

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

STATEMENT OF AUTHORIZATION .....	i
PREFACE .....	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT .....	iii
ABSTRACT .....	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	vi
LIST OF TABLES .....	ix
LIST OF FIGURES .....	x
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1 Background of the Study .....	1
1.2 Research Question .....	6
1.3 Aims of the Study .....	6
1.4 Scope of the Study .....	6
1.5 Significance of the Study .....	7
1.6 Research Methodology .....	7
1.6.1 Research Design .....	7
1.6.2 Site and the Participants .....	8
1.6.3 Data Collection .....	8
1.6.4 Data Analysis .....	8
1.7 Clarification of the Terms .....	9
1.8 Organization of the Paper .....	10
CHAPTER II THEORETICAL FOUNDATION .....	11
2.1 Argument in General .....	11
2.1.1 Three Kinds of Argument .....	12
A. Deductive Argument .....	12
B. Inductive Argument .....	13
C. Conductive Argument .....	14
2.1.2 Arguments and Philosophy .....	16
2.2 Toulmin's Argumentation Model .....	18

2.3 The First Level of Analysis: The Soundness of an Argument .....	20
2.3.1 Claim .....	20
2.3.2 Grounds .....	22
2.3.3 Warrant .....	23
2.3.4 Backing .....	24
2.3.5 Sample of Argument .....	24
2.4 The Second Level of Analysis: The Strength of an Argument .....	25
2.4.1 Qualifier .....	25
2.4.2 Rebuttal .....	27
2.5 Previous Studies .....	27
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY .....	30
3.1 Introduction .....	30
3.2 Formulation of Problems .....	30
3.3 Research Design .....	31
3.4 Data Collection .....	31
3.5 Data Analysis .....	32
3.5.1 Cogency Analysis, Soundness Analysis and Strength Level Analysis .....	32
3.5.2 Examples of Data Analysis .....	33
3.5.2.1 Stage 1 : Cogency Analysis .....	33
3.5.2.2 Stage 2 : Soundness Analysis .....	34
3.5.2.3 Stage 3 : Strength Level Analysis .....	35
CHAPTER IV FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS .....	37
4.1 Findings .....	37
4.1.1 The Qualifications of Arguments' Strength .....	40
A. Strong Argument .....	41
B. Weak Argument .....	50
C. Very Weak Argument .....	55
4.1.2 Fallacy .....	56
4.2 Obama's Argument vs Romney Argument .....	57
CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS .....	58

5.1 Conclusions .....	58
5.2 Suggestions .....	59
BIBLIOGRAPHY .....	60

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Type of Claim and Examples .....	21
Table 2.2 Type of Grounds and Examples .....	22
Table 2.3 Type of Warrant and Examples .....	23
Table 3.1 Example of Cogency Analysis .....	33
Table 3.2 Example of Soundness Analysis .....	34
Table 4.1 The Data Analysis Results .....	37
Table 4.2 The Percentage of Each Arguments' Strength Qualification Between the Two Candidates .....	57

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 Distinguishing the Three Kinds of Arguments .....	21
Figure 2 Toulmin's Basic Argumentation Pattern .....	22
Figure 3 Complete Pattern of Toulmin's Argumentation Model .....	23
Figure 4 Argumentation Pattern with the Addition of Backing .....	33
Figure 5 Argumentation Pattern Example .....	34
Figure 6 Obama's Argument Pattern in Transcription No.2 .....	42
Figure 7 Romney's Argument Pattern in Transcription No.21 .....	46
Figure 8 An example of weak argument that results from missing backing (taken from Romney's argument structure in transcription No.3) .....	47
Figure 9 An example of weak argument that results from missing rebuttal (taken from Romney's argument structure in transcription No.18) .....	48
Figure 10 An example of weak argument that results from missing backing and rebuttal (taken from Obama's argument structure in transcription No.10) .....	49
Figure 11 An example of weak argument that results from uncogent and missing backing (taken from Obama's argument structure in transcription No.15) .....	50
Figure 12 An example of weak argument that results from uncogent and missing rebuttal (taken from Romney's argument structure in transcription No.36) .....	51
Figure 13 An example of very weak argument that results from uncogent, missing. backing and missing rebuttal (taken from Romney's argument structure in transcription No.25) .....	52
Figure 14 An example of fallacy from unwarranted assumptions (taken from Romney's argument structure in transcription No.37) .....	53