the national writing project. *Research in the Teaching of English* 43(2). 144-187
- Anastasi, A & Urbina, A (2002). *Psychological Testing* (7th Edition). New Delhi: Pearson Education (Singapore) Pvt. Ltd., Indian Branch.
- Armitage, A., et al (2007).Mutual research design : redefining mixed methods research design. Paper presented at the *British Educational Research Association Annual Conference*, Institute Education, University of London.
- Atila, M.E., Gunel, M., & Buyukkasap, E. (2010). The effect of using multimodal representation within writing to learn activities on learning force and motion unit at the middle school setting. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*. 7(4).113-127
- Ball, D. L. & Cohen, D. K. (1999). Developing practice, developing practitioners: Toward a practice-based theory of professional education. In G. Sykes and -Hammond,L.D (Eds.), *Teaching as the Learning Profession:Handbook of Policy and Practice*,. 3-32. 1999, San Francisco: Jossey Bass.
- Bazerman, C., Little, J., Bethel, L., Chavkin, Whitney T., Fouquette, D., & Garufis, J. (2005).Writing to learn. In C. Bazerman, J. Little, L.Bethel, T. Chavkin, D. Fouquette, & J. Garufis (Eds.), *Reference guide to writing across the curriculum*, 57-65. West Lafayette, IN:Parlor Press.
- Bandura,A.(1986). *Social foundation of thought and action (ed) annals of child development*, 6.Greenwich CT JAI Press
- Beran, T., Violato, C., & Kline, D. (2007). What's the 'use' of student ratings of instruction for administrators? One university's experience. *Canadian Journal of Higher Education*, 17(1), 27-43.
- Bereiter,C. and Scardamalia,M.(1987).The pshycology of wrieten composition. *The Psychology of Education and Instruction Series*, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc. Publisher, suite 102. Broadway Hillsdale NJ

- Beichner, R.J.(1994). Testing student interpretation of kinematics graphs. *American Journal of Physics.*, 62(8), 750-762
- Bereiter, Carl; Scardamalia, Marlene (1987) *The Psychology of Written Composition*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Bezemer, J., Kress, G. (2008). Writing in multimodal texts a social semiotic account of designs for learning. *Written Communication* 25 (2), 166-195 © 2008 Sage Publications.
- Bosse,M.J., Gyamfi,K.A., & Cheetham, M (2011) *Translations Among Mathematical Representations: Teacher Beliefs and Practices*, Department of Mathematics, Science, and Instruction Technology Education, College of Education, East Carolina University, Greenville, NC 28590
- Brewster,C & Klump, J. (2004) *Writing To Learn, Learning To write: Revisiting Writing Across the Curriculum in Northwest Secondary Schools*. Northwest Regional ,Educational Laboratory Portland Oregon
- Chan, C.(2009) Assessment: *Concept Map*, Assessment Resources@HKU, University of Hong Kong [<http://ar.cetl.hku.hk>].
- Coe, R (2000). *What is an Effect Size ?*.A Guide for User. Draft version.
- Cohen, J. (1969) *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences*. NY: Academic Press in Coe, Robert (2000). *What is an Effect Size ?*.A Guide for User. Draft version.
- Coppola, B. P. (1995). Progress in practice: Using concepts from motivational and self-regulated learning research to improve chemistry instruction. In P. R. Pintrich (Ed.), *Understanding Self-Regulated Learning* (pp. 87-96). San Francisco, CA:Jossey-Bass.
- Creswell, J.W.(2009)..*Research Design :Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Approaches*. Thousand Oaks,CA:Sage.
- Creswell, J.W & Clark, V.L.P (2007) *Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods research*, Sage Publication.
- Danielson, S (2013). *The Framework for Teaching, Evaluation Instrument*. The Danielson Group,All Righ Reserved, Princeton,NJ,USA
- de Jong, T., & van Joolingen, W. R. (1998). Scientific discovery learning with computer simulations of conceptual domains. *Review of Educational Research*,68, (2), 179-201
- DeLeone, C. & Gire,E.,(2005). edited by Heron, P., McCullough, L and Marx, J. 2005 *Physics Education Research Conference Proceedings*, Salt Lake City, UT,2005, 45-48.
- diSessa, A.(2004). Meta representation: Native competence and target for instruction. *Cognitive and Instruction*,22 (3), 293-331.
- Duncan, T. G.,& McKeachie, W. J. (2005). The making of the motivated strategies for learning questionnaire, *Educational Psychologist*, 40, 117-128.

- Ecles, J. S., & Wigfield, A. (2002). Motivational beliefs, values, and goals. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 53, 109-132.
- Edward, W.M., Bruce, M.K & Gordon, B. (1993). *Statistical Reasoning In Psychology and Education*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Emelie, A and Nathalie, L. (2007). *Teaching Writing in Theory and Practice : A Study of Ways of Working With Writing in the 9th Grade*. Stockholm Institute of Education Department of Curriculum Studies and Communication
- Finkelstein, N, et.al. (2005), When learning about the real world is better done virtually: A Study of Substituting Computer Simulation for laboratory Equipment. *Phys. Rev. ST Phys. Educ. Res.* 1, 010103,
- Flores, S., Kanim, S.E, & Kautz, C.H., Student use of vectors in introductory mechanics. *American Journal of Physics.*, 2004. 72
- Funda, O., et.al (2008) What makes physics difficult. *International Journal of Environmental of Science Education*, 3(1)
- Galbraith, D. (1999). Writing as a knowledge-constituting process. In D. Galbraith, & M. Torrance (Eds.), *Knowing what to write: Conceptual processes in text production. Studies in Writing*. 4, 139–160. 1999, Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press.
- Galbraith, D. (1992). Conditions of discovery through writing. *Instructional Science*, 21, 45-72
- Garcia, T. (1995). The role of motivational strategies in self regulated learning, in Pintrich, P.R., (ed). *Understanding Self Regulated Learning*, San Francisco, Jossey Bass.
- Gonzales, F., Prain, V., and Waldrip, B. (2007). Using multi modal representations of concepts in learning science., *ESSERA Conference on Research and The Quality of Science Education*.
- Guba, E.G., & Lincoln, Y.S. (1988). Do Inquiry paradigm imply methodologies. in Fattermen, D.M. (1988). *Qualitative Approaches to Evaluate in Education*. New York Praeger.
- Gunel, M., Hand, B., & Prain, V. (2007). Writing for learning in science: A Secondary analysis of six studies. *International Journal of science and Mathematics Education*, 4(5), 615-367
- Guilford, J.P. (1965). *Fundamental Statistic for Students of Psychologi and Education*, New York Mc Graw Hill.
- Hand, B., Gunel, M., & Ulu, C. (2009). Sequencing embedded multimodal representation in writing to learn approach to the teaching of electricity. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 46 (3), 225-247
- Hale, S. (2003). Concept mapping handout: Handout from Georgia Parimeter College.
<http://facstaff.gpc.edu/~shale/composition/handout/concept.html>

- Hake, R.R (1998). Interactive–engagement vs traditional methods: A six- thousand student survey of mechanics test data for introductory physics course, *American Journal of Physics*,66 ,64-74
- Hayes, J. & Flower,L. (1980). Identifying the organization of writing processes. In:Gregg, L. and Steinberg, E. (Eds.) .*Cognitive Processes in Writing*, 3-30. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Hayes, J.R.,& Nash,J.G. (1996).On the nature of planning in writing. In .Levy, C.M & Ransdell, S.(1966). *The Science of Writing: Theories,Methods, Individual Differences, and Application*, Mahwah, NJ; Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Heuvelen, A.V. & Zou,X (2001). Multiple representations of work-energy processes. *American Journal of Physics*.69, 184.
- Hedges, L. & Olkin, I. (1985) *Statistical Methods for Meta-Analysis*. New York: Academic Press
- Hinrichs, B.(2004). In edited by Marx, J , Heron, P. & Franklin, S. (2004) *Physics Education Research Conference Proceedings*, Sacramento, CA, 117-120.
- Hopkins, W. G. (1997). *New View of Statistics: Effect Magnitudes*. Retrieved November 12, 2001 from <http://www.sportsci.org/resource/stats/effectmag.html>
- Indiana department of Education (2012). *RISE Evaluation & Development System Evaluator & Teacher Handbook* version 1.0.
- Johnson, R.B., and Turner,R.A.(2003). Data collection strategies in mixed research. In A. Tashakkori, and C. Teddl (Eds), *Handbook of Mixed Method in Social and Behavioral Research*. 2003.Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Klein, P.D. (2006). The challenges of science literacy: From the viewpoint of second generation cognitive science. *International Journal of Science Education*, 28,(2/3) 143-178
- Kohl.P.B. et al (2007). Strongly and weakly directed approach to teaching multiple representation use in physics. *Physical Review Special Topics. Physics Education Research*, 3, 010128
- Kohl.P.B.et al (2008). Paterns of multiple representation use by experts and novices during physics problem solving, *Physical Review Special Topics, Physics Educational Research*,4, 010111
- Kozma, R.B.(2003). The material features of multiple representations and their and social cognitive affordances for science understanding. *Learning and Instruction*, 13 (2), 205-226
- Kozma, R., Chin, E., Russell, J., & Marx, N. (2000). The roles of representations and tools in the chemistry laboratory and their implications for chemistri *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 9(2), 105-143.

- Kress, G. (2005). Gains and losses: New forms of texts, knowledge and learning. *Computers and Composition*, 22, 5-22.
- Kress, G., Jewitt, C., Ogborn, J., & Tsatsarelis, C. (2001). *Multimodal Teaching and Learning: The Rhetorics of the Science classroom*, London:Continum.
- Kozminsky & Gurion. (2012). Concep maps theory, mathology, technologi. *Proc.of the Fifth Int. Conference on Concep Mapping*, Canas, A.J.; Novac, J.D.; Vanhear,J. Eds. Valenta Malta 2012.
- Kellog, R.T. (2008). Training writing skills: a cognitive developmental perspective. *Journal of Writing Research*, 1(1) 1-26.
- Lemke, J.L.(1998).Multiplying meaning:Visual and verbal semiotics in scientific text. In Martin, J and Veel, R.,. *Reading science*, London Routledge.
- Lemke, J.L. (2004). The literacies in science. In Saul, E.W., (Ed.), *Crossing Borders in Literacy and Science Instruction: Perspectives in Theory and Practice*, 13-32.Newark, DE: International Reading Association/National Science Teachers Association.
- Mayer, R.E. (2003). The promise of mulitmedia learning: Using the same instructional design methods across different media. *Learning and Instruction*, 13(2),125-139..
- Mayer, R. E. (2001). *Multimedia Learning*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- McCombs, B. L. (1989). Self-regulated learning and academic achievement: A phenomenological view. In B. J. Zimmerman & D. H. Schunk (Eds.), *Selfregulated Learning and Academic Achievement: Theory, Research, and Practice*.. 51-82. 1989, New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Meltzer, D.E.(2002). The relationship between mathematics preparation and conceptual learning gains in physics: A possible “hidden variable” in diagnostic pretest scores. *American Journal of Physics*., 70, 1259.
- Milkova,S (2012). Strategies for effectiveness lesson planning. *Center for Research on learning and Teaching*. Tersedia di (<http://www.crlt.umich.edu>)
- National Writing Project & Nagin, C. (2003). *Because Writing Matters: Improving Student Writing in Our Schools*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Norris,S.P, & Phillips,L.M.(2003). How literacy in its fundamental sense in central to scientific literacy. *Scientific Education*, 87(2).224-240
- Novak, J.D., & Gowin, D.B. (1984). *Learning How tolLearn*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Nguyen, N. & Meltzer, D.E. (2003). Initial understanding of vector concepts among students in introductory physics courses. *American Journal of Physics*., 71(6), 630

Onwuegbuzie, A.J., & Leech, N.L (2004.b). Enhancing the interpretation of significant finding; The role of mixed method research. Paper presented at the *Annual Meeting of The Eastern Educational Research Association*, Clearwater,FL.

Permendiknas no 16 tahun 2007

Pintrich, P. R., Smith, D. A., Garcia, T., & Mc Keachie, W. J. (1991). *A Manual for the use of the Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire (MSLQ)*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan, National Center for Research to Improve Post Secondary Teaching and Learning.

Pintrich, P. R. (1995). Understanding self-regulated learning. In Pintrich, P.R., (Ed.), *Understanding Self Regulated Learning*, 3-12. 1995. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Pintrich, P. R. (2003). A motivational science perspective on the role of student motivation in learning and teaching contexts. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 95, 667-686.

Prain, V., & Waldrip, B. (2006). An exploratory study of teachers' and students' use of multi-modal representations of concepts in primary science. *International Journal of Science Education*, 28(15),1843-1866

Prain.V ,et al (2009). Multiple representation in learning about evaporation, *International Journal of Science Education*, 31(6),787-808

Prain, V (2006). Learning from writing in secondary science: some theoretical and practical implications. *International Journal of Science Education*, 28, 170-201

Preece, J. (1993). Graphs are not straight forward. In Green,T.R.G. , Payne, S.J., & van der Veer, G.C., (Eds.), *The Psychology of Computer Use*, 41-56. London:Academic Press.

Rosengrant. D, Van Heuvelen. A, & Etkina. E, (2005) edited by Heron. P, . McCullough. L and Marx. J, (2005). *Physics Education Research Conference Proceedings*, Salt Lake City, UT, 49-52.

Rosengrant.D, Etkina. E, & Van Heuvelen. A,(2006). *National Association for Research in Science Teaching Proceedings*, San Francisco, CA (2006).

Ruohotie, P (2000a). Conative Constructs in Learning, in Pintrich, P. and Ruohotie, P.(eds). *Conative Constructs and Self Regulated learning*, 2000, Hameenlinna , Research Centre for Vocational Education.

Scanlon, E. (1998). How beginning students use graphs of motion. In Van Someren, M.W., et al.(Eds) *Learning with Multiple Representation*. 1998, Amsterdam: Elsevier Science.

Seldin, P. (1999). Changing practices in evaluating reaching. *A Practical Guide to Improved Faculty Performance for Promotion/Tenure Decisions*. Bolton, MA: Anker.

Steitner, C.M., Alberth, D., & Hellor, J. (2007). Concept mapping as a means to build E-Learning. In Buzetto-More, N.A (ed), *Advanced Principles of Effective e-Learning* (59-111). Santa Rosa, California.Information Science Press.

Parlindungan Sinaga, 2014

Pengembangan Program Perkuliahan Fisika Sekolah III Untuk Meningkatkan Kompetensi Menulis Materi Ajar Calon Guru Menggunakan Multi Modus Representasi

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Sturm, J. M., & Rankin, E. J. L. (2002). Effects of hand-drawn and computer-generated concept mapping on the expository writing of middle school students with learning disabilities. *Learning Disabilities Research & Practice*, 117(2), 124-139.
- Tabachneck, H. J. M., Leonardo, A. M., & Simon, H. A. (1994). CaMeRa: A computational model of multiple representations, *Cognitive Science* 21(3), 305–350.
- Tashakkori, A., & Teddlie, C. (1998). Mixed methodology: combining qualitative and quantitative approaches. *Applied Social Method Research*. Method Series, 46. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Tashakkori, A., & Teddlie, C. (2003), *Handbooks of Mixed Methods in Social and Behavior Research*. Thousand Oaks. CA: Sage.
- Unsworth, L. (2001a). Evaluating the language of different types of explanations in junior high school science texts. *International Journal of Science Education*, 23(6),
- Unger, J. & Fleischman, S. (2004). Is Process Writing the “Write Stuff” *Research Matters*. Printed in Educational Leadership, issue: October 2004, 90-91
- UPI (2011). Kurikulum Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia
- Wellington, J., & Osborne, J. (2001). *Language and Literacy in Science Education*. Buckingham: Open University Press.
- Wolters, C. (1999). Self Regulated Learning and college Students Regulation of motivation, *Journal of Education Psychology*, 90 (2)
- Wright, R.E. (2006). Student evaluations of faculty: Concerns raised in the literature, and possible solutions. *College Student Journal*, 40(2), 417-422.
- Yore, L.D. & Treagust, D.F. (2006). Current realities and future possibilities: Language and science literacy empowering research and informing instruction. *International Journal of Science Education*, 28, 291-314
- Zimmerman, B.J., & Risemberg, R. (1997). Becoming a self regulated writer: a social cognitive perspective contemporary. *Educational Psychology*, 22(1), 73-101.
- Zimmerman, B. J. (1989). Models of self-regulated learning and academic achievement. In Zimmerman, B.J., & Schunk, D.H., (Eds.), *Self-Regulated Learning and Academic Achievement: Theory, Research, and Practice*, 1-25. 1989. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Zimmerman, B. J., Greenberg, D., & Weinstein, C. E. (1994). Self-regulating academic study time: A strategy approach. In Schunk, D.H., and Zimmerman, B.J., (Eds.), *Self-Regulation of Learning and Performance: Issues and Educational Applications*, 181-199. 1994. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum

Zimmerman, B. J., & Paulsen, A. S. (1995). Self monitoring during collegiate studying: An invaluable tool for academic self-regulation. In P. R. Pintrich (Ed.), *Understanding Self-Regulated Learning*, 13- 27. 1995.San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Zimmerman,B.J.(1998). Developing Self fulfilling cycles of academic regulation; in Schunk, D.H., and Zimmerman,B.J. (eds).*Self Regulated Learning: from Teaching to Self Reflective Practice*. 1998. New York Guilford Press