CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

This chapter introduces basic information regarding the study. It starts with an elaboration of the background of the study followed by statement of problems, aims of study, scope of study, and significance of the study are the next items described. This chapter is ended by presenting the clarification of key terms, naturalness in turntaking, and organization of the paper.

1.1 Background

Developing proficiency in speaking is a challenging task for a language learner. To be a fluent speaker, a learner must not only have grammatical competence but also has to be very well informed in cultural information in which the *Target Language* (TL) is used by its speech community (see Levinson, 1983; Mey, 2000).

In the case of learning English in Indonesia, the task is even more challenging given the fact its status as a foreign language. For this reason, attempts should be made to investigate in a greater detail the phenomenon of Indonesian learners of English speaking skills to reveal important information regarding their mastery.

Conversation is one example in which a learner has to demonstrate his/her mastery of speaking in the target language. In a conversation we can actually see how a language is being used. Saville-Troike (2006) states that conversation is not only about talking to someone but there is also a rule to follow as a reflection of the speaker's and listener's *communicative competence*, which means, the speaker's and listener's knowledge on what should be known within the TL's community so to be able to communicate properly. She further states that *communicative competence* is Muhammad Iqbal Brahma Sudana, 2014

2

not only about mastering vocabulary, phonology, grammar, or other linguistic

structures but also knowing when to speak including when to stop, and appropriately

choose what to say to whom according to the situation (Saville-Troike, 2006). In this

issue, Hughes (2002) seems to be in agreement with Saville-Troike when she assures

that successful conversation is supported by linguistic and sociolinguistic

competence.

A successful conversation could also be seen from its 'flow'. Even, according

to Mey (2000), this 'flow' of a conversation is the key point. There are two elements

that describe the flow of a conversation; form and content. Mey (2000) mentions that

'form' or 'structure' in a conversation deals with turns and turn-taking which then a -

turn-taking mechanism comes in. He further elaborates that 'content' of a

conversation deals with cohesion and coherence (Mey, 2000). In a conversation,

cohesion refers to the well arranged words in sentences, while, coherence refers to

message that makes sense.

Conversation has attracted many researchers' interest. For example, Bardovi-

Harlig et al (1991) investigate learners' pragmatic awareness emphasizing on their

pragmatic awareness to the closing of a conversation in a natural way. The findings

show that learners need pragmatic information related to how to close a conversation

properly suggesting that in addition to mastering grammatical aspects of the target

language they also need pragmatic information. That is because the purpose of

learning language is for communication not only learning words. Another research by

Auer (1988) studies the phenomena of code switching and transfer in a conversation

among migrants in Germany indicating the influence of an L1 over learning an L2.

Muhammad Iqbal Brahma Sudana, 2014

The present research also attempts to reveal important aspects of a conversation. In particular, this study focuses on the phenomenon of the *turn-taking* in a conversation among Indonesian English learners by considering the context of situation.

1.2 Statements of the Problem

By considering the context of situation of the interlocutors, this study tries to find out answers to the following research questions:

- 1. How natural is the turn-taking in the learners' conversation?
- 2. How high does the learners rate their conversation?

1.3 Aims of the Study

The study is aimed at the following matters:

- 1. To find out the naturalness of turn-taking in the learners' conversation.
- 2. To find out how high the learners rate their conversation.

1.4 Scope of the Study

The focus of this research is to analyze the naturalness of the turn-taking of a conversation by considering the context of situation. The study also investigates learners' rating towards their conversation. This section will be further discussed in chapter three.

1.5 Significance of the Study

This study is expected to reveal information on how learners go through the process of holding a conversation. The way they handle it may be beneficial for teachers, as it may give teachers some ideas how to help learners to proceed the process of improving their target language mastery, in particular holding a Muhammad Iqbal Brahma Sudana, 2014

conversation naturally. In other words, the information revealed maybe of some uses both for learners and teachers. For learners, the information may help them draw their attention and consequently awareness on the structures of a conversation which in the long run will help them improve their proficiency in the target language. For teachers, the information may inspire them to develop a better plan and implementation of their teaching, in particular the teaching of conversation

1.6 Clarification of Key Terms

Communicative competence: speaker's and listener's knowledge on what should be known within the TL's community so to be able to communicate appropriately (Saville-Troike, 2006).

Conversation: "... kind of talk in which two or more participant freely alternate in speaking, which generally occurs outside specific institutional settings like religious services, law courts, classrooms and the like." (Levinson, 1983: 284).

Turn: "turn... a shift in the direction of the speaking 'flow' which is characteristic of normal conversation." (Mey, 2000: 139).

Turn-taking: "one participant, A, talks, stops; another, B, starts, talks, stops; and so we obtain an A-B-A-B distribution of talk across two participants." (Levinson, 1983: 296).

1.7 Naturalness in Turn-Taking

For this research, the naturalness in turn-taking will be seen through the *Adjacency Pair*. It is chosen because adjacency pair should be an automatic pattern in a conversation (Yule, 1996). This is why the learners' ability to sustain or not being Muhammad Iqbal Brahma Sudana, 2014

able to sustain a conversation through applying adjacency pair will be the focus of

this research to determine their naturalness in turn-taking.

1.8 Organization of Paper

This paper consists of five chapters. The first is chapter one as an introduction

of the study which presents the general overview of the study. It informs the general

condition about conversation in English as a Target Language moving to the more

detail condition and problem. Furthermore, it raises the research questions and the

aims of the study with the methodology in general. The second is chapter two; this

chapter provides the theoretical foundation of the study. It deals with some theories

and previous research in this field contextualized the present study. The third is

chapter three that describe the methodology employed in this research. In this chapter

the methods employed and the data collected are provided and explained. The fourth

is chapter four; here it presents findings and discussions based on the data which had

been collected. The last is chapter five that presents the conclusions of the research

and suggestions for the further research and the parties in the field.

1.9 Concluding Remark

This chapter has introduced basic information regarding the study. It covers the

background, statement of problems, aims of study, scope of study, significance of the

study, clarification of key terms, naturalness in turn-taking, and organization of paper.

The next chapter elaborates the literatures relevant to this study.

Muhammad Iqbal Brahma Sudana, 2014