

STUDI MOTIVASI SISWI SMK MEMILIH PROFESI BIDANG TEKNIK

TESIS

*diajukan untuk memenuhi salah satu syarat dalam memperoleh gelar
Magister Pendidikan*



Oleh
Aisyah Aulia Rukmana
1907105

**DEPARTEMEN PENDIDIKAN TEKNOLOGI DAN KEJURUAN
SEKOLAH PASCASARJANA
UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN INDONESIA
2022**

STUDI MOTIVASI SISWI SMK MEMILIH PROFESI BIDANG TEKNIK

oleh:

Aisyah Aulia Rukmana

NIM. 1907105

Sebuah tesis yang diajukan untuk memenuhi salah satu syarat memperoleh gelar
Magister Pendidikan Teknologi dan Kejuruan

© Aisyah Aulia Rukmana

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia

Agustus 2022

Hak Cipta dilindungi Undang-Undang.

Tesis ini tidak boleh diperbanyak seluruhnya atau sebagian,

dengan dicetak ulang, difoto kopi, atau cara lainnya tanpa izin dari penulis.

HALAMAN PENGESAHAN TESIS

Aisyah Aulia Rukmana
NIM. 1907105

STUDI INVESTIGASI MOTIVASI SISWI SMK MEMILIH JURUSAN
BIDANG TEKNIK

Disetujui dan disahkan oleh :
Dosen Penguji,



Dr. Ir. H. Dadang Lukman Hakim, M. T.
NIP. 19610604 198603 1 001



Dr. Siscka Elvyanti, M.T.
NIP. 19731122 200112 2 002



Dr. H. Bambang Trisno, M.SIE
NIP. 19610309 198610 1 001



Dr. Jaja Kustija, M.Sc.
NIP. 19591231 198503 1 022

Mengetahui,

Ketua Program Studi Pendidikan Teknologi dan Kejuruan
Sekolah Pascasarjana Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia,



Prof. Dr. Ade Gafar Abdullah, M. Si.
NIP. 19721113 199903 1 001

ABSTRAK

Pesatnya perkembangan ilmu serta teknologi dibidang *engineering* memerlukan tenaga- tenaga yang handal dengan kualifikasi yang memadai. Jumlah siswi SMK yang memilih jurusan bidang teknik dari tahun ke tahun jumlah terus meningkat serta kebutuhan perempuan sebagai tenaga ahli dalam bidang teknik semakin bertambah. Metode yang digunakan wawancara semi terstruktur. Tujuan dari penelitian ini adalah untuk mengetahui motivasi siswi SMK dalam memilih jurusan, yang dapat dilihat dari faktor internal dan eksternal. Sembilan orang siswi SMK sudah diwawancarai. Hasil penelitian ini dapat disimpulkan bahwa motivasi siswi SMK memilih jurusan bidang teknologi yaitu (1) lokasi sekolah dekat dengan rumah, (2) tidak ada pilihan jurusan lain. Meskipun alasan siswi memilih tanpa diikuti keinginan untuk mencari informasi tentang jurusan yang dipilih.

Kata Kunci : Motivasi, siswi SMK, memilih jurusan teknik,

ABSTRACT

The rapid development of science and technology in the field of engineering requires reliable personnel with adequate qualifications. The number of vocational school students who choose engineering majors from year to year continues to increase and the need for women as experts in the field of engineering is increasing. The method used is semi-structured interviews. The purpose of this study was to determine the motivation of vocational school students in choosing majors, which can be seen from internal and external factors. Nine vocational school students have been interviewed. The results of this study can be concluded that the motivation of vocational school students to choose a major in technology is (1) the location of the school is close to home, (2) there is no choice of other majors. Even though the reason why students choose is not followed by the desire to find information about the chosen major.

Keywords: Motivation, SMK students, choosing engineering majors,

DAFTAR ISI

COVER

LEMBAR PENGESAHAN	iii
ABSTRAK	iv
ABSTRACT	v
PERNYATAAN.....	vi
KATA PENGANTAR.....	vii
DAFTAR ISI.....	ix
DAFTAR TABEL	xi
DAFTAR GAMBAR.....	xii

BAB I PENDAHULUAN.....	1
1.1 Latar Belakang Masalah.....	1
1.2 Rumusan Masalah	2
1.3 Tujuan Penelitian	3
1.4 Manfaat Penelitian	3
1.5 Struktur Organisasi Tesis	4

BAB II KAJIAN PUSTAKA	5
2.1 <i>Self Determination Theory</i>	5
2.2 Karakteristik Siswi SMK	14
2.3 Faktor Pemilihan Jurusan.....	16
2.4 Bidang Keahlian Teknologi dan Rekayasa di SMK	18
2.5 Analisis Jumlah SMK Negeri dan Swasta	20
2.6 Karakteristik Bidang Teknik	22
2.7 Perbedaan <i>Gender</i> Laki-laki dan Perempuan.....	22
2.8 Hipotesis.....	23
2.9 Penelitian Relevan.....	24

BAB III METODE PENELITIAN	32
3.1 Desain Penelitian.....	32
3.2 Tempat Penelitian dan Partisipan.....	33
3.3 Teknik Pengumpulan Data.....	33
3.4 Prosedur Penelitian.....	35
3.5 Instrumen	36
3.6 Analisis Data dan Uji Hipotesis	38
BAB IV HASIL DAN PEMBAHASAN	42
4.1 Profil Partisipan.....	42
4.2 Temuan.....	47
4.3 Pembahasan.....	53
4.4 Tanggapan Guru.....	57
4.5 <i>Focus Group Discussion</i>	59
4.6 Hasil Uji Hipotesis	61
BAB V SIMPULAN, IMPLIKASI DAN REKOMENDASI	63
5.1 Simpulan	63
5.2 Implikasi.....	63
5.3 Rekomendasi	64

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

LAMPIRAN

DAFTAR TABEL

Tabel 1.1 Jumlah siswa dan siswi SMK di Kab. Bekasi tahun 2019/2020.....	1
Tabel 3.1 Kategori Pertanyaan.....	36
Tabel 4.1 Profil Sumber Data	46
Tabel 4.2 Hasil Uji T.....	61
Tabel 4.3 Hasil Uji F.....	62

DAFTAR GAMBAR

Gambar 2.1 <i>The Self Determinant Continuum</i>	6
Gambar 2.2 Spektrum PMK.....	19
Gambar 2.3 Bidang Keahlian Teknologi dan Rekayasa	20
Gambar 2.4 Jumlah SMK di Kab. Bekasi.....	21
Gambar 2.5 Jumlah Siswa SMK di Kab. Bekasi	21
Gambar 3.1 Kerangka berpikir dalam memilih jurusan.....	32
Gambar 3.2 Triangulasi Sumber	34
Gambar 3.3 Prosedur Penelitian.....	35

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Ajzen, I., & Madden, T. J. (1986). Prediction of goal-directed behavior: Attitudes, intentions, and perceived behavioral control. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 22(5), 453–474. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1031\(86\)90045-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1031(86)90045-4)
- Amjad, N., & Wood, A. M. (2009). Identifying and changing the normative beliefs about aggression which lead young muslim adults to join extremist anti-semitic groups in Pakistan. *Aggressive Behavior*, 35(6), 514–519. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ab.20325>
- Andjarwati, T. (2015). Motivasi dari Sudut Pandang Teori Hirarki Kebutuhan Maslow, Teori Dua Faktor Herzberg, Tero X Y Mc Gregor, dan Teori Motivasi Prestasi Mc Clelland. *Jurnal Ilmu Ekonomi & Manajemen*, 1(1), 45--54.
- Aschbacher, P. R., Li, E., & Roth, E. J. (2010). Is science me? High school students' identities, participation and aspirations in science, engineering, and medicine. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 47(5), 564–582. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.20353>
- Barkoukis, V., Tsorbatzoudis, H., Grouios, G., & Sideridis, G. (2008). The assessment of intrinsic and extrinsic motivation and amotivation: Validity and reliability of the greek version of the academic motivation scale. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy and Practice*, 15(1), 39–55. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09695940701876128>
- Beggs, J. M., Bantham, J. H., & Taylor, S. (2008). Distinguishing the factors influencing college students' choice of major. The Graduate Institute. *College Student Journal*, 42(2), 381–394.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (1967). Teaching thematic analysis: Overcoming challenges and developing strategies for effective learning. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 6(11), 951–952., 26, 120–123.
- Buschor, C. B., Berweger, S., Frei, A. K., & Kappler, C. (2014). Majoring in STEM - What accounts for women's career decision making? A mixed methods study. *Journal of Educational Research*, 107(3), 167–176. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220671.2013.788989>
- Caha, Havva; Turgunali, J. (2016). Women Engineering in Turkey : Case of Istanbul, 38(16), 60–69. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671\(16\)30177-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671(16)30177-0)
- Canel, A., & Zachmann, K. (2016). Gaining access , crossing boundaries : Women in engineering in a comparative perspective GAINING ACCESS , CROSSING BOUNDARIES : WOMEN IN ENGINEERING IN A COMPARATIVE, 1512(June). <https://doi.org/10.1080/07341519708581918>
- Caspi, A., Gorsky, P., Nitzani-Hendel, R., Zacharia, Z., Rosenfeld, S., Berman, S., & Shildhouse, B. (2019). Ninth-grade students' perceptions of the factors that led them to major in high school science, technology, engineering, and mathematics disciplines. *Science Education*, 103(5), 1176–1205. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.21524>
- Creswell, J. W. (2008). *Educational Research*.
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The “what” and “why” of goal pursuits:

- Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11(4), 227–268. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01
- Deci, E. L., Ryan, R. M., Vallerand, R. J., & Pelletier, L. G. (1991). Motivation and Education: The Self-Determination Perspective. *Educational Psychologist*, 26(3–4), 325–346. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.1991.9653137>
- Edmunds, J., Ntoumanis, N., & Duda, J. L. (2006). A test of self-determination theory in the exercise domain. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 36(9), 2240–2265. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0021-9029.2006.00102.x>
- Ellsworth, D. H. (2009). Motivation in education. *Motivation in Education*, (September), 1–221. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780190666453.013.24>
- Encinas, J. J., & Chauca, M. (2020). Emotional intelligence can make a difference in Engineering Students under the Competency-based Education Model. *Procedia Computer Science*, 172(2019), 960–964. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2020.05.139>
- Fadillah, A. (2016). Analisis Minat Belajar Dan Bakat Terhadap Hasil Belajar Matematika Siswa. *MATHLINE : Jurnal Matematika Dan Pendidikan Matematika*, 1(2), 113–122. <https://doi.org/10.31943/mathline.v1i2.23>
- Foley, J. M., Daly, S., Lenaway, C., & Phillips, J. (2016). Investigating Student Motivation and Performance in Electrical Engineering and Its Subdisciplines. *IEEE Transactions on Education*, 59(4), 241–247. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TE.2016.2523449>
- Fuller, A. (2015). Vocational Education. *International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences: Second Edition*, (December 2015), 232–238. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-097086-8.92091-9>
- Galotti, K. M., Ciner, E., Altenbaumer, H. E., Geerts, H. J., Rupp, A., & Woulfe, J. (2006). Decision-making styles in a real-life decision: Choosing a college major. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 41(4), 629–639. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2006.03.003>
- Gaspard, H., Wille, E., Wormington, S. V., & Hulleman, C. S. (2019). How are upper secondary school students' expectancy-value profiles associated with achievement and university STEM major? A cross-domain comparison. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 58(February), 149–162. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2019.02.005>
- Germeijs, V., & Verschueren, K. (2006a). High school students' career decision-making process: A longitudinal study of one choice. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 68(2), 189–204. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2005.08.004>
- Germeijs, V., & Verschueren, K. (2006b). High school students' career decision-making process: Development and validation of the study choice task inventory. *Journal of Career Assessment*, 14(4), 449–471. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1069072706286510>
- Green-Demeirs, I., Pelletier, L. G., & Ménard, S. (1997). The impact of behavioural difficulty on the saliency of the association between self-determined motivation and environmental behaviours. *Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science*, 29(3), 157–166. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0008->

400x.29.3.157

- Hadiz, L., (2004) Perempuan dalam wacana politik Orde Baru. Jakarta:LP3ES
- Huettel, L. G., Brown, A. S., Coonley, K. D., Gustafson, M. R., Kim, J., Ybarra, G. A., & Collins, L. M. (2007). Fundamentals of ECE: A rigorous, integrated introduction to electrical and computer engineering. *IEEE Transactions on Education*, 50(3), 174–181. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TE.2007.900020>
- Kirn, A., & Benson, L. (2013). Quantitative assessment of student motivation to characterize differences between engineering majors. *Proceedings - Frontiers in Education Conference, FIE*, 69–74. <https://doi.org/10.1109/FIE.2013.6684790>
- Lapan, R. T., Shaughnessy, P., & Boggs, K. (1996). Efficacy expectations and vocational interests as mediators between sex and choice of math/science college majors: A longitudinal study. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 49(3), 277–291. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jvbe.1996.0044>
- Li, Q., Swaminathan, H., & Tang, J. (2009). Development of a classification system for engineering student characteristics affecting college enrollment and retention. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 98(4), 361–376. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2168-9830.2009.tb01033.x>
- Lichtenberger, E., & George-Jackson, C. (2012). Predicting High School Students' Interest in Majoring in a STEM Field: Insight into High School Students' Postsecondary Plans. *Journal of Career and Technical Education*, 28(1), 19–38. <https://doi.org/10.21061/jcte.v28i1.571>
- Lord, S. M., Camacho, M. M., Layton, R. A., & Ohland, M. W. (2010). Who enrolls in electrical engineering? A quantitative analysis of U.S.A. student trajectories. *2010 IEEE Education Engineering Conference, EDUCON 2010*, 839–844. <https://doi.org/10.1109/EDUCON.2010.5492491>
- Martin, A. J. (2013). Motivation to Learn Motivation to Learn Motivation to learn Andrew J . Martin, 0–28.
- Matusovich, H. M., Streveler, R. A., & Miller, R. L. (2010). Why do students choose engineering? A qualitative, longitudinal investigation of students' motivational values. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 99(4), 289–303. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2168-9830.2010.tb01064.x>
- Mauldin, S., Crain, J. L., & Mounce, P. H. (2000). The Accounting Principles Instructor's Influence on Students' Decision to Major in Accounting. *Journal of Education for Business*, 75(3), 142–148. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08832320009599005>
- Menengah, D. P. S., & Kejuruan. (2017). *Bidang Keahlian Di SMK Dengan Prioritas Potensi Unggulan Wilayah dan Tenaga Kerja*.
- Mishkin, H., Wangrowicz, N., Dori, D., & Dori, Y. J. (2016). Career Choice of Undergraduate Engineering Students. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 228(June), 222–228. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2016.07.033>
- Moorman, P., & Johnson, E. (2003). Still A Stranger Here: Attitudes Among Secondary School Students Towards Computer Science. *Proceedings of the Annual SIGCSE Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education (ITiSCE)*, 8, 193–197.
- Mozahem, N. A., Ghanem, C. M., Hamieh, F. K., & Shoujaa, R. E. (2019).

- Women ' s Studies International Forum Women in engineering : A qualitative investigation of the contextual support and barriers to their career choice. *Women ' s Studies International Forum*, 74(December 2018), 127–136. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wsif.2019.03.014>
- Nauta, M. M., & Epperson, D. L. (2003). A longitudinal examination of the social-cognitive model applied to high school girls' choices of nontraditional college majors and aspirations. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 50(4), 448–457. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0167.50.4.448>
- Nicholls, J. G. (1984). Achievement motivation: Conceptions of ability, subjective experience, task choice, and performance. *Psychological Review*, 91(3), 328–346. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.91.3.328>
- Ortiz, A. M., Amaya, L. R., Warshauer, H. K., Torres, S. G., & Scanlon, E. (2018). They choose to attend academic summer camps? A mixed methods study exploring the impact of a NASA academic summer pre-engineering camp on middle school students in a latino community. *Journal of Pre-College Engineering Education Research*, 8(2), 22–30. <https://doi.org/10.7771/2157-9288.1196>
- Paramita, A., & Kristiana, L. (2013). Teknik Focus Group Discussion dalam Penelitian Kualitatif. *Teknik Focus Group Discussion Dalam Penelitian Kualitatif*, 16(2), 117–127. <https://doi.org/10.22435/bpsk.v16i2>
- Parikh, S., Chen, H., Donaldson, K., & Sheppard, S. (2009). Does major matter? A look at what motivates engineering students in different majors. *ASEE Annual Conference and Exposition, Conference Proceedings*. <https://doi.org/10.18260/1-2--5283>
- Pascual, N. T. (2014). Factors Affecting High School Students' Career Preference: A Basis for Career Planning Program. *International Journal of Sciences: Basic and Applied Research (IJSBAR)*, 16(1), 1–14. Retrieved from <http://gssrr.org/index.php?journal=JournalOfBasicAndApplied>
- Porter, S. R., & Umbach, P. D. (2006). COLLEGE MAJOR CHOICE: An Analysis of Person-Environment Fit. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11162-005-9002-3>
- Reiss, S. (2012). Intrinsic and Extrinsic Motivation. *Teaching of Psychology*, 39(2), 152–156. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0098628312437704>
- Ryan, R. M. (1982). Control and information in the intrapersonal sphere: An extension of cognitive evaluation theory. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 43(3), 450–461. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.43.3.450>
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Intrinsic and Extrinsic Motivations: Classic Definitions and New Directions. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 25(1), 54–67. <https://doi.org/10.1006/ceps.1999.1020>
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2008). From Ego Depletion to Vitality: Theory and Findings Concerning the Facilitation of Energy Available to the Self. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 2(2), 702–717. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-9004.2008.00098.x>
- Saavedra, L., Araújo, A. M., Taveira, M. do C., & Vieira, C. C. (2014). Dilemmas of girls and women in engineering: A study in Portugal. *Educational Review*, 66(3), 330–344. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131911.2013.780006>

- SANFORD, M., OFFORD, D., McLEOD, K., BOYLE, M., BYRNE, C., & HALL, B. (1994). Pathways into the Work Force: Antecedents of School and Work Force Status. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 33(7), 1036–1046. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00004583-199409000-00015>
- Schreuders, P. D., Mannon, S. E., & Rutherford, B. (2009). Pipeline or personal preference: Women in engineering. *European Journal of Engineering Education*, 34(1), 97–112. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03043790902721488>
- Shaughnessy, M. F. (2004). The Educational Psychology of Teacher Efficacy. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 16(2), 153–176.
- Sikora, J., & Pokropek, A. (2012). Gender segregation of adolescent science career plans in 50 countries. *Science Education*, 96(2), 234–264. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.20479>
- Silcox, P., & Cummings, A. (1999). A Comparison of Women in Nontraditional and Traditional Science Majors: Implications for Career Counsellors. *Canadian Journal of Counselling*, 33(4), 264–276.
- Smith, A. E., & Dengiz, B. (2010). Women in engineering in turkey - a large scale quantitative and qualitative examination. *European Journal of Engineering Education*, 35(1), 45–57. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03043790903406345>
- Taylor, G., Lekes, N., Gagnon, H., Kwan, L., & Koestner, R. (2012). Need satisfaction, work-school interference and school dropout: An application of self-determination theory. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 82(4), 622–646. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8279.2011.02050.x>
- Taylor, J., Harris, M. B., & Taylor, S. (2004). Parents Have Their Say...About Their College-Age Children's Career Decisions. *NACE Journal*, 64(2), 15–21. Retrieved from www.jobweb.com
- Trenshaw, K. F., Revelo, R. A., Earl, K. A., & Herman, G. L. (2016). Using self determination theory principles to promote engineering students' intrinsic motivation to learn. *International Journal of Engineering Education*, 32(3), 1194–1207.
- UNESCO, (2007). Science, technology, and gender: An International report. Paris: UNESCO Publishing.
- Vallerand, R. J., Pelletier, L. G., Blais, M. R., Briere, N. M., Senecal, C., Vallieres, E. F. (1992). The Academic Motivation Scale: A Measure of Intrinsic, Extrinsic, and Amotivation in Education. Educational and Psychological Measurement. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*.
- Vallerand, R. J., & Blissonette, R. (1992). Vallerand_et_al-1992-Journal_of_Personality, (September 1992).
- Wang, X. (2013). Why Students Choose STEM Majors: Motivation, High School Learning, and Postsecondary Context of Support. *American Educational Research Journal*, 50(5), 1081–1121. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0002831213488622>
- Ware, N. C., & Lee, V. E. (1988). Sex Differences in Choice of College Science Majors. *American Educational Research Journal*, 25(4), 593–614. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00028312025004593>
- Wildman, M., & Torres, R. M. (2001). Factors Identified When Selecting A

- Major In Agriculture. *Journal of Agricultural Education*, 42(2), 46–55.
<https://doi.org/10.5032/jae.2001.02046>
- Yazici, S., & Yazici, A. (2010). Students' choice of college major and their perceived fairness of the procedure: Evidence from Turkey. *Educational Research and Evaluation*, 16(4), 371–382.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13803611.2010.528196>
- Yulianti, W. (2016). Aptitude Testing Berbasis Case-Based Reasoning Dalam Sistem Pakar Untuk Menentukan Minat Dan Bakat Siswa Sekolah Dasar. *Rabit : Jurnal Teknologi Dan Sistem Informasi Univrab*, 1(2), 104–118.
<https://doi.org/10.36341/rabit.v1i2.28>
- Zafar, B. (2012). Double majors: One for me, one for the parents? *Economic Inquiry*, 50(2), 287–308. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1465-7295.2011.00403.x>
- Zysberg, L., & Berry, D. M. (2005). Gender and students' vocational choices in entering the field of nursing. *Nursing Outlook*, 53(4), 193–198.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.outlook.2005.05.001>