## **CHAPTER V**

# CONCLUSION, IMPLICATION, AND RECOMMENDATION

### 5.1. Conclusion

This research aimed to explore students' conceptions and misconceptions about mechanical and light waves in the field of physics education. The study utilized a four-tier diagnostic test to assess students' understanding of the topics, and semi-structured interviews were conducted to gain deeper insights into the basis of the misconceptions. The results of the study revealed that students had varying levels of understanding and misconceptions regarding mechanical and light waves. Through the application of content validity and reliability tests, the four-tier diagnostic test proved to be a valid and reliable instrument for diagnosing students' conceptions. From the analysis of the data, three main concepts emerged as the most prominent areas of misconception: diffraction of light, reflection of light on daily life, and the relationship between amplitude and wavelength. The semi-structured interviews with students further confirmed the presence of these misconceptions. Some students with higher scientific knowledge also exhibited uncertainty and lack of confidence in their answers, indicating the complexity of the concepts being tested. These findings highlight the importance of addressing misconceptions and enhancing students' understanding of wave behavior and properties in physics education. The research design used in this study, including content validity tests, pilot tests, and semi-structured interviews, proved to be effective in identifying and understanding students' conceptions and misconceptions. The study provides valuable insights for educators, lecturers, and researchers, helping them focus their efforts on addressing misconceptions and improving physics education. In conclusion, this research sheds light on the prevalence of misconceptions among students in the study of mechanical and light waves.

## 5.2. Implication

The findings of this research have several implications for physics education and instructional practices. Understanding the misconceptions held by students about mechanical and light waves can guide educators in developing more effective teaching strategies and curriculum design. The implications of this study are as follows:

1. Curriculum Development: The identification of prominent misconceptions about mechanical and light waves can inform curriculum developers and educators to design targeted interventions. By addressing these specific misconceptions, educators can create instructional materials and activities that directly target the areas where students commonly struggle.

2. Pedagogical Strategies: The research findings underscore the need for employing active learning approaches in the teaching of mechanical and light waves. Engaging students in hands-on activities, demonstrations, and discussions can help to correct misconceptions and promote a deeper understanding of the concepts.

3. Formative Assessment: The four-tier diagnostic test used in this research can serve as a valuable formative assessment tool for teachers. By understanding their students' misconceptions through regular assessment, teachers can adapt their instructional strategies and provide timely feedback to support conceptual change.

4. Research on Conceptual Change: The study opens up avenues for further research on conceptual change in the context of physics education. Investigating the factors that influence students' transition from misconceptions to scientifically accurate conceptions can lead to more comprehensive strategies for instructional design.

5.Basis for Future Studies: This research provides a valuable basis for future studies in the domain of students' misconceptions related to mechanical and light waves. Researchers can build upon the findings of this study to explore other related sub-topics or investigate misconceptions in different physics concepts. Additionally, utilizing a similar four-tier diagnostic test can contribute to the development of a standardized tool for diagnosing students' misconceptions in various physics topics.

6. Comparative Studies: Researchers can conduct comparative studies across different schools or regions to examine variations in students' misconceptions and the factors influencing their prevalence. Comparative research can shed light on cultural or regional influences on students' understanding of physics concepts.

7. Misconception Awareness: Junior high school teachers can benefit from being aware of common misconceptions that students hold regarding mechanical and light waves. This awareness can enable teachers to anticipate and address these misconceptions in their classroom instruction, leading to more effective teaching.

In conclusion, the implications of this research extend beyond its immediate context and can benefit other researchers aiming to explore students' misconceptions in different physics topics. Additionally, junior high school teachers can leverage the findings and recommendations to enhance their teaching practices and promote a deeper understanding of mechanical and light waves among their students.

#### 5.3. Recommendation

This research has shed light on the prevalence of misconceptions in junior high school students' understanding of mechanical and light wave topics. The use of a four-tier diagnostic test has allowed for a comprehensive assessment of students' conceptual understanding and the identification of common misconceptions. The findings indicate that some students may harbor misconceptions despite having received formal instruction in the subject matter. These misconceptions can hinder students' ability to accurately answer questions related to mechanical and light waves. In addressing these misconceptions, educators can employ various strategies to enhance students' conceptual understanding. Active learning approaches, hands-on experiments, and group discussions can engage students and facilitate conceptual change. Additionally, real-life examples and applications of these concepts can make the material more relatable and relevant, encouraging deeper understanding and meaningful learning experiences.

For future research, scholars can expand the scope of this study to explore misconceptions in other physics topics. Longitudinal studies can provide insights into the persistence and development of misconceptions over time. Comparative studies across different schools or educational systems can reveal how cultural and demographic factors influence students' misconceptions. Junior high school teachers should consider participating in ongoing professional development to enhance their pedagogical practices and content knowledge. Utilizing formative assessment techniques, such as the four-tier diagnostic test, can help diagnose and address students' misconceptions in real-time. It's essential for the questions in the instrument to be evenly distributed across different concepts to ensure fairness in assessing students' understanding. This distribution allows for a more accurate identification of which specific topics or concepts students may have misconceptions about.

For undergraduate students interested in similar research, conducting a comprehensive literature review on students' misconceptions in physics education is crucial. Collaboration with experienced researchers or physics educators can provide valuable insights and mentorship throughout the research process. Developing a new four-tier diagnostic test with a more accurate difficulty level that aligns with the students' cognitive abilities and the curriculum is a crucial aspect of improving the research and its practical applications. Adapting the test to suit the needs of students in public schools, considering their prior knowledge and cognitive development, can lead to more valid and reliable results. The choice of topic material is also pivotal in ensuring the test's relevance and effectiveness. Focusing on topics that are more relatable and commonly encountered in everyday life can engage students and enhance their interest in the subject matter. This can be achieved by selecting concepts that have practical applications or are directly related to real-world experiences. Additionally, involving experienced physics educators and experts in the test development process can provide valuable insights

and feedback to ensure the test's quality and effectiveness. Collaborating with teachers and school administrators can also facilitate the implementation of the new test and its integration into the regular curriculum. Conducting a pilot test of the new diagnostic test with a diverse group of students can provide valuable data to refine the test further and ensure its accuracy in assessing students' conceptual understanding. Analyzing the results and comparing them with the students' academic performance can offer insights into the test's validity and predictive ability. Moreover, continuously evaluating and revising the test based on feedback and research findings can contribute to its ongoing improvement and applicability in various educational settings. Overall, by developing a new four-tier diagnostic test that is more aligned with students' cognitive abilities and educational context, educators can gain deeper insights into students' misconceptions and better support their learning journey. The ultimate goal is to foster a stronger foundation in physics education and enhance students' scientific reasoning and problem-solving skills for lifelong learning.