

**PENINGKATAN KEMAMPUAN *COMPUTATIONAL THINKING* DAN
PENCAPAIAN *SELF-EFFICACY* SISWA SMP MELALUI
MODEL *PROBLEM-BASED LEARNING***

TESIS

Diajukan untuk memenuhi sebagian syarat memperoleh gelar
Magister Pendidikan Program Studi Pendidikan Matematika



Oleh:

Ahmad Mukhibin

NIM. 2208172

**PROGRAM STUDI PENDIDIKAN MATEMATIKA
FAKULTAS PENDIDIKAN MATEMATIKA DAN ILMU PENGETAHUAN ALAM
UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN INDONESIA**

2024

LEMBAR HAK CIPTA

**PENINGKATAN KEMAMPUAN *COMPUTATIONAL THINKING* DAN
PENCAPAIAN *SELF-EFFICACY* SISWA SMP MELALUI
MODEL *PROBLEM-BASED LEARNING***

Oleh:

Ahmad Mukhibin

S.Pd Institut Agama Islam Negeri Salatiga, 2019

Sebuah Tesis yang diajukan untuk memenuhi salah satu syarat memperoleh gelar
Magister Pendidikan (M.Pd.) pada Fakultas Pendidikan Matematika dan
Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam

© Ahmad Mukhibin 2024

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia

Agustus 2024

Hak Cipta dilindungi undang-undang

Tesis ini tidak boleh diperbanyak seluruhnya atau sebagian, dengan dicetak ulang
atau difotokopi, atau cara lainnya tanpa izin dari penulis

LEMBAR PENGESAHAN TESIS

**PENINGKATAN KEMAMPUAN *COMPUTATIONAL THINKING* DAN
PENCAPAIAN *SELF-EFFICACY* SISWA SMP MELALUI
*MODEL PROBLEM-BASED LEARNING***

Oleh:

**Ahmad Mukhibin
NIM. 2208172**

Disetujui dan disahkan oleh:

Pembimbing 1,



**Prof. Dr. H. Tatang Herman, M. Ed.
NIP. 19621011 199101 1 001**

Pembimbing 2,



**Dr. H. Endang Cahya Mulyaning A, M. Si.
NIP. 19650622 199001 1 001**

Mengetahui,
Ketua Program Studi Pendidikan Matematika



**Prof. Al Jupri, S.Pd., M.Sc., Ph.D.
NIP. 19820510 200501 1 002**

LEMBAR PERNYATAAN KEASLIAN

Dengan ini saya menyatakan bahwa tesis dengan judul “**Peningkatan Kemampuan *Computational Thinking* dan Pencapaian *Self-Efficacy* Siswa SMP Melalui Model *Problem-Based Learning***” ini beserta seluruh isinya adalah benar karya saya sendiri. Saya tidak melakukan penjiplakan atau pengutipan dengan cara-cara yang tidak sesuai dengan etika ilmu yang berlaku dalam masyarakat keilmuan. Atas pernyataan ini, saya siap menanggung risiko/sanksi apabila di kemudian hari ditemukan adanya pelanggaran etika keilmuan atau klaim dari pihak lain terhadap keaslian karya saya ini.

Bandung, Agustus 2024
Yang membuat pernyataan,

Ahmad Mukhibin

KATA PENGANTAR

Puji syukur penulis panjatkan kehadirat Allah Subhanahu wa Ta'ala yang telah melimpahkan rahmat dan hidayah-Nya sehingga penulis dapat menyelesaikan tesis dengan judul “Peningkatan kemampuan *computational thinking* dan pencapaian *self-efficacy* siswa SMP melalui model *problem-based learning*” sebagai salah satu syarat memperoleh gelar magister pendidikan. Tesis ini didedikasikan untuk para guru dan peneliti bidang pendidikan matematika untuk menambah pengetahuan terkait penggunaan model *problem-based learning* dalam pembelajaran matematika. Besar harapan penulis agar tesis ini dapat memberikan manfaat, baik secara teoritis maupun praktis, dalam upaya meningkatkan kemampuan *computational thinking* dan skala *self-efficacy* siswa melalui penerapan model *problem-based learning*.

Penulisan dan penelitian tesis ini tidak terlepas dari bantuan dan dukungan dari berbagai pihak. Oleh karena itu, penulis mengucapkan terima kasih kepada seluruh pihak yang telah berkontribusi dalam penyelesaian tesis ini. Semoga bantuan yang telah diberikan menjadi amal ibadah di sisi-Nya dan mendapatkan balasan dengan kebaikan yang berlipat ganda.

Penulis menyadari bahwa dalam penyusunan tesis ini masih terdapat berbagai kekurangan. Oleh karena itu, kritik dan saran yang membangun sangat penulis harapkan. Semoga Allah SWT senantiasa memberkahi kita semua dalam setiap langkah perjalanan kita. Amin

Bandung, Agustus 2024

Penulis

Ahmad Mukhibin

UCAPAN TERIMA KASIH

Alhamdulillah, segala puji dan syukur penulis panjatkan kepada Allah SWT atas rahmat, karunia, dan bimbingan-Nya sehingga tesis ini dapat diselesaikan dengan baik. Tidak lupa shalawat dan salam penulis haturkan kepada junjungan kita Nabi Muhammad SAW yang telah membawa kita dari alam kegelapan menuju cahaya ilmu pengetahuan. Tesis ini tidak akan terwujud tanpa dukungan, bantuan, dan doa dari berbagai pihak yang dengan tulus memberikan waktu, tenaga, dan pikiran mereka. Oleh karena itu, penulis ingin menyampaikan rasa terima kasih yang sebesar-besarnya kepada:

1. Prof. Dr. H. Tatang Herman, M.Ed., selaku dosen pembimbing akademik, pembimbing I, sekaligus dekan Fakultas Pendidikan Matematika dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam (FPMIPA) Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia (UPI) yang telah meluangkan waktunya untuk memberikan bimbingan, ide, dukungan, dan arahan yang terbaik kepada penulis selama penyusunan tesis ini. Terima kasih atas kesabaran dan kearifan Bapak dalam membimbing penulis.
2. Dr. H. Endang Cahya Mulyaning A, M.Si., selaku pembimbing II, yang telah memberikan arahan, saran, motivasi, dan bersedia meluangkan waktu untuk membimbing penulis dalam penyusunan tesis ini.
3. Prof. Al Jupri, S.Pd., M.Sc., Ph.D., selaku Ketua Program Studi Pendidikan Matematika, yang telah memudahkan dan memfasilitasi penulis dalam melengkapi administrasi terkait penyelesaian tesis ini.
4. Seluruh dosen Program Studi Pendidikan Matematika FPMIPA UPI, yang telah memberikan ilmu pengetahuan, bimbingan, dan dukungan selama masa studi penulis.
5. Orang tua tercinta, Bapak Masrokan (Alm.) dan Ibu Muawanah yang selalu memberikan doa, cinta, dukungan, dan pengorbanan tanpa henti. Terima kasih atas segala kasih sayang dan dorongan yang tak ternilai harganya.
6. Adik Bashirotn Nafidhoh yang senantiasa mendoakan dan memberikan dukungan, serta menjadi salah satu penyemangat.
7. Nur Alifah, M.Pd. yang telah mendukung, mendoakan, dan menjadi partner penulis dalam berbagai urusan.

8. Lembaga Pengelola Dana Pendidikan (LPDP) yang telah memberikan dukungan secara finansial sehingga penulis dapat menyelesaikan studi magister di Program Studi Pendidikan Matematika Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia.
9. Keluarga Bapak Mishbah Khoiruddin Zuhri, M.A., dan Ibu Anggun Zuhaida, M.Pd., yang telah bersedia menjadi “rumah” bagi penulis selama di perantauan.
10. Ahmad Lutfi, Husnul Khatimah Rusyid, Keken Wulansari, Faza Lutfiyana, Arifin Septiyanto, dan Eka Wulan Safriani. Terima kasih telah menjadi *support system* selama mengerjakan tesis ini. Serta keluarga besar mahasiswa Jawa Tengah yang di UPI yang tidak bisa penulis sebutkan satu per satu.
11. Sahabat dan teman penulis selama di Bandung yang tidak bisa penulis sebutkan satu per satu. Terima kasih telah memberikan dorongan semangat.
12. Keluarga besar SMP Bumi Cendekia Yogyakarta, khususnya Bapak Hilman Firdaus, S.Pd.Si. Gr. dan Ibu Safarinda Tri Handayani, S.Pd. yang telah banyak membantu proses penelitian tesis ini.

Semoga segala kebaikan yang telah diberikan oleh seluruh pihak kepada penulis menjadi amal ibadah di sisi-Nya dan mendapat balasan yang berlipat ganda.

ABSTRAK

Ahmad Mukhibin (2208172). **Peningkatan Kemampuan *Computational Thinking* dan Pencapaian *Self-Efficacy* Siswa SMP Melalui Model *Problem-Based Learning***

Kemampuan *computational thinking* adalah keterampilan untuk memecahkan masalah kompleks menjadi bagian-bagian lebih kecil, mengenali pola, membuat abstraksi, dan merancang algoritma yang sistematis untuk menyelesaikannya. Faktanya, banyak siswa yang masih mengalami kesulitan dalam melakukan dekomposisi saat memahami fakta dan konsep matematika, kesulitan dalam menemukan pola yang terkait dengan prinsip matematika, dan kesulitan membuat algoritma ketika menghadapi kesulitan dalam memahami prosedur pemecahan masalah. Dalam proses pemecahan masalah ini, siswa perlu memiliki keyakinan diri terhadap kemampuan yang dimilikinya yang disebut *self-efficacy*. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mengkaji perbedaan peningkatan kemampuan *computational thinking* dan pencapaian *self-efficacy* siswa sekolah menengah pertama melalui model *problem-based learning* ditinjau dari keseluruhan dan kemampuan awal matematis. Penelitian ini merupakan penelitian kuasi eksperimen dengan menggunakan *pretest-posttest control group design*. Populasi penelitian terdiri dari seluruh siswa kelas VIII di salah satu sekolah menengah pertama swasta di Kabupaten Sleman, Yogyakarta. Sedangkan sampel penelitian terdiri 45 siswa yang berasal dua kelas. Instrumen yang digunakan adalah tes kemampuan *computational thinking* dan angket skala *self-efficacy*. Analisis data dalam penelitian ini menggunakan analisis parametrik dan non-parametrik menyesuaikan hasil uji prasyarat dari masing-masing data. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa (1) terdapat perbedaan yang signifikan antara peningkatan kemampuan *computational thinking* siswa yang memperoleh pembelajaran dengan menggunakan model *problem-based learning* dan siswa yang memperoleh pembelajaran konvensional ditinjau secara keseluruhan dan kemampuan awal matematis, (2) tidak terdapat perbedaan peningkatan kemampuan *computational thinking* yang signifikan pada siswa yang memperoleh pembelajaran dengan menggunakan model *problem-based learning* ditinjau dari kemampuan awal matematis, (3) tidak terdapat perbedaan yang signifikan antara pencapaian *self-efficacy* siswa yang memperoleh pembelajaran dengan menggunakan model *problem-based learning* dan siswa yang memperoleh pembelajaran konvensional ditinjau secara keseluruhan dan kemampuan awal matematis, (4) terdapat perbedaan pencapaian *self-efficacy* yang signifikan pada siswa yang memperoleh pembelajaran dengan menggunakan model *problem-based learning* ditinjau dari kemampuan awal matematis, dan (5) terdapat hubungan korelasional yang signifikan antara kemampuan *computational thinking* dan *self-efficacy* matematis siswa.

Kata Kunci: Kemampuan *Computational Thinking*, *Self-Efficacy*, Model *Problem-based Learning*, Kemampuan Awal Matematis

ABSTRACT

Ahmad Mukhibin (2208172). **The Improvement of Computational Thinking Skill and Self-Efficacy Achievement of Junior High School Students through Problem-Based Learning Model**

Computational thinking skill is the skill to break down complex problems into smaller parts, recognize patterns, make abstractions, and design systematic algorithms to solve them. In fact, many students still have difficulty in decomposing when understanding mathematical facts and concepts, difficulty in finding patterns related to mathematical principles, and difficulty in creating algorithms when facing difficulties in understanding problem solving procedures. In this problem-solving process, students need to have confidence in their abilities called self-efficacy. This study aims to examine the differences in the improvement of computational thinking skill and self-efficacy achievement of junior high school students through problem-based learning model in terms of overall and initial mathematical ability. This research is a quasi-experimental research using pretest-posttest control group design. The study population consisted of all VIII grade students in one of the private junior high schools in Sleman Regency, Yogyakarta. While the research sample consisted of 45 students from two classes. The instruments used were computational thinking ability test and self-efficacy scale questionnaire. Data analysis in this study used parametric and non-parametric analysis according to the prerequisite test results of each data. The results showed that (1) there is a significant difference between the improvement of computational thinking ability of students who followed learning by using problem-based learning model and students who followed conventional learning in terms of overall and initial mathematical ability, (2) there is no significant difference in the improvement of computational thinking ability of students who followed learning by using problem-based learning model reviewed by initial mathematical ability, (3) there is no significant difference between the achievement of self-efficacy of students who followed learning by using problem-based learning model and students who followed conventional learning in terms of overall and initial mathematical ability, (4) there is a significant difference in the achievement of self-efficacy of students who followed learning by using problem-based learning model reviewed by initial mathematical ability, and (5) there is a significant correlation between computational thinking ability and mathematical self-efficacy of students.

Keywords: Computational Thinking Ability, Self-efficacy, Problem-based Learning Model, Initial Mathematical Ability,

DAFTAR ISI

HALAMAN SAMPUL	i
LEMBAR HAK CIPTA	ii
LEMBAR PENGESAHAN TESIS	iii
LEMBAR PERNYATAAN KEASLIAN	iv
KATA PENGANTAR.....	v
UCAPAN TERIMA KASIH	vi
ABSTRAK	viii
ABSTRACT	ix
DAFTAR ISI	x
DAFTAR TABEL.....	xiii
DAFTAR GAMBAR	xvi
DAFTAR LAMPIRAN	xvii
BAB I PENDAHULUAN	1
1.1 Latar Belakang Masalah	1
1.2 Rumusan Masalah Penelitian.....	9
1.3 Tujuan Penelitian	10
1.4 Manfaat Penelitian.....	10
1.5 Definisi Operasional	11
1.6 Struktur Organisasi Tesis	13
BAB II KAJIAN PUSTAKA	15
2.1 Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i>	15
2.2 <i>Self-Efficacy</i>	20
2.3 Model <i>Problem-based Learning</i>	25
2.4 Kemampuan Awal Matematis.....	30
2.5 Penelitian Terdahulu yang Relevan	32
2.6 Kerangka Berfikir	35
2.7 Hipotesis Penelitian	36
BAB III METODE PENELITIAN.....	37
3.1 Desain Penelitian	37
3.2 Populasi dan Sampel.....	38

3.3 Variabel Penelitian.....	39
3.4 Teknik Pengumpulan Data.....	39
3.5 Instrumen Penelitian.....	40
3.6 Analisis Instrumen Penelitian.....	42
3.7 Prosedur Penelitian.....	46
3.8 Teknik Analisis Data.....	48
BAB IV HASIL DAN PEMBAHASAN.....	59
4.1 Hasil Penelitian.....	59
4.1.1 Analisis Deskriptif.....	60
4.1.1.1 Analisis Deskriptif Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Secara Keseluruhan.....	61
4.1.1.2 Analisis Deskriptif Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Ditinjau dari Kemampuan Awal Matematis (KAM).....	71
4.1.1.3 Analisis Deskriptif <i>Self-Efficacy</i> Siswa.....	75
4.1.1.4 Analisis Deskriptif <i>Self-Efficacy</i> Siswa Ditinjau dari Kemampuan Awal Matematis.....	77
4.1.2 Analisis Inferensial.....	78
4.1.2.1 Analisis Inferensial Peningkatan Kemampuan <i>Computational</i> <i>Thinking</i> Ditinjau Secara Keseluruhan dan Kemampuan Awal Matematis.....	80
4.1.2.2 Analisis Inferensial Peningkatan Kemampuan <i>Computational</i> <i>Thinking</i> Siswa Kelas <i>Problem-based Learning</i> Ditinjau dari Kemampuan Awal Matematis.....	91
4.1.2.3 Analisis Inferensial Pencapaian <i>Self-Efficacy</i> Ditinjau Secara Keseluruhan dan Kemampuan Awal Matematis.....	95
4.1.2.4 Analisis Inferensial Pencapaian <i>Self-Efficacy</i> Siswa Kelas <i>Problem-based Learning</i> Ditinjau dari Kemampuan Awal Matematis.....	102
4.1.2.5 Analisis Inferensial Hubungan Korelasional Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> dan <i>Self-Efficacy</i>	106

4.2 Pembahasan	108
4.2.1 Peningkatan Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Ditinjau Secara Keseluruhan dan Kemampuan Awal Matematis	113
4.2.2 Peningkatan Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Siswa Kelas <i>Problem-based Learning</i> Ditinjau dari Kemampuan Awal Matematis	118
4.2.3 Pencapaian <i>Self-Efficacy</i> Ditinjau Secara Keseluruhan dan Kemampuan Awal Matematis	121
4.2.4 Pencapaian <i>Self-Efficacy</i> Siswa Kelas <i>Problem-based Learning</i> Ditinjau dari Kemampuan Awal Matematis	125
4.2.5 Hubungan Korelasional Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> dan Skala <i>Self-Efficacy</i>	128
BAB V KESIMPULAN, IMPLIKASI, DAN REKOMENDASI	131
5.1 Kesimpulan.....	131
5.2 Implikasi	132
5.3 Rekomendasi	134
DAFTAR PUSTAKA	135

DAFTAR TABEL

Tabel 2.1 Deskripsi Indikator Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i>	20
Tabel 2.2 Langkah-langkah Model <i>Problem-based Learning</i>	27
Tabel 2.3 Kategori Kemampuan Awal Matematis	31
Tabel 3.1 Indikator Penilaian Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i>	40
Tabel 3.2 Indikator Skala <i>Self-Efficacy</i>	41
Tabel 3.3 Interpretasi Koefisien Validitas	43
Tabel 3.4 Data Hasil Uji Validitas Tes Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i>	43
Tabel 3.5 Data Hasil Uji Validitas Skala <i>Self-Efficacy</i>	44
Tabel 3.6 Kategori Koefisien Reliabilitas	45
Tabel 3.7 Data Hasil Uji Reliabilitas Tes Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i>	45
Tabel 3.8 Data Hasil Uji Reliabilitas Skala <i>Self-Efficacy</i>	46
Tabel 3.9 Kategori <i>N-Gain</i>	49
Tabel 4.1 Deskripsi Data Kemampuan Awal Matematis Siswa	59
Tabel 4.2 Data Kategori Kemampuan Awal Matematis Siswa	60
Tabel 4.3 Hasil Tes Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i>	61
Tabel 4.4 Deskripsi Data <i>N-Gain</i> Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i>	67
Tabel 4.5 Data Kategori Skor <i>N-Gain</i> Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i>	68
Tabel 4.6 Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Berdasarkan Kemampuan Awal Matematis	71
Tabel 4.7 <i>N-Gain</i> Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Ditinjau dari Kemampuan Awal Matematis	74
Tabel 4.8 Pencapaian <i>Self-Efficacy</i> Siswa.....	75
Tabel 4.9 Pencapaian <i>Self-Efficacy</i> Ditinjau dari Kemampuan Awal Matematis..	77
Tabel 4.10 Data Hasil Uji Normalitas Data Hasil Penilaian Akhir Semester	79
Tabel 4.11 Data Hasil Uji <i>Mann-Whitney U</i> Data Hasil Penilaian Akhir Semester.....	80
Tabel 4.12 Data Hasil Uji Normalitas <i>Posttest</i> Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i>	81
Tabel 4.13 Data Hasil Uji <i>Mann-Whitney U Posttest</i> Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i>	82

Tabel 4.14 Data Hasil Uji Normalitas Data <i>N-Gain</i> Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i>	83
Tabel 4.15 Data Hasil Uji Homogenitas <i>N-Gain</i> Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i>	85
Tabel 4.16 Data Hasil Uji <i>Independent Sample t Test</i> Terhadap <i>N-Gain</i> Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i>	87
Tabel 4.17 Data Hasil Uji <i>Independent Sample t Test</i> Terhadap <i>N-Gain</i> Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Siswa dengan Kemampuan Awal Matematis Tinggi.....	88
Tabel 4.18 Data Hasil Uji <i>Independent Sample t Test</i> Terhadap <i>N-Gain</i> Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Siswa dengan Kemampuan Awal Matematis Sedang.....	89
Tabel 4.19 Data Hasil Uji <i>Independent Sample t Test</i> Terhadap <i>N-Gain</i> Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Siswa dengan Kemampuan Awal Matematis Rendah	91
Tabel 4.20 Data Hasil Uji Normalitas <i>N-Gain</i> Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Siswa Kelas <i>Problem-based Learning</i> Ditinjau dari Kemampuan Awal Matematis	92
Tabel 4.21 Data Hasil Uji Homogenitas <i>N-Gain</i> Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Siswa Kelas <i>Problem-based Learning</i> Ditinjau dari Kemampuan Awal Matematis	93
Tabel 4.22 Data Hasil Uji ANOVA Satu Jalur Terhadap Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Siswa Kelas <i>Problem-based Learning</i> Ditinjau dari Kemampuan Awal Matematis.....	94
Tabel 4.23 Data Hasil Uji Normalitas Skala <i>Self-Efficacy</i> Siswa	95
Tabel 4.24 Data Hasil Uji Homogenitas Skala <i>Self-Efficacy</i>	97
Tabel 4.25 Data Hasil Uji <i>Independent Sample t Test</i> Skala <i>Self-Efficacy</i>	98
Tabel 4.26 Data Hasil Uji <i>Independent Sample t Test</i> Terhadap Skor <i>Self-Efficacy</i> dengan Kemampuan Awal Matematis Tinggi.....	99
Tabel 4.27 Data Hasil Uji <i>Independent Sample t Test</i> Terhadap Skor <i>Self-Efficacy</i> Siswa dengan Kemampuan Awal Matematis Sedang.....	100

Tabel 4.28 Data Hasil Uji <i>Independent Sample t Test</i> Terhadap Skor <i>Self-Efficacy</i> Siswa dengan Kemampuan Awal Matematis Rendah.....	101
Tabel 4.29 Data Hasil Uji Normalitas <i>Self-Efficacy</i> Siswa Kelas <i>Problem-based Learning</i> Ditinjau dari Kemampuan Awal Matematis.....	103
Tabel 4.30 Data Hasil Uji Homogenitas <i>Self-Efficacy</i> Siswa Kelas <i>Problem-based Learning</i> Ditinjau dari Kemampuan Awal Matematis.....	103
Tabel 4.31 Data Hasil Uji ANOVA Satu Jalur Terhadap <i>Self-Efficacy</i> Siswa Kelas <i>Problem-based Learning</i> Ditinjau dari Kemampuan Awal Matematis.....	105
Tabel 4.32 Data Hasil Uji <i>Post Hoc Tukey</i> Terhadap <i>Self-Efficacy</i> Siswa Kelas <i>Problem-based Learning</i> Ditinjau Dari Kemampuan Awal Matematis.....	105
Tabel 4.33 Data Hasil Uji Normalitas Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> dan Skala <i>Self-efficacy</i>	106
Tabel 4.34 Data Hasil Uji Korelasi Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> dan <i>Self-Efficacy</i>	107

DAFTAR GAMBAR

Gambar 1.1 Soal <i>Computational Thinking</i>	4
Gambar 1.2 Salah Satu Jawaban Siswa.....	5
Gambar 2.1 Diagram <i>Fishbone</i>	35
Gambar 3.1 Desain Penelitian Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i>	37
Gambar 3.2 Desain Penelitian <i>Self-Efficacy</i>	38
Gambar 4.1 Visualisasi Hasil <i>Pretest</i> Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i>	63
Gambar 4.2 Visualisasi Hasil <i>Posttest</i> Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i>	63
Gambar 4.3 Data Peningkatan Skor Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Siswa Kelas <i>Problem-based Learning</i> Berdasarkan Nomor Soal.....	64
Gambar 4.4 Data Peningkatan Skor Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Siswa Kelas Konvensional Berdasarkan Nomor Soal	65
Gambar 4.5 Peningkatan Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Siswa Berdasarkan Indikator.....	66
Gambar 4.6 Peningkatan Skor Tiap Indikator Kelas <i>Problem-based Learning</i>	69
Gambar 4.7 Peningkatan Skor Tiap Indikator Kelas Konvensional	70
Gambar 4.8 Data Pencapaian <i>Self-Efficacy</i> Siswa Berdasarkan Dimensi.....	76
Gambar 4.9 Aktivitas Organisasi Siswa	110
Gambar 4.10 Aktivitas Menyajikan Hasil Penyelesaian Masalah	111

DAFTAR LAMPIRAN

LAMPIRAN A INSTRUMEN PENELITIAN.....	147
Lampiran A.1 Kisi-kisi Tes Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i>	148
Lampiran A.2 Soal Tes Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i>	154
Lampiran A.3 Pedoman Penskoran Tes Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i>	158
Lampiran A.4 Kunci Jawaban Tes Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i>	159
Lampiran A.5 Kisi-kisi Skala <i>Self-Efficacy</i>	165
Lampiran A.6 Skala <i>Self-Efficacy</i>	166
Lampiran A.7 Pedoman Penskoran Skala <i>Self-Efficacy</i>	168
Lampiran A.8 Modul Ajar Kelas <i>Problem-based Learning</i>	169
Lampiran A.9 Lembar Kerja Peserta Didik Kelas <i>Problem-based Learning</i> ..	181
Lampiran A.10 Modul Ajar Kelas Konvensional	199
Lampiran A.11 Lembar Observasi Aktivitas Guru dalam Pembelajaran <i>Problem-based Learning</i>	206
Lampiran A.12 Lembar Observasi Aktivitas Peserta Didik dalam Pembelajaran <i>Problem-based Learning</i>	208
LAMPIRAN B VALIDASI DAN UJICOBA INSTRUMEN	210
Lampiran B.1 Hasil Validasi Tes Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i>	211
Lampiran B.2 Hasil Validasi Skala <i>Self-Efficacy</i>	215
Lampiran B.3 Hasil Validasi Modul Ajar Kelas <i>Problem-based Learning</i>	219
Lampiran B.4 Hasil Validasi Modul Ajar Kelas Konvensional.....	223
Lampiran B.5 Hasil Validasi Lembar Kerja Peserta Didik.....	227
Lampiran B.6 Data Hasil Uji Coba Tes Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i>	231
Lampiran B.7 Hasil Uji Validitas pada Uji Coba Tes Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i>	232
Lampiran B.8 Hasil Uji Reliabilitas pada Uji Coba Tes Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i>	233
Lampiran B.9 Data Uji Coba Skala <i>Self-Efficacy</i>	234
Lampiran B.10 Hasil Uji Validitas pada Uji Coba Skala <i>Self-Efficacy</i>	235
Lampiran B.11 Hasil Uji Reliabilitas pada Uji Coba Skala <i>Self-Efficacy</i>	241
LAMPIRAN C HASIL DAN ANALISIS DATA PENELITIAN	242
Lampiran C.1 Data Kemampuan Awal Matematis Siswa	243

Lampiran C.2 Data Skor Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Siswa Kelas <i>Problem-based Learning</i>	244
Lampiran C.3 Data Skor Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Siswa Kelas Konvensional	245
Lampiran C.4 Data Skala <i>Self-Efficacy</i> Siswa Kelas <i>Problem-based Learning</i>	246
Lampiran C.5 Data Skala <i>Self-Efficacy</i> Siswa Kelas Konvensional	247
Lampiran C.6 Analisis Data Kemampuan Awal Matematis Siswa	248
Lampiran C.7 Analisis Data <i>Posttest</i> Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> .	250
Lampiran C.8 Analisis Data Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Ditinjau Secara Keseluruhan dan Kemampuan Awal Matematis	252
Lampiran C.9 Analisis Data Kemampuan <i>Computational Thinking</i> Siswa Kelas <i>Problem-based Learning</i> Ditinjau dari Kemampuan Awal Matematis.....	257
Lampiran C.10 Analisis Data <i>Self-Efficacy</i> Siswa Ditinjau Secara Keseluruhan dan Kemampuan Awal Matematis	258
Lampiran C.11 Analisis Data <i>Self-Efficacy</i> Siswa Kelas <i>Problem-based</i> <i>Learning</i> Ditinjau dari Kemampuan Awal Matematis	263
Lampiran C.12 Analisis Hubungan Korelasional Kemampuan <i>Computational</i> <i>Thinking</i> dan <i>Self-Efficacy</i>	265
LAMPIRAN D DATA PENUNJANG PENELITIAN	267
Lampiran D.1 Surat Keputusan Pembimbing Tesis.....	268
Lampiran D.2 Surat Izin Penelitian	271
Lampiran D.3 Surat Keterangan Telah Melaksanakan Penelitian	272
Lampiran D.4 Dokumentasi Penelitian	273

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Adetia, R., & Adirakasiwi, A. G. (2022). Kemampuan pemecahan masalah matematis ditinjau dari self-efficacy siswa. *Jurnal Educatio*, 8(2), 526–536. <https://doi.org/10.31949/educatio.v8i2.2036>
- Adler, R. F., & Kim, H. (2018). Enhancing future K-8 teachers' computational thinking skills through modeling and simulations. *Education and Information Technologies*, 23(4), 1501–1514. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-017-9675-1>
- Aho, A. V. (2012). Computation and computational thinking. *The Computer Journal*, 55(7), 832–835. <https://doi.org/10.1093/comjnl/bxs074>
- Alfares, N. (2021). The effect of problem-based learning on students' problem-solving self-efficacy through blackboard system in higher education. *International Journal of Education and Practice*, 9(1), 185–200. <https://doi.org/10.18488/journal.61.2021.91.185.200>
- Aluri, V. L. N. (2018). *Influence of students' prior knowledge and classroom learning environment on self-efficacy and achievement in mathematics*. Curtin University.
- Angeli, C., Voogt, J., Fluck, A., Webb, M., Cox, M., Malyn-Smith, J., & Zagami, J. (2016). A K-6 computational thinking curriculum framework: Implications for teacher knowledge. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, 19(3), 47–57. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/jeductechsoci.19.3.47>
- Angraini, L. M., Arcat, A., & Sohibun, S. (2022). Improvement of computational thinking through interactive multimedia learning based on initial mathematical ability. *International Journal of Contemporary Studies in Education*, 1(1), 19–29. <https://doi.org/10.56855/ijcse.v1i1.25>
- Angraini, L. M., & Muhammad, I. (2023). Analysis of students' computational thinking ability in prior mathematical knowledge. *Indonesian Journal of Teaching and Learning (INTEL)*, 2(2), 253–264. <https://doi.org/10.56855/intel.v2i2.308>
- Angraini, L. M., Yolanda, F., & Muhammad, I. (2023). Augmented reality: The improvement of computational thinking based on students' initial mathematical ability. *International Journal of Instruction*, 16(3), 1033–1054. <https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2023.16355a>
- Ansori, M. (2020). Pemikiran komputasi (computational thinking) dalam pemecahan masalah. *DIRASAH*, 3(1), 111–126. <https://doi.org/10.29062/dirasah.v3i1.119>
- Aqfi, F., & Yahfizham, Y. (2024). Studi literatur: Menelusuri model-model pembelajaran yang efektif untuk meningkatkan kemampuan berpikir komputasi siswa. *Bilangan: Jurnal Ilmiah Matematika, Kebumia dan Angkasa*, 2(3), 142–152. <https://doi.org/10.62383/bilangan.v2i3.73>
- Arends, R. (2012). *Learning to teach*. McGraw-Hill.
- Arikunto, S. (2011). *Dasar-dasar evaluasi pendidikan*. Bumi Aksara.

- Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. W H Freeman/Times Books/ Henry Holt & Co.
- Barr, V., & Stephenson, C. (2011). Bringing computational thinking to K-12: What is involved and what is the role of the computer science education community? *ACM Inroads*, 2(1), 48–54. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1929887.1929905>
- Başaran, M., & İlter, M. (2023). Investigation of the relationship between teachers' inquiry-based teaching self-efficiency for STEM+S and their computational thinking skills. In *Research on Education and Media* (Vol. 15, Nomor 2, hal. 1–9). <https://doi.org/10.2478/rem-2023-0019>
- Benakli, N., Kostadinov, B., Satyanarayana, A., & Singh, S. (2017). Introducing computational thinking through hands-on projects using R with applications to calculus, probability and data analysis. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 48(3), 393–427. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739X.2016.1254296>
- Bocconi, S., Chiocciariello, A., Dettori, G., Ferrari, A., & Engelhardt, K. (2016). *Developing computational thinking in compulsory education*. <https://doi.org/10.2791/792158>
- Bull, G., Garofalo, J., & Nguyen, N. R. (2019). *An introduction to computational thinking through art, music, and games*. The Society for Information Technology and Teacher Education (SITE). <https://www.learntechlib.org/primary/p/213788/>
- Cahdriyana, R. A., & Richardo, R. (2020). Berfikir komputasi dalam pembelajaran matematika. *LITERASI (Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan)*, 11(1), 50–56., 11(1), 50–56. <https://ejournal.almaata.ac.id/index.php/LITERASI/article/view/1290>
- Chang, Y., & Peterson, L. (2018). Pre-service teachers' perceptions of computational thinking. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, 26(3), 353–374. <https://www.learntechlib.org/p/181433>
- Creswell, J. (2015). *Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research*. The University of Nebraska-Lincoln.
- Dagienè, V., & Sentance, S. (2016). It's computational thinking! Bebras tasks in the curriculum. In *Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg* (Vol. 9973). https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-46747-4_3
- Dewi, J. P., Lyesmaya, D., & Maula, L. H. (2024). Pengaruh model pembelajaran Problem Based Learning (PBL) terhadap kemampuan berpikir komputasi pada materi pengukuran kelas IV. *Pendas: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Dasar*, 09(01), 3275–3285. <https://doi.org/10.23969/jp.v9i1.12678>
- Dick, W., Carey, L., & Carey, J. O. (2005). *The systematic design of instruction*. Citeseer.
- Fajariah, E. S., Dwidayati, N. karomah, & Cahyono, E. (2017). Kemampuan pemecahan masalah ditinjau dari self-efficacy siswa dalam implementasi model pembelajaran arias berpendekatan saintifik. *Unnes Journal of Mathematics Education Research*, 6(2), 259–265. <http://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/ujmer>

- Fitriani, W. (2017). Analisis self efficacy dan hasil belajar matematika siswa di MAN 2 Batusangkar berdasarkan gender. *AGENDA*, 1(1), 141–158. <http://dx.doi.org/10.31958/agenda.v1i1.945>
- Freitas, E. de. (2016). Number sense and the calculating child: Measure, multiplicity and mathematical monsters. *Discourse: Studies in the Cultural Politics of Education*, 37(5), 650–661. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01596306.2015.1075703>
- Gafoor, K., & Kurukkan, A. (2015). Why high school students feel mathematics difficult? An exploration of affective beliefs. *UGC Sponsored National Seminar on Pedagogy of Teacher Education Trends and Challenges, August*, 1–6. bit.ly/37OLqE7
- Gashaj, V., Oberer, N., Mast, F. W., & Roebbers, C. M. (2019). The relation between executive functions, fine motor skills, and basic numerical skills and their relevance for later mathematics achievement. *Early Education and Development*, 30(7), 913–926. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10409289.2018.1539556>
- Gök, G., & Boncukçu, G. (2023). The effect of problem-based learning on middle school students' environmental literacy and problem-solving skills. *Journal of Science Learning*, 6(December), 414–423. <https://doi.org/10.17509/jsl.v6i4.62781>
- Grover, S. (2018, Februari 25). *The 5th 'C' of 21st century skills? Try computational thinking (not coding)*. EdSurge. <https://www.edsurge.com/news/2018-02-25-the-5th-c-of-21st-century-skills-try-computational-thinking-not-coding>
- Gultom, F. H., Ulfa, S., & Praherdiono, H. (2022). The influence of problem-based learning models-computational thinking (PBL-CT) on the ability to thinking analytical view of students' interest in the subject of natural sciences. *International Journal of Education and Literature (IJEL)*, 1(3), 21–27. <https://doi.org/10.55606/ijel.v1i3.37>
- Gurlitt, J., & Renkl, A. (2010). Prior knowledge activation: How different concept mapping tasks lead to substantial differences in cognitive processes, learning outcomes, and perceived self-efficacy. *Instructional Science*, 38(4), 417–433. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11251-008-9090-5>
- Güven, I., & Gulbahar, Y. (2020). Integrating computational thinking into social studies. *The Social Studies*, 111(5), 234–248. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00377996.2020.1749017>
- Guzdial, M. (2008, Agustus). Education: Paving the way for computational thinking. *Communications of the ACM*, 25–27. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1378704.1378713>
- Hake, R. R. (1998). Interactive-engagement versus traditional methods: A six-thousand-student survey of mechanics test data for introductory physics courses. *American journal of Physics*, 66(1), 64–74. <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.18809>

- Handayani, F., Fitria, Y., Ahmad, S., & Zen, Z. (2023). Development of e-module based on problem based learning assisted with Scratch applications to improve students computational thinking Skills. *Jurnal Kependidikan: Jurnal Hasil Penelitian dan Kajian Kepustakaan di Bidang Pendidikan, Pengajaran dan Pembelajaran*, 9(2), 456–469. <https://doi.org/10.33394/jk.v9i2.7790>
- Harahap, K., Sinaga, B., & Nasution, H. (2023). Development of problem based learning model learning devices to improve students' mathematical computational thinking ability. *Proceedings of the 8th Annual International Seminar on Transformative Education and Educational Leadership*. <https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.19-9-2023.2340484>
- Hardiyanto, W., & Santoso, R. H. (2018). Efektivitas PBL setting TTW dan TPS ditinjau dari prestasi belajar , berpikir kritis dan self-efficacy siswa. *Jurnal Riset Pendidikan Matematika*, 5(1), 116–126. <https://doi.org/10.21831/jrpm.v5i1.11127>
- Hegade, P., Patil, O., & Shettar, A. (2023). Effectiveness of game based learning in problem based learning. *2023 2nd Edition of IEEE Delhi Section Flagship Conference (DELCON)*, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/DELCON57910.2023.10127288>
- Hidayat, R. A., & Noer, H. (2021). Analisis kemampuan berpikir kritis matematis yang ditinjau dari self efficacy siswa dalam pembelajaran daring. *Media Pendidikan Matematika*, 9(2), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.33394/mpm.v9i2.4224>
- Hiebert, J., & Carpenter, T. P. (1992). Learning and teaching with understanding. In *Handbook of research on mathematics teaching and learning: A project of the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics*. (hal. 65–97). Macmillan Publishing Co, Inc.
- Hooshyar, D. (2022). Effects of technology-enhanced learning approaches on learners with different prior learning attitudes and knowledge in computational thinking. *Computer Applications in Engineering Education*, 30(1), 64–76. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cae.22442>
- Hoppe, H. U., & Werneburg, S. (2019). Computational thinking-More than a variant of scientific inquiry! In S.-C. Kong & H. Abelson (Ed.), *Computational Thinking Education* (hal. 13–30). Springer Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-6528-7_2
- Hung, W. (2019). Problem design in PBL. In *The Wiley Handbook of Problem-Based Learning* (hal. 249–272). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119173243.ch11>
- Husaeni, D. F. Al, Rahman, E. F., & Piantari, E. (2023). Implementation of problem-based learning multimedia with find and sort QR code games to improve student's computational thinking skills. *Jurnal Ilmiah Kursor*, 12(2), 69–82. <https://doi.org/10.21107/kursor.v12i2.343>
- Ineson, E. M., Jung, T., Hains, C., & Kim, M. (2013). The influence of prior subject knowledge, prior ability and work experience on self-efficacy. *Journal of Hospitality, Leisure, Sport and Tourism Education*, 12(1), 59–69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhlste.2012.11.002>

- Isnawan, M. G. (2020). *Kuasi eksperimen*. Nashir Al Kutub Indonesia.
- Johar, R., Junita, E., & Saminan, S. (2018). Students' mathematical communication ability and self-efficacy using team quiz learning model. *International Journal on Emerging Mathematics Education*, 2(2), 203–214. <https://doi.org/10.12928/ijeme.v2i2.8702>
- Juldial, T. U. H., & Haryadi, R. (2024). Analisis keterampilan berpikir komputasional dalam proses pembelajaran. *Jurnal Basicedu*, 8(1), 136–144. <https://doi.org/10.31004/basicedu.v8i1.6992>
- Kamarullah, K. (2017). Pendidikan matematika di sekolah kita. *Al Khawarizmi: Jurnal Pendidikan dan Pembelajaran Matematika*, 1(1), 21–32. <http://dx.doi.org/10.22373/jppm.v1i1.1729>
- Kayhan, O., Korkmaz, Ö., & Çakır, R. (2024). How do computational thinking and logical and math thinking skills predict programming self-efficacy? *Computers in the Schools*, 41(1), 51–73. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07380569.2023.2220696>
- Kelly, N. (2014). Teaching science in elementary and middle school: A project-based approach. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning*, 8(1), 8.
- Kwon, K., Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T., Brush, T. A., Jeon, M., & Yan, G. (2021). Integration of problem-based learning in elementary computer science education: Effects on computational thinking and attitudes. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 69(5), 2761–2787. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-021-10034-3>
- Lee, I., Martin, F., Denner, J., Coulter, B., Allan, W., Erickson, J., Malyn-Smith, J., & Werner, L. (2011). Computational thinking for youth in practice. *Acm Inroads*, 2(1), 32–37. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1929887.1929902>
- Lestari, K. E., & Yudhanegara, M. R. (2018). *Penelitian pendidikan matematika* (A. Anna (ed.)). Refika Aditama.
- Li, Y., Schoenfeld, A. H., DiSessa, A. A., Graesser, A. C., Benson, L. C., English, L. D., & Duschl, R. A. (2020). Computational thinking is more about thinking than computing. *Journal for STEM Education Research*, 3(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41979-020-00030-2>
- Lianto, L. (2019). Self-efficacy: A brief literature review. *Jurnal Manajemen Motivasi*, 15(2), 55–61. <https://doi.org/10.29406/jmm.v15i2.1409>
- Liao, C. H. (2022). Exploring the relationship between computational thinking and learning satisfaction for non-STEM college students. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 19(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-022-00347-5>
- Liu, R., Jong, C., & Fan, M. (2024). Reciprocal relationship between self-efficacy and achievement in mathematics among high school students: First author. *Large-Scale Assessments in Education*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40536-024-00201-2>
- Lubis, T. Y., Sinaga, B., & Mulyono, M. (2023). Learning tool project to create

- PBL model to stimulate computational thinking ability of students. *Randwick International of Education and Linguistics Science Journal*, 4(4), 965–971. <https://doi.org/10.47175/rielsj.v4i4.858>
- Ma, H., Zhao, M., Wang, H., Wan, X., Cavanaugh, T. W., & Liu, J. (2021). Promoting pupils' computational thinking skills and self-efficacy: A problem-solving instructional approach. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 69(3), 1599–1616. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-021-10016-5>
- Macun, Y., & Işık, C. (2022). Effect of problem-based STEM activities on 7th grade students' mathematics achievements, attitudes, anxiety, self-efficacy and views. In *International Journal of Contemporary Educational Research* (Vol. 9, Nomor 1, hal. 87–102). <https://doi.org/10.33200/ijcer.1008456>
- Maharani, S., Nusantara, T., As'ari, A. R., & Qohar, A. (2019). How the students computational thinking ability on algebraic? *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 8(9), 419–423. www.ijstr.org
- Maharani, S., Nusantara, T., As'ari, A. R., & Qohar, A. (2020). *Computational thinking (Pemecahan masalah di abad ke-21)*. WADE Group.
- Manfra, M. M., Hammond, T. C., & Coven, R. M. (2022). Assessing computational thinking in the social studies. *Theory & Research in Social Education*, 50(2), 255–296. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00933104.2021.2003276>
- Manullang, S. B., Simanjuntak, E., Matematika, J., Negeri, U., William, M. J., Ps, I. V, Baru, K., Percut, K., Tuan, S., Serdang, K. D., & Utara, S. (2023). Pengaruh model problem based learning terhadap kemampuan computational thinking berbantuan media Geogebra. *Journal on Education*, 06(01), 7786–7796. <https://doi.org/10.31004/joe.v6i1.4127>
- Manurung, A. S., Halim, A., & Rosyid, A. (2023). The role of problem based learning in improving student character education. *Jurnal Basicedu*, 7(1), 169–170. <https://doi.org/10.31004/basicedu.v7i1.4279>
- Marbun, E. J., Simanjorang, M. M., & Sinaga, B. (2023). The influence of Batak culture problem based learning models to junior high school students' computational thinking ability. *Jurnal Analisa*, 9(2), 162–174. <https://doi.org/10.15575/ja.v9i2.29523>
- Marifah, R. A., & Kartono, K. (2023). Kemampuan berpikir komputasi siswa SMP ditinjau dari self-efficacy pada model pembelajaran problem based learning berbantuan Edmodo. *PRISMA, Prosiding Seminar Nasional Matematika*, 6, 480–489. <https://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/prisma/>
- Markovits, Z., & Forgasz, H. (2017). “Mathematics is like a lion”: Elementary students' beliefs about mathematics. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 96(1), 49–64. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-017-9759-2>
- Masitoh, L. F., & Fitriyani, H. (2018). Improving students' mathematics self-efficacy through problem based learning. *Malikussaleh Journal of Mathematics Learning (MJML)*, 1(1), 26. <https://doi.org/10.29103/mjml.v1i1.679>

- Merritt, J., Lee, M. Y., Rillero, P., & Kinach, B. M. (2017). Problem-based learning in K-8 Mathematics and Science education: A literature review. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning*, *11*(2), 5–17. <https://doi.org/10.7771/1541-5015.1674>
- Muhtadi, A., Assagaf, G., & Hukom, J. (2022). Self-efficacy and students' mathematics learning ability in Indonesia: A meta analysis study. *International Journal of Instruction*, *15*(3), 1131–1146. <https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2022.15360a>
- Mukhibin, A., & Himmah, W. I. (2020). An analysis of mathematical self-efficacy of the 10th grade MIPA students of MAN Salatiga. *Indonesian Journal of Mathematics Education*, *3*(1), 8–14. <https://doi.org/10.31002/ijome.v3i1.2242>
- Mukhibin, A., & Ichsan, N. (2019). The effectiveness of think pair share learning model with a problem based learning approach based on students' self-efficacy. *Hipotenusa: Journal of Mathematical Society*, *1*(1), 9–14. <https://doi.org/10.18326/hipotenusa.v1i1.9-14>
- Muntazhimah, M., Turmudi, T., & Prabawanto, S. (2021). The relation between prior knowledge and students' mathematics reflective thinking ability. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, *1731*(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1731/1/012043>
- Mustaffa, N., Ismail, Z., Tasir, Z., & Said, M. N. H. M. (2016). The impacts of implementing problem-based learning (PBL) in Mathematics: A review of literature. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, *6*(12), 490–503. <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v6-i12/2513>
- Nogues, C. P., & Dorneles, B. V. (2021). Systematic review on the precursors of initial mathematical performance. *International Journal of Educational Research Open*, *2*(January), 100035. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedro.2021.100035>
- Novriani, M. R., & Surya, E. (2017). Analysis of student difficulties in mathematics problem solving ability at MTs SWASTA IRA Medan. *International Journal of Sciences: Basic and Applied Research (IJSBAR)*, *33*(3), 63–75. <http://gssrr.org/index.php?journal=JournalOfBasicAndApplied>
- Nurasiah, N., Paristiowati, M., Erdawati, E., & Afrizal, A. (2023). Integration of technology in problem-based learning to improve dtudents computational thinking: Implementation on polymer topics. *International Journal of Social and Management Studies (IJOSMAS)*, *4*(2), 65–73. <https://doi.org/10.5555/ijosmas.v4i2.280>
- Nurlaily, V. A., Soegiyanto, H., & Usodo, B. (2019). Elementary school teacher's obstacles in the implementation of problem-based learning model in mathematics learning. *Journal on Mathematics Education*, *10*(2), 229–238. <https://doi.org/10.22342/jme.10.2.5386.229-238>
- Nurwita, F., Kusumah, Y. S., & Priatna, N. (2022). Exploring students' mathematical computational thinking ability in solving pythagorean theorem problems. *Al-Jabar: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, *13*(2), 273–287. <http://dx.doi.org/10.24042/ajpm.v13i2.12496>

- Nuvitalia, D., Saptaningrum, E., Ristanto, S., & Putri, M. R. (2022). Profil kemampuan berpikir komputasional (computational thinking) siswa SMP Negeri se-kota Semarang tahun 2022. *Jurnal Penelitian Pembelajaran Fisika*, 13(2), 211–218. <https://doi.org/10.26877/jp2f.v13i2.12794>
- OECD. (2018). *Pisa 2021 mathematics framework (Second draft)*.
- Özcan, Z. Ç., & Gümüş, A. E. (2019). A modeling study to explain mathematical problem-solving performance through metacognition, self-efficacy, motivation, and anxiety. *Australian Journal of Education*, 63(1), 116–134. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0004944119840073>
- Park, Y.-S., & Green, J. (2019). Bringing computational thinking into science education. *Journal of the Korean earth science society*, 40(4), 340–352. <https://doi.org/10.5467/JKESS.2019.40.4.340>
- Permendikbud RI nomor 37 tahun 2018 tentang Perubahan atas peraturan menteri pendidikan dan kebudayaan nomor 24 tahun 2016 tentang kompetensi inti dan kompetensi dasar pelajaran pada kurikulum 2013 pada pendidikan dasar dan pendidikan menengah, Pub. L. No. 37 (2018).
- Phungsuk, R., Viriyavejakul, C., & Ratanaolarn, T. (2017). Development of a problem-based learning model via a virtual learning environment. *Kasetsart Journal of Social Sciences*, 38(3), 297–306. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kjss.2017.01.001>
- Prajono, R., Gunarti, D. Y., & Anggo, M. (2022). Analisis kemampuan berpikir kritis matematis peserta didik SMP ditinjau dari self efficacy. *Mosharafa: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 11(1), 143–154. <http://journal.institutpendidikan.ac.id/index.php/mosharafa>
- Pratiwi, G. L., & Akbar, B. (2022). Pengaruh model problem based learning terhadap keterampilan computational thinking matematis siswa kelas IV SDN Kebon Bawang 03 Jakarta. *Didaktik: Jurnal Ilmiah PGSD FKIP Universitas Mandiri*, 8(1), 375–385. <https://doi.org/10.36989/didaktik.v8i1.302>
- Priadana, M. S., & Sunarsi, D. (2021). *Metode penelitian kuantitatif*. Pascal Books.
- Pritchard, A. (2008). *Learning theories and learning styles in the classroom*. David Fulton Publishers Limited.
- Rianto, B., Lutfi, A., Naser, A. D. M., & Dasari, D. (2022). The effect of prior knowledge and learning motivation on mathematics learning outcomes of junior high school students. *Jurnal Pendidikan MIPA*, 23(4), 1876–1886. <https://doi.org/10.23960/jpmipa/v23i4.pp1876-1886>
- Riddell, R. (2018, Februari 27). *Should the 4 C's of 21st century skills make room for one more?* K-12 DIVE. <https://www.k12dive.com/news/should-the-4-cs-of-21st-century-skills-make-room-for-one-more/517878/>
- Ronis, D. L. (2008). *Problem-based learning for math & science: Integrating inquiry and the internet*. Corwin Press.
- Rottenhofer, M., Sabitzer, B., & Rankin, T. (2021). Developing computational thinking skills through modeling in language lessons. *Open Education Studies*, 3(1), 17–25. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1515/edu-2020-0138>

- Rubinstein, A., & Chor, B. (2014). Computational thinking in life science education. *PLoS computational biology*, *10*(11). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003897>
- Sabitzer, B. (2018). Computational thinking through modeling in language lessons. *IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference, EDUCON, 2018*, 1913–1919. <https://doi.org/10.1109/EDUCON.2018.8363469>
- Safitri, N., Putra, Z. H., Alim, J. A., & Aljarrah, A. (2023). The relationship between self-efficacy and computational thinking skills of fifth grade elementary school students. *Jurnal Elemen*, *9*(2), 424–439. <https://doi.org/10.29408/jel.v9i2.12299>
- Safriadi, S. (2017). Prosedur pelaksanaan strategi pembelajaran ekspositori. *Jurnal Mudarrisuna*, *7*(1), 47–65. <http://dx.doi.org/10.22373/jm.v7i1.1908>
- Salam, S. (2022). A systemic review of Problem-Based Learning (PBL) and Computational Thinking (CT) in teaching and learning. *International Journal of Humanities and Innovation (IJHI)*, *5*(2), 46–52. <https://doi.org/10.33750/ijhi.v5i2.145>
- Salsabila, A., & Yahfizham, Y. (2024). Studi literatur : Analisis berpikir komputasi pada guru dan siswa dalam konteks implementasi kurikulum Merdeka. *Bilangan: Jurnal Ilmiah Matematika, Kebumihan dan Angkasa*, *2*(3), 129–141. <https://doi.org/10.62383/bilangan.v2i3.72>
- Santi, L. M., Putriani, I., & Rarasati, I. P. (2024). Penerapan model problem based learning berbantu card game terhadap tingkat berpikir komputasi siswa kelas V. *Afeksi: Jurnal Penelitian dan Evaluasi Pendidikan*, *5*(2), 280–294. <https://doi.org/10.59698/afeksi.v5i2.225>
- Savery, J. R. (2015). Overview of problem-based learning: Definitions and distinctions. *Essential readings in problem-based learning: Exploring and extending the legacy of Howard S. Barrows*, *9*(2), 5–15. <https://doi.org/10.7771/1541-5015.1002>
- Selby, C. C., & Woollard, J. (2013). *Computational thinking: The developing definition*. <http://eprints.soton.ac.uk/id/eprint/356481>
- Şen, Ş. (2023). Relations between preservice teachers' self-efficacy, computational thinking skills and metacognitive self-regulation. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, *38*(3), 1251–1269. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10212-022-00651-8>
- Setyawati, R. D., Ambarizka, E. B., & Handayanto, A. (2020). Profil kemampuan Representasi Matematis Siswa SMP Ditinjau dari Self-Efficacy. *Jurnal Phenomenon*, *10*(2), 220–235. <http://doi.org/10.21580/phen.2020.10.2.6627>
- Sinaga, J. A. G. (2022). *Analisis kemampuan berfikir komputasi (computational thinking) siswa SMA dalam pemecahan masalah*. Universitas Negeri Medan.
- Smith, C. S., & Hung, L.-C. (2017). Using problem-based learning to increase computer self-efficacy in Taiwanese students. *Interactive Learning Environments*, *25*(3), 329–342. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2015.1127818>

- Sofyan, H., Wagiran, W., Kokom, K., & Triwiyono, E. (2017). *Problem based learning dalam Kurikulum 2013*. UNY Press.
- Stelzer, F., Vernucci, S., Aydmune, Y., del Valle, M., Andres, M. L., & Introzzi, I. M. (2024). Mathematics achievement in the last year of primary school. Longitudinal relationship with general cognitive skills and prior mathematics knowledge. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, 39(2), 517–533. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10212-023-00700-w>
- Sugiyono, S. (2018). *Metode peneltian kuantitatif*. Alfabeta.
- Sutanto, A. (2018). *Bimbingan dan konseling di sekolah*. Prenadamedia Group.
- Syafi, I., Mulyono, M., Asmin, A., & Syofra, A. H. (2020). Development of problem based learning tools to improve mathematical communication skills and self efficacy of students. *Proceeding of the 5th Annual International Seminar of Transformative Education and Educational Leadership*, 381–386. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.201124.078>
- Syamsidah, S., & Suryani, H. (2018). *Buku model problem based learning (PBL)*. Deepublish.
- Ubaidullah, N. H., Mohamed, Z., Hamid, J., Sulaiman, S., & Yussof, R. L. (2021). Improving novice students' computational thinking skills by problem-solving and metacognitive techniques. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 20(6), 88–108. <https://doi.org/10.26803/IJLTER.20.6.5>
- Voskoglou, M. G., & Buckley, S. (2012). Problem solving and computational thinking in a learning environment. *Egyptian Computer Science Journal*, 36(4), 28–46. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1212.0750>
- Walimudin, I., Nurzaman, I., & Sari, L. (2023). Relationship between problem solving ability in physics and computational thinking skills. *Research in Physics Education*, 2(1), 38–49. <https://doi.org/10.31980/ripe.v2i1.2537>
- Wang, C., Lu, C., Chen, F., Liu, X., Zhao, Q., & Wang, S. (2024). Growth mindset mediates the relationship between computational thinking and programming self-efficacy. *Education and Information Technologies*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-12735-7>
- Wei, X., Lin, L., Meng, N., Tan, W., Kong, S. C., & Kinshuk. (2021). The effectiveness of partial pair programming on elementary school students' computational thinking skills and self-efficacy. *Computers and Education*, 160(July 2020), 104023. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.104023>
- Weintrop, D., Beheshti, E., Horn, M., Orton, K., Jona, K., Trouille, L., & Wilensky, U. (2016). Defining computational thinking for mathematics and science classrooms. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 25(1), 127–147. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-015-9581-5>
- Widodo, S., Cilviani, C., Rahayu, P., & Ramarumo, T. (2023). Elementary school students computational thinking skills in learning-based 3D-geometry problem. *Indomath: Indonesian Mathematics Education*, 6(1), 1–10. <https://indomath.org/index.php/>

- Wijnia, L., Noordzij, G., Arends, L. R., Rikers, R. M. J. P., & Loyens, S. M. M. (2024). The effects of problem-based, project-based, and case-based learning on students' motivation: A meta-Analysis. In *Educational Psychology Review* (Vol. 36, Nomor 1). Springer US. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-024-09864-3>
- Wing, J. M. (2006). Computational thinking. *Commun. ACM*, 49(3), 33–35. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1118178.1118215>
- Wing, J. M. (2008). Computational thinking and thinking about computing. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 366(1881), 3717–3725. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2008.0118>
- Wing, J. M. (2011). Computational thinking. *IEEE Symposium on Visual Languages and Human-Centric Computing (VL/HCC)*, 3. <https://doi.org/10.1109/VLHCC.2011.6070404>
- Wing, J. M. (2017). Computational thinking's influence on research and education for all. *Italian Journal of Educational Technology*, 25(2), 7–14. <https://doi.org/10.17471/2499-4324/922>
- Wu, T.-T., Silitonga, L. M., Dharmawan, B., & Murti, A. T. (2024). Empowering students to thrive: The role of CT and self-efficacy in building academic resilience. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 62(3), 816–845. <https://doi.org/10.1177/07356331231225468>
- Xenidou-Dervou, I., Van Luit, J. E. H., Kroesbergen, E. H., Friso-van den Bos, I., Jonkman, L. M., van der Schoot, M., & van Lieshout, E. C. D. M. (2018). Cognitive predictors of children's development in mathematics achievement: A latent growth modeling approach. *Developmental Science*, 21(6), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1111/desc.12671>
- Yang, Y., Maeda, Y., & Gentry, M. (2024). The relationship between mathematics self-efficacy and mathematics achievement: multilevel analysis with NAEP 2019. *Large-Scale Assessments in Education*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40536-024-00204-z>
- Yew, E. H. J., & Goh, K. (2016). Problem-based learning: An overview of its process and impact on learning. *Health Professions Education*, 2(2), 75–79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hpe.2016.01.004>
- Zahid, M. Z. (2020). Telaah kerangka kerja PISA 2021: era integrasi computational thinking dalam bidang matematika. *PRISMA, Prosiding Seminar Nasional Matematika*, 3, 706–713. <https://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/prisma/>
- Zakaria, N. I., & Iksan, Z. H. (2020). Computational thinking among high school students. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 8(11 A), 9–16. <https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2020.082102>
- Zakariya, Y. F. (2022). Improving students' mathematics self-efficacy: A systematic review of intervention studies. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.986622>
- Zakariya, Y. F., Barattucci, M., Fernández-Cézar, R., & Pinto, N. S. (2022).

Analysis of relations between attitude towards mathematics, prior knowledge, self-efficacy, expected and actual grades in mathematics. *Twelfth Congress of the European Society for Research in Mathematics Education (CERME12)*. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/363136211>

Zakariya, Y. F., Nilsen, H. K., Bjørkestøl, K., & Goodchild, S. (2023). Analysis of relationships between prior knowledge, approaches to learning, and mathematics performance among engineering students. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 54(6), 1015–1033. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739X.2021.1984596>