

**PENGUASAAN KONSEP, MOTIVASI, DAN BEBAN KOGNITIF SISWA
PADA PEMBELAJARAN SISTEM EKSKRESI BERBANTUAN
AUGMENTED REALITY DIKOMBINASIKAN DENGAN *GOOGLE
SEARCH* DAN *CHATGPT***

TESIS

Diajukan untuk memenuhi sebagian syarat untuk memperoleh gelar
Magister Pendidikan Biologi



oleh:

Yenni Verawati

NIM 2208107

**PROGRAM STUDI MAGISTER PENDIDIKAN BIOLOGI
FAKULTAS PENDIDIKAN MATEMATIKA DAN ILMU PENGETAHUAN ALAM
UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN INDONESIA**

2024

**PENGUASAAN KONSEP, MOTIVASI, DAN BEBAN KOGNITIF SISWA
PADA PEMBELAJARAN SISTEM EKSKRESI BERBANTUAN
AUGMENTED REALITY DIKOMBINASIKAN DENGAN *GOOGLE
SEARCH* DAN *CHATGPT***

Oleh
Yenni Verawati

S.Pd Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, 2021

Sebuah Tesis yang diajukan untuk memenuhi salah satu syarat memperoleh gelar
Magister Pendidikan pada Program Studi Magister Pendidikan Biologi Fakultas
Pendidikan dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam

© Yenni Verawati
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia
Agustus 2024

Hak cipta dilindungi undang-undang
Tesis ini tidak boleh diperbanyak seluruhnya atau sebagian, dengan dicetak ulang,
difoto kopi, atau cara lainnya tanpa ijin dari penulis

Yenni Verawati, 2024

***PENGUASAAN KONSEP, MOTIVASI, DAN BEBAN KOGNITIF SISWA PADA PEMBELAJARAN SISTEM
EKSKRESI BERBANTUAN AUGMENTED REALITY DIKOMBINASIKAN DENGAN GOOGLE SEARCH
DAN CHATGPT***

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

LEMBAR PENGESAHAN

Yenni Verawati

**PENGUASAAN KONSEP, MOTIVASI, DAN BEBAN KOGNITIF SISWA
PADA PEMBELAJARAN SISTEM EKSKRESI BERBANTUAN
AUGMENTED REALITY DIKOMBINASIKAN DENGAN *GOOGLE
SEARCH* DAN *CHATGPT***

Disetujui dan disahkan oleh pembimbing:

Pembimbing I



Dr. rer. nat. Adi Rahmat, M.Si.
NIP. 196512301992021001

Pembimbing II



Prof. Yayan Sanjaya, M.Si. Ph.D.
NIP. 197112312001121001

Mengetahui,
Ketua Program Studi Pendidikan Biologi



Dr. Kusnadi, M.Si.
NIP. 196805091994031001

Yenni Verawati, 2024

**PENGUASAAN KONSEP, MOTIVASI, DAN BEBAN KOGNITIF SISWA PADA PEMBELAJARAN SISTEM
EKSKRESI BERBANTUAN AUGMENTED REALITY DIKOMBINASIKAN DENGAN *GOOGLE SEARCH*
DAN *CHATGPT***

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

ABSTRAK

Revolusi Industri 4.0 dan *Society 5.0* sedang marak dilaksanakan di berbagai negara. Penggabungan kedua hal ini diharapkan untuk menciptakan masyarakat yang inklusif, dimana setiap orang dapat menikmati manfaat dari perkembangan teknologi. Untuk mengikuti perkembangan dalam dunia pendidikan dan meningkatkan daya tarik juga efektifitas pembelajaran, teknologi *Augmented Reality (AR)* perlu dimanfaatkan. Begitu juga dengan penggunaan teknologi *Google Search* yang dapat memfasilitasi pengumpulan berbagai informasi. Adanya *Artificial Intelligence*, seperti *ChatGPT*, tidak kalah bermanfaat sebagai pelengkap dalam proses pembelajaran yang dapat memperluas dan mempermudah pencarian literatur. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menganalisis perbandingan peningkatan penguasaan konsep, motivasi, dan beban kognitif siswa pada penggunaan *AR* yang dikombinasikan dengan *Google Search* dan *ChatGPT* dalam pembelajaran sistem ekskresi manusia. Metode penelitian menggunakan penelitian kuantitatif dengan desain penelitian *quasi experimental design*. Instrumen penelitian yang digunakan adalah tes pilihan ganda untuk mengukur penguasaan konsep siswa dan kuesioner untuk mengukur motivasi serta beban kognitif. Hasil penelitian adalah: (1) penguasaan konsep siswa pada kelas *Augmented Reality* dan *Google Search* menunjukkan peningkatan kategori sedang dengan N-gain 0,61, sedangkan pada kelas *Augmented Reality* dan *ChatGPT* menunjukkan peningkatan kategori sedang dengan N-gain 0,66, tidak terdapat perbedaan signifikan antar dua kelompok; (2) motivasi belajar pada kelas *Augmented Reality* dan *Google Search* menunjukkan peningkatan kategori sedang dengan N-gain 0,38, begitu juga pada kelas *Augmented Reality* dan *ChatGPT* menunjukkan peningkatan kategori sedang dengan N-gain 0,46, terdapat perbedaan yang signifikan antar dua kelompok; (3) beban kognitif kedua kelas pada pertemuan terakhir terdapat perbedaan yang signifikan; dan (4) terdapat korelasi antara penguasaan konsep dengan beban kognitif, penguasaan konsep dengan motivasi belajar, dan beban kognitif dengan motivasi belajar, hasil tersebut sama pada kedua kelas.

Kata Kunci: *Augmented Reality*, *Google Search*, *ChatGPT*, Penguasaan Konsep, Motivasi Belajar, Beban Kognitif.

ABSTRACT

The Industrial Revolution 4.0 and Society 5.0 are being widely implemented in various countries. Combining these two things is expected to create an inclusive society where everyone can enjoy the benefits of technological developments. To keep up with developments in the world of education and improve the appeal and effectiveness of learning, Augmented Reality (AR) technology needs to be utilized. Likewise, Google Search technology facilitates the collection of information. The existence of Artificial Intelligence, such as ChatGPT, is no less useful as a complement to the learning process that can expand and facilitate literature searches. This study aims to compare the increase in students' mastery of concepts, motivation, and cognitive load in using AR combined with Google Search and ChatGPT in learning the human excretory system. The research method uses quantitative research with a quasi-experimental design research design. The research instruments used are multiple-choice tests to measure students' mastery of concepts and questionnaires to measure motivation and cognitive load. The results of the study were: (1) students' concept mastery in the Augmented Reality and Google Search classes showed a moderate increase in the category with an N-gain of 0.61, while in the Augmented Reality and ChatGPT classes showed a moderate increase in the category with an N-gain of 0.66, there was no significant difference between the two groups; (2) learning motivation in the Augmented Reality and Google Search classes showed a moderate increase in the category with an N-gain of 0.38, as well as in the Augmented Reality and ChatGPT classes showed a moderate increase in the category with an N-gain of 0.46, there was a significant difference between the two groups; (3) the cognitive load of the two classes at the last meeting showed a significant difference; and (4) there was a correlation between concept mastery and cognitive load, concept mastery with learning motivation, and cognitive load with learning motivation, the results were the same in both classes.

Kata Kunci: Augmented Reality, Google Search, ChatGPT, Concept Mastery, Learning Motivation, Cognitive Load.

DAFTAR ISI

ABSTRAK	i
ABSTRACT	ii
DAFTAR ISI	iii
DAFTAR TABEL	v
DAFTAR GAMBAR	vii
BAB I PENDAHULUAN	1
1.1 Latar Belakang	1
1.2 Rumusan Masalah Penelitian	8
1.3 Tujuan Penelitian	8
1.4 Manfaat Penelitian	9
1.5 Batasan Penelitian	10
1.6 Struktur Organisasi Tesis	11
BAB II TINJAUAN PUSTAKA	13
2.1 Pentingnya Meningkatkan Penguasaan Konsep Siswa	13
2.2 Dampak Beban Kognitif dalam Pembelajaran	15
2.3 Pentingnya Meningkatkan Motivasi Belajar Siswa	18
2.4 Hubungan Penguasaan Konsep dengan Beban Kognitif	20
2.5 Hubungan Penguasaan Konsep dengan Motivasi Belajar	20
2.6 Hubungan Beban Kognitif dengan Motivasi Belajar	21
2.7 Penggunaan <i>Augmented Reality</i> dalam Pembelajaran	22
2.8 Penggunaan <i>ChatGPT</i> dalam Pembelajaran	24
2.9 Jawaban <i>ChatGPT</i> Tidak Selalu Relevan dan Benar	28
2.10 Penelitian Mengenai Penggunaan <i>ChatGPT</i> dan <i>Google Search</i>	29
2.11 Materi Sistem Ekskresi Manusia	31
BAB III METODE PENELITIAN.....	34
3.1 Definisi Operasional	34
3.2 Desain Penelitian	36
3.3 Populasi dan Sampel	37
3.4 Instrumen Penelitian	38
3.5 Validasi Instrumen Penelitian	42

Yenni Verawati, 2024

PENGUASAAN KONSEP, MOTIVASI, DAN BEBAN KOGNITIF SISWA PADA PEMBELAJARAN SISTEM EKSKRESI BERBANTUAN AUGMENTED REALITY DIKOMBINASIKAN DENGAN GOOGLE SEARCH DAN CHATGPT

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

3.6 Analisis Data Hasil Penelitian	46
3.7 Prosedur Penelitian	54
3.8 Alur Penelitian	58
BAB IV TEMUAN DAN PEMBAHASAN	59
4.1 Temuan atau Hasil Penelitian	59
4.1.1 Penguasaan Konsep Siswa	59
4.1.2 Motivasi Belajar Siswa	64
4.1.3 Beban Kognitif Siswa	71
4.1.4 Hubungan antara Penguasaan Konsep, Beban Kognitif, dan Motivasi Belajar	77
4.2 Pembahasan	82
4.2.1 Penguasaan Konsep Siswa pada Pembelajaran Sistem Ekskresi Berbantuan <i>Augmented Reality</i> Dikombinasikan dengan <i>Google Search</i> dan <i>ChatGPT</i>	82
4.2.2 Motivasi Belajar Siswa pada Pembelajaran Sistem Ekskresi Berbantuan <i>Augmented Reality</i> Dikombinasikan dengan <i>Google Search</i> dan <i>ChatGPT</i>	91
4.2.3 Beban Kognitif Siswa pada Pembelajaran Sistem Ekskresi Berbantuan <i>Augmented Reality</i> Dikombinasikan dengan <i>Google Search</i> dan <i>ChatGPT</i>	101
4.2.4 Hubungan antara Penguasaan Konsep, Beban Kognitif, dan Motivasi Belajar Siswa pada Pembelajaran Sistem Ekskresi Berbantuan <i>Augmented Reality</i> Dikombinasikan dengan <i>Google Search</i> dan <i>ChatGPT</i>	109
BAB V SIMPULAN, IMPLIKASI, DAN REKOMENDASI	114
5.1 Simpulan Penelitian	114
5.2 Implikasi Penelitian	115
5.3 Rekomendasi Penelitian.....	115
DAFTAR PUSTAKA	116
LAMPIRAN	128

DAFTAR TABEL

Tabel 3. 1.	Desain Penelitian <i>Pretest-Posttest Control & Experimental Group</i>	37
Tabel 3. 2.	Keseluruhan Instrumen Penelitian	38
Tabel 3. 3.	Kisi-kisi dan Rubrik Penilaian Soal <i>Pretest</i> dan <i>Posttest</i>	39
Tabel 3. 4.	Kisi-kisi Kuesioner Beban Kognitif (<i>ECL</i>) Siswa	41
Tabel 3. 5.	Rubrik Penilaian Kuesioner Beban Kognitif Siswa	41
Tabel 3. 6.	Kisi-kisi Kuesioner Motivasi Belajar Siswa	42
Tabel 3. 7.	Rubrik Penilaian Kuesioner Motivasi Belajar Siswa	42
Tabel 3. 8.	Kriteria Validasi dan Reliabilitas Instrumen Penelitian	43
Tabel 3. 9.	Hasil Uji Coba Instrumen Tes Pilihan Ganda Penguasaan Konsep .	44
Tabel 3. 10.	Hasil Uji Validitas dan Reliabilitas Kuesioner pada Beban Kognitif dan Motivasi Belajar Siswa	45
Tabel 3. 11.	Kategori Ketuntasan Pembelajaran (Kognitif)	46
Tabel 3. 12.	Kategori Persentase Hasil Kuesioner Penelitian	47
Tabel 3. 13.	Pembagian Skor Gain atau Kategori Tafsiran Efektivitas N-Gain ..	53
Tabel 3. 14.	Interpretasi Koefisien Korelasi	54
Tabel 4. 1.	Rekapitulasi Uji Statistik Data <i>Pretest</i> Penguasaan Konsep pada Kedua Kelas	60
Tabel 4. 2.	Rekapitulasi Uji Statistik Data <i>Posttest</i> Penguasaan Konsep pada Kedua Kelas	61
Tabel 4. 3.	Hasil N-gain pada Penguasaan Konsep Kelas <i>Augmented Reality</i> + <i>Google Search</i>	62
Tabel 4. 4.	Hasil N-gain pada Penguasaan Konsep Kelas <i>Augmented Reality</i> + <i>ChatGPT</i>	62
Tabel 4. 5.	Rangkuman Hasil Penguasaan Konsep pada Masing-masing Kelas	63
Tabel 4. 6.	Rangkuman Uji Statistik Beda Rata-rata Data <i>Pretest</i> dan <i>Posttest</i> Penguasaan Konsep pada Kedua Kelas	63
Tabel 4. 7.	Capaian Ketuntasan Penguasaan Konsep Siswa pada pada Kedua Kelas	64
Tabel 4. 8.	Rekapitulasi Uji Statistik Data <i>Pretest</i> Motivasi Belajar pada Kedua Kelas	65

Tabel 4. 9.	Rekapitulasi Uji Statistik Data <i>Posttest</i> Motivasi Belajar pada Kedua Kelas	66
Tabel 4. 10.	Hasil N-gain pada Motivasi Belajar Kelas <i>Augmented Reality</i> + <i>Google Search</i>	67
Tabel 4. 11.	Hasil N-gain pada Motivasi Belajar Kelas <i>Augmented Reality</i> + <i>ChatGPT</i>	67
Tabel 4. 12.	Rangkuman Hasil Motivasi Belajar pada Masing-masing Kelas	68
Tabel 4. 13.	Rangkuman Uji Statistik Beda Rata-rata Data <i>Pretest</i> dan <i>Posttest</i> Motivasi Belajar pada Kedua Kelas	68
Tabel 4. 14.	Rekapitulasi Data Beban Kognitif Tiap Pertemuan pada Kelas <i>Augmented Reality</i> + <i>Google Search</i> dan kelas <i>Augmented Reality</i> + <i>ChatGPT</i>	72
Tabel 4. 15.	Rekapitulasi Uji Statistik Data Beban Kognitif Tiap Pertemuan pada Kelas <i>Augmented Reality</i> + <i>Google Search</i> dan Kelas <i>Augmented Reality</i> + <i>ChatGPT</i>	73
Tabel 4. 16.	Hasil Uji Statistik Data Penguasaan Konsep dan Beban Kognitif pada Kedua Kelas	78
Tabel 4. 17.	Hasil Uji Statistik Data Penguasaan Konsep dan Motivasi Belajar pada Kedua Kelas	80
Tabel 4. 18.	Hasil Uji Statistik Data Beban Kognitif dan Motivasi Belajar pada Kedua Kelas	81

DAFTAR GAMBAR

Gambar 2. 1	Tampilan <i>Assemblr Edu</i> pada Organ Kulit	32
Gambar 2. 2	Tampilan <i>Assemblr Edu</i> pada Organ Paru-paru	32
Gambar 2. 3	Tampilan <i>Assemblr Edu</i> pada Organ Hati	32
Gambar 2. 4	Tampilan <i>Assemblr Edu</i> pada Organ Ginjal	32
Gambar 4. 1	Nilai Rata-rata Aspek Motivasi pada Kedua Kelas	69
Gambar 4. 2	Nilai Rata-rata Indikator di Setiap Aspek Motivasi pada Kelas <i>Augmented Reality + Google Search</i>	70
Gambar 4. 3	Nilai Rata-rata Indikator di Setiap Aspek Motivasi pada Kelas <i>Augmented Reality + ChatGPT</i>	71
Gambar 4. 4	Nilai Rata-rata Indikator Beban Kognitif <i>ECL</i> pada Kedua Kelas	76
Gambar 4. 5	Salah Satu Jawaban LKPD Kulit Kelas <i>Augmented Reality + Google Search</i>	88
Gambar 4. 6	Salah Satu Jawaban LKPD Kulit Kelas <i>Augmented Reality + ChatGPT</i>	89
Gambar 4. 7	Jawaban Keliru yang Didapat Siwa dari <i>ChatGPT</i>	89
Gambar 4. 8	Jawaban Keliru yang Didapat Guru dari <i>ChatGPT</i>	90
Gambar 4. 9	Salah Satu Jawaban LKPD Paru-paru Kelas <i>Augmented Reality + Google Search</i>	98
Gambar 4. 10	Salah Satu Jawaban LKPD Paru-paru Kelas <i>Augmented Reality + ChatGPT</i>	98
Gambar 4. 11	Salah Satu Jawaban LKPD Kulit Kelas <i>Augmented Reality + Google Search</i>	104
Gambar 4. 12	Salah Satu Jawaban LKPD Kulit Kelas <i>Augmented Reality + ChatGPT</i>	104

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abdelghani, R., Wang, Y.-H., Yuan, X., Wang, T., Sauz'eon, H., & Oudeyer, P.-Y. (2023). GPT-3-Driven Pedagogical Agents to Train Children's Curious Question-Asking Skills. *Int J Artif Intell Educ.* doi:10.1007/s40593-023-00340-7.
- ABIO, B. (2023). "IN AI, IS BIGGER BETTER?" *Nature*, 615.
- Aditama, P. W., Adnyana, I. N. W., & Ariningsih, K. A. (2019). Augmented Reality dalam Multimedia Pembelajaran. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Desain dan Arsitektur (SENADA) Vol.2*.
- Akçayır, M., G. Akçayır, H. M. Pektaş, and M. A. Ocak. (2016). "Augmented Reality in Science Laboratories: The Effects of Augmented Reality on University Students' Laboratory Skills and Attitudes toward Science Laboratories." *Computers in Human Behavior* 57: 334–342. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2015.12.054.
- Al-Ansi, A. M. (2021) Students anxiety and recruitment during Covid-19 pandemic: role of university, specialization and employment expectation. *Perspect Science Education*, 49(1):403–413. doi:10.32744/pse.2021.1.27.
- Al-Marouf, R.S, Alhumaid, K., Alshaafi, A., Akour, I., Bettayeb, A., Alfaisal, R., & Salloum, S.A. (2024). A Comparative Analysis of *ChatGPT* and Google in Educational Settings: Understanding the Influence of Mediators on Learning Platform Adoption. *Artificial Intelligence in Education, Studies in Big Data*, 144, 365–386. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-52280-2_23.
- Ali, J. K. M., Shamsan, M. A. A., Hezam, T. A., & Mohammed, A. A. Q. (2023). Impact of ChatGPT on learning motivation: teachers and students' voices. *Journal of English Studies in Arabia Felix*, 2(1), 41– 49. doi:10.56540/jesaf.v2i1.51.
- Alshahrani, A. (2023). The impact of *ChatGPT* on blended learning: Current trends and future research directions. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 7(4), 2029-2040. doi:10.5267/j.ijdns.2023.6.010.
- Altinpulluk, H. (2019). "Determining the Trends of Using Augmented Reality in Education between 2006–2016." *Education and Information Technologies* 24: 1089–1114. doi:10.1007/s10639-018- 9806-3.
- Altmeyer, K., Kapp, S., Thees, M., Malone, S., Kuhn, J., & Brünken, R. (2020). The use of augmented reality to foster conceptual knowledge acquisition in STEM laboratory courses—Theoretical background and empirical results. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 51, 611–628. doi:10.1111/bjet.12900.
- Annisa, D. N., & Subianto, A. W. (2023). Developing a mobile augmented reality for facilitating socio-scientific issue-based biology learning. *Biosfer: Jurnal Pendidikan Biologi*, 16(1), 66-81. doi:10.21009/biosferjpb.29429.

Yenni Verawati, 2024

PENGUASAAN KONSEP, MOTIVASI, DAN BEBAN KOGNITIF SISWA PADA PEMBELAJARAN SISTEM EKSKRESI BERBANTUAN AUGMENTED REALITY DIKOMBINASIKAN DENGAN GOOGLE SEARCH DAN CHATGPT

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Anu, D.B & Asnah, L.O. (2023). Education in the Era of Generative Artificial Intelligence (AI): Understanding the Potential Benefits of *ChatGPT* in Promoting Teaching and Learning. *Journal of AI*. 7(1), 52-62.
- Ardiansyah, R., Harlita, H., & Ramli, M. (2021). Learning Progression: How should we teach about disease to determine students' level of understanding?. *JPBI (Jurnal Pendidikan Biologi Indonesia)*, 7(2), 136-148. doi:10.22219/jpbi.v7i2.16431.
- Arikunto, S. (2013). *Prosedur Penelitian: Suatu Pendekatan Praktik*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.
- Arikunto, S. (2019). *Prosedur Penelitian*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.
- Azuma, R. T. (1997). A survey of augmented reality. *Presence-Teleoperators and Virtual Environments*, 6(4), 355-385.
- Bandura, A., Caprara, G. V., Barbaranelli, C., Pastorelli, C., & Regalia, C. (2001). Sociocognitive Self-Regulatory Mechanisms Governing Transgressive Behavior. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 8(1), 125-135. doi:10.1037//0022-3514.80.1.125.
- Bellinger, J.R., De La Chapa, J.S., Kwak, M.W., Ramos, G.A., Morrison, D., & Kesser, B.W. (2024). BPPV Information on Google Versus AI (ChatGPT). *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surgery*, 170(6), 1504-1511. doi:10.1002/ohn.506.
- Bernacki, M. L., Malach, T. J. N., & Alevan, V. (2014). Examining Self-efficacy During Learning: Variability and Relations to Behavior, Performance, and Learning. *Metacognition Learning*. doi:10.1007/s11409-014-9127-x.
- Billinghamurst, M., & Kato, H. (2002). Collaborative augmented reality. *Communications of the ACM*, 45(7), 64-70.
- Binsztok, A., Butryn, B., Hołowińska, K., Owoc, M.L., & Sobińska, M. (2024). *ChatGPT* as a Learning Tool in Business Education. Research on Students' Motivation. Artificial Intelligence for Knowledge Management, Energy and Sustainability. *IFIP Advances in Information and Communication Technology*, vol 693. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-61069-1_7.
- Bubeck, S., Chandrasekaran, V., Eldan, R., Gehrke, J., Horvitz, E., Kamar, E., Lee, P., Lee, Y. T., Li, Y., and Lundberg, S. (2023). Sparks of artificial general intelligence: Early experiments with gpt-4. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.12712*. doi:10.48550/arXiv.2303.12712.
- Buchner, J., K. Buntins, M. Kerres. (2021). A Systematic Map of Research Characteristics in Studies on Augmented Reality and Cognitive Load. *Computers and Education Open*. doi: 10.1016/j.caeo.2021.100036
- Bujak, K. R., I. Radu, R. Catrambone, B. MacIntyre, R. Zheng, and G. Golubski. (2013). A Psychological Perspective on Augmented Reality in the Mathematics Classroom. *Computers & Education* 68: 536–544. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2013.02.017.

- Cao, W. & Yu, Z. (2023). The impact of augmented reality on student attitudes, motivation, and learning achievements—a meta-analysis (2016–2023). *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications* 10. doi: 10.1057/s41599-023-01852-2.
- Chi, M. T. H., & VanLehn, K. (2011). Cognitive load theory and instructional design: Recent advances and future directions. *Educational Psychologist*, 46(1), 12-21.
- Chiang, T. H., S. J. Yang, and G.-J. Hwang. (2014). “An Augmented Reality-based Mobile Learning System to Improve Students’ Learning Achievements and Motivations in Natural Science Inquiry Activities.” *Journal of Educational Technology & Society* 17 (4): 352–365.
- Chiu, T. K. F., Moorhouse, B. L., Chai, C. S., & Ismailov, M. (2023). Teacher support and student motivation to learn with Artificial Intelligence (AI) based chatbot. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 0:0, 1–17. doi:10.1080/10494820.2023.2172044.
- Chen, Chih-Hung. (2020). Impacts of augmented reality and a digital game on students’ science learning with reflection prompts in multimedia learning. *Educational Technology Research and Development* Vol. 68. doi:10.1007/s11423-020-09834-w
- Chen, C. H., J.-Q. Yang, K. Huang, and K.-C. Yao. (2020). “Augmented Reality and Competition in Robotics Education: Effects on 21st Century Competencies, Group Collaboration, and Learning Motivation.” *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning* 36 (6): 1052–1062. doi:10.1111/jcal.12469.
- Chen, C. H., W.-P. Chan, K. H. Huang, and C.-W. Liao. (2022). “Supporting informal science learning with metacognitive scaffolding and augmented reality: effects on science knowledge, intrinsic motivation, and cognitive load.” *Research in Science & Technological Education* doi:10.1080/02635143.2022.2032629
- Chen, Yu-ching. (2019). Effect of Mobile Augmented Reality on Learning Performance, Motivation, and Math Anxiety in a Math Course. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*. Vol. 57. doi:10.1177/0735633119854036
- Cheng, K.-H., and C.-C. Tsai. (2013). Affordances of Augmented Reality in Science Learning: Suggestions for Future Research. *Journal of Science Education and Technology & Learning* 22 (4): 449–462. doi:10.1007/s10956-012-9405-9.
- Choi, H.H., Van-Merriënboer, J.J.G., & Paas, F. (2014). Effects of the physical environment on cognitive load and learning: towards a new model of cognitive load. *Educational Psychology Review*, 26(2), 225–244. doi:10.1007/s10648-014-9262-6.
- Cresswell, J. W. (2013). Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches. In V. Knight (Ed.), *SAGE Publications, Inc.* (4th Editio). SAGE Publication, Inc.

Yenni Verawati, 2024

PENGUASAAN KONSEP, MOTIVASI, DAN BEBAN KOGNITIF SISWA PADA PEMBELAJARAN SISTEM EKSRESI BERBANTUAN AUGMENTED REALITY DIKOMBINASIKAN DENGAN GOOGLE SEARCH DAN CHATGPT

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Demitriadou E., Stavroulia, K.E., & Lanitis, A. (2020). Comparative evaluation of virtual and augmented reality for teaching mathematics in primary education. *Educ Inf Technol*, 25, 381-401. doi:10.1007/s10639-019-09973-5.
- Dijkstra, R., Genç, Z., Kayal, S., & Kamps, J. (2022). *Reading comprehension quiz generation using generative pre-trained transformers*. https://e.humanities.uva.nl/publications/2022/dijk_read22.pdf.
- Dunleavy, M., Dede, C., & Mitchell, R. (2009). Affordances and limitations of immersive participatory augmented reality simulations for teaching and learning. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 18, 7–22. doi:10.1007/s10956-008-9119-1.
- Eitel, A., Endres, T., & Renkl, A. (2020). Self-management as a bridge between cognitive load and self-regulated learning: The illustrative case of seductive details. *Educational Psychology Review*, 32(4), 1073–1087. doi:10.1007/s10648-020-09559-5.
- Elisa, A. S. & Marco, G. (2023). *Comparing Google and ChatGPT as Assistive Tools for Students in Solving Programming Exercises*. (Skripsi). KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm. <https://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:kth:diva-330994>.
- Evans, P., Vansteenkiste, M., Parker, P., Smith, A.K, & Zhou, S. (2024). Cognitive Load Theory and Its Relationships with Motivation: a Self-Determination Theory Perspective. *Educational Psychology Review*, 36(7). doi:10.1007/s10648-023-09841-2.
- Fajriani, N., D., Widodo, A. & Rochintaniawati, D. (2021). Penggunaan Augmented Reality untuk Memfasilitasi Perubahan Representasi Konseptual Siswa Tentang Sistem Endokrin dan Penguasaan Konsep. *Jurnal Pendidikan Biologi Universitas Negeri Malang*, 12(3), 164-173. doi:10.17977/um052v12i3p164-173.
- Feldon, D. F., Franco, J., Chao, J., Peugh, J., & Maahs-Fladung, C. (2018). Self-efficacy change associated with a cognitive load-based intervention in an undergraduate biology course. *Learning and Instruction*, 56, 64–72. doi:10.1016/j.learninstruc.2018.04.007.
- Feldon, D. F., Callan, G., Juth, S., & Jeong, S. (2019). Cognitive load as motivational cost. *Educational Psychology Review*, 31(2), 319–337. doi:10.1007/s10648-019-09464-6.
- Ferrer-Torregrosa, J., J. Torralba, M. Jimenez, S. García, and J. Barcia. (2015). “ARBOOK: Development and Assessment of a Tool Based on Augmented Reality for Anatomy.” *Journal of Science Education and Technology* 24 (1): 119–124. doi:10.1007/s10956-014-9526-4.
- Fraenkel, J. R., & Wallen, N. E. (2009). How to Design and Evaluate Research in Education. In M. Ryan (Ed.), *McGraw-Hill* (7th editio). McGraw-Hill.
- Gilliam M, Jagoda P, Fabiyi C, Lyman P, Wilson C, Hill B, Bouris A. (2017). Alternate reality games as an informal learning tool for generating STEM
- Yenni Verawati, 2024
PENGUASAAN KONSEP, MOTIVASI, DAN BEBAN KOGNITIF SISWA PADA PEMBELAJARAN SISTEM EKSKRESI BERBANTUAN AUGMENTED REALITY DIKOMBINASIKAN DENGAN GOOGLE SEARCH DAN CHATGPT
 Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- engagement among underrepresented youth: a qualitative evaluation of the source. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 26(3):295–308. doi:10.1007/s10956-016-9679-4.
- Guan, P., Penner, E., Hegland, J., Letham, B., and Lanman, D. (2023). "Perceptual Requirements for World-Locked Rendering in AR and VR." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.15666*
- Hake, R. R. (1999). Analyzing Change/ Gain Scores. *American Journal of Pshysics*, 4(5).
- Hanief, Y. N., & Himawanto, W. (2017). *Statistik Pendidikan*. Deepublish.
- Harun, Sulastri. (2021). Pembelajaran di Era 5.0. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Pendidikan Dasar*, 265-276.
- Hasani, H. & Silva, E. S. (2023). The Role of *ChatGPT* in Data Science: How AI-Assisted Conversational Interfaces Are Revolutionizing the Field. *Big Data Cognitive Computing*, 7(62). doi:10.3390/bdcc7020062
- Hassani, H. & Silva, E.S. (2023). The Role of *ChatGPT* in Data Science: HowAI-Assisted Conversational Interfaces Are Revolutionizing the Field. *Big Data Cogn. Comput*, 7(62). doi: 10.3390/ bdcc7020062.
- Hawthorne, B. S., Vella-Brodrick, D., & A., & Hattie, J. (2019). Well-being as a cognitive load reducing agent: A review of the literature. *Frontiers in Education*, 4, 1–11. doi:10.3389/ feduc.2019.00121.
- He, C., Welsch, R., & Jacucci, G. (2024). A Pilot Study Comparing *ChatGPT* and Google Search in Supporting Visualization Insight Discovery. In *Workshops at the International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces*. CEUR.
- Hopkins, A. M., Logan, J. M., Kichenadasse, G., and Sorich, M. J. (2023). Artificial intelligence chatbots will revolutionize how cancer patients access information: *ChatGPT* represents a paradigm-shift. *JNCI Cancer Spectrum*, 7(2), pkad010. doi: 10.1093/jncics/pkad010.
- Hsu, Y.-S., Y.-H. Lin, and B. Yang. (2016). "Impact of Augmented Reality Lessons on Students' STEM Interest." *Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning* 12 (2). doi:10.1186/s41039-016-0039-z.
- Hristidis, V., Ruggiano, N., Brown, E.L., Ganta, S.R.R., & Stewart, S. (2023). ChatGPT vs Google for Queries Related to Dementia and Other Cognitive Decline: Comparison of Results. *J Med Internet Res*, 25. doi:10.2196/48966.
- Huang, A. Y. Q., Lu, O. H. T., & Yang, S. J. H. (2023). Effects of artificial Intelligence-- Enabled personalized recommendations on learners' learning engagement, motivation, and outcomes in a flipped classroom. *Computers & Education*, 194(6). doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2022.104684.
- Hurst, W. (2020). *VISUAL 2019 The Fourth International Conference on Applications and Systems of Visual*.

- Ibili, Emin. (2019). Effect of augmented reality environments on cognitive load: pedagogical effect, instructional design, motivation and interaction interfaces. *International Journal of Progressive Education*, 15. doi:10.29329/ijpe.2019.212.4.
- Inayah, Ghina Nur. (2024). *Pembelajaran Sistem Pangan Berkelanjutan untuk Meningkatkan Sustainable Awareness dan Action Siswa dalam Mendukung Zero Food Waste*. (Tesis). Sekolah Pascasarjana, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung.
- Johnson, S. B., King, A. J., Warner, E. L., Aneja, S., Kann, B. H., and Bylund, C. L. (2023). Using *ChatGPT* to evaluate cancer myths and misconceptions: artificial intelligence and cancer information. *JNCI cancer spectrum*, 7(2), pkad015. doi:10.1093/jncics/pkad015.
- Kamphuis, C., E. Barsom, M. Schijven, and N. Christoph. (2014). “Augmented Reality in Medical Education?” *Perspectives on Medical Education*, 3(4): 300–311. doi:10.1007/s40037-013-0107-7.
- Kasneji, E. Sessler, K., Küchemann, S., Bannert, M., Dementieva, D., Fischer, F., Gasser, U., Groh, G., Günemann, S., Hüllermeier, E., Krusche, S., Kutyniok, G., Michaeli, T., Nerdel, C., Pfeffer, J., Poquet, O., Sailer, M., Schmidt, A., Seidel, T., Stadler, M., Weller, J., Kuhn, J., Kasneji, G. (2023). *ChatGPT* for good? On opportunities and challenges of large language models for education. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 103. doi:10.1016/j.lindif.2023.102274.
- Keller, John. (2000). *How to integrate learner motivation planning into lesson planning: The ARCS model approach*. Santiago: Florida State University.
- Keller, J. M. (2010). *Motivational Design for Learning and Performance*. New York: Springer.
- Kirschner, P. A., Sweller, J., Kirschner, F., & Zambrano, R. J. (2018). From cognitive load theory to collaborative cognitive load theory. *International Journal of Computer Supported Collaborative Learning*, 13, 213–233. doi:10.1007/s11412-018-9277-y.
- Kishishita, N., Kiyokawa, K., Orlosky, J., Mashita, T., Takemura, H., & Kruijff, E. (2014). Analysing the Effects of a Wide Field of View Augmented Reality Display on Search Performance in Divided Attention Tasks. In *IEEE International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality* (pp. 177–186). doi:10.1109/ISMAR.2014.6948425.
- Kleesiek, J., Wu, Y., Stiglic, G., Egger, J., and Bian, J. (2023). An Opinion on *ChatGPT* in Health Care—Written by Humans Only. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, 701-703. doi:10.2967/jnumed.123.265687.
- Kruijff, E., Swan, J. E., & Feiner, S. (2010). Perceptual Issues in Augmented Reality Revisited. In *9th IEEE International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality* (pp. 3–12). doi:10.1109/ISMAR.2010.5643530.

- Kuhn J, Lukowicz P. (2016). gPhysics—using smart glasses for head-centered, context-aware learning in physics experiments. *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, 9(4):304–317. doi:10.1109/TLT.2016.2554115.
- Kulik, C. L. C., Kulik, J. A., & Bangert-Drown, J. (1990). Effectiveness of Mastery Learning Programs: A Meta Analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, 60(2), 265–299.
- Lameras, P. & Arnab, S. (2021). Power to the Teachers: An Exploratory Review on Artificial Intelligence in Education. *Information*. Vol. 13. doi: 10.3390/info13010014.
- Lai, A., Chen, C., & Lee, G. (2019). An augmented reality-based learning approach to enhancing students' science reading performances from the perspective of the cognitive load theory. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 50, 232–247. doi:10.1111/bjet.12716.
- Lee, C.J. & Hsu, Y. (2021). Sustainable education using augmented reality in vocational certification courses. *Sustainability*, 13(11), 6434. doi:10.3390/su13116434.
- Lee, Y. F., Hwang, G. J., & Chen, P. Y. (2022). Impacts of an AI-based chatbot on college students' after-class review, academic performance, self-efficacy, learning attitude, and motivation. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 70(5), 1843–1865. doi:10.1007/s11423-022-10142-8.
- Likourezos, V., & Kalyuga, S. (2017). Instruction-first and problem-solving-first approaches: Alternative pathways to learning complex tasks. *Instructional Science*, 45(2), 195–219. doi:10.1007/s11251-016-9399-4.
- Lin, H.C. K., M.C. Chen, and C.K. Chang. (2015). “Assessing the Effectiveness of Learning Solid Geometry by Using an Augmented Reality-assisted Learning System.” *Interactive Learning Environments* 23 (6): 799–810. doi:10.1080/10494820.2013.817435.
- Lin, X. (2024). Exploring the Role of *ChatGPT* as a Facilitator for Motivating Self-Directed Learning Among Adult Learners. *Adult Learning*, 35(3), 156-166. doi:10.1177/10451595231184928.
- Liu, Q., S. Yu, W. Chen, Q. Wang, S. Xu. (2020). The effects of an augmented reality based magnetic experimental tool on students' knowledge improvement and cognitive load. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*. doi:10.1111/jcal.12513.
- Magdalena, I., Fauzi, H. N., & Putri, R. (2020). Pentingnya Evaluasi dalam Pembelajaran dan Akibat dalam Memanipulasinya. *Bintang: Jurnal Pendidikan Sains*, 2(2), 244-257. doi:10.30640/dewantara.v2i1.722.
- Martin, A. J., & Evans, P. (2018). Load reduction instruction: Exploring a framework that assesses explicit instruction through to independent learning. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 73, 203–214. doi:10.1016/j.tate.2018.03.018.

Yenni Verawati, 2024

PENGUASAAN KONSEP, MOTIVASI, DAN BEBAN KOGNITIF SISWA PADA PEMBELAJARAN SISTEM EKSKRESI BERBANTUAN AUGMENTED REALITY DIKOMBINASIKAN DENGAN GOOGLE SEARCH DAN CHATGPT

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Martin, A. J., Ginns, P., Burns, E. C., Kennett, R., Munro-Smith, V., Collie, R. J., & Pearson, J. (2021). Assessing instructional cognitive load in the context of students' psychological challenge and threat orientations: A multi-level latent profile analysis of students and classrooms. *Frontiers in Psychology, 12*. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2021.656994.
- Mayer, R. E. (2014). Incorporating motivation into multimedia learning. *Learning and Instruction, 29*, 171–173. doi:10.1016/j.learninstruc.2013.04.003.
- Milenković, D., Segedinac, M., Hrin, T., & Cvjetičanin, S. (2014). Cognitive Load at Different Levels of Chemistry Representations. *Croatian Journal of Education, 16*(3), 699-722. doi:10.15516/cje.v16i3.528.
- Milgram, P., & Kishino, F. (1994). A Taxonomy of Mixed Reality Visual Displays. *Transactions on Information and Systems, 77*(12), 1321–1329.
- Moreno, R. (2006). Does the modality principle hold for diferent media? A test of the method-affectslearning hypothesis. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 22*(3), 149–158. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2729.2006.00170.x.
- Nebel, S., Schneider, S., Schledjewski, J., & Rey, G. D. (2017). Goal-setting in educational video games: Comparing goal-setting theory and the goal-free efect. *Simulation & Gaming, 48*(1), 98–130. doi:10.1177/1046878116680869.
- Ozarslan, Y. (2013). Effects of the effect of learning materials that are enriched through extended reality on student's achievement and satisfaction. *Doctoral Thesis*. Anadolu University Social Sciences Institute, Eskis,ehir
- Pass, F., Renkl, A., & Brunmair, H. (2009). Cognitive load theory: Instructional implications and a research agenda. *Educational Psychology Review, 21*(2), 213-228.
- Paas, F. G. W. C., & Van Merriënboer, J. J. G. (1994). Instructional control of cognitive load in the training of complex cognitive tasks. *Educational Psychology Review, 6*, 351–371.
- Pamungkas, S. J., Permadani, K. G., Yuniarti, N. N., & Meganingrum, A. R. (2023). The Effect of Android-Based Augmented Reality Lab Coats on Students with Different Academic Abilities on Understanding of Skeletal System. *Biosfer: Jurnal Pendidikan Biologi, 16*(2), 372-379. doi:10.21009/biosferjpb.29842
- Pavlik, J. V. (2023). Collaborating with *ChatGPT*: Considering the implications of generative artificial intelligence for journalism and media education. *Journalism & Mass Communication Educator, 78*(1). doi: 10.1177/107769582211495.
- Purwanto, M. N. (2008). *Prinsip-Prinsip dan Teknik Evaluasi Pengajaran*. Bandung: PT. Rosdakarya.
- Putri, Apriliana Dwi. (2022). *Penerapan Pembelajaran Inkuiri Terbimbing dengan Pemodelan 3D untuk Meningkatkan Penguasaan Konsep dan Motivasi*

- Belajar Siswa SMA pada Materi Jaringan Hewan.* (Tesis). Sekolah Pascasarjana, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung.
- Putri, N. A. L., Nurlim, R., & Nurlim, I. (2023). Correlation of Understanding of Excretion System Material with Healthy Living Behavior of Class XI Science Students in MAN 3 Jember. *META: Journal of Science and Technological Education*, 2(2), 66–74.
- Qu, K. & Wu, X. (2024). *ChatGPT* as a CALL tool in language education: A study of hedonic motivation adoption models in English learning environments. *Educ Inf Technol*, 1-33. doi:10.1007/s10639-024-12598-y.
- Reisberg, D. (2019). *Cognition: Exploring the Science of the Mind Seventh Edition*. New York: Norton & Company.
- Rey, G. D., & Buchwald, F. (2011). The expertise reversal effect: Cognitive load and motivational explanations. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*, 17(1), 33–48. doi:10.1037/a0022 243.
- Rini, D. S., Azrai, E. P., Suryanda, A., Inayah, S. S., Khansa, A. A., & Kurnianto, M. B. (2022). Augmented reality (AR) technology on the android operating system in human respiratory system: From organ to cell. *Biosfer: Jurnal Pendidikan Biologi*, 15(1), 25-35. doi:10.21009/biosferjpb.23448.
- Rohmah, S. W., & Anggraito, Y. U. (2021). Development of Augmented Reality Nervous System (ARSaf) Learning Media to Improve Student Understanding. *Journal of Biology Education*, 10(3), 316-325. doi:10.15294/jbe.v10i3.48296.
- Ryan, R. M. (1982). “Control and Information in the Intrapersonal Sphere: An Extension of Cognitive Evaluation Theory.” *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 43, 450–461. doi:10.1037/0022- 3514.43.3.450.
- Sanaky, H. (2013). *Media Pembelajaran Interaktif-Inovatif*. Yogyakarta: Kaukaban Dipantara.
- Schober, P., Boer, C., & Schwarte, L. (2018) Correlation Coefficients: Appropriate Use and Interpretation. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, 126(5), 1763 1768. doi:10.1213/ANE.0000000000002864.
- Shepherd, J. (2004). What is the Digital Era?. In G. Doukidis, N. Mylonopoulos, & N. Pouloudi (Eds.), *Social and Economic Transformation in the Digital Era* (pp. 1-18). IGI Global. doi:10.4018/978-1-59140-158-2.ch001.
- Siregar, F. H., Hasmayni, B., & Lubis, A. H. (2023). The analysis of chat gpt usage impact on learning motivation among scout students. *International Journal of Research and Review*, 10(7), 632-638. doi:10.52403/ijrr.20230774.
- Skulmowski, A., Pradel, S., Kühnert, T., Brunnett, G., & Rey, G. D. (2016). Embodied learning using a tangible user interface: The effects of haptic perception and selective pointing on a spatial learning task. *Computers & Education*, 92–93, 64–75. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2015.10.011.

Yenni Verawati, 2024

PENGUASAAN KONSEP, MOTIVASI, DAN BEBAN KOGNITIF SISWA PADA PEMBELAJARAN SISTEM EKSKRESI BERBANTUAN AUGMENTED REALITY DIKOMBINASIKAN DENGAN GOOGLE SEARCH DAN CHATGPT

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Song, C., & Song, Y. (2023). Enhancing academic writing skills and motivation: assessing the efficacy of *ChatGPT* in AI-assisted language learning for EFL students. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *14*, 1260843. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1260843.
- Steffen, J. H., Gaskin, J., Meservy, T., & Jenkins, J. (2017). The Missing Framework for Virtually Assisted Activities. In *38th International Conference on Information Systems*.
- Sudijono, A. (2009). *Pengantar Evaluasi Pendidikan*. PT. Raja Grafindo Persada.
- Sudjana. (2007). *Metode Statistik*. Bandung: Tarsito.
- Sugiyono. (2009). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif Kualitatif dan R&D*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Supriyadi, M. N., Ramdhan, B., & Juhanda, A. (2022). Efektivitas Media Augmented Reality Berbasis Smartphone Terhadap Kemampuan Komunikasi Visual dan Motivasi Siswa Pada Pembelajaran Biologi (The Effectiveness of Smartphone Based Augmented Reality Media on Visual Communication Ability and Student Motivation in Biology Learning). *BIODIK*, *8*(3), 99-109. doi:10.22437/bio.v8i3.18857.
- Sweller, J. (1988). Cognitive load during problem solving: Effects on learning. *Cognitive Science*, *12*, 257–285. doi:10.1207/s15516709cog1202_4.
- Sweller, J., Van Merriënboer, J. J. G., & Paas, F. G. W. C. (1998). Cognitive architecture and instructional design. *Educational Psychology Review*, *10*, 251–296.
- Sweller, J. (2010). Cognitive load theory. In P. A. Kirschning, S. E. Wise, & R. F. Moxley (Eds.), *Handbook of research on learning and technology* (pp. 141-164). New York: Routledge.
- Sweller, J., Van Merriënboer, J. J., & Paas, F. (2019). Cognitive architecture and instructional design: 20 years later. *Educational Psychology Review*, *32*(2), 261–262. doi:10.1007/s10648-019-09465-5.
- Techataweewan, W., & Prasertsin, U. (2018). Development of digital literacy indicators for Thai undergraduate students using mixed method research. *Kasetsart Journal of Social Sciences*, *39*(2), 215–221.
- Thees, M., S. Kapp, M. P. Strzys, F. Bril, P. Lukowicz, J. Kuhn. (2020). Effects of augmented reality on learning and cognitive load in university physics laboratory courses. *Computers in Human Behavior*. Vol. 108. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2020.106316.
- Thompson, G. H., & Bennett, J. (2013). Science Teaching and Learning Activities and Students' Engagement in Science. *International Journal of Science Education*, *35*(8), 1325-1343. doi:10.1080/09500693.2011.608093.

- Tomi, A.B. & Rambli, D. R. A. (2013). An interactive mobile augmented reality magical playbook: learning number with the thirsty crow. *Procedia Computer Science*, 25:123–130. doi:10.1016/j.procs.2013.11.015.
- Unaenah, E., & Sumantri, M. S. (2019). Analisis Pemahaman Konsep Matematis Siswa Kelas 5 Sekolah Dasar Materi Pecahan. *Jurnal Basicedu*, 3(1), 106–111. doi:10.31004/basicedu.v3i1.78.
- van Krevelen, D. W. F., & Poelman, R. (2010). A Survey of Augmented Reality Technologies, Applications and Limitations. *International Journal of Virtual Reality*, 9(2), 1–21. doi:10.20870/IJVR.2010.9.2.2767.
- Van Merriënboer, J. J., & Sweller, J. (2005). Cognitive load theory and complex learning: Recent developments and future directions. *Educational Psychology Review*, 17(2), 147–177. doi: 10.1007/s10648-005-3951-0.
- Vaswani, A., Shazeer, N., Parmar, N., Uszkoreit, J., Jones, L., Gomez, A. N., Kaiser, Ł., and Polosukhin, I. (2017). "Attention is all you need." *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30.
- Wang, B., Ginns, P., & Mockler, N. (2022). Sequencing tracing with imagination. *Educational Psychology Review*, 34(1), 421–449. doi:10.1007/s10648-021-09625-6.
- Widiasih, W., Zakirman, Z., & Ekawati, R. (2023). Development of Augmented Reality Media to Improve Student Understanding of Optical Eyes System Materials. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 9(2), 912–919. doi:10.29303/jppipa.v9i2.2858.
- Wong, K., S. S. Jamali, and M. F. Shiratuddin. 2014. "A Review of Augmented Reality and Mobile-augmented Reality Technology." *International Journal of Learning in Higher Education* 20 (2): 37–54. doi:10.18848/1447-9494/CGP/v20i02/48690.
- Woo, D.J., Wang, D., Guo, K., & Susanto, H. (2024). Teaching EFL students to write with *ChatGPT*: Students' motivation to learn, cognitive load, and satisfaction with the learning process. *Educ Inf Technol*, 1-28. doi:10.1007/s10639-024-12819-4.
- Wu, T.T., Lee, H.Y., Li, P.H., Huang, C.N., & Huang, Y.M. (2024). Promoting Self-Regulation Progress and Knowledge Construction in Blended Learning via *ChatGPT*-Based Learning Aid. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 61(8), 3-31. doi:10.1177/07356331231191125.
- Wulandari, R., Widodo, A. & Rochintaniawati, D. (2020). Penggunaan Aplikasi Augmented Reality untuk Memfasilitasi Penguasaan Konsep dan Keterampilan Berpikir Kreatif Peserta Didik. *Jurnal Pendidikan Biologi Universitas Negeri Malang*, 11(2), 59-69. doi:10.17977/um052v11i2p59-69.
- Valencia, A.A., Burgos, D., & Bedoya, J.W.B. (2023). The Influence of Augmented Reality (AR) on the Motivation of High School Students. *Electronics*, 12(22), 4715. doi: 10.3390/electronics12224715.

Yenni Verawati, 2024

PENGUASAAN KONSEP, MOTIVASI, DAN BEBAN KOGNITIF SISWA PADA PEMBELAJARAN SISTEM EKSKRESI BERBANTUAN AUGMENTED REALITY DIKOMBINASIKAN DENGAN GOOGLE SEARCH DAN CHATGPT

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Ye, Y., You, H., and Du, J. (2023). Improved trust in human-robot collaboration with *ChatGPT*. *IEEE Access*. doi:10.48550/arXiv.2304.12529.
- Yoon, S., K. Elinich, J. Wang, J. B. van Schooneveld, and E. Anderson. (2013). “Scaffolding Informal Learning in Science Museums: How Much Is Too Much?” *Science Education* 97 (6): 848–877. doi:10.1002/sc.21079.
- You, H., Ye, Y., Zhou, T., Zhu, Q., and Du, J. (2023). Robot-Enabled Construction Assembly with Automated Sequence Planning based on *ChatGPT*: RoboGPT. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.11018*.
- Zai, Xiaoming. (2022). *ChatGPT* User Experience: Implications for Education. SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=4312418> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4312418>