

TESIS
**PENGARUH MIL-101 (Cr)/Fe₃O₄ TERHADAP KARAKTERISTIK DAN
KINERJA MEMBRANE NANOFILTRASI POLI ETERSULFON**

Diajukan untuk memenuhi salah satu syarat memperoleh gelar Magister Sains
Program Studi Magister Kimia



Disusun Oleh

Silvia Widiyanti 2208910

Dosen Pembimbing

Prof. Fitri Khoerunnisa, Ph.D.

Dr. Hendrawan M.Si.

PROGRAM STUDI MAGISTER KIMIA
FAKULTAS PENDIDIKAN MATEMATIKA DAN ILMU PENGETAHUAN
ALAM
UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN INDONESIA
2024

**PENGARUH MIL-101 (Cr)/Fe₃O₄ TERHADAP KARAKTERISTIK DAN
KINERJA MEMBRANE NANOFILTRASI POLI ETERSULFON**

Oleh:
Silvia Widiyanti
2208910

Tesis ini diajukan untuk memenuhi salah satu syarat memperoleh gelar Magister
Sains pada Program Studi Kimia Departemen Pendidikan Kimia Fakultas
Pendidikan Matematika dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam

© Silvia Widiyanti 2024
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia
Januari 2024

Hak Cipta dilindungi Undang-Undang
Tesis ini tidak boleh diperbanyak seluruhnya atau sebagian, dengan dicetak ulang,
di fotocopy, atau cara lainnya tanpa izin penulis.

HALAMAN PENGESAHAN

SILVIA WIDIYANTI

PENGARUH MIL-101 (Cr)/Fe₃O₄ TERHADAP KARAKTERISTIK DAN
KINERJA MEMBRANE NANOFILTRASI POLI ETERSULFON

Disetujui dan disahkan oleh:

Pembimbing I,



Prof. Fitri Khoerunnisa, M.Si., Ph.D.
NIP. 197806282001122001

Pembimbing II,




Dr. Hendrawan, M.Si
NIP. 196309111989011001

Penguji I,



Dr. Galuh Yuliani, M.Si.
NIP. 198007252001122001

Penguji II,



Prof. Dr. Ahmad Mudzakkir., M.,Si.
NIP. 196611211991031002

Mengetahui:

Ketua Program Magister Kimia,



Prof. Fitri Khoerunnisa, M.Si., Ph.D.
NIP. 197806282001122001

Abstrak

Teknologi membran nanofiltrasi sebagai proses pretreatment desalinasi menjadi salah satu solusi pasokan air bersih alternatif karena hemat energi dan juga biaya. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk Kondisi optimum sintesis, karakteristik dan kinerja membran nanofiltrasi berbasis MMM PES/MIL-101(Cr)/Fe₃O₄. Sintesis membran melalui metode inversi fasa dengan komposisi optimum PES 18%, MIL-101(Cr) 0,2% dan Fe₃O₄ sebanyak 0.004%. Hasil sintesis kemudian dikarakterisasi melalui FTIR, SEM, XRD, uji hidrofilitas, uji porositas, *tensile strength* dan perhitungan *point of zero charge* (PZC). Membran diuji melalui uji permeabilitas, uji permselektivitas terhadap BSA, dan garam NaCl, CaCl₂, FeCl₃. Membran juga diuji melalui uji antifouling dan uji MWCO melalui retensi terhadap metil jingga, rhodamine B dan PEG 3000. Hasil menunjukkan bahwa membran berhasil disintesis dengan interaksi khas pada spektrum FTIR 435 cm⁻¹ untuk Fe-O dan 555 cm⁻¹ untuk Cr-O, serta interaksi yang bergeser pada bilangan gelombang 1106 hingga 1111 untuk gugus O=S=O. penambahan filler MIL-101(Cr)/Fe₃O₄ juga meningkatkan hidrofilitas dari 73° hingga 54°, meningkatkan persen porositas dari 48% hingga 72%, meningkatkan distribusi pori dan menurunkan ukuran pori dari 126,47 nm menjadi 61,87 nm serta meningkatkan ukuran kristal dari 0.83 nm ke 6.4 nm. penambahan filler MIL-101(Cr)/Fe₃O₄ juga menurunkan nilai PZC dari 7,6 menjadi 7,1. Uji kinerja membran menunjukkan bahwa penambahan filler meningkatkan permeabilitas dari 7,04 L/m².h.bar menjadi 45,5 L/m².h.bar serta meningkatkan permselektivitas terhadap garam NaCl dari 40% menjadi 93% garam CaCl₂ dari 44% menjadi 93% dan garam FeCl₃ dari 50 menjadi 94%. Penambahan *filler* MIL-101(Cr)/Fe₃O₄ juga meningkatkan *flux recovery ratio* (FRR) dari 55% menjadi 90% serta menurunkan rasio pengotoran total dari 46% menjadi 23%. Hasil uji MWCO menunjukkan bahwa membran PES/MIL-101(Cr)/Fe₃O₄ merupakan membran nanofiltrasi “*tight*” dengan nilai MWCO 327 Da.

Kata kunci: Membran nanofiltrasi, PES, *filler*, MIL-101(Cr)/Fe₃O₄, Karakterisasi, Uji kinerja

Abstract

Nanofiltration membrane technology has emerged as one of the alternative solutions for clean water supply due to its energy and cost efficiency in desalination pretreatment processes. This study aims to optimize the synthesis conditions, characterize, and evaluate the performance of nanofiltration membranes based on PES/MIL-101(Cr)/Fe₃O₄ MMM. The membranes were synthesized via phase inversion method with an optimum composition of PES 18%, MIL-101(Cr) 0.2%, and Fe₃O₄ 0.004%. The synthesized membranes were characterized using FTIR, SEM, XRD, hydrophilicity test, porosity test, tensile strength measurement, and point of zero charge (PZC) calculation. Permeability test, BSA and salt selectivity test (NaCl, CaCl₂, FeCl₃), antifouling test, and MWCO test (retention of methyl orange, rhodamine B, and PEG 3000) were conducted to evaluate the membrane performance. The results indicated successful membrane synthesis with characteristic interactions observed in the FTIR spectrum at 435 cm⁻¹ for Fe-O and 555 cm⁻¹ for Cr-O, as well as shifted interactions at wave numbers 1106 to 1111 corresponding to the O=S=O group. The addition of MIL-101(Cr)/Fe₃O₄ filler improved hydrophilicity from 73° to 54°, increased porosity from 48% to 72%, increased pore distribution and decreased pore size from 126,47 nm to 61,87 nm and enlarged crystal size from 0.83 nm to 6.4 nm. Furthermore, the addition of MIL-101(Cr)/Fe₃O₄ filler reduced the PZC value from 7.6 to 7.1. Performance tests demonstrated that filler addition enhanced permeability from 7.04 L/m².h.bar to 45.5 L/m².h.bar and selectivity towards NaCl from 40% to 93%, CaCl₂ from 44% to 93%, and FeCl₃ from 50% to 94%. The inclusion of MIL-101(Cr)/Fe₃O₄ filler also improved flux recovery ratio (FRR) from 55% to 90% and reduced total fouling ratio from 46% to 23%. MWCO tests revealed that PES/MIL-101(Cr)/Fe₃O₄ membrane exhibited a "tight" nanofiltration membrane property with a MWCO value of 327 Da.

Keywords: Nanofiltration Membrane; PES; Filler; MIL-101(Cr)/Fe₃O₄; Characterization; Performance Test

DAFTAR ISI

| | |
|--|-----|
| HALAMAN PENGESAHAN..... | iii |
| PERNYATAAN..... | iv |
| KATA PENGANTAR | v |
| UCAPAN TERIMA KASIH..... | vi |
| BAB I PENDAHULUAN..... | 1 |
| 1.1. Latar Belakang | 1 |
| 1.2. Rumusan Masalah | 3 |
| 1.3. Tujuan Penelitian..... | 3 |
| 1.4. Manfaat Penelitian..... | 4 |
| 1.5. Struktur Organisasi Tesis | 4 |
| BAB II KAJIAN PUSTAKA..... | 5 |
| 2.1 Teknologi Pemisahan Berbasis Membran | 5 |
| 2.2 Material Penyusun Membran | 13 |
| 2.3 Karakterisasi Membran | 15 |
| 2.3.2. Difraksi Sinar-X (XRD)..... | 17 |
| 2.3.3. <i>Scanning Electron Microscope</i> (SEM) | 17 |
| 2.4. Uji Kinerja Membran Filtrasi | 23 |
| 2.5. Kajian Riset Terdahulu | 25 |
| 2.6. Kerangka Berpikir Penelitian..... | 28 |
| BAB III METODOLOGI PENELITIAN..... | 29 |
| 3.1. Waktu dan Lokasi Penelitian | 29 |
| 3.2. Alat dan Bahan..... | 29 |
| 3.3. Tahap Penelitian | 29 |
| 3.4. Prosedur Penelitian | 30 |

| | |
|---|----|
| BAB IV TEMUAN DAN PEMBAHASAN..... | 38 |
| 4.1. Hasil Sintesis Membran Nanofiltrasi PES/MIL-101Cr/Fe ₃ O ₄ | 38 |
| 4.2. Karakterisasi Membran Nanofiltrasi PES/MIL-101Cr/Fe ₃ O ₄ | 38 |
| 4.2.1. Interaksi Antar Prekursor Membran | 38 |
| 4.2.2. Hidrofilisitas Membran..... | 41 |
| 4.2.3. Porositas Membran | 42 |
| 4.2.4. Struktur Kristal Membran..... | 43 |
| 4.2.5. Morfologi Membran | 45 |
| 4.2.6. Sifat Mekanik Membran | 48 |
| 4.2.7. <i>Point of Zero Charge (PZC)</i> | 50 |
| 4.3. Kinerja Membran Nanofiltrasi PES/MIL-101Cr/Fe ₃ O ₄ | 51 |
| 4.3.1. Permeabilitas..... | 51 |
| 4.3.2. Permselectivitas | 52 |
| 4.3.3. Sifat Anti Fouling | 58 |
| 4.3.4. <i>Molecular Weight Cut Off (MWCO)</i> | 60 |
| 4.3.5. Morfologi Membran nanofiltrasi PES/MIL-101Cr/Fe ₃ O ₄ setelah filtrasi | 61 |
| BAB V SIMPULAN DAN IMPLIKASI | 63 |
| 5.1. Simpulan..... | 63 |
| 5.2. Saran | 64 |
| DAFTAR PUSTAKA | 65 |
| LAMPIRAN..... | 82 |

DAFTAR GAMBAR

| | |
|---|----|
| Gambar 2. 1. Skema pemisahan menggunakan teknologi membran (Biniaz dkk., 2022) | 5 |
| Gambar 2. 2. Klasifikasi Membran | 6 |
| Gambar 2. 3. Struktur membran nonpori (Asad dkk., 2020)..... | 7 |
| Gambar 2. 4. Struktur membran berpori (Moradihamedani, 2022)..... | 8 |
| Gambar 2. 5. Klasifikasi Membran filtrasi berdasarkan ukuran pori dan aplikasinya (Selatile dkk., 2018)..... | 9 |
| Gambar 2. 6. Skema aliran fitrasi Dead-end. Gambar diadopsi dari (Bardhan dkk., 2022) | 12 |
| Gambar 2. 7. Skema aliran filtrasi hibrid (Bardhan dkk., 2022)..... | 13 |
| Gambar 2. 8. Struktur PES | 13 |
| Gambar 2. 9. (a) Struktur MIL-101 Cr segilima dan (b) Struktur pori MIL-101 Cr segienam (Zou dkk., 2022)..... | 14 |
| Gambar 2. 10. Struktur kristal Fe ₃ O ₄ (Fouad dkk., 2020)..... | 15 |
| Gambar 2. 11. Prinsip kerja Spektroskopi Fourier Transform Infrared FTIR. (Undavalli dkk., 2021) | 16 |
| Gambar 2. 12. Prinsip kerja Difraksi sinar-Ray Diffraction (Harrington & Santiso, 2021) | 17 |
| Gambar 2. 13. Prinsip kerja SEM (Munir dkk., 2021)..... | 18 |
| Gambar 2. 14. Prinsip kerja EDX. Gambar diadopsi dari (Hussain dkk., 2021) | 19 |
| Gambar 2. 15. Hubungan nilai WCA dengan sifat hidrofilisitas (Giridhar dkk., 2017) | 20 |
| Gambar 2. 16. Kurva strain terhadap stress..... | 21 |
| Gambar 2. 17. Struktur Bovine Serum Albumine (Wang et al., 2020) | 24 |
| Gambar 2. 18. Kerangka Berpikir Penelitian | 28 |
| Gambar 4. 1. Interaksi antar prekursor membran nanofiltrasi PES/MIL-101Cr/Fe ₃ O ₄ | 39 |
| Gambar 4. 2. Mekanisme interaksi antar prekursor membran nanofiltrasi PES/MIL-101Cr/Fe ₃ O ₄ | 41 |
| Gambar 4. 3. Sudut kontak air membran nanofiltrasi PES/MIL-101Cr/Fe ₃ O ₄ ... | 41 |
| Gambar 4. 4. Porositas membran nanofiltrasi PES/MIL-101Cr/Fe ₃ O ₄ | 43 |

| | |
|---|----|
| Gambar 4. 5. Difraktogram X-Ray membran nanofiltrasi PES/MIL-101Cr/Fe ₃ O ₄ | 44 |
| Gambar 4. 6. Fotograf membran secara <i>cross section</i> (a) M0 (b) M1 (c) M2 (d) M5 | 46 |
| Gambar 4. 7. Fotograf membran pada bagian surface (a) M0 (b) M1 (c) M2 (d) M5 | 47 |
| Gambar 4. 8. Kurva regangan-tegangan membran nanofiltrasi PES/MIL-101Cr/Fe ₃ O ₄ | 49 |
| Gambar 4. 9. Sifat mekanik membran pada kondisi kering dan basah | 49 |
| Gambar 4. 10. PZC membran nanofiltrasi PES/MIL-101Cr/Fe ₃ O ₄ | 50 |
| Gambar 4. 11. Fluks air murni membran nanofiltrasi PES/MIL-101Cr/Fe ₃ O ₄ ... | 51 |
| Gambar 4. 12. Rejeksi garam NaCl, CaCl ₂ dan FeCl ₃ pada membran nanofiltrasi menggunakan sistem filtrasi (a) Crossflow (b) dead-end | 55 |
| Gambar 4. 13. Fluks dan rejeksi BSA membran nanofiltrasi untuk sistem filtrasi (a) <i>crossflow</i> (b) <i>Dead-end</i> | 57 |
| Gambar 4. 14. Flux recovery ratio membran nanofiltrasi PES/MIL-101Cr/Fe ₃ O ₄ | 58 |
| Gambar 4. 15. Rasio pengotoran Rt, RR dan RiR membran nanofiltrasi PES/MIL-101Cr/Fe ₃ O ₄ | 60 |
| Gambar 4. 16. MWCO membran nanofiltrasi PES/MIL-101Cr/Fe ₃ O ₄ | 61 |
| Gambar 4. 17. Fotograf membran pasca filtrasi (a-b) M0 dan (c-d) M1..... | 62 |

DAFTAR TABEL

| | |
|--|----|
| Tabel 2. 1. Kinerja membran PES dengan berbagai filler..... | 26 |
| Tabel 4. 1. Ketebalan Membran nanofiltrasi PES/MIL-101Cr/Fe ₃ O ₄ | 38 |
| Tabel 4. 2. Puncak serapan spektra membran nanofiltrasi PES/MIL-101Cr/Fe ₃ O ₄ | 40 |
| Tabel 4. 3. Ukuran kristal pada setiap puncak difraktogram membran..... | 44 |
| Tabel 4. 4. Ukuran pori membran | 46 |

5.2. **Saran**

1. Perlu dilakukan uji kekasaran dengan metode AFM untuk mengetahui morfologi dan topografi permukaan membran yang dapat mempengaruhi pengotoran membran.
2. Perlu dilakukan uji kinerja terhadap pemisahan Li_2SO_4 , K_2SO_4 atau garam dengan ukuran yang lebih kecil.
3. Perlu dilakukan dilakukan pengambilan data PWF pada rentang waktu yang lebih lama untuk mengamati terjadinya fouling.

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Al Lafi, A. G., Assfour, B., & Assaad, T. (2020). Metal Organic Framework MIL-101(Cr): Spectroscopic Investigations to Reveal Iodine Capture Mechanism. *Journal of Inorganic and Organometallic Polymers and Materials*, 30(4), 1218–1230. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10904-019-01236-7>
- Amiri, S., Asghari, A., Vatanpour, V., & Rajabi, M. (2021). Fabrication of chitosan-aminopropylsilane graphene oxide nanocomposite hydrogel embedded PES membrane for improved filtration performance and lead separation. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 294, 112918. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.112918>
- Ansari, M. S., Raees, K., Ali Khan, M., Rafiquee, M. Z. A., & Otero, M. (2020). Kinetic Studies on the Catalytic Degradation of Rhodamine B by Hydrogen Peroxide: Effect of Surfactant Coated and Non-Coated Iron (III) Oxide Nanoparticles. *Polymers*, 12(10), 2246. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym12102246>
- Azmoon, P., Farhadian, M., Pendashteh, A., & Tangestaninejad, S. (2023). Adsorption and photocatalytic degradation of oilfield produced water by visible-light driven superhydrophobic composite of MIL-101(Cr)/Fe₃O₄-SiO₂: Synthesis, characterization and optimization. *Applied Surface Science*, 613, 155972. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2022.155972>
- Bagheripour, E., Moghadassi, A. R., Parvizian, F., Hosseini, S. M., & Van Der Bruggen, B. (2019). Tailoring the separation performance and fouling reduction of PES based nanofiltration membrane by using a PVA/Fe₃O₄

coating layer. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 144, 418–428.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2019.02.028>

Calatayud, M. P., Sanz, B., Raffa, V., Riggio, C., Ibarra, M. R., & Goya, G. F. (2014). The effect of surface charge of functionalized Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles on protein adsorption and cell uptake. *Biomaterials*, 35(24), 6389–6399.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2014.04.009>

Chen, T., Zhu, H., Chen, X., Zheng, S., Liang, F., Yang, F., Yang, S., & Zhang, Y. (2023). Full-Coverage Spongy HEAA/PES Composite Ultrafiltration Membrane with High Selectivity and Antifouling Performances. *ACS Applied Polymer Materials*, 5(4), 2727–2738.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/acsapm.3c00004>

Ghiasi, S., Behboudi, A., Mohammadi, T., & Khanlari, S. (2019). Effect of surface charge and roughness on ultrafiltration membranes performance and polyelectrolyte nanofiltration layer assembly. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 580, 123753.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2019.123753>

Gu, B., Renaud, D. L., Sanaei, P., Kondic, L., & Cummings, L. J. (2020). On the influence of pore connectivity on performance of membrane filters. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 902, A5. <https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2020.520>

Harrington, G. F., & Santiso, J. (2021). Back-to-Basics tutorial: X-ray diffraction of thin films. *Journal of Electroceramics*, 47(4), 141–163.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10832-021-00263-6>

He, H., Xin, X., Qiu, W., Li, D., Liu, Z., & Ma, J. (2022). Role of nano-Fe₃O₄ particle on improving membrane bioreactor (MBR) performance:

Alleviating membrane fouling and microbial mechanism. *Water Research*, 209, 117897. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117897>

Holtrop, F., Visscher, K. W., Jupp, A. R., & Slootweg, J. C. (2020). Steric attraction: A force to be reckoned with. Dalam *Advances in Physical Organic Chemistry* (Vol. 54, hlm. 119–141). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.apoc.2020.08.001>

Jiang, B.-L., Zhang, D.-X., Yuan, D.-D., Chen, Y.-G., Hao, T.-Z., & Song, H. (2023). Encapsulation of ionic liquid, phosphotungstic acid inside the nanocages of MIL-101(Cr): Effective and reusable catalyst for efficient solvent-free oxidative desulfurization from fuel oil. *Petroleum Science*, 20(6), 3865–3874. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petsci.2023.07.018>

Joshi, U. S., Anuradha, & Jewrajka, S. K. (2023). Tight ultrafiltration and loose nanofiltration membranes by concentration polarization-driven fast layer-by-layer self-assembly for fractionation of dye/salt. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 669, 121286. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2022.121286>

Kavitha, J., Rajalakshmi, M., Phani, A. R., & Padaki, M. (2019). Pretreatment processes for seawater reverse osmosis desalination systems—A review. *Journal of Water Process Engineering*, 32, 100926. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2019.100926>

Khoerunnisa, F., Amanda, P. C., Nurhayati, M., Hendrawan, H., Lestari, W. W., Sanjaya, E. H., Handayani, M., Oh, W.-D., & Lim, J. (2023). Promotional effect of ammonium chloride functionalization on the performance of polyethersulfone/chitosan composite-based ultrafiltration membrane.

Chemical Engineering Research and Design, 190, 366–378.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2022.12.040>

Kim, J., Cho, Y., Kim, S., & Lee, J. (2017). 3D Cocontinuous Composites of Hydrophilic and Hydrophobic Soft Materials: High Modulus and Fast Actuation Time. *ACS Macro Letters*, 6(10), 1119–1123.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/acsmacrolett.7b00642>

Kusumocahyo, S. P., Ambani, S. K., & Marceline, S. (2021). Improved permeate flux and rejection of ultrafiltration membranes prepared from polyethylene terephthalate (PET) bottle waste. *Sustainable Environment Research*, 31(1), 19. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42834-021-00091-x>

Ma, X., Wang, C., Guo, H., Wang, Z., Sun, N., Huo, P., Gu, J., & Liu, Y. (2022). Novel dopamine-modified cellulose acetate ultrafiltration membranes with improved separation and antifouling performances. *Journal of Materials Science*, 57(11), 6474–6486. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-022-07024-y>

Mahlangu, O. T., & Mamba, B. B. (2021). Interdependence of Contributing Factors Governing Dead-End Fouling of Nanofiltration Membranes. *Membranes*, 11(1), 47. <https://doi.org/10.3390/membranes11010047>

Manjula, N., Pulikkutty, S., & Chen, S.-M. (2023). Simple synthesis of MOF-derived Zn, Co electrocatalyst for sensitive detection of digoxin in urine sample. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 660, 130830. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2022.130830>

Miao, A., Wei, M., Xu, F., & Wang, Y. (2020). Influence of membrane hydrophilicity on water permeability: An experimental study bridging

simulations. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 604, 118087.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2020.118087>

Ohanessian, K., Monnot, M., Moulin, P., Ferrasse, J.-H., Barca, C., Soric, A., & Boutin, O. (2020). Dead-end and crossflow ultrafiltration process modelling: Application on chemical mechanical polishing wastewaters. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 158, 164–176.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2020.04.007>

Park, M., & Snyder, S. A. (2020). Attenuation of contaminants of emerging concerns by nanofiltration membrane: Rejection mechanism and application in water reuse. Dalam *Contaminants of Emerging Concern in Water and Wastewater* (hlm. 177–206). Elsevier.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-813561-7.00006-7>

Ray, D., & Das, B. (2019). Micellization of Ionic Liquid Surfactants Induced by Sodium Polystyrenesulfonate in Aqueous Solutions. *Journal of Solution Chemistry*, 48(11–12), 1576–1590. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10953-019-00929-4>

Razi, F., Mulyati, S., & Arahman, N. (2019). The performance of bovine serum albumin filtration by using polyethersulfone-Tetronic 304 blend Ultrafiltration Membrane. *F1000Research*, 8, 953.
<https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.18740.2>

Rehmer, B., Bayram, F., Ávila Calderón, L. A., Mohr, G., & Skrotzki, B. (2023). Elastic modulus data for additively and conventionally manufactured variants of Ti-6Al-4V, IN718 and AISI 316 L. *Scientific Data*, 10(1), 474.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-023-02387-6>

- Shahid, M. K., Mainali, B., Rout, P. R., Lim, J. W., Aslam, M., Al-Rawajfeh, A. E., & Choi, Y. (2023). A Review of Membrane-Based Desalination Systems Powered by Renewable Energy Sources. *Water*, 15(3), 534. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w15030534>
- Suhaim, N. S., Kasim, N., Mahmoudi, E., Shamsudin, I. J., Mohammad, A. W., Mohamed Zuki, F., & Jamari, N. L.-A. (2022). Rejection Mechanism of Ionic Solute Removal by Nanofiltration Membranes: An Overview. *Nanomaterials*, 12(3), 437. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nano12030437>
- Tamburini, A., Cipollina, A., Tedesco, M., Gurreri, L., Ciofalo, M., & Micale, G. (2019). The REAPower Project. Dalam *Current Trends and Future Developments on (Bio-) Membranes* (hlm. 407–448). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-813551-8.00017-6>
- Wang, Y., Fu, X., Pan, T., Ma, X., Cao, H., & Jiang, W. (2022). Stable effect on MIL-101(Cr) with Cu²⁺ for the toluene adsorption. *Journal of Solid State Chemistry*, 316, 123633. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2022.123633>
- Wang, Z., Xiao, K., & Wang, X. (2018). Role of coexistence of negative and positive membrane surface charges in electrostatic effect for salt rejection by nanofiltration. *Desalination*, 444, 75–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2018.07.010>
- Westworth, S., Ashwath, N., & Cozzolino, D. (2019). Application of FTIR-ATR spectroscopy to detect salinity response in Beauty Leaf Tree (*Calophyllum inophyllum* L.). *Energy Procedia*, 160, 761–768. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2019.02.182>

- Xiong, Z., Huang, Y., Huang, Z., Shi, Y., Qu, F., Zhang, G., Yang, J., & Zhao, S. (2022). Confining Nano-Fe₃O₄ in the Superhydrophilic Membrane Skin Layer to Minimize Internal Fouling. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*, *14*(22), 26044–26056. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.2c04685>
- Xu, F., Wei, M., Zhang, X., Song, Y., Zhou, W., & Wang, Y. (2019). How Pore Hydrophilicity Influences Water Permeability? *Research*, *2019*, 2019/2581241. <https://doi.org/10.34133/2019/2581241>
- Yin, J., Tang, H., Xu, Z., & Li, N. (2021). Enhanced mechanical strength and performance of sulfonated polysulfone/Tröger's base polymer blend ultrafiltration membrane. *Journal of Membrane Science*, *625*, 119138. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2021.119138>
- Zare, A., Bordbar, A.-K., Razmjou, A., & Jafarian, F. (2019). The immobilization of *Candida rugosa* lipase on the modified polyethersulfone with MOF nanoparticles as an excellent performance bioreactor membrane. *Journal of Biotechnology*, *289*, 55–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2018.11.011>
- Zhang, J., Huang, S., Guo, H., Fane, A. G., & Tang, C. Y. (2022). Effects of crossflow filtration cell configuration on membrane separation performance and fouling behaviour. *Desalination*, *525*, 115505. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2021.115505>
- Zhang, X., Wang, Y., Mi, J., Jin, J., & Meng, H. (2023). Dual hydrophobic modification on MIL-101(Cr) with outstanding toluene removal under high relative humidity. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, *451*, 139000. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2022.139000>

- Zhao, J., & Liu, X. (2022). Electron microscopic methods (TEM, SEM and energy dispersal spectroscopy). Dalam *Reference Module in Earth Systems and Environmental Sciences* (hlm. B9780128229743000136). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-822974-3.00013-6>
- Zou, M., Dong, M., & Zhao, T. (2022). Advances in Metal-Organic Frameworks MIL-101(Cr). *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 23(16), 9396. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23169396>
- Abdelkader, B. A., Antar, M. A., & Khan, Z. (2018). Nanofiltration as a Pretreatment Step in Seawater Desalination: A Review. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, 43(9), 4413–4432. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-018-3096-3>
- Abdel-Karim, A., Ismail, S. H., Bayoumy, A. M., Ibrahim, M., & Mohamed, G. G. (2021). Antifouling PES/Cu@Fe₃O₄ mixed matrix membranes: Quantitative structure–activity relationship (QSAR) modeling and wastewater treatment potentiality. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 407, 126501. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.126501>
- Alammar, A., & Szekely, G. (2022). Polymer-based nanofiltration membranes. Dalam *Advancement in Polymer-Based Membranes for Water Remediation* (hlm. 159–196). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-88514-0.00018-8>
- Alenazi, N. A., Hussein, M. A., Alamry, K. A., & Asiri, A. M. (2017). Modified polyether-sulfone membrane: A mini review. *Designed Monomers and Polymers*, 20(1), 532–546. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15685551.2017.1398208>
- Aliyu, U. M., Rathilal, S., & Isa, Y. M. (2018). Membrane desalination technologies in water treatment: A review. *Water Practice and Technology*, 13(4), 738–752. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wpt.2018.084>
- Alsamhary, K. I. (2020). Eco-friendly synthesis of silver nanoparticles by *Bacillus subtilis* and their antibacterial activity. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*, 27(8), 2185–2191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2020.04.026>

- Alsayed, A. F. M., & Ashraf, M. A. (2021). Modified nanofiltration membrane treatment of saline water. Dalam *Water Engineering Modeling and Mathematic Tools* (hlm. 25–44). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-820644-7.00005-0>
- Ang, M. B. M. Y., Tang, C.-L., De Guzman, M. R., Maganto, H. L. C., Caparanga, A. R., Huang, S.-H., Tsai, H.-A., Hu, C.-C., Lee, K.-R., & Lai, J.-Y. (2020). Improved performance of thin-film nanofiltration membranes fabricated with the intervention of surfactants having different structures for water treatment. *Desalination*, *481*, 114352. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2020.114352>
- Asad, A., Sameoto, D., & Sadrzadeh, M. (2020). Overview of membrane technology. Dalam *Nanocomposite Membranes for Water and Gas Separation* (hlm. 1–28). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816710-6.00001-8>
- Ashfaq, M. Y., Da'na, D. A., Wahib, S. A., & Al-Ghouti, M. A. (2021). The integrated/hybrid membrane systems for membrane desalination. Dalam *Integrated and Hybrid Process Technology for Water and Wastewater Treatment* (hlm. 145–170). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-823031-2.00013-6>
- Bagheripour, E., Moghadassi, A. R., Parvizian, F., Hosseini, S. M., & Van Der Bruggen, B. (2019). Tailoring the separation performance and fouling reduction of PES based nanofiltration membrane by using a PVA/Fe₃O₄ coating layer. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, *144*, 418–428. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2019.02.028>
- Bardhan, A., Akhtar, A., & Subbiah, S. (2022). Microfiltration and ultrafiltration membrane technologies. Dalam *Advancement in Polymer-Based Membranes for Water Remediation* (hlm. 3–42). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-88514-0.00001-2>
- Bargeman, G. (2023). Maximum allowable retention for low-salt-rejection reverse osmosis membranes and its effect on concentrating undersaturated NaCl solutions to saturation. *Separation and Purification Technology*, *317*, 123854. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2023.123854>

- Berber, M. R. (2020). Current Advances of Polymer Composites for Water Treatment and Desalination. *Journal of Chemistry*, 2020, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/7608423>
- Biniaz, P., Rahimpour, E., Basile, A., & Rahimpour, M. R. (2022). Fundamentals of membrane technology. Dalam *Current Trends and Future Developments on (Bio-) Membranes* (hlm. 1–23). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-822257-7.00011-X>
- Boo, C., Wang, Y., Zucker, I., Choo, Y., Osuji, C. O., & Elimelech, M. (2018). High Performance Nanofiltration Membrane for Effective Removal of Perfluoroalkyl Substances at High Water Recovery. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 52(13), 7279–7288. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b01040>
- Buonomenna, M. G. (2022). Mixed matrix membranes for gas separation. Dalam *Nano-Enhanced and Nanostructured Polymer-Based Membranes for Energy Applications* (hlm. 203–254). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-101985-6.00007-0>
- Cai, X., Lei, T., Sun, D., & Lin, L. (2017). A critical analysis of the α , β and γ phases in poly(vinylidene fluoride) using FTIR. *RSC Advances*, 7(25), 15382–15389. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C7RA01267E>
- Chai, P. V., Law, J. Y., Mahmoudi, E., & Mohammad, A. W. (2020). Development of iron oxide decorated graphene oxide (Fe₃O₄/GO) PSf mixed-matrix membrane for enhanced antifouling behavior. *Journal of Water Process Engineering*, 38, 101673. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2020.101673>
- Chakraverty, R., Samanta, K., Mandal, P., Karmakar, S., & Karmakar, S. (2023). Mechanisms of action of antibacterial agents (AMA). Dalam *How Synthetic Drugs Work* (hlm. 421–429). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-99855-0.00018-X>
- Chauke, N. M., Moutloali, R. M., & Ramontja, J. (2020). Development of ZSM-22/Polyethersulfone Membrane for Effective Salt Rejection. *Polymers*, 12(7), 1446. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym12071446>
- Chen, W., Gu, Z., Ran, G., & Li, Q. (2021). Application of membrane separation technology in the treatment of leachate in China: A review. *Waste Management*, 121, 127–140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2020.12.002>

- Cunliffe, A. J., Askew, P. D., Stephan, I., Iredale, G., Cosemans, P., Simmons, L. M., Verran, J., & Redfern, J. (2021). How Do We Determine the Efficacy of an Antibacterial Surface? A Review of Standardised Antibacterial Material Testing Methods. *Antibiotics*, *10*(9), 1069. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics10091069>
- Fadel, M., Wyart, Y., & Moulin, P. (2020). An Efficient Method to Determine Membrane Molecular Weight Cut-Off Using Fluorescent Silica Nanoparticles. *Membranes*, *10*(10), 271. <https://doi.org/10.3390/membranes10100271>
- Folens, K., Leus, K., Nicomel, N. R., Meledina, M., Turner, S., Van Tendeloo, G., Laing, G. D., & Van Der Voort, P. (2016). Fe₃O₄@MIL-101 – A Selective and Regenerable Adsorbent for the Removal of As Species from Water. *European Journal of Inorganic Chemistry*, *2016*(27), 4395–4401. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.201600160>
- Fouad, D., Bachra, Y., Ayoub, G., Ouaket, A., Bennamara, A., Knouzi, N., & Berrada, M. (2020). A Novel Drug Delivery System Based on Nanoparticles of Magnetite Fe₃O₄ Embedded in an Auto Cross-Linked Chitosan. Dalam *Chitin and Chitosan—Physicochemical Properties and Industrial Applications [Working Title]*. IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.94873>
- Gabrielyan, L., Badalyan, H., Gevorgyan, V., & Trchounian, A. (2020). Comparable antibacterial effects and action mechanisms of silver and iron oxide nanoparticles on Escherichia coli and Salmonella typhimurium. *Scientific Reports*, *10*(1), 13145. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-70211-x>
- Giridhar, G., Manepalli, R. K. N. R., & Apparao, G. (2017). Contact Angle Measurement Techniques for Nanomaterials. Dalam *Thermal and Rheological Measurement Techniques for Nanomaterials Characterization* (hlm. 173–195). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-46139-9.00008-6>
- Gorjian, S., Ghobadian, B., Ebadi, H., Ketabchi, F., & Khanmohammadi, S. (2020). Applications of solar PV systems in desalination technologies. Dalam

Photovoltaic Solar Energy Conversion (hlm. 237–274). Elsevier.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819610-6.00008-9>

- Goudarzi, S., Azizi, N., Eslami, R., & Zarrin, H. (2022). Polymer-based nanoenhanced nanofiltration membranes. Dalam *Advancement in Polymer-Based Membranes for Water Remediation* (hlm. 197–235). Elsevier.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-88514-0.00003-6>
- Gozali Balkanloo, P., Mahmoudian, M., & Hosseinzadeh, M. T. (2020). A comparative study between MMT-Fe₃O₄/PES, MMT-HBE/PES, and MMT-acid activated/PES mixed matrix membranes. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 396, 125188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.125188>
- Harrington, G. F., & Santiso, J. (2021). Back-to-Basics tutorial: X-ray diffraction of thin films. *Journal of Electroceramics*, 47(4), 141–163.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10832-021-00263-6>
- Hosseini, S. M., Afshari, M., Fazlali, A. R., Koudzari Farahani, S., Bandehali, S., Van Der Bruggen, B., & Bagheripour, E. (2019). Mixed matrix PES-based nanofiltration membrane decorated by (Fe₃O₄–polyvinylpyrrolidone) composite nanoparticles with intensified antifouling and separation characteristics. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 147, 390–398.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2019.05.025>
- Hussain, C. M., Rawtani, D., Pandey, G., & Tharmavaram, M. (2021). Energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) coupled microscopy in forensic science. Dalam *Handbook of Analytical Techniques for Forensic Samples* (hlm. 281–300). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-822300-0.00015-X>
- Jee, H., Jang, J., Kang, Y., Eisa, T., Chae, K.-J., Kim, I. S., & Yang, E. (2022). Enhancing the Dye-Rejection Efficiencies and Stability of Graphene Oxide-Based Nanofiltration Membranes via Divalent Cation Intercalation and Mild Reduction. *Membranes*, 12(4), 402.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/membranes12040402>
- Kamari, S., & Shahbazi, A. (2020). Biocompatible Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-NH₂ nanocomposite as a green nanofiller embedded in PES–nanofiltration membrane matrix for salts, heavy metal ion and dye removal: Long–term

operation and reusability tests. *Chemosphere*, 243, 125282.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.125282>

- Kanwal, A., Yaqoob, A. A., Siddique, A., Ibrahim, M. N. M., & Ahmad, A. (2023). Polyethersulfone (PES) nanofiltration membrane for treatment of toxic metal contaminated water. Dalam *Emerging Techniques for Treatment of Toxic Metals from Wastewater* (hlm. 319–341). Elsevier.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-822880-7.00004-2>
- Kavun, V., Van Der Veen, M. A., & Repo, E. (2021). Selective recovery and separation of rare earth elements by organophosphorus modified MIL-101(Cr). *Microporous and Mesoporous Materials*, 312, 110747.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micromeso.2020.110747>
- Kayvani Fard, A., McKay, G., Buekenhoudt, A., Al Sulaiti, H., Motmans, F., Khraisheh, M., & Atieh, M. (2018). Inorganic Membranes: Preparation and Application for Water Treatment and Desalination. *Materials*, 11(1), 74.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/ma11010074>
- Khan, F. S. A., Ahmed, S., Karri, R. R., Mubarak, N. M., Jatoi, A. S., Khalid, M., Tan, Y. H., Khan, N. A., & Koduru, J. R. (2023). Nanofiltration membranes for wastewater treatment and biotechnological applications. Dalam *Hybrid Nanomaterials for Sustainable Applications* (hlm. 321–337). Elsevier.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-98371-6.00001-X>
- Kong, N., Chen, C., Zeng, Q., Li, B., Shen, L., & Lin, H. (2022). Enriching Fe₃O₄@MoS₂ composites in surface layer to fabricate polyethersulfone (PES) composite membrane: The improved performance and mechanisms. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 302, 122178.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2022.122178>
- Li, G., He, X., Yin, F., Chen, B., & Yin, H. (2019). Co-Fe/MIL-101(Cr) hybrid catalysts: Preparation and their electrocatalysis in oxygen reduction reaction. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 44(23), 11754–11764.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.03.095>
- Liu, J., Bahadoran, A., Emami, N., Al-Musawi, T. J., Dawood, F. A., Nasajpour-Esfahani, N., Najafipour, I., Mousavi, S. E., Ghazuan, T., Mosallanezhad, M., & Toghraie, D. (2023). Removal of diclofenac sodium and cefixime

from wastewater by polymeric PES mixed-matrix-membranes embedded with MIL101-OH/Chitosan. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 172, 588–593. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2023.02.060>

- Mahmodi, G., Bafti, R. R., Boroujeni, N. I., Pradhan, S., Dangwal, S., Sengupta, B., Vatanpour, V., Sorci, M., Fathizadeh, M., Bikkina, P., Belfort, G., Yu, M., & Kim, S.-J. (2023). Improving cellulose acetate mixed matrix membranes by incorporating hydrophilic MIL-101(Cr)-NH₂ nanoparticles for treating dye/salt solution. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 477, 146736. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2023.146736>
- Manawi, Y., Kochkodan, V., Mahmoudi, E., Johnson, D. J., Mohammad, A. W., & Atieh, M. A. (2017). Characterization and Separation Performance of a Novel Polyethersulfone Membrane Blended with Acacia Gum. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 15831. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-14735-9>
- Moradihamedani, P. (2022). Recent advances in dye removal from wastewater by membrane technology: A review. *Polymer Bulletin*, 79(4), 2603–2631. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00289-021-03603-2>
- Munir, N., Hanif, M., Dias, D. A., & Abideen, Z. (2021). The role of halophytic nanoparticles towards the remediation of degraded and saline agricultural lands. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 28(43), 60383–60405. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-16139-9>
- Nagy, E. (2019). *Basic equations of the mass transport through a membrane layer* (Second edition). Elsevier.
- Nguyen, M. D., Tran, H.-V., Xu, S., & Lee, T. R. (2021). Fe₃O₄ Nanoparticles: Structures, Synthesis, Magnetic Properties, Surface Functionalization, and Emerging Applications. *Applied Sciences*, 11(23), 11301. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app112311301>
- Obotey Ezugbe, E., & Rathilal, S. (2020a). Membrane Technologies in Wastewater Treatment: A Review. *Membranes*, 10(5), 89. <https://doi.org/10.3390/membranes10050089>
- Obotey Ezugbe, E., & Rathilal, S. (2020b). Membrane Technologies in Wastewater Treatment: A Review. *Membranes*, 10(5), 89. <https://doi.org/10.3390/membranes10050089>

- Perez-Gavilan, A., De Castro, J. V., Arana, A., Merino, S., Retolaza, A., Alves, S. A., Francone, A., Kehagias, N., Sotomayor-Torres, C. M., Cocina, D., Mortera, R., Crapanzano, S., Pelegrín, C. J., Garrigos, M. C., Jiménez, A., Galindo, B., Araque, M. C., Dykeman, D., Neves, N. M., & Marimón, J. M. (2021). Antibacterial activity testing methods for hydrophobic patterned surfaces. *Scientific Reports*, *11*(1), 6675. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-85995-9>
- Qadir, D., Idris, A., Nasir, R., Abdul Mannan, H., Sharif, R., & Mukhtar, H. (2023). Prediction of single salt rejection in PES/CMS based membranes. *Chemosphere*, *311*, 136987. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.136987>
- Qiu, S., Wang, Y., Wan, J., Han, J., Ma, Y., & Wang, S. (2020). Enhancing water stability of MIL-101(Cr) by doping Ni(II). *Applied Surface Science*, *525*, 146511. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2020.146511>
- Rajati, H., Navarchian, A. H., Rodrigue, D., & Tangestaninejad, S. (2021). Effect of immobilizing ionic liquid on amine-functionalized MIL-101(Cr) incorporated in Matrimid membranes for CO₂/CH₄ separation. *Chemical Engineering and Processing - Process Intensification*, *168*, 108590. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2021.108590>
- Selatile, M. K., Ray, S. S., Ojijo, V., & Sadiku, R. (2018). Recent developments in polymeric electrospun nanofibrous membranes for seawater desalination. *RSC Advances*, *8*(66), 37915–37938. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C8RA07489E>
- Sharma, P., & Shahi, V. K. (2020). Assembly of MIL-101(Cr)-sulphonated poly(ether sulfone) membrane matrix for selective electro dialytic separation of Pb²⁺ from mono-/bi-valent ions. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, *382*, 122688. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.122688>
- Song, N., Sun, Y., Xie, X., Wang, D., Shao, F., Yu, L., & Dong, L. (2020). Doping MIL-101(Cr)@GO in polyamide nanocomposite membranes with improved water flux. *Desalination*, *492*, 114601. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2020.114601>
- Su, Q.-W., Lu, H., Zhang, J.-Y., & Zhang, L.-Z. (2019). Fabrication and analysis of a highly hydrophobic and permeable block GO-PVP/PVDF membrane

- for membrane humidification-dehumidification desalination. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 582, 367–380. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2019.04.023>
- Subasi, Y., & Cicek, B. (2017). Recent advances in hydrophilic modification of PVDF ultrafiltration membranes – a review: Part I. *Membrane Technology*, 2017(10), 7–12. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-2118\(17\)30191-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-2118(17)30191-X)
- Suwaileh, W. A., Johnson, D. J., Sarp, S., & Hilal, N. (2018). Advances in forward osmosis membranes: Altering the sub-layer structure via recent fabrication and chemical modification approaches. *Desalination*, 436, 176–201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2018.01.035>
- Tang, Y., Yin, X., Mu, M., Jiang, Y., Li, X., Zhang, H., & Ouyang, T. (2020). Anatase TiO₂@MIL-101(Cr) nanocomposite for photocatalytic degradation of bisphenol A. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 596, 124745. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2020.124745>
- Undavalli, V. K., Ling, C., & Khandelwal, B. (2021). Impact of alternative fuels and properties on elastomer compatibility. Dalam *Aviation Fuels* (hlm. 113–132). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818314-4.00001-7>
- Wang, H., Yang, J., Zhang, H., Zhao, J., Liu, H., Wang, J., Li, G., & Liang, H. (2024). Membrane-based technology in water and resources recovery from the perspective of water social circulation: A review. *Science of The Total Environment*, 908, 168277. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.168277>
- Wang, Y.-N., Goh, K., Li, X., Setiawan, L., & Wang, R. (2018). Membranes and processes for forward osmosis-based desalination: Recent advances and future prospects. *Desalination*, 434, 81–99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2017.10.028>
- Yadav, S., Ibrar, I., Samal, A. K., Altaee, A., Déon, S., Zhou, J., & Ghaffour, N. (2022). Preparation of fouling resistant and highly perm-selective novel PSf/GO-vanillin nanofiltration membrane for efficient water purification. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 421, 126744. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.126744>