

**APPRAISAL REALIZATION IN SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS'
ARGUMENTATIVE WRITINGS: SYSTEMIC FUNCTIONAL LINGUISTICS
PERSPECTIVE**

A THESIS

**Submitted in Partial fulfilment of the Requirement for the Master's Degree
in English Education**



**By
Widya Nur Faradina
2210099**

**ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM
FACULTY OF LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE EDUCATION
UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN INDONESIA**

2024

**APPRAISAL REALIZATION IN SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS'
ARGUMENTATIVE WRITINGS: SYSTEMIC FUNCTIONAL LINGUISTICS
PERSPECTIVE**

Oleh

Widya Nur Faradina

S. Pd. Universitas Islam Negeri Alauddin Makassar, 2019

Sebuah Tesis yang diajukan untuk memenuhi salah satu syarat memperoleh gelar
Magister Pendidikan (M.Pd) pada Program Studi Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris

© Widya Nur Faradina 2024

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia

Agustus 2024

Hak cipta dilindungi undang-undang.

Tesis ini tidak boleh diperbanyak seluruhnya atau Sebagian,
Dengan dicetak ulang, difotokopi, atau cara lainnya tanpa izin dari penulis.

PAGE OF APPROVAL

A THESIS

**APPRAISAL REALIZATION IN SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS'
ARGUMENTATIVE WRITINGS: SYSTEMIC FUNCTIONAL LINGUISTICS
PERSPECTIVE**

By
Widya Nur Faradina
2210099

Approved and confirmed by
Supervisor



Wawan Gunawan, M.Ed., Ph.D.
NIP. 197209162000031001

Co-Supervisor



Prof. Emi Emilia, M.A., Ph.D.
NIP. 196609161990012001

Acknowledged by

Head of English Language Education Study Program
Faculty of Language and Literature Education Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia



Prof. Emi Emilia, M.A., Ph.D.
NIP. 196609161990012001

STATEMENT OF AUTHORIZATION

STATEMENT OF AUTHORIZATION

I solemnly declare that the research entitled “Appraisal Realization in Senior High School Students’ Argumentative Writings: Systemic Functional Linguistics Perspective” is totally my own work. To the best of my knowledge and belief, it contains no element of plagiarism. Therefore, I confirm that I will be ready to respond to any risks that can be imposed on me if later there will be any violations of educational research ethics in this work.

Bandung, Agustus 2024

Author,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Widya', with a stylized flourish extending to the right.

Widya Nur Faradina

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The completion of this thesis would not have been possible without the support and generosity of many individuals to whom I am deeply grateful.

First and foremost, I am immensely thankful to my thesis supervisors, Wawan Gunawan, M. Ed., Ph. D., and Prof. Emi Emilia, M.A., Ph.D. Their patient guidance and encouragement have been a cornerstone of my academic journey. Their insightful advice and constructive feedback have enlightened me far beyond the pages of this thesis. I also wish to express my sincere appreciation to Dr. Budi Hermawan, S.Pd., M.PC., and Dr. Sudarsono M.I., M.A., for their invaluable feedback and counsel. Their contributions have been instrumental in the refinement and completion of this work.

This research would not have been possible without the participation of the teachers and students of SMA Lab School UPI. Their willingness to take part in this study has been crucial to its success, and their valuable contributions have provided new insights and enriched the findings.

Furthermore, I extend my heartfelt gratitude to the exceptional academic staff of the English Language Education Study Program at Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia. Their steadfast dedication to academic excellence and their ability to impart knowledge and wisdom have been fundamental to my development as a scholar.

To my family, my beloved parents, thank you for your constant support in every endeavour I undertake. Being away from home for two years and deeply engrossed in writing this thesis was a challenge for all of us. A special thanks to my beloved sister, whose presence always brought light to my life when I needed it the most. I am also deeply grateful to my friends for their unwavering support, especially during the days of completing this educational journey. Your companionship and sanity-preserving presence, even from afar, were invaluable.

APPRAISAL REALIZATION IN SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS' ARGUMENTATIVE WRITINGS: SYSTEMIC FUNCTIONAL LINGUISTICS PERSPECTIVE

ABSTRACT

The Appraisal plays a significant role in realizing language of evaluation, which is the key in construing evaluative positions in argumentative writing. Considering its importance, extensive research has been conducted on this topic, yet study specifically examining senior high school students' use of Appraisal in the argumentative genre remains limited. This study aims to investigate the use of Appraisal elements in senior high school students' argumentative writing and how each element contributes to achieving the genre's purpose. Informed by Appraisal theory as suggested by Martin & White (2005), this study explores students' preferences in using the element of Attitude, Engagement, and Graduation. This study used a cross-sectional design in a qualitative inquiry approach involving textual analysis. The data included exposition texts written by eleventh graders and discussion texts written by twelfth graders from a senior high school in Indonesia. The findings from analysis reveal that Engagement is the predominant Appraisal element in argumentative writings. There is a distinct pattern in students' argumentative genres: the second most predominant element in exposition texts is Attitude, while in discussion texts it is Graduation. The three elements of Appraisal function to voice the writers' stance, invite readers' engagement, and embed values and emotions into the text, thereby affecting the persuasiveness of the texts. Additionally, the findings indicate noticeable gaps in the use of *Monoglossic* and *Heteroglossic* strategies among students. In terms of Attitude, all exposition texts exhibit positive Attitude, with no negative Attitude found. On the other hand, a balanced use of positive and negative Attitude is found in discussion texts. For Graduation, both text types frequently use Force, particularly Intensification. This study suggests that further research could address how the instructional practices focus on the teaching of Appraisal.

Keywords: *Appraisal, Argumentative genre, Attitude, Discussion, Engagement, Exposition, Graduation, SFL*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE OF APPROVAL	ii
STATEMENT OF AUTHORIZATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABSTRACT	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF FIGURES.....	viii
LIST OF TABLES.....	ix
LIST OF APPENDICES	xi
CHAPTER I	1
INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Research Background.....	1
1.2 Research Questions	3
1.3 Research Objectives	3
1.4 Research Scope.....	4
1.5 Research Significance	4
1.6 Clarification of Key Terms.....	5
1.7 Organization of the Paper.....	6
CHAPTER II.....	8
LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 Systemic Functional Linguistics.....	8
2.2 Appraisal System: An Overview	13
2.3 Attitude in Appraisal System.....	15
2.3.1 Affect.....	18
2.3.2 Judgement	23
2.3.3 Appreciation.....	26
2.4 Engagement in Appraisal System.....	30
2.4.1 Heterogloss: Contract.....	33
2.4.2 Heterogloss: Expansion.....	35
2.5 Graduation in Appraisal System.....	37
2.5.1 Force.....	39
2.5.2 Focus	40

2.5.3	Modification vs Infusion of Graduation.....	41
2.6	Genre in SFL	43
2.7	Genre in This Study: Argumentative Genre	46
2.8	Previous Related Research	55
CHAPTER III.....		58
METHODOLOGY		58
3.1	Research Design.....	58
3.2	Research Site and Participants.....	59
3.3	Data Collection and Analysis	59
CHAPTER IV		64
FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION		64
4.1	Findings	64
4.1.1	Appraisal Elements in Senior High School Students' Argumentative Writings.....	64
4.1.2	The Role of Appraisal Elements in Senior High School Students' Argumentative Writings: Contributions to Genre Purpose.....	68
4.2	Discussion.....	111
4.2.1	Voicing Authorial Stance.....	111
4.2.2	Inviting Readers Engagement	115
4.2.3	Putting Values and Emotion into Text.....	117
4.3	Concluding Remark.....	119
CHAPTER V.....		121
CONCLUSION, IMPLICATIONS, LIMITATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		121
5.1	Conclusion.....	121
5.2	Implication of the Study	124
5.3	Limitations of the Study.....	124
5.4	Recommendation.....	125
REFERENCES.....		127
APPENDIX.....		141
APPENDIX.....		150

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Stratification and Metafunction in SFL	10
Figure 2.2 Discourse Semantic System Organized by Metafunction	11
Figure 2.3 Overview of Appraisal Resource	14
Figure 2.4 Appraisal system network: Attitude	17
Figure 2.5 Modality and Type of Judgement.....	26
Figure 2.6 Strategies of inscribing and invoking Attitude.....	29
Figure 2.7 Dialogic Contraction and Expansion	32
Figure 2.8 System network for graduation: Force and Focus	38
Figure 2.9 Evaluating genres in school	46
Figure 4.1 The distribution of Appraisal realization in Students' Exposition writings	67
Figure 4.2 The distribution of Appraisal realization in Students' Discussion writings	67
Figure 4.3 The distribution of Engagement strategy in students' Exposition writings.	69
Figure 4.4 The distribution of Engagement Strategy in Students' Discussion writings	73
Figure 4.5 The distribution of Attitude realization in Students' Exposition writings	84
Figure 4.6 The distribution of Attitude realization in Students' Discussion writings	93
Figure 4.7 The distribution of Graduation strategy in Students' Exposition writings	102
Figure 4.8 The distribution of Graduation strategy in Students' Discussion writings	106

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Unrealis Affect.....	21
Table 2.2 Affect- un/happiness.....	21
Table 2.3 Affect – Kinds of un/happiness	22
Table 2.4 Affect – in/security	22
Table 2.5 Affect – dis/satisfaction	23
Table 2.6 Judgement- Social Esteem.....	24
Table 2.7 Social Sanction	24
Table 2.8 Appreciation Types.....	27
Table 2.9 Sub-type of Appreciation.....	28
Table 2.10 <i>Monogloss</i> and <i>Heterogloss</i>	31
Table 2.11 The gradability of Attitudinal meanings.....	39
Table 2.12 The gradability of Engagement	39
Table 2.13 Prototypical genres of primary school.....	44
Table 3.1 Example of Attitude analysis coding process.....	60
Table 3.2 Example of Engagement analysis coding process.....	62
Table 3.3 Example of Graduation analysis coding process.....	62
Table 4.1 Appraisal System in Students’ Exposition Texts	65
Table 4.2 Appraisal System in Students’ Discussion Texts	65
Table 4.3 The Distribution of Engagement in Students’ Exposition writings.....	68
Table 4.4 The Distribution of <i>Heterogloss</i> Expand in Students’ Exposition writings	71
Table 4.5 The Distribution of Engagement in Students’ Discussion writings	73
Table 4.6 The distribution of <i>Heterogloss</i> Contract in students’ Discussion writings	76
Table 4.7 The distribution of <i>Heterogloss</i> Expand in students’ Discussion writings	79
Table 4.8 The distribution of Attitude realization in students’ Exposition writings	83
Table 4.9 The distribution of Judgement realization in students’ Exposition writings	86
Table 4.10 The distribution of Appreciation realization in students’ Exposition writings	89
Table 4.11 The distribution of Affect realization in students’ Exposition writings .	91

Table 4.12 The distribution of Attitude realization in students' Discussion writings	92
Table 4.13 The distribution of Appreciation in students' Discussion writings	94
Table 4.14 The distribution of Judgement in students' Discussion writings.....	97
Table 4.15 The distribution of Affect in students' Discussion writings.....	100
Table 4.16 The distribution of Graduation strategy in students' Exposition writings	101
Table 4.17 The distribution of Graduation Force in students' Exposition writings	102
Table 4.18 The distribution of Graduation Focus in students' Exposition writings	104
Table 4.19 The distribution of Graduation strategy in students' Discussion writings	105
Table 4.20 The distribution of Graduation Focus in students' Discussion writings	107
Table 4.21 The distribution of Graduation Focus in students' Discussion writings	108

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A Genre Analysis	141
Appendix A.1 Eleventh-grade Students Writings.....	141
Appendix A.2 Twelfth-grade Students Writitngs	144
Appendix B Genre Stages and Appraisal Elements Analysis	150
Appendix B.1 Eleventh-grade Students Writings	150
Appendix B.2 Twelfth-grade Students Writitngs	154
Appendix C Engagement Analysis.....	160
Appendix C.1 Engagement Analysis in Senior High School Students' Exposition Texts.....	160
Appendix C.2 Engagement Analysis in Senior High School Students' Discussion Texts.....	161
Appendix D Attitude Analysis.....	164
Appendix D.1 Attitude Analysis in Senior High School Students' Exposition Texts.....	164
Appendix D.2 Attitude Analysis in Senior High School Students' Discussion Texts.....	167
Appendix E Graduation Analysis	173
Appendix E.1 Grduation Analysis in Senior High School Students' Exposition Texts.....	173
Appendix E.2 1 Grduation Analysis in Senior High School Students' Discussion Texts.....	175

REFERENCES

- Anderson, M., & Anderson, K. (2003). *Text types in English*. Macmillan.
- Angraeni, A., & Wirza, Y. (2021). The evaluation of genre-based approach cycle implementation in tasks organization of Curriculum 2013 English textbook for 9th-grade students. *Proceedings of the Thirteenth Conference on Applied Linguistics (CONAPLIN 2020)*, Atlantis Press. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.210427.005>
- Angraini, Wiwiek, & Rozimela, Yenni. (2020). The Implementation Genre-Based Approach in Teaching Reading at Senior High School. *Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Languages and Arts (ICLA-2019)*, Atlantis Press. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.200819.020>
- Asad, S., Noor, S.N., Indah, R.N., & Jaes, L. (2021). Attitude realization in news reports: an interpretation through an appraisal analysis. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics, 11*. <https://doi.org/10.17509/ijal.v11i1.34622>
- Aull, L. L., & Lancaster, Z. (2014). Linguistic markers of stance in early and advanced academic writing: A corpus-based comparison. *Written Communication, 31*(2), 151-183. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0741088314527055>
- Aunurrahman, A., Hikmayanti, A., & Yuliana, Y. (2020). Teaching English using a genre pedagogy to Islamic junior high school students. *Journal on English as a Foreign Language, 10*(1), 1-24. <https://doi.org/10.23971/jeft.v10i1.1625>
- Bakhtin, M. (1981). *The dialogic imagination: Four essays*. Austin: University of Texas Press.
- Bangga, L. (2020). Construing The Great Fire of London through online media: A case of exploring discourse semantics and register. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics, 10*(2), 406-419. <https://doi.org/10.17509/ijal.v10i2.28612>
- Banks, D. (2019). *A systemic functional grammar of English*. Routledge.
- Bartlett, T., & O'Grady, G. (Eds.). (2017). *The Routledge Handbook of Systemic Functional Linguistics* (1st ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315413891>
- Barwick, J. (2006). *Targeting text: Narrative, poetry, drama, rhetoric, and the teaching of literature*.
- Bednarek, M. (2009). Language patterns and ATTITUDE. *Functions of Language, 16*, 165-192.
- Berry, M. (2019). The Clause: An Overview of the Lexicogrammar. In G. Thompson, W. Bowcher, L. Fontaine, & D. Schönthal (Eds.), *The Cambridge Handbook of Systemic Functional Linguistics* (Cambridge Handbooks in Language and Linguistics, pp. 92-117). Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/9781316337936.006
- Bitchener, J. (2017). Creating an effective argument in different academic genres: A scaffolded approach. In J. Bitchener, N. Storch & R. Wette (Eds.) *Teaching writing for academic purposes to multilingual students* (pp. 98-112). Routledge
- Butt, D., Fahey, R., Feez, S., Spinks, S., & Yallop, C. (2000). *Using functional grammar: An explorer's guide* (2nd ed.). Macquarie University.

- Castillo-Hajan, B., Hajan, B.H., Marasigan, A.C., (2019). Construction of second language writer identity in student persuasive essays: A metadiscourse analysis. *Asian EFL Journal*. 2(21). 36-60.
- Cavasso, L., & Taboada, M. (2021). A corpus analysis of online news comments using the Appraisal framework. *Journal of Corpora and Discourse Studies*, 4, 1–38
- Cheung, Y. L., & Low, T. H. (2019). Pre-university Students' Voice Construction in Argumentative Essays. *RELC Journal*, 50(2), 269-284. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0033688217716508>
- Christie, F. (2002). *Classroom discourse analysis*. Continuum.
- Christie, F. (2005). *Language education in the primary years*. Continuum.
- Christie, F. (2012). *Language learning: Language education throughout the school years: A Functional Perspective*. Wiley Blackwell.
- Christie, F., & Derewianka, B. (2008). *School discourse. Learning to write across the years of schooling*. Continuum.
- Christie, F., & Derewianka, B. (2008). *School discourse: Learning to write across the years of schooling*. Continuum.
- Christie, F., & Martin, J. R. (2000). *Genre and institutions: Social Processes in the Workplace and School*. Continuum.
- Christie, F., & Martin, J. R. (Eds.). (1997). *Genre and Institutions: Social Processes in the Workplace and School*. Cassell
- Coffin, C., & Donohue, J. P. (2012). Academic literacies and systemic functional linguistics: How do they relate? *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 11(1), 64-75.
- Coffin, C., & O'Halloran, K. (2006). The role of appraisal and corpora in detecting covert evaluation. *Functions of Language*, 13(1), 77-110.
- Coffin, C., Curry, M.J., Goodman, S., Hewings, A., Lillis, T. and Swann, J. (2003) *Teaching Academic Writing: A Toolkit for Higher Education*. Routledge.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2017). *Research methods in education* (8th ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315456539>
- Correa, D., & Domínguez, C. (2014). Using SFL as a Tool for Analyzing Students' Narratives. *HOW*, 21(2), 112–133. <https://doi.org/10.19183/how.21.2.7>
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches*. Sage Publications.
- Crosthwaite, P.R., & Jiang, K. (2017). Does EAP affect written L2 academic stance? A longitudinal learner corpus study. *System*, 69, 92-107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2017.06.010>
- Danielsson, K., & Selander, S. (2021). *Multimodal Texts in Disciplinary Education: A Comprehensive Framework*. Springer. [0.1007/978-3-030-63960-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-63960-0)
- Davidse, Kristin 1991 *Categories of experiential grammar*. Unpublished dissertation submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of "Doctor in de Letteren en de Wijsbegeerte." Department of Linguistics, Katholieke Universiteit, Leuven. 10.13140/RG.2.1.4820.4243.
- Dawson, N., Hsiao, Y., Tan, A.W.M., Banerji, N., & Nation, K. (2021). Features of lexical richness in children's books: Comparisons with child-directed speech.

- Language Development Research, 1(1), 9-53. <https://doi.org/10.34842/5we1-yk94>
- Derewianka, B. (1990). *Exploring how texts work*. PETA.
- Derewianka, B. (1990). Exploring how texts work. *Primary English Teaching Association*.
- Derewianka, B. (2007). Using appraisal theory to track interpersonal development in adolescent academic writing. In A. McCabe, M. O'Donnell & R. Whittaker (Eds.), *Advances in Language and Education* (pp. 142–165). Continuum.
- Derewianka, B. (2020). Growing into the complexity of mature academic writing. In Chen, H., Myhill, D., & Lewis, H. (Eds.). (2020). *Developing writers across the primary and secondary years: Growing into writing* (1st ed., pp. 212-236). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003018858>
- Derewianka, B. M. (2011). *A new grammar companion for teachers* (2nd ed.). Primary English Teaching Association.
- Derewianka, B., & Jones, P. (2016). *Teaching language in context* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Dirgeyasa, Wy. I. (2016). Genre-based approach: What and how to teach and learn writing. *English Language Teaching Journal*. 9(9). Canadian Center of Science and Education. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/elt.v9n9p45>
- Djatmika, D., Santosa, R., Wiratno, T., Sugiarti, R., Wibowo, A.H., Sugiarti, T., Lianisyah, U.Y., & Rudiansyah, R. (2023). Affect and Characterization in Narrative Texts Written by Senior High School Students. *Register Journal*. 16 (2), 323-343. 10.18326/rgtv16i2.323-343
- Don, A. (2016). “It is hard to mesh all this”: Invoking attitude, persona and argument organisation. *Functional Linguist*. 3, 9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40554-016-0033-1>
- Doran, Y., & Martin, J. (2020). Describing languages, understanding language. Systemic functional theory and description. In Martin, J. R., Doran, Y. J., & Figueredo, G. (2020). *Systemic functional language description. Making meaning matter* (pp. 1-29). Routledge.
- Dreyfus, S. J., Humphrey, S., Mahboob, A., & Martin, J. R. (2016). *Genre pedagogy in higher education The SLATE project*. Palgrave Macmillan UK. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-137-31000-2>
- Eggs, S. (1994). *An Introduction to Systemic Functional Linguistics*. Printer Publisher Ltd.
- Eggs, S. (2004). *Introduction to systemic functional linguistics (2nd Edition)*. Bloomsbury Academic.
- Eggs, S., & Slade, D. (1997). *Analysis Casual Conversation*. Cassell
- Emilia, E. (2005). *A critical genre-based approach to teaching academic writing in a tertiary EFL context in Indonesia* [Doctoral dissertation, The University of Melbourne].
- Emilia, E. (2008). *Menulis tesis dan disertasi*. Alfabeta
- Emilia, E. (2010). *Teaching writing: Developing Critical Learner*. Rizqi Press.
- Emilia, E. (2011). *Pendekatan genre-based dalam pengajaran bahasa Inggris: Petunjuk untuk guru*. Rizqi Press.

- Emilia, E. (2014). *Introducing functional grammar*. Pustaka Jaya.
- Emilia, E., & Martin, J. R. (2023). "Learning functional grammar is fun": A snapshot of functional grammar unit at an English Education Department in Indonesia. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 13(1), 48-62. <https://doi.org/10.17509/ijal.v13i1.58256>
- Emilia, E., Gunawan, W., & Kurniawan, E. (2021). *Pembelajaran berbasis genre: Konsep, praktis, dan kajian kritis pengajaran Bahasa*. Upi Press
- Fairclough, N. (2003). *Analysing discourse: Textual analysis for social research*. Routledge.
- Fang, Z., & Schleppegrell, M. J. (2008). *Reading in secondary content areas: A language-based pedagogy*. University of Michigan Press
- Fauziah, M., Warsono, W., & Widhiyanto, W. (2019). The Comparison of Appraisal Resources in Argumentative Essays Written by Students with Different Proficiency Levels. *English Education Journal*, 9(4), 484-491. <https://doi.org/10.15294/ej.v9i4.31980>
- Feez, S., Iedema, R., & White, P. R. (2008). *Media literacy*. NSW Adult Migrant Education Service
- Ferretti, R. P., & Lewis, W. E. (2018). Argumentative writing. Best practices in writing
- Gao, Y. & Webster, J. J. (2020) New directions of systemic functional linguistics, *Journal of World Languages*, 6:1-2, 1-4. 10.1080/21698252.2020.1769916
- Gebhard, M., & Harman, R. (2011). Reconsidering genre theory in K-12 schools: A response to school reforms in the United States. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 20, 45-55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2010.12.007>
- Gebhard, M., Chen, I., Graham, H., & Gunawan, W. (2013). Teaching to mean, writing to mean: SFL, L2 literacy, and teacher education. *Journal of Second Language Writing, Volume 22*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2013.03.005>
- Geng, Y., & Wharton, S. (2016). Evaluative language in discussion sections of doctoral theses: similarities and differences between L1 Chinese and L1 English writers. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 22, 80–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2016.01.001>
- Geng, Y., & Wharton, S. (2016). Evaluative language in discussion sections of doctoral theses: Similarities and differences between L1 Chinese and L1 English writers. *Journal of English for academic purposes*, 22, 80-91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2016.01.001>
- Gerot, L., & Wignell. (1994). *Making sense of functional grammar*. Gerd stabler.
- Gibbons, P. (2015) *Scaffolding language, scaffolding learning*. NH: Heinemann.
- Giner, D., Vazquez, I., & Orta, I. (2009). Writing with conviction: the use of boosters in modelling persuasion in academic discourses. *Revista Alicantina De Estudios Ingleses*, 22, 219-237.
- Graves, K., & Garton, S. (2017). An analysis of three curriculum approaches to teaching English in public-sector schools. *Language Teaching*, 50(4), 441–482. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444817000155>
- Gunawan, W., Haryati, S., & Lukmana, I. (2023). *Appraisal Bahasa evaluasi: Riset dan realisasinya dalam konteks bimbingan dan konseling*. UPI Press.

- Halliday, M. A. K. (1976). English system networks. In G. Kress (Ed.), *Halliday: System and function in language. Selected Papers* (pp. 101–135). Oxford University Press.
- Halliday, M. A. K. (1985). *An Introduction to Functional Grammar*. Edward Arnold.
- Halliday, M. A. K. (1993). The construction of knowledge and value in the grammar of scientific discourse: Charles Darwin's the origin of the species. In M. A. K. Halliday, & J. R. Martin (Eds.), *Writing science. Literacy and discursive power* (pp. 95-116). The Falmer Press.
- Halliday, M. A. K., & Webster, J. J. (2007). *Language and education, 9*. Continuum International Pub. Group.
- Halliday, M. A. K., Matthiessen, C., & Halliday, M. (2014). *An introduction to functional grammar*. Routledge.
- Halliday, M.A.K. (1978). *Language as social semiotic: The social interpretation of language and meaning*. Edward Arnold.
- Halliday, M.A.K. (1994). *Introduction to functional grammar* (2nd ed.). Edward Arnold.
- Halliday, M.A.K., & Martin, J.R. (1993). *Writing science. Literacy and discursive power (Critical perspective on literacy and education)*. Falmer Press.
- Hamby, A., & Jones, N. (2021). the effect of affect: an appraisal theory perspective on emotional engagement in narrative persuasion. *Journal of Advertising, 51*(1), 116–131. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.2021.1981498>
- Hamdani, Z. (2021). Affect as appraisal system on social media “Instagram”. *Journal of English Education and Linguistics, 2*(1), 60-66. <https://doi.org/10.56874/jeel.v2i1.421>
- Hammond, J. (1992). *English for Social Purposes: A handbook for teachers of adult literacy*. Macquarie University: Australian Print Group.
- Hao, J. (2020). *Analysing scientific discourse from a systemic functional linguistic perspective: A framework for exploring knowledge building in biology*. Routledge.
- Hasan, R. (2014). Towards a paradigmatic description of context: System, metafunction, and semantics. *Functional Linguistics, 1*(9). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40554-014-0009-y>
- Hashemi, A. & Mahdavidar, F. (2023). A cross-cultural, cross-disciplinary, and cross-gender study on Appraisal resources in PhD dissertation abstracts: Martin & White's (2005) Appraisal Theory in focus. *Heliyon*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e22074>.
- Hatipoğlu, Ç., & Algı, S. (2018). Catch a tiger by the toe: Modal hedges in EFL argumentative paragraphs. *Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice, 18*, 957–982. <http://dx.doi.org/10.12738/estp.2018.4.0373>
- Hesse-Biber, S. N., & Leavy, P. (2019). *The practice of qualitative research*. Sage Publications
- Hood, S. (2004). Managing Attitude in undergraduate academic writing: A focus on the introductions to research reports. In L. Ravelli, & R. Ellis (Eds.), *Analysing academic writing: Contextualized frameworks* (pp. 24–44). London: Continuum.

- Hood, S. (2005). What is evaluated and how in academic research writing?: The co-patterning of Attitude and Field. *Australian Review of Applied Linguistics Series*, 5(19), 23-40.
- Hood, S. (2006). The persuasive power of prosodies: Radiating values in academic writing. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 5(1), 37–49.
- Hood, S. (2006). The persuasive power of prosodies: Radiating values in academic writing. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 5(1), 37–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2005.11.001>
- Hood, S. (2010). *Appraising research: Evaluation in academic writing*. Palgrave MacMillan.
- Huang, Y., & Zhang, L. J. (2019). Does a process-genre approach help improve students' argumentative writing in English as a foreign language? Findings from an intervention study. *Reading and Writing Quarterly*, 36(4), 339–364. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10573569.2019.1649223>
- Humphrey, S. (2008). *Adolescent literacies for critical social and community engagement* (Published doctoral dissertation). Armidale, NSW: University of New England.
- Humphrey, S., Droga, L., & Feez, S. (2012). *Grammar and meaning*. PETAA.
- Hunston, S., & Thompson, G. (2000). *Evaluation in text: Authorial stance and the construction of discourse*. Oxford University Press.
- Hutasuhut, M. L., Chen, H., & Matruglio, E. (2023). Engaged at the first sight! Anticipating your audience as a way to think critically in writing an argument. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 12(3), 680-693. <https://doi.org/10.17509/ijal.v12i3.55170>
- Hyland, K. (1998). *Hedging in Scientific Research Articles*. John Benjamins Publishing.
- Hyland, K. (2004). Disciplinary interactions: Metadiscourse in L2 postgraduate writing. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 13, 133-151.
- Hyland, K. (2005). *Metadiscourse: Exploring Interaction in Writing*. Continuum.
- Hyland, K. (2005). Stance and engagement: A model of interaction in academic discourse. *Discourse Studies*, 7, 173-192.
- Hyland, K. (2006). *English for academic purposes*. Routledge.
- Hyland, K. (2007). Genre pedagogy: Language, literacy and L2 writing instruction. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 16(3), 148-164.
- Hyland, K., & Tse, P. (2005). Evaluative that construction: Signaling stance in research abstract. *Functions of Language*, 12(1), 39-63.
- instruction, In S. Graham, C. A. MacArthur, & M. Hebert (Eds.), *Best practices in writing instruction*, Third Edition (pp. 135-161). Guilford Press.
- Istianah, A. & Suhandano, S. (2022). Appraisal patterns used on the kalimantan tourism website: An ecolinguistics perspective. *Cogent Arts & Humanities*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311983.2022.2146928>
- Joyce, H., & Feez, S. (2012). *Text-based language literacy education: Programming and methodology*. Phoenix Education Pty Ltd.

- Kartika-Ningsih, H., & Gunawan, W. (2019). Recontextualisation of Genre-based Pedagogy: The case of Indonesian EFL classrooms. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 9(2). <https://doi.org/10.17509/ijal.v9i2.20231>
- Kindenberg, B. (2021). Fixed and flexible, correct and wise: A case of genre-based content-area writing. *Linguist. Educ.* 64:100938. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.linged.2021.100938>
- Koay, J. (2019). How Do Writers Use Language to Gain Readers' Trust?. In: Persuasion in Self-improvement Books. *Postdisciplinary Studies in Discourse*. Palgrave Pivot, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-12149-5_5
- Kress, G. (2010). *Multimodality: A social semiotic approach to contemporary communication*. Routledge.
- Lai, J. (2010). Interpersonal functions of EFL teachers' evaluative discourse. *International Education Studies*, 3, 167-173. [10.5539/ies.v3n2p167](https://doi.org/10.5539/ies.v3n2p167)
- Lam, S. L., & Crosthwaite, P. (2018). APPRAISAL resources in L1 and L2 argumentative essays: A contrastive learner corpus-informed study of evaluative stance. *Journal of Corpora and Discourse Studies*, 1(0), 8-35. <https://doi.org/10.18573/jcads.1>
- Lancaster, Z. (2011). Interpersonal stance in L1 and L2 students' argumentative writing in economics: Implications for faculty development in WAC/WID programs. *Across the Disciplines*, 8(4). Retrieved from <http://wac.colostate.edu/atd/ell/lancaster.cfm>
- Lancaster, Z. (2014). Exploring valued patterns of stance in upper-level student writing in the disciplines. *Written Communication*, 31(1), 27-57. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0741088313515170>
- Lee, D. (2017). Genres, registers, text types, domains, and styles. *Journal of Language and Linguistics*, 16(3), 1-15.
- Lee, J. J., & Deakin, L. (2016). Interactions in L1 and L2 undergraduate student writing: Interactional metadiscourse in successful and less-successful argumentative essays. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 33, 21-34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2016.06.004>
- Lee, S. (2010). Attribution in high-and low-graded persuasive essays by tertiary students. *Functions of Language*. 17. 181-206. <https://doi.org/10.1075/fof.17.2.02lee>
- Lee, S. (2015). Evaluative Stances in Persuasive Essays by Undergraduate Students: Focusing on Appreciation Resources. *Text & Talk*, 35(1), 49-76. <https://doi.org/10.1515/text-2014-0029>
- Lee, S. (2015). Evaluative Stances in Persuasive Essays by Undergraduate Students: Focusing on Appreciation Resources. *Text & Talk*, 35(1), 49-76. <https://doi.org/10.1515/text-2014-0029>
- Lee, S. H. (2006). The use of interpersonal resource in argumentative/persuasive essays by East-Asian ESL and Australian tertiary students. Faculty of Education and Social Work, University of Sydney.
- Lee, S. H. (2015). Attitude in undergraduate persuasive essays. Charles Strurt University, 23(3). 43-58. Retrieved from

- http://www.amepre.mq.edu.au/docs/prospect_journal/volume_23_no_3/23_3_Art_4.pdf.
- Lemke, J. L. (1998). Resources for attitudinal meaning: Evaluative orientations in text semantics. *Function of Language*, 5(1), 33-56. <https://doi.org/10.1075/fof.5.1.03lem>
- Lestari, A., Srisudarso, M., & Hakim, P. K., (2023). Exploring secondary students' attitude in Instagram- assisted descriptive writing: An appraisal analysis framework. *IDEAS: Journal on English Language Teaching and Learning, Linguistics and Literature*, 11(2). <https://doi.org/10.24256/ideas.v11i2.4044>
- Liu, C & Chen, M. (2022) A genre-based approach in the secondary school English writing class: Voices from student-teachers in the teaching practicum. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.992360>
- Liu, F., & Stapleton, P. (2014). Counterargumentation and the cultivation of critical thinking in argumentative writing: Investigating washback from a high-stakes test. *System*, 45, 117-128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2014.05.005>
- Liu, S., & Zhang, J. (2021). Using metadiscourse to enhance persuasiveness in corporate press releases: A corpus-based study. *Sage Open*, 11(3). <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211032165>
- Liu, X. (2013). Evaluation in Chinese university EFL students' English argumentative writing: An Appraisal study. *Electronic Journal of Foreign Language Teaching*, 10(1), 40-53.
- Liu, X., & Thompson, P. (2009). Attitude in students' argumentative writing: a contrastive perspective. In L. J. O'Brien & D. S. Giannoni (Eds), *Language studies working papers*, 1, 3-15. University of Reading.
- Lock, G. (1996). *Functional English grammar: An introduction for second language teachers*. Cambridge University Press.
- Lohman, Laura. (2021). Evaluation of university teaching as sound performance appraisal. *Studies In Educational Evaluation*, 70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2021.101008>
- Love, K., & Humphrey, S. A. (2012). Multi-level language toolkit for the Australian Curriculum: English. *The Australian Journal of Language and Literacy*, 35, 173–191. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03651881>
- Macken-Horarik, M., Love, K., Sandiford, C., & Unsworth, L. (2017). *Functional Grammatics: Re-conceptualizing Knowledge about Language and Image for School English* (1st ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315669731>
- Magfiroh, I., Herdiawan, R. D., & Rofi'i, A. (2021). An appraisal analysis of narrative text from the 11th grade English textbook. *Applied Linguistics, Linguistics, and Literature (ALLURE) Journal*. 1 (1). <https://doi.org/10.26877/allure.v1i1.9270>
- Maknun, Lu'Luil. (2019). The impact of scientific and genre-based approach in English reading comprehension teaching. *JELE (Journal of English Language and Education)*, 5, 32. <https://doi.org/10.26486/jele.v5i1.834>
- Martin, J. R. & White, P. R. R. (2005). *The Language of Evaluation: Appraisal in English*. Palgrave Macmillan.

- Martin, J. R. (1985). Systemic functional linguistics. *Journal of Language and Linguistics*, 4(1), 1–15.
- Martin, J. R. (1992). *English Text: system and structure*. Benjamins
- Martin, J. R. (1992). Macroproposals: Meaning by degree. In W. A. Mann, & S. A. Thompson, *Discourse Description: Diverse analysis of fund rising text*. Benjamins
- Martin, J. R. (1995). Interpersonal meaning, persuasion and public discourse: Packing semiotic punch. *Australian Journal of Linguistics*, 15(1), 33-67.
- Martin, J. R. (1997). Analysing genre: Functional parameters. In F. Christie, & J. R. Martin, *Genre and Institutions: Social Processes in workplace and school*. Cassel.
- Martin, J. R. (2000). Beyond exchange: Appraisal system in English. In S. Hunston, & G. Thompson, *Evaluation in texts: Authorial stance and the construction of discourse*. Oxford University Press.
- Martin, J. R. (2001). Fair trade: Negotiating meaning in multimodal texts. In P. Coppock, *The semiotics of writing: Transdisciplinary perspectives on the technology of writing* (pp.311-338).
- Martin, J. R. (2002). Meaning beyond clause: SFL perspectives. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 22, 52-74.
- Martin, J. R. (2010). Semantic variation – modelling realisation, instantiation and individuation in social semiosis. In M. Bednarek & J. R. Martin (Eds.), *New discourse on language: Functional perspectives on multimodality, identity, and affiliation* (pp. 1-34). Continuum.
- Martin, J. R. (2014). Evolving systemic functional linguistics: beyond the clause. *Functional Linguist.* 1, 3. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2196-419X-1-3>
- Martin, J. R. (2019). Discourse semantics. In D. Schönthal, G. Thompson, L. Fontaine, & W. L. Bowcher (Eds.), *The cambridge handbook of systemic functional linguistics* (pp. 358-381). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316337936.016>
- Martin, J. R., & Rose, D. (2003). *Working with discourse. Meaning beyond the clause*. Continuum.
- Martin, J. R., & Rose, D. (2007). *Genre relations: Mapping culture*. Equinox Publishing Ltd.
- Martin, J. R., & Rose, D. (2007). *Working with discourse. Meaning beyond the clause* (2nd ed.). Continuum.
- Martin, J. R., & Rose, D. (2008). *Genre relations: mapping culture*. Equinox Pub.
- Martin, J. R., & Veel, R. (Eds.). (1998). *Reading science: Critical and functional perspectives on discourses of science*. Routledge.
- Martin, J. R., Maton, K., Doran, Y. (2020). *Accessing academic discourse. Systemic functional linguistics and legitimation code theory*. Routledge.
- Martin, J. R., Matthiessen, C. M. I. M., & Painter, C. (1997). *Working with functional grammar*. Edward Arnold.
- Martin, J. R., Matthiessen, C. M. I. M., & Painter, C. (2010). *Deploying functional grammar*. Commercial Press.

- Martin, J. R., Wang, P., & Zhu, Y. (2013). *Systemic functional grammar: A next step into the theory–axial relations*. Higher Education Press.
- Mastura, D. M., Arsyad, S., & Koto, I. (2020). The effect of genre-based approach on students' writing ability of recount text. *JOALL (Journal of Applied Linguistics and Literature)*, 5(1), 88–93. <https://doi.org/10.33369/joall.v5i1.9403>
- Matthiessen, C. (1995). THEME as an enabling resource in ideational “knowledge” construction. In Mohsen Ghadessy (ed.), *Thematic developments in English texts* (pp. 20-55). Pinter.
- Matthiessen, C. M. I. M., Wang, B., Ma, Y., & Mwinlaaru, I. N. (2022). *Systemic functional insights on language and linguistics*. Springer.
- Matthiessen, C., & Kashyap, A. (2014). The construal of space in different registers: An exploratory study. *Language Sciences*, 45, 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.langsci.2014.04.001>
- Mbau, A. T., & Sugeng, B. (2019). Critical literacy for ELT in Indonesia: What EFL teachers should be aware of. *Journal of English Language Teaching and Linguistics*, 4(2), 143. <https://doi.org/10.21462/jeltl.v4i2.255>
- Melissourgou, M. N., & Frantzi, K. T. (2017). Genre identification based on SFL principles: The representation of text types and genres in English language teaching material. *Corpus Pragmatics*, 1, 373–392. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41701-017-0013-z>
- Mori, M. (2017). Using the Appraisal framework to analyze source use in essays: a case study of engagement and dialogism in two undergraduate students' writing. *Functional Linguist.* 4, 11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40554-017-0046-4>
- Mustika, R., Nurdin, N. E., & Sakina, R. (2021). Theme and thematic progression in students' recount texts: A systemic functional linguistic perspective. *Proceedings of the First International Conference on Science, Technology, Engineering and Industrial Revolution (ICSTEIR 2020)* (pp. 196-203). Atlantis-Press. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.210312.030>
- Myskow, G. (2018). A framework for analyzing evaluative language in historical discourse. *Functions of Language*, 25 (3), 335-362. <https://doi.org/10.1075/fof.15053.mys>
- Myskow, G., & Ono, M. (2018). A matter of facts: L2 writers' use of evidence and evaluation in biographical essays. *Journal of Second Language Writing*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2018.08.002>
- Ngo, T., Unsworth, L. Reworking the appraisal framework in ESL research: refining attitude resources. *Functional Linguist.* 2, 1 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40554-015-0013-x>
- Nippold, M. A., Ward-Lonergan, J. M., & Fanning, J. L. (2005). Persuasive writing in children, adolescents, and adults: A study of syntactic, semantic, and pragmatic development. *Language, Speech and Hearing Services in Schools*, 36, 125–138
- O'Dowd, E. (2010) *The development of linguistic complexity: a functional continuum*. Cambridge University Press.
- Oteiza, T. (2017). *The appraisal framework and discourse analysis*. Routledge.

- Painter, C., Martin, J. R., & Unsworth, L. (2012). *Reading visual narratives: Image analysis of children's picture books*. Equinox Pub.
- Pasaribu, T. (2020). Appraisal Framework in analyzing learners' attitudinal resources on performing of mice and men. *Indonesian Journal of EFL and Linguistics*, 5. <https://doi.org/10.21462/ijefl.v5i1.237>
- Pemberton, C. (2020). In my opinion: Modality in Japanese EFL learners' argumentative essays. *Asia Pacific Journal of Corpus Research*, 1(2), 57-72.
- Pessoa, S., Mitchell, T. D., & Miller, R. T. (2017). Emergent arguments: A functional approach to analyzing student challenges with the argument genre. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 38, 42-55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2017.10.013>
- Petchprasert, A. (2021). Utilizing an automated tool analysis to evaluate EFL students' writing performances. *Asian. J. Second. Foreign. Lang. Educ.* 6, 1. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40862-020-00107-w>
- Rahimivand, M., & Kuhi, D. (2014). An exploration of discursual construction of identity in academic writing. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 98. 1492-1501. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.03.570>
- Rantsudu, B. & Bartlett, T. (2024). The role of deontic modality in the construction and mitigation of evaluation in hard news reporting. *Journal of World Languages*, 10(1), 51-75. <https://doi.org/10.1515/jwl-2023-0059>
- Rose, D., & Martin, J. R. (2012). *Learning to write, reading to learn: Genre, knowledge, and pedagogy in the Sydney school*. WAC Clearinghouse.
- Rothery, J. (1994). Exploring literacy in school English. In R. Hasan & G. Williams (Eds.), *Literacy in society* (pp. 143-168). Longman.
- Rothery, J. (1996). Making changes: Developing an academic writing curriculum for a faculty of applied science. In C. N. Candlin & K. Hyland (Eds.), *Writing: Texts, processes, and practices* (pp. 69-102). Longman.
- Rothery, J., & Stenglin, R. (2000). Interpreting literature: The role of Appraisal. In L. Unsworth (Ed.), *Researching language in schools and communities* (pp. 222-244). Cassell.
- Salazar, D., & Verdaguer, I. (2009). Polysemous verbs and modality in native and non-native argumentative writing: A corpus-based study. *International Journal of English Studies*, Vol, 9, 209-219.
- Shi, L., Baker, A., & Chen, H. (2019). Chinese EFL teachers' cognition about the effectiveness of genre pedagogy: A case study. *RELC Journal*, 50(2), 314-332. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0033688217716506>
- Silverman, D. (2019). *Doing qualitative research*. Sage Publications.
- Smith, J. A. (2019). *Qualitative psychology: A practical guide to research methods*. Sage Publications.
- Suharyadi., Widiati, U., & Basthomi, Y. (2021). Exploring EFL teachers' new pedagogical content knowledge of genre-based approach. *English Review: Journal of English Education*, 10(1), pp. 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.25134/erjee.v10i1.5348>

- Sujatna, E. T. S., & Kuswoyo, H. (2023). An appraisal perspective on students' use of attitudinal resources in university EFL academic oral presentations. *Cogent Arts & Humanities*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311983.2023.2195728>
- Sun, S., & Crosthwaite, P. (2022). "The findings might not be generalizable": Investigating negation in the limitations sections of Ph.D. theses across disciplines. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2022.101155>
- Swain, E. (2007). Constructing an effective 'voice' in academic discussion writing: An appraisal theory perspective. In A. McCabe, M. O'Donnell & R. Whittaker (Eds.). *Advances in language and education* (pp. 166–184). Bloomsbury Academic. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5040/9781474212045.ch-008>
- Swain, Elizabeth. 2007.. In Anne McCabe, Mick O'Donnell and Rachel Whittaker eds., 166–184.
- Swales, J. M. (1990). *Genre analysis: English in academic and research settings*. Cambridge University Press.
- Swales, J. M., & Feak, C. B. (2012). *Academic writing for graduate students: Essential tasks and skills*. Michigan University Press. <https://doi.org/10.3998/mpub.2173936>
- Tardy, C. M. (2006). Researching first and second language genre learning: A comparative review and a look ahead. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 15. 79-101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2006.04.003>
- Tardy, C. M. (2011). The history and future of genre in second language writing. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 20(1). 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2010.12.004>
- Thompson, G. (2013). *Introducing functional grammar* (3rd ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203431474>
- Thompson, J. B., & Johnson, K. (2023). Citation and referencing practices in argumentative essay writing by upper-intermediate EFL students. *Studia Anglica Resoviensia*, 12(1), 1-15.
- Thornbury, S., & Slade, D. (2006). *Conversation: From description to pedagogy*. Cambridge University Press.
- Toulmin, S. E. (1958). *The use of argument*. Cambridge University Press.
- Travers, M. (2001). *Qualitative research through case studies*. Sage.
- Valerdi Zárata, J. (2023). Evaluative language in undergraduate academic writing: expressions of attitude as sources of text effectiveness in English as a Foreign Language. *International Review of Applied Linguistics in Language Teaching*. <https://doi.org/10.1515/iral-2023-0103>
- Valerdi, J. (2021). Exploring new perspectives and degrees of delicacy in appraisal studies: An analysis of engagement resources in academic discourse in Spanish. In María Brisk & Schleppegrell Mary (eds.), *Language in action: SFL theory across contexts*, 119–148. Sheffield: Equinox
- White, P. (1998). *Telling media tales: The news story as rhetoric*. PhD Thesis, University of Sydney, Department of Linguistic.
- White, P. (2002). *The language of Attitude, arguability and interpersonal positioning*, Retrieved from <http://www.grammatics.com/appraisal/index.html>

- White, P. (2006). Evaluative semantics and ideological positioning in journalistic discourse: A new framework for analysis. In Inger Lassen, Jeanne Strunck & Torben Vestergaard (eds.), *Mediating ideology in text and image: Ten critical studies*, 37–67. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- White, P. R. R. (2003). Beyond modality and hedging: A dialogic view of the language of intersubjective stance. *Text & Talk*, 23(2), 259–284. <https://doi.org/10.1515/text.2003.011>
- White, P. R. R. (2015) The Language of Attitude, Arguability and Interpersonal Positioning. Available at: <http://www.grammatics.com/appraisal/index.html>
- White, Peter R.R. (2003). An Introductory Course in Appraisal Analysis. Retrieved March 16, 2009, from <http://www.grammatics.com/appraisal>
- Whittaker, R., & McCabe, A. (2020). Expressing evaluation across disciplines in primary and secondary CLIL writing: a longitudinal study. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, 26(3), 345–362. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13670050.2020.1798869>
- Widodo, H. P. (2006). Designing a genre-based lesson plan for an academic writing course. *English Teaching: Practice and Critique*, 5(3), 173–199. <http://education.waikato.ac.nz/research/files/etpc/2006v5n3nar2.pdf>
- Wihadi, M., Sujatna, E. T. S., Soeriasoemantri, Y., & Karlieni, E. (2018). Student-writers' claims in hortatory argumentative essays: An appraisal study in convenience writing. *LLT Journal: A Journal on Language and Language Teaching*, 21(2), 169–174. <https://doi.org/10.24071/llt.v21i2.938>
- Wingate, U. (2012). Using academic literacies and genre-based models for academic writing instruction: A "Literacy" journey. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 11, 26–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2011.11.006>
- Worden, D. (2018). Balancing stability and flexibility in genre-based writing instruction: A case study of a pre-service L2 writing teacher. *J. Second Lang. Writ.* 42, 44–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2018.09.003>
- Yonata, F., & Prastikawati, E. F. (2022). Arguing dialogically: Engagement resources used in an Indonesian senior secondary EFL textbook. *Journal of Language and Literature*, 16(2). <https://doi.org/10.15294/lc.v16i2.34133>
- Yuliana, D., & Gandana, I. (2018). Writers' voice and engagement strategies in students' analytical exposition texts. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 7, 613–620. <https://doi.org/10.17509/ijal.v7i3.9812>
- Zárate, V. J. (2023). Evaluative language in undergraduate academic writing: expressions of attitude as sources of text effectiveness in English as a Foreign Language. *International Review of Applied Linguistics in Language Teaching*. <https://doi.org/10.1515/iral-2023-0103>
- Zhang, W., & Cheung, Y. L. (2018). The construction of authorial voice in writing research articles: A corpus-based study from an APPRAISAL theory perspective. *International Journal of English Studies*, 18(2), 53–75. <https://doi.org/10.6018/ijes/2018/2/320261>