

The Structural Moves of Highly-Cited Biochemistry Research Articles: A Genre Analysis

A Research Paper

Submitted as Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for *Sarjana Sastra* Degree of English
Language and Literature Study Program



Mutiara Elsa Putri
2005017

ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE STUDY PROGRAM
FACULTY OF LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE EDUCATION
UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN INDONESIA
2024

PAGE OF APPROVAL

**THE STRUCTURAL MOVES OF HIGHLY-CITED BIOCHEMISTRY RESEARCH
ARTICLES: A GENRE ANALYSIS**

A Research Paper by

Mutiara Elsa Putri

2005017

Approved by

Supervisor



Prof. Eri Kurniawan, S.Pd., M.A., Ph.D.

NIP. 198111232005011002

Head of English Language and Literature Program

Faculty of Language and Literature Education

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia



Prof. Eri Kurniawan, S.Pd., M.A., Ph.D.

NIP. 198111232005011002

The Structural Moves of Highly-Cited Biochemistry Research Articles: A Genre Analysis

Mutiara Elsa Putri

*English Language and Literature, Faculty of Language and Literature Education,
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Indonesia*

*Corresponding author email: mutiaraelsaputri@upi.edu

ABSTRACT

Publishing research articles is essential as they contribute to developing information and enhancing knowledge that is systematically organized in the form of academic communication writing. Although the IMRD (Introduction, Method, and Results and Discussion) format has been commonly used to organize the structure of research articles, many novice writers still face difficulties in producing systematically organized research articles. Therefore, this present work aims to analyze the rhetorical structure of the highly cited biochemistry research articles indexed in Scopus, an international database journal. A total of thirty biochemistry research articles (RAs) from Scopus were analyzed for this present study. This qualitative study used combination frameworks to investigate the sections: Abstract: Hyland (2000), Introduction: Swales (2004), Method: Cotos et al., (2017), and Result and Discussion: Moreno and Swales (2018). Employing Kanoksilapatham's (2005) conventional value standard, the analysis showed that four moves in the abstract sections, three in the introduction sections, one in the method sections, and two in the result and discussion sections can all be classified as conventional. In addition, only a few steps contained in the conventional move were considered conventional. The present work provides valuable insights as a reference for biochemistry researchers to enhance the quality and clarity of their articles for international publication.

Keywords: Rhetorical structures; Research articles; Move analysis; Biochemistry; Highly-cited; Scopus-indexed.

REFERENCES

- Akbaş, E., & Farnia, M. (2021). Exploring rhetorical moves in a digital academic genre: A cross-disciplinary study of the highlights section1. *Iberica*, 42, 85-114. <https://doi.org/10.17398/2340-2784.42.85>
- Alamri, B. (2020). A comparative study of Saudi and international journals of applied linguistics: The Move-bundle connection approach. *Journal of Language and Education*, 6(2), 9–30. <https://doi.org/10.17323/JLE.2020.10531>
- Bornmann, L., & Daniel, H. D. (2008). What do citation counts measure? A review of studies on citing behavior. *Journal of Documentation*, 64(1), 45–80. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00220410810844150>
- Bruce, I. (2008). Cognitive genre structures in Methods sections of research articles: A corpus study. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 7(1), 38–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2007.12.001>
- Coleman, J. A. (2014). How to get published in English: Advice from the outgoing Editor-in-Chief. *System*, 42(1), 404–411. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2014.01.004>
- Cotos, E., Huffman, S., & Link, S. (2017). A move/step model for methods sections: Demonstrating Rigour and Credibility. *English for Specific Purposes*, 46, 90–106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2017.01.001>
- Darabad, A. M. (2016). Move analysis of research article abstracts: a cross-disciplinary study. *International Journal of Linguistics*, 8(2), 125-140. <https://doi.org/10.5296/ijl.v8i2.9379>
- Davis, R. H. (2020). Moves and steps in the IMRD sections of medical research articles. *Taiwan International ESP Journal*, 11(1), 23–39. [https://doi.org/10.6706/TIESPJ.202008_11\(1\).0002](https://doi.org/10.6706/TIESPJ.202008_11(1).0002)
- Di Bitetti, M. S., & Ferreras, J. A. (2017). Publish (in English) or perish: The effect on citation rate of using languages other than English in scientific publications. *Ambio*, 46(1), 121–127. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-016-0820-7>
- Englander, K. (2014). Writing and Publishing science research papers in English. *Springer Netherlands*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-7714-9>

- Gao, S., & Pramoolsook, I. (2021). Move-step structure of the results and discussion section of electronic engineering research articles written by Chinese and Thai researchers. *Journal of Teaching English for Specific and Academic Purposes*, 9(4), 725–739. <https://doi.org/10.22190/JTESAP2104725G>
- Imtihani, N. (2010). Genre analysis in the frame of systemic functional linguistics. *Humaniora*, 22(1), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.22146/jh.988>
- Kanoksilapatham, B. (2005). Rhetorical structure of biochemistry research articles. *English for Specific Purposes*, 24(3), 269–292. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2004.08.003>
- Kanoksilapatham, B. (2012). Research article structure of research article introductions in three engineering subdisciplines. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, 55(4), 294-309. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPC.2012.2223252>
- Hyland, K. (2000). *Disciplinary discourses. social interactions in academic writing*. The University of Michigan Press.
- Julieta, A. L., Kurniawan, E., & Lubis, A. H. (2023). A comparative rhetorical move analysis of low and high-impact social science Scopus journal articles. *JOLLT Journal of Languages and Language Teaching*, 11(4), 575-592. <https://doi.org/10.33394/jollt.v11i4.8738>
- Kurniawan, E., Lubis, A. H., Suherdi, D., & Danuwijaya, A. A. (2019). Rhetorical organization of applied linguistics abstracts: Does scopus journal quartile matter? *GEMA Online Journal of Language Studies*, 19(4), 184–202. <https://doi.org/10.17576/gema-2019-1904-10>
- Li, L. J., & Ge, G. C. (2009). Genre analysis: Structural and linguistic evolution of the English-medium medical research article (1985-2004). *English for Specific Purposes*, 28(2), 93–104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2008.12.004>
- Luthfianda, S. N., Kurniawan, E., & Gunawan, W. (2021). Rhetorical structures of introductions in soft and hard science international journals written by Indonesian scholars. *Journal of English Language Teaching and Linguistics*, 6(2), 343. <https://doi.org/10.21462/jeltl.v6i2.563>
- Maswana, S., Kanamaru, T., & Tajino, A. (2015). Move analysis of research articles across five engineering fields: What they share and what they do not. *Ampersand*, 2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amper.2014.12.002>
- Moreno, A. I., & Swales, J. M. (2018). Strengthening move analysis methodology towards bridging the function-form gap. *English for Specific Purposes*, 50, 40–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2017.11.006>

- Musa, N. F., Khamis, N., & Zanariah, J. (2015). The structure of method section in engineering research articles. *Asian Social Science*, 11(17), 74–82. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v11n17p74>
- Nabilla, S., Kurniawan, E., & Gunawan, W. (2021). Rhetorical move structure of soft and hard science research articles in introductions by novice Indonesian author. *Bahasa dan Seni: Jurnal Bahasa, Sastra, Seni, dan Pengajarannya*, 49(2), 135-151. <https://dx.doi.org/10.17977/um015v49i22021p135>
- Nasirizadeh, Z., Paramasivam, S., Nimehchisalem, V., & Omar, N. (2022). Rhetorical structures and cyclical patterns in forestry research articles. *GEMA Online Journal of Language Studies*, 22(2), 288–311. <https://doi.org/10.17576/gema-2022-2202-15>
- Noorden Van, R., Maher, B., & Nuzzo, R. (2014). The top 100 papers nature explores the most-cited research of all time. *Nature*, 514, 550–553. <https://doi.org/10.1038/514550a>
- Nwogu, K. N. (1997). The medical research paper: Structure and functions. *English for Specific Purposes*, 16(2), 119-138. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0889-4906\(97\)85388-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0889-4906(97)85388-4)
- Parkinson, J. (2012). *English for science and technology*. In Brian Paltridge & Sue Starfield, (Eds.), First). *John Wiley & Sons, Inc.* <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118339855.ch8>
- Pho, P. D. (2008). Research article abstracts in applied linguistics and educational technology: A study of linguistic realizations of rhetorical structure and authorial stance. *Discourse Studies*, 10(2), 231–250. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461445607087010>
- Qi, G. Y. (2016). The importance of English in primary school education in China: Perceptions of students. *Multilingual Education*, 6(1), 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13616-016-0026-0>
- Ruiying, Y., & Allison, D. (2002). Research articles in applied linguistics: Moving from results to conclusions. *English for Specific Purposes*, 22(4), 365-385. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0889-4906\(02\)00026-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0889-4906(02)00026-1)
- Sirijanchuen, N., & Gampper, C. (2018). Academic citations within rhetorical move structures in ELT research article introductions written by Thai and international scholars. *LEARN Journal: Language Education and Acquisition Research Network Journal*, 11(2), 1–20.
- Stoller, F. L., & Robinson, M. S. (2013). Chemistry journal articles: An interdisciplinary approach to move analysis with pedagogical aims. *English for Specific Purposes*, 32(1), 45-57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2012.09.001>

- Swales, J. M. (1990). *Genre analysis: English in academic and research setting*. Cambridge University Press.
- Swales, J. M. (2004). *Research genres: exploration and applications*. Cambridge University Press.
- Tavakoli Gheinani, M., & Tabatabaei, O. (2017). A structural move analysis of the abstract section of ISI articles of Iranian and native scholars in the field of agricultural engineering. *International Journal of Research Studies in Language Learning*, 7(3), 109-122. <https://doi.org/10.5861/ijrsll.2017.1864>
- Tikhonova, E. V., Kosycheva, M. A., & Golechkova, T. Y. (2023). Research article discussion moves and steps in papers on medicine: academic literacy and respect for readers. *Research Result. Theoretical and Applied Linguistics*, 9(2). <https://doi.org/10.18413/2313-8912-2023-9-2-0-6>
- Van Raan, A. F. J., Visser, M. S., Van Leeuwen, T. N., & Van Wijk, E. (2003). Bibliometric analysis of psychotherapy research: Performance assessment and position in the journal landscape. In *Psychotherapy Research*, 13(4), 511-528. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ptr/kpg038>
- Wannaruk, A., & Amnuai, W. (2016). A comparison of rhetorical move structure of applied linguistics research articles published in international and national Thai Journals. *RELC Journal*, 47(2), 193–211. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0033688215609230>
- Wuchty, S., Jones, B. F., & Uzzi, B. (2007). The increasing dominance of teams in production of knowledge. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, 316(5827), 1036–1039. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1136099>
- Yang, M. (2022). Connecting the functions of lexical bundles and moves in published research articles: the case of developmental and educational psychology. *Nordic Journal of English Studies*, 21(1), 141-189. <https://njes-journal.com/articles/abstract/743/>