DECLARATION

I declare that this research paper entitled "CLASSROOM INTERACTION: AN ANALYSIS OF TEACHER'S LANGUAGE CHOICE OF L1 AND TL IN EFL CLASSROOM" (A Descriptive Study of an English Language Teacher in One of Junior High Schools in Majalengka) submitted for Sarjana Pendidikan degree is entirely the result of my own work. I am fully aware that I have quoted some statements and ideas from various sources. All quotations are already properly acknowledgement

Bandung, August 2014

Fitri Aprianti

PREFACE

Bismillahirrohmanirrohim...

Alhamdulillahirobbil'alamin, on behalf of the kindness of Allah SWT,

this paper finally can be accomplished, blessing and peace also be offered upon

Muhammad SAW, the greatest messenger and noblest leader.

This research paper, which is basically arranged for the purpose of

partially fulfilling requirements of Sarjana Pendidikan degree, has actually

prompted lots of valuable lessons. As the process of completing the research

requires me to understand concept, methodology and step thus it could also being

able to lead me to be more critical, patience and even work harder in order to

accomplish this work.

However, I do realize that this paper still has limitations. Therefore,

constructive suggestion and critiques toward this paper will be beneficial for my

improvement. Finally, I do also hope that this research paper may become an

advantageous contribution for the readers.

Bandung, August 2014

Fitri Aprianti

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I realize that the competition of this research paper will not happen without contribution and support from many people. Therefore, I would like to firstly express my sincerely gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Dr. H. Didi Suherdi, M.Ed., Rojab Siti Rodliyah, S.Pd., M.Ed. and Iyen Nurlelawati, M.Pd. for their insightful guidance, numerous encouragement and suggestion which made the accomplishment of this research paper possible.

My grates grattitude will also be delivered to none other than my beloved parents, Bapak H. Rohman and Ibu Hj. Titin Sultini, who always call my name in every single prayer they made, for their constantly love, trust and also endless support.

I also would like to thank for my brother Iim Abdurochim S.Pd and Sivlia Hendriani S.pd who always give support and encouragement in various ways. The greatest thanks will also goes to my adorable nephew Kaka Evareta Calya Atallah and my beloved cousin Elvaro Azzam Attalah (Dede Elvar) who have became the source of inspiration for me. A special thanks also goes to Bambang Setiawan S.Pd. who especially helped me in finding some literature from various sources and also for giving encouragement in various ways.

I would also like to take this opportunity to express my thank to my dearest friends Annisa Syamrotul P., Debi, Indar, Neni, Novi, Nur, Raita and all of the people who are joined together in class B3 and all students in English Edu B and A as well. My thanks are also delivered to Teti, Mey, Orin and Lian who together from the beginning of our high school graduation we keep supporting to each other in order to reach our dream.

Last but not least, I also own my gratitude to the teacher participant and her students as well as the school board. Without their participation this research paper would never have been possible.

To all people that I cannot mention one by one, thank you very much.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	i
PREFACE	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF FIGURE	ix
LIST OF TABLE	X
LIST OF CHART	xi
LIST OF APPENDICIES	xii
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Research Questions	4
1.3 Purpose of the Study	4
1.4 Scope of the Study	4
1.5 Significance of the Study	5
1.6 Research Methodology	5
1.6.1 Research Design	5
1.6.2 Site and Participant of the Study	6
1.6.3 Data collection	6
1.6.4 Data Analysis	6
1.7 Clarification of Terms	7
1.7.1 Classroom Interaction	7
1.7.2 Teacher's Language Choice	7

1.7.3 TL and L1	7
1.7.3 English as Foreign Language (EFL) Classroom	8
1.8 Organization of the Paper	8
CHAPTER II THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK	9
2.1 Language Choice	9
2.1.1 Definition of Language Choice	9
2.1.2 Language Choice in the Classroom Interaction	10
2.2 Classroom Interaction	13
2.3 Discourse	15
2.4 Discourse Analysis and Classroom Discourse Analysis	16
2.4.1 A Brief over View on Discourse Analysis	16
2.4.2 Classroom Discourse Analysis	16
2.4.2.1 Discourse Structure	17
2.4.2.2 Move Categories	19
a. Synoptic Moves in Exchange Categories	19
b. Dynamic Moves	21
1) Suspending System	21
2) Aborting System	22
3) Elucidating System	22
4) Sustaining System	22
2.4.2.2 Exchange Categories	22
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	24
3.1 Research Design	24
3.2 Participant of the Research	25
3.3 Data Collection	25
3.3.1 Classroom observation	25
3.3.2 Interview.	26

CHAPTER IV FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION
4.1 Findings
4.1.1 Teacher's Language Choice
4.1.1.1 English Lessons and Teacher's Language Choice
a. The Division Labor of L1 and TL Based on Word Count
System
b. The Division Labor of L1 and TL Based on the
Distribution of the Exchange Categories
1) Non-Anomalous Exchanges
a) Knowledge Oriented Exchanges
b) Action Oriented Exchanges
2) Anomalous Exchanges
4.1.1.2 Pedagogical Events and Teacher's Language Choice
a. Pre Activity
b. Whilst Activity
c. Post Activity
4.1.2 The Function of Teacher's L1 use
4.1.2.1 The Distribution of Synoptic Moves Percentage in
Relation to the Teacher's L1 Function
a. K1 Moves
b. DK1 Moves
c. K2 Moves
4.1.2.2 The Distribution of Dynamic Moves Percentage in
Relation to the Teacher's L1 Function

a. Suspending Systems	52
b. Aborting and Elucidating Systems	54
c. Sustaining Systems	54
4.2 Discussion	58
4.2.1 Teacher's Language Choice	58
4.2.2 Teacher's L1 Function	59
CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION	61
5.1 Conclusion	61
5.1.1 Teacher's Language Choice	61
5.1.2 The Functions of the Teacher's L1 Use	62
5.1.2 The Functions of the Teacher's L1 Use	62 63
5.2 Suggestion	63

LIST OF FIGURE

Figure 2.1	Sinclair and Coulthard (1975) Framework Analysis	19
Figure 2.2	Categories of Exchange Structure.	23
Figure 2.3	Interval Scaled of the Teacher's L1 Percentage	27
Figure 2.4	Representation of the scale of the L1 percentage	28

LIST OF TABLE

Table 4.1	The Proportion of the Teacher's Language Choice from Four Meetings Based on Word Count System which was adapted from Rolin-Ianziti and Brownlie (2002) and Shimura (2007)	31
Table 4.2	The Distribution of Exchange Categories in Relation to the Teacher's Language Choice	32
Table 4.3	The Distribution of Knowledge Oriented Exchanges in Relation to the Pattern of Teacher's Language Choice (Between TL and L1)	34
Table 4.4	The Distribution of Knowledge Oriented Exchanges in Relation to the Pattern of Teacher's Language Choice (between TL and L1)	36
Table 4.5	The Distribution of Anomalous Exchange Categories in Relation to the Pattern of Teacher's Language Choice (Between TL and L1)	38
Table 4.6	The Distribution of the Teacher's Language Choice in Each of the Teaching Stages Based on Word Count Analysis	40
Table 4.7	The Distribution of Synoptic Move Percentage in Relation to the Teacher's L1 Function.	47
Table 4.8	The Distribution of Dynamic Move Percentage in Relation to the Teacher's Language Choice	50
Table 4.9	The Distribution of Dynamic Move Percentage in Relation to the Teacher's L1 Function	51

LIST OF CHART

Diagram 4.1	The Distribution of Suspending Move Percentage in Relation to the Teacher's L1 Function	52
Diagram 4.2	The Distribution of Suspending Move Percentage in Relation to the Teacher's L1 Function	52
Diagram 4.3	The Distribution of Aborting and Elucidating Move Percentage in Relation to the Teacher's L1 Function	54
Diagram 4.4	The Distribution of Sustaining Move Percentage in Relation to the Teacher's L1 Function	55

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A Video Recording Transcriptions

Appendix B Calculation of Exchange Categories

Appendix C Interview Transcription

Appendix D Archives of Letter