

**INVESTIGATING STUDENTS' SCIENTIFIC LITERACY AND  
CREATIVITY THROUGH STEM-ENGINEERING DESIGN PROCESS IN  
ELEMENT, COMPOUND, AND MIXTURE TOPIC**

**RESEARCH PAPER**

Submitted as Requirement to Obtain Degree of *Sarjana Pendidikan* in  
International Program on Science Education (IPSE) Study Program



Arranged by:

Andini Fajarwati

NIM 1905192

**INTERNATIONAL PROGRAM ON SCIENCE EDUCATION  
FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND SCIENCE EDUCATION  
UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN INDONESIA  
2023**

# **INVESTIGATING STUDENTS' SCIENTIFIC LITERACY AND CREATIVITY THROUGH STEM- ENGINEERING DESIGN PROCESS IN ELEMENT, COMPOUND, AND MIXTURE TOPIC**

Oleh  
Andini Fajarwati

Sebuah skripsi yang diajukan untuk memenuhi salah satu syarat memperoleh gelar Sarjana Pendidikan pada Fakultas Pendidikan Matematika dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam

© Andini Fajarwati 2023  
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia  
Agustus 2023

Hak Cipta dilindungi undang-undang.  
Skripsi ini tidak boleh diperbanyak seluruhnya atau sebagian,  
dengan dicetak ulang, difoto kopi, atau cara lainnya tanpa ijin dari penulis.

## APPROVAL SHEET

Andini Fajarwati

### INVESTIGATING STUDENTS' SCIENTIFIC LITERACY AND CREATIVITY THROUGH STEM-ENGINEERING DESIGN PROCESS IN ELEMENT, COMPOUND, AND MIXTURE TOPIC

Approved and confirmed by:

Supervisor I



Dr. Nanang Winarno, S.Si., S.Pd., M.Pd.

NIP. 198403212014041001

Supervisor II



Dr. Eka Cahya Prima, S.Pd., M.T.

NIP. 199006262014041001

Head of International Program on Science Education Study Program



Prof. Dr. Ida Kaniawati, S.Pd., M.Si.

NIP. 196807031992032001

## **DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that every aspect is written in this research paper with the title “Investigating Students’ Scientific Literacy and Creativity Through STEM-Engineering Design Process in Element, Compound, and Mixture Topic” genuinely comes from my original thought, work, and effort. According to the UPI scientific code and the standards of scientific ethic that are followed in the academic community, the grand theories, opinions and thoughts, findings, and other fundamental information in this research paper have been quoted or referred. This statement was deliberately and honestly made. I am willing to take responsibility, commitment and accept academic punishment in accordance with the rules if a subsequent investigation reveals a breach of scientific ethics or if someone challenges the veracity of this research paper.

Bandung, August 2023

Declarant,



Andini Fajarwati  
NIM. 1905192

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

Alhamdulillah, highest gratitude be praised to Allah SWT for His blessing and His mercy that this research paper has been completed. Salam and salawat be given over to our magnificent Prophet Muhammad SAW, his families, relatives, and us as his followers. On this occasion, sincere gratitude and appreciation are expressed to the following parties:

1. Dr. Nanang Winarno, S.Pd., S.Si., M.Pd., as the first supervisor, for always guiding me how to do the research paper perfectly, giving me motivation, and encourage me when I was lost during compiling this research.
2. Dr. Eka Cahya Prima, M.T., as the second supervisor and the secretary of International Program on Science Education Study Program, for all the supports and beneficial knowledge.
3. Prof. Dr. Ida Kaniawati, M.Si., as the head of International Program on Science Education Study Program, who has approved my research.
4. Dr. Lilit Rusyati, M.Pd., as the academic supervisor, for always helping me, giving me a warm hug and motivation during my studies.
5. Tata Koswara, for the warmest welcome in the first day of teaching practice, gave me countless experiences in teaching, and for become the first person who gave me motivation to compiling this research. I couldn't be more grateful for all the help.
6. All IPSE lecturers: Sir Ikmandha, Mrs. Eliyawati, Mrs. Rika, Mrs. Margi, and Mrs. Diana, for all the knowledges, for always being patient in educating me, and also set a good example as an educator for me.
7. To my parents and my family, for raising me become someone I really like, for being the source of light in my life, and for always let me to do the things that I want to do.
8. Sri Rahma Abdulloh as my roommate. I really appreciate your presence in my life. Thank you for sharing all the laughter and tears, and the most importantly for taught me how to put myself first before others.
9. All of the 9<sup>th</sup> grade students from academic year 2022/2023 in SMP Alfa Centauri especially 9A, 9B, and 9E. Thank you for gave me a good memories during teaching practice. You guys are the best gift in my 22<sup>nd</sup> birthday.

10. Kampus Mengajar Batch 4 members: Ajeng, Ari, Apriza, Aneu, and Ananda, for the happiness, togetherness, and also sadness. There are a lot of things happened between us, the good and the bad, but above all I'm so grateful knowing that I experienced it with all of you.
11. STEM KBK members: Aisyah Nurul Hasanah Purnama, Rizki Maulana Ashidiq, Arnie Novianti, Muhammad Fahriza Amri, Ilfa Qurrota 'Aini, and Dela Fitriani Kurnia. Thank you for always doing your best and helping me when I need it.
12. All of IPSE 2019 classmates, for becoming my first family in Bandung. I know we always think that we are not as capable as other batches in IPSE, but I will always proud of us for the way we are.
13. All of IPSE 2016, IPSE 2017 and IPSE 2018, for taught me everything I need to know about college life from the very first time. Thank you for being a good seniors in my college.
14. All of AO 2019 members, the strongest people in my life. I wouldn't have been able to reach this point without your help. Thank you for being my favorite part of my least favorite phase of life.
15. EXO OT12 members, for become my youth, my biggest motivation to pursuing my dream, my source of happiness, my first and last idol.
16. All of ZUZUZU members: Kak Lala, Kak Sky, Kak Angel, Kak Dilla, Kak Annisa, Kak Dea, and Rere. For always keeping me sane when the insane things happened.
17. People that I couldn't mention one by one, for the kindness.

**INVESTIGATING STUDENTS' SCIENTIFIC LITERACY AND  
CREATIVITY THROUGH STEM-ENGINEERING DESIGN PROCESS  
IN ELEMENT, COMPOUND, AND MIXTURE TOPIC**

Andini Fajarwati

*International Program on Science Education*

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia

**ABSTRACT**

STEM-Engineering Design Process is one of the learning model that emphasize students to do the engineering activity to solve the real life problem. This study aims to investigate the effect of STEM-Engineering Design Process on students' scientific literacy and creativity in element compound, and mixture topic. Quasi-Experimental design is used in this study. The implementation of STEM-engineering design process was conducted in experiment class, while control class carried out conventional learning. Both classes are making water filtration tool. Purposive technique sampling was carried out to choose the sample with the certain category of 9<sup>th</sup> grade students in one of the private school in Bandung, West Java, Indonesia. Students ranges in age 14-15 years old and were divided in experiment and control class. Each class has 19 students. This research uses scientific literacy objective test and creativity questionnaire Likert scale to collect the data. The result of this study showed there is significant difference in students' scientific literacy and no significant difference in students' creativity between experiment and control class. The N-Gain score for scientific literacy of experiment and control class is 0.38 and 0.11 which describe as medium and low improvement. While for creativity, N-Gain score in experiment and control class is 0.06 and 0.03 which describe as low. From this, researcher conclude that STEM-engineering design process has positive impact on students' scientific literacy and creativity in learning element compound, and mixture.

Keywords: Creativity, Scientific Literacy, STEM-Engineering Design Process

**INVESTIGASI LITERASI SAINS DAN KREATIVITAS SISWA  
MELALUI STEM-ENGINEERING DESIGN PROCESS DALAM TOPIK  
UNSUR, SENYAWA, DAN CAMPURAN**

Andini Fajarwati

*International Program on Science Education*

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia

**ABSTRACT**

*STEM-Engineering Design Process* merupakan salah satu model pembelajaran yang menekankan siswa untuk melakukan kegiatan rekayasa untuk memecahkan masalah kehidupan nyata. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menginvestigasi pengaruh *STEM-Engineering Design Process* pada literasi sains dan kreativitas siswa dalam topik unsur, senyawa, dan campuran. Desain Quasi-Experimental digunakan dalam penelitian ini. Implementasi *STEM-engineering design process* dilakukan di kelas eksperimen, sedangkan di kelas kontrol dilakukan pembelajaran konvensional. Kedua kelas tersebut membuat alat penyaring air. Pengambilan sampel dilakukan dengan teknik purposive untuk memilih sampel dengan kategori tertentu siswa kelas 9 di salah satu sekolah swasta di Bandung, Jawa Barat, Indonesia. Siswa berkisar pada usia 14-15 tahun dan terbagi dalam kelas eksperimen dan kontrol. Setiap kelas memiliki 19 siswa. Penelitian ini menggunakan tes objektif literasi sains dan angket kreativitas skala likert untuk mengumpulkan data. Hasil penelitian ini menunjukkan bahwa terdapat perbedaan yang signifikan pada literasi sains siswa dan tidak ada perbedaan yang signifikan pada kreativitas siswa antara kelas eksperimen dan kelas kontrol. Nilai N-Gain untuk literasi sains kelas eksperimen dan kontrol adalah 0,38 dan 0,11 yang tergolong peningkatan sedang dan rendah. Sedangkan untuk kreativitas nilai N-Gain pada kelas eksperimen dan kontrol adalah 0,06 dan 0,03 yang tergolong rendah. Dari sini peneliti menyimpulkan bahwa *STEM-engineering design process* berdampak positif terhadap literasi sains dan kreativitas siswa dalam pembelajaran unsur, senyawa, dan campuran.

Keywords: Kreativitas, Literasi Sains, *STEM-Engineering Desain Proses*

## TABLE OF CONTENT

<b>APPROVAL SHEET .....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>DECLARATION.....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>ABSTRACT .....</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>ABSTRACT .....</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENT.....</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES .....</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES .....</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES.....</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Research Problem.....	7
1.3 Research Objective .....	7
1.4 Research Benefit.....	7
1.5 Operational Definition.....	8
1.6 Organization of Research Paper .....	9
1.7 Limitation of Problem .....	10
<b>CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW .....</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1 STEM-Engineering Design Process .....	11
2.2 Students' Scientific Literacy .....	13
2.3 Students' Creativity .....	15
2.4 Element, Compound, and Mixture .....	17
2.5 Relevant Research .....	19
<b>CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY .....</b>	<b>25</b>
3.1 Research Method and Research Design .....	25
3.2 Participant.....	26
3.3 Hypothesis .....	26
3.4 Research Instrument .....	27
3.5 Data Collection.....	31
3.6 Data Analysis.....	32
3.7 Research Procedure .....	33

<b>CHAPTER IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>37</b>
4.1 The Effect of STEM-Engineering Design Process in Students' Scientific Literacy.....	37
4.2 The Effect of STEM-Engineering Design Process in Students' Creativity .....	60
<b>CHAPTER V CONCLUSION, IMPLICATION, AND RECOMMENDATION .....</b>	<b>72</b>
5.1 Conclusion.....	72
5.2 Implication.....	73
5.3 Recommendation.....	74
<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>AUTOBIOGRAPHY.....</b>	<b>162</b>

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 2.1 Massachusetts Department of Education Engineering Design .....	12
Figure 2.2 Periodic Table of Elements.....	17
Figure 3.1 Scientific Literacy Objective Test in Google Form.....	31
Figure 3.2 Creativity Questionnaire in Google Form .....	32
Figure 3.3 Research Procedure Flow Chart .....	36
Figure 4.1 Comparison of Scientific Literacy Average Score between Experiment Class and Control Class.....	38
Figure 4.2 Comparison of Scientific Literacy N-Gain Score between Experiment Class and Control Class.....	41
Figure 4.3 Initial and Final Design of Water Filtration Tool.....	43
Figure 4.4 Presenting The Initial Design Activity .....	44
Figure 4.5 Making Prototype Activity .....	45
Figure 4.6 Turbidity of Water Before and After Filtration .....	46
Figure 4.7 Comparison of N-Gain Score between Experiment Class and Control Class in Competency Aspect .....	50
Figure 4.8 Question Number 8.....	51
Figure 4.9 Comparison of N-Gain Score between Experiment Class and Control Class in Knowledge Aspect .....	54
Figure 4.10 Question Number 5 .....	55
Figure 4.11 Comparison of N-Gain Score between Experiment Class and Control Class in Each Sub Topic .....	59
Figure 4.12 The Comparison of Creativity Average Score between Experiment Class and Control Class .....	62
Figure 4.13 Comparison of Creativity N-Gain Score between Experiment Class and Control Class .....	64
Figure 4.14 Comparison of N-Gain Score between Experiment Class and Control Class in Each Subscale.....	68

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 DIGIER Staged and Activities .....	13
Table 2.2 Acid, Base, and Salt .....	18
Table 3.1 Pretest-Posttest Control Group Design.....	25
Table 3.2 Detail of Research Sample Based on Gender .....	26
Table 3.3 Research Instrument.....	27
Table 3.4 Scientific Literacy Objective Test Blueprint .....	27
Table 3.5 The Criteria of Reliability .....	28
Table 3.6 Discrimination Power.....	28
Table 3.7 Difficulty Level.....	29
Table 3.8 Summary of Scientific Literacy Objective Test Analysis .....	29
Table 3.9 Creativity Questionnaire Blueprint.....	30
Table 3.10 N-Gain Score Category .....	33
Table 3.11 The Implementation Stage of Study .....	34
Table 4.1 Recapitulation of Students' Scientific Literacy Objective Test Data .....	37
Table 4.2 Normality Test Result of Students' Scientific Literacy Objective Test .....	39
Table 4.3 Homogeneity Test Result of Students' Scientific Literacy Objective Test .....	39
Table 4.4 Mann-Whitney U Test Result of Students' Scientific Literacy Objective Test .....	40
Table 4.5 The Recapitulation Score of Students' Scientific Literacy in Competency Aspect .....	48
Table 4. 6 The Recapitulation Score of Students' Scientific Literacy in Knowledge Aspect .....	53
Table 4.7 The Recapitulation Score of Students' Scientific Literacy on Each Sub Topic .....	57
Table 4.8 The Recapitulation of Students' Creativity Questionnaire .....	61
Table 4.9 Normality Test Result of Students' Creativity Questionnaire.....	62
Table 4.10 Homogeneity Test Result of Students' Creativity Questionnaire .....	63
Table 4.11 Mann-Whitney U Test Result of Students' Creativity Questionnaire .....	63
Table 4.12 The Recapitulation Score of Students' Creativity on Each Subscale .....	67

## **LIST OF APPENDICES**

Appendix A.1 Expert Judgement for Scientific Literacy Instrument .....	88
Appendix A.2 Student Validation for Scientific Literacy Instrument .....	91
Appendix A.3 Scientific Literacy Objective Test .....	97
Appendix A.4 Expert Judgement for Creativity Instrument .....	120
Appendix A.5 Creativity Questionnaire.....	122
Appendix B.1 Lesson Plan for Experiment Class.....	126
Appendix B.2 Lesson Plan for Control Class .....	144
Appendix C.1 Research Permit Letter .....	150
Appendix D.1 Prerequisite Test Result of Students' Scientific Literacy .....	152
Appendix D.2 Hypothesis Test Result of Students' Scientific Literacy .....	154
Appendix D.3 Students' Answer of Scientific Literacy Objective Test .....	155
Appendix D.4 Prerequisite Test Result of Students' Creativity .....	159
Appendix D. 5 Hypothesis Test Result of Students' Creativity .....	161

## REFERENCES

- Achieve, Inc. (2013). The next generation science standards (NGSS). Retrieved from <http://www.nextgenscience.org>
- Aguilera, D., & Ortiz-Revilla, J. (2021). Stem vs. Steam education and student creativity: A systematic literature review. *Education Sciences*, 11(7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci11070331>
- Alemdar, M., Moore, R. A., Lingle, J. A., Rosen, J., Gale, J., & Usselman, M. C. (2018). The impact of a middle school engineering course on students' academic achievement and non-cognitive skills. *International Journal of Education in Mathematics, Science and Technology*, 6(4), 363-380.
- Allison, E., & Goldston, M. J. (2018). Modern Scientific Literacy: A Case Study of Multiliteracies and Scientific Practices in a Fifth Grade Classroom. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 27(3), 270–283. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-017-9723-z>
- Amabile, T. M. (2012). Componential Theory of Creativity. *Harvard Business School*, 1–10.
- Anh, N. T. van, Bien, N. van, Son, D. van, & To Khuyen, N. T. (2022). STEM Clubs: The Promising Space to Foster Students' Creativity. *International Journal of STEM Education for Sustainability*, 2(1), 45–52. <https://doi.org/10.53889/ijses.v2i1.22>
- Anto, D., Hasruddin, & Gultom, T. (2022). *Development of Biology Textbooks for Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Students' Scientific Literacy Ability on Plant Growth and Development Materials*. AIP Conference Proceedings, 2659. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0122051>
- Arieska Putri, L., Permanasari, A., Winarno, N., & Ahmad, N. J. (2021). Enhancing Students' Scientific Literacy using Virtual Lab Activity with Inquiry-Based Learning. *Journal of Science Learning*, 2021(2), 173–184. <https://doi.org/10.17509/jsl.v4i2.27561>
- Arık, M., & Topçu, M. S. (2022). Implementation of Engineering Design Process in the K-12 Science Classrooms: Trends and Issues. In *Research in Science Education* (Vol. 52, Issue 1, pp. 21–43). Springer Science and Business Media B.V. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-019-09912-x>
- Avsec, S., & Savec, V. F. (2019). Creativity and critical thinking in engineering design: the role of interdisciplinary augmentation. *Global Journal of Engineering Education*, 21(1), 30-36.
- Aydin-Gunbatar, S., Tarkin-Celikkiran, A., Kutucu, E. S., & Ekiz-Kiran, B. (2018). The influence of a design-based elective STEM course on preservice chemistry teachers' content knowledge, STEM conceptions, and engineering views. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 19(3), 954-972. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c8rp00128f>
- Aydjn, E., & Karslý Baydere, F. (2019). Seventh grade students' views on STEM activities: An example of decomposing mixtures. *Ondokuz Mayıs Üniversitesi Dergisi*, 11(1), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1501/OMUDERG>

*University Journal of the Faculty of Education*, 38(1), 35-52. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7822/omuefd.439843>

- Backhoff, E., Larrazolo, N., & Rosas, M. (2000). The level of difficulty and discrimination power of the Basic Knowledge and Skills Examination (EXHCOBA). *Revista Electrónica de Investigación Educativa*, 2(1). Retrieved August, 2023 from: <http://redie.uabc.mx/vol2no1/contents-backhoff.html>
- Bampasidis, G., Piperidis, D., Papakonstantinou, V. C., Stathopoulos, D., Troumpetari, C., & Poutos, P. (2021). Hydrobots, an Underwater Robotics STEM Project: Introduction of Engineering Design Process in Secondary Education. *Advances in Engineering Education*.
- Benjamin, T. E., Marks, B., Demetrikopoulos, M. K., Rose, J., Pollard, E., Thomas, A., & Muldrow, L. L. (2017). Development and Validation of Scientific Literacy Scale for College Preparedness in STEM with Freshmen from Diverse Institutions. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 15(4), 607–623. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-015-9710-x>
- Berland, L., Steingut, R., & Ko, P. (2014). High school student perceptions of the utility of the engineering design process: creating opportunities to engage in engineering practices and apply math and science content. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 23(6), 705-720. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-014-9498-4>
- Boden, M. A. (2004). *The creative mind myths and mechanisms*. London: Routledge.
- Bozkurt Altan, E., & Tan, S. (2021). Concepts of creativity in design based learning in STEM education. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 31(3), 503-529.
- Braslavsky, B. P., & Dussel, I. (2004). *¿Primeras letras o primeras lecturas?: una introducción a la alfabetización temprana*. Fondo de cultura económica.
- Chao, J., Xie, C., Nourian, S., Chen, G., Bailey, S., Goldstein, M. H., ... & Tutwiler, M. S. (2017). Bridging the design-science gap with tools: Science learning and design behaviors in a simulated environment for engineering design. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 54(8), 1049-1096. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21398>
- Conradty, C., & Bogner, F. X. (2018). From STEM to STEAM: How to Monitor Creativity. *Creativity Research Journal*, 30(3), 233–240. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10400419.2018.1488195>
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). Qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods approaches.
- Cropley, D. H., & Cropley, A. J. (2000). Fostering creativity in engineering undergraduates. *High Ability Studies*, 11(2), 207–219.
- Crotty, E. A., Guzey, S. S., Roehrig, G. H., Glancy, A. W., Ring-Whalen, E. A., & Moore, T. J. (2017). Approaches to Integrating Engineering in STEM Units and Student Achievement Gains. *Journal of Pre-College*

- Engineering Education Research (J-PEER)*, 7(2), Article 1.  
<https://doi.org/10.7771/2157-9288.1148>
- Czikszentmihalyi, M. (1996). *Creativity-flow and the psychology of discovery and invention*. New York: Harpercollins Publisher.
- Daly, S. R., Mosjowski, E. A., & Seifert, C. M. (2014). Teaching Creativity in Engineering Courses. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 103(3), 417–449. doi:10.1002/jee.20048
- Davis, G. (2004). *Creativity is forever (5th ed.)*. Dubuque, IA: Kendall-Hunt.
- Dedeturk, A., Kirmizigül, A. S., & Kaya, H. (2021). The effects of stem activities on 6th-grade students' conceptual development of sound. *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, 20(1), 21-37. doi:10.33225/jbse/21.20.21
- Denson, C. D. (2015). Developing instrumentation for assessing creativity in engineering design. *Journal of Technology Education*, 27(1), 23–40.
- Dewi, C. A., Erna, M., Martini, Haris, I., & Kundera, I. N. (2021). Effect of Contextual Collaborative Learning Based Ethnoscience to Increase Student's Scientific Literacy Ability. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 18(3), 525–541. <https://doi.org/10.36681/tused.2021.88>
- Dönmez Usta, N., & Ültay, N. (2022). Augmented Reality and Animation Supported-STEM Activities in Grades K-12: Water Treatment. *Journal of Science Learning*, 5(3), 439–451. <https://doi.org/10.17509/jsl.v5i3.43546>
- Dym, C. L., Agogino, A. M., Eris, O., Frey, D. D., & Leifer, L. J. (2005). Engineering design thinking, teaching, and learning. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 94(1), 103-120. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2168-9830.2005.tb00832.x>
- English, L. D., & King, D. T. (2015). STEM learning through engineering design: fourth-grade students' investigations in aerospace. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-015-0027-7>
- English, L. D., King, D., & Smeed, J. (2017). Advancing integrated STEM learning through engineering design: Sixth-grade students' design and construction of earthquake-resistant buildings. *Journal of Educational Research*, 110(3), 255-271.
- Erduran, S. (2020). Nature of “STEM”? Epistemic Underpinnings of Integrated Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics in Education. *Science & education*, 29, 781-784. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11191-020-00150-6>
- Eroglu, S. & Bektas, O. (2022). The effect of STEM applications on the scientific creativity of 9th-grade students. *Journal of Education in Science, Environment and Health (JESEH)*, 8(1), 17-36. <https://doi.org/10.21891/jeseh.1059124>
- Felder, R. (1987). On creating creative engineers. *Engineering Education*, 77(4), 222–227.

- Fives, H., Huebner, W., Birnbaum, A. S., & Nicolich, M. (2014). Developing a measure of scientific literacy for middle school students. *Science Education*, 98(4), 549-580.
- Fraenkel, J. R., Wallen, N. E., & Hyun, H. H. (2012). How to design and evaluate research in education (Vol. 7, p. 429). New York: McGraw-hill.
- Gencer, Ayse & Doğan, Hilmi & Bilen, Kadir & Can, Bilge. (2019). Integrated STEM Education Models. *Pamukkale University Journal of Education*, 45, 38-55.
- Grasso, D., Brown Burkins, M., Helble, J., & Martinelli, D. (2008). Dispelling the myths of holistic engineering. *PE Magazine*, Aug/Sept. Retrieved from [http://www.nspe.org/PEmagazine/pe\\_0808\\_DisPELLING.html](http://www.nspe.org/PEmagazine/pe_0808_DisPELLING.html)
- Greenhow, C., Gibbins, T., & Menzer, M. M. (2015). Re-thinking scientific literacy out-of-school: Arguing science issues in a niche Facebook application. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 53, 593–604. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.06.031>
- Gronlund, N. (1993). How to make achievement tests and assessments. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Guerra, L., Allen, D. T., Crawford, R. H., & Farmer, C. (2012). *A unique approach to characterizing the engineering design process*. Paper presented at ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition, San Antonio, Texas. Retrieved from <https://peer.asee.org/20878>.
- Guilford, J. P. (1950). Creativity. *American Psychologist*, 5(9), 444–454. doi:10.1037/h0063487
- Guzey, S. S., Moore, T. J., & Harwell, M. (2016). Building Up STEM: An Analysis of Teacher-Developed Engineering Design-Based STEM Integration Curricular Materials. *Journal of Pre-College Engineering Education Research (J-PEER)*, 6(1), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.7771/2157-9288.1129>
- Haik, Y., Sivaloganathan, S., & Shahin, T. M. (2015). *Engineering design process*. Cengage Learning.
- Hammack, R., Ivey, T. A., Utley, J., & High, K. A. (2015). Effect of an Engineering Camp on Students' Perceptions of Engineering and Technology. *Journal of Pre-College Engineering Education Research (J-PEER)*, 5(2), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.7771/2157-9288.1102>
- Han, H. J., & Shim, K. C. (2019). Development of an engineering design process-based teaching and learning model for scientifically gifted students at the Science Education Institute for the Gifted in South Korea. *Asia-Pacific Science Education*, 5(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41029-019-0047-6>
- Hanif, S., Wijaya, A. F. C., & Winarno, N. (2019). Enhancing Students' Creativity through STEM Project-Based Learning. *Journal of Science Learning*, 2(2), 50. <https://doi.org/10.17509/jsl.v2i2.13271>

- Hathcock, S. J., Dickerson, D. L., Eckhoff, A., & Katsioloudis, P. (2015). Scaffolding for Creative Product Possibilities in a Design-Based STEM Activity. *Research in Science Education*, 45(5), 727–748. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-014-9437-7>
- Heo, Y. U. (2014). *Development of engineering design process and development of experience activity tasks with above process in unit for electrical/electronic engineering and information/communication engineering in engineering technology subject* (Unpublished master's thesis, Korea National University of Education, Cheongju, South Korea). Retrieved from <http://dcollection.knue.ac.kr/jsp/common/DcLoOrgPer.jsp?sItemId=000000026228>.
- Hertel, J. D., Cunningham, C. M., & Kelly, G. J. (2017). The roles of engineering notebooks in shaping elementary engineering student discourse and practice. *International Journal of Science Education*, 39(9), 1194–1217.
- Householder, D. L., & Hailey, C. E. (2012). Incorporating Engineering Design Challenges into STEM Courses. [https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/ncete\\_publications/166](https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/ncete_publications/166)
- Huang, N. tang, Chang, Y. shan, & Chou, C. hui. (2020). Effects of creative thinking, psychomotor skills, and creative self-efficacy on engineering design creativity. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2020.100695>
- Hynes, M., Portsmore, M., Dare, E., Milto, E., Rogers, C., Hammer, D., & Carberry, A. (2011). *Infusing engineering design into high school STEM courses (report no. 165)*. Retrieved from the National Center for engineering and technology education website: <http://ncete.org/flash/pdfs/Infusing%20Engineering%20Hynes.pdf>.
- Ilma AZ, Wilujeng I, Widowati A, Nurtanto M, Kholidah N (2023). A Systematic Literature Review of STEM Education in Indonesia (2016-2021): Contribution to Improving Skills in 21st Century Learning. *Pegem Journal of Education and Instruction*, 13(2), 134-146
- Irving, Z. C., McGrath, C., Flynn, L., Glasser, A., & Mills, C. (2022). The shower effect: Mind wandering facilitates creative incubation during moderately engaging activities. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*.
- Jang, H. (2016). Identifying 21st Century STEM Competencies Using Workplace Data. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 25, 284-301. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-015-9593-1>
- Jimenez-Aleixandre, M. P. & Crujeiras, B. (2017). Epistemic practices and scientific practices in science education, in: K. S. Taber, B. Akpan (Eds), *Science education: An international course companion* (Rotterdam, The Netherlands, Sense Publishers), 69–80. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-6300-749-8>

- Jindal-Snape, D., Davies, D., Collier, C., Howe, A., Digby, R., & Hay, P. (2013). The impact of creative learning environments on learners: A systematic literature review. *Improving Schools*, 16(1), 21–31.
- Jufrida, J., Basuki, F. R., Kurniawan, W., Pangestu, M. D., & Fitaloka, O. (2019). Scientific literacy and science learning achievement at junior high school. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, 8(4), 630–636. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v8i4.20312>
- Karsli Baydere, F., & Bodur, A. M. (2022). 9th Grade Students' Learning of Designing an Incubator through Instruction Based on Engineering Design Tasks. *Journal of Science Learning*, 5(3), 500–508. <https://doi.org/10.17509/jsl.v5i3.47226>
- Kaufman, J. C., & Sternberg, R. J. (2007). *The international handbook of creativity*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Kazerounian, K., & Foley, S. (2007). Barriers to creativity in engineering education: A study of instructors and students perceptions. *Journal of Mechanical Design*, 129, 761–768.
- Kelly, G. J., & Licona, P. (2018). Epistemic practices and science education. *History, philosophy and science teaching: New perspectives*, 139–165.
- Khaeroningtyas, N., Permanasari, A., & Hamidah, I. (2016). Stem learning in material of temperature and its change to improve scientific literacy of junior high school students. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 5(1), 94–100. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v5i1.5797>
- Kim, Y. M., Kim, H. J., Huh, H. Y., Lee, C. H., & Kim, K. S. (2013). Development of an engineering education program in primary and secondary education: focus on construction engineering in middle school. *The Korean Journal of Technology Education*, 13(2), 21–41.
- Klukken, P. G., Parsons, J. R., & Colubus, P. J. (1997). The creative experience in engineering practice: Implications for engineering education. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 86(2), 133–138.
- Kozbelt, A., Beghetto, R. A., & Runco, M. A. (2010). Theories of Creativity. *The Cambridge handbook of creativity*, 2, 20-47.
- Lachapelle, C. P., & Cunningham, C. M. (2014). Engineeringin elementary schools. In S. Purzer, J. Strobel, & M. Cardella (Eds.), *Engineering in pre-college settings: Synthesizing research, policy, and practices* (pp. 61–88).West Lafayette, IN: Purdue University Press.
- Maass, K., Geiger, V., Ariza, M. R., & Goos, M. (2019). The Role of Mathematics in interdisciplinary STEM education. *ZDM - Mathematics Education*, 51(6), 869–884. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-019-01100-5>
- Malone, K. L., Tiarani, V., Irving, K. E., Kajfez, R., Lin, H., Giasi, T., & Edmiston, B. W. (2018). Engineering Design Challenges in Early Childhood Education: Effects on Student Cognition and Interest. *European Journal of STEM Education*, 3(3). <https://doi.org/10.20897/ejsteme/3871>

- Marsari, H., & Rifma, R. (2023). The Development of STEM-Based Teaching Materials to Improve Science Literacy for Grade III Elementary School Students. *AL-ISHLAH: Jurnal Pendidikan*, 15(2), 1297–1309. <https://doi.org/10.35445/alishlah.v15i2.2809>
- Massachusetts DOE. (2006). Massachusetts science and technology/engineering curriculum framework. Massachusetts.
- Meltzer, D. E. (2002). The relationship between mathematics preparation and conceptual learning gains in physics: A possible “hidden variable” in diagnostic pretest scores. *American Journal of Physics*, 70(12), 1259–1268. <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.1514215>
- Miller, A. L. (2014). A Self-Report Measure of Cognitive Processes Associated with Creativity. *Creativity Research Journal*, 26(2), 203–218. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10400419.2014.901088>
- Miller, A. L., & Dumford, A. D. (2016). Creative Cognitive Processes in Higher Education. *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 50(4), 282–293. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jocb.77>
- Miller, J. D. (1998). The measurement of civic scientific literacy. *Public understanding of science*, 7(3), 203.
- Miller, S. R., Hunter, S. T., Starkey, E., Ramachandran, S., Ahmed, F., & Fuge, M. (2021). How should we measure creativity in engineering design? A comparison between social science and engineering approaches. *Journal of Mechanical Design, Transactions of the ASME*, 143(3). <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4049061>
- Morris, P. E., & Hampson, P. J. (1983). Imagery and consciousness. New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Murray, S., Liang, N., Brosowsky, N., & Seli, P. (2021). What are the benefits of mind wandering to creativity? *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1037/aca0000420>
- Nagy, L.-né., Korom, E., Pásztor, A., Veres, G., & B. Németh, M. (2015). A természettudományos gondolkodás online diagnosztikus értékelése [Online diagnostic assessment of scientific reasoning]. In: Csapó B., Korom E. and Molnár Gy. (Eds.), *A természettudományi tudás online diagnosztikus értékelésének tartalmi keretei* [Framework for the online assessment of scientific reasoning, in Hungarian] (pp. 35–116). Budapest: Oktatáskutató és Fejlesztő Intézet.
- Natale, C. C., Mello, P. S., Trivelato, S. L. F., Marzin-Janvier, P., & Manzoni-de-Almeida, D. (2021). Evidence of scientific literacy through hybrid and online biology inquiry-based learning activities. *Higher Learning Research Communications*, 11, 33–49. <https://doi.org/10.5590/10.18870/hlrc.v11i0.1199>
- National Academy of Engineers & National Research Council. (2009). In: Katehi L, Feder M (eds), *Engineering in K-12 education: understanding the status and improving the prospects*. National Academies Press, Washington.

- National Research Council. (2012). *A framework for K-12 science education: practices, crosscutting concepts, and core ideas*. The National Academies Press, Washington.
- Nurtanto, M., Pardjono, P., Widarto, W., & Ramdani, S. D. (2020). The effect of STEM-EDP in professional learning on automotive engineering competence in vocational high school. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young Scientists*, 8(2), 633–649. <https://doi.org/10.17478/JEGYS.645047>
- OECD. (2019). “PISA 2018 Science Framework”, in PISA 2018 Assessment and Analytical Framework, OECD Publishing, Paris.
- OECD. (2003). *The PISA 2003 Assessment Framework – Mathematics, Reading, Science and Problem Solving Knowledge Skills*. Retrieved from <https://www.oecd.org/education/school/programmeforinternationalstuden tassessmentpisa/33707226.pdf>
- Okky, F. T. M., Inabuy, V., Sutia, C., Hardanie, D. B., Lestari, H. S. (2008). *Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam untuk SMP Kelas VIII*. Jakarta Selatan, Indonesia: Pusat Kurikulum dan Perbukuan.
- Pahrudin, A., Irwandani, Triyana, E., Oktarisa, Y., Anwar, C. (2019). The Analysis of Pre-Service Physics Teachers in Scientific Literacy: Focus on the Competence and Knowledge Aspects. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 8(1), 52-62.
- Pappas, J., & Pappas, E. (2003). Creative thinking, creative problem-solving, and inventive design in the engineering curriculum: *A review. Proceedings of the 2003 ASEE Annual Conference and Exposition*, Nashville, TN.
- Park, D.-Y., Park, M.-H., & Bates, A. B. (2018). Exploring Young Children’s Understanding about the Concept of Volume through Engineering Design in a STEM Activity: A Case Study. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 16(2), 275-294.
- Permanasari, A. (2016). STEM education: Inovasi dalam pembelajaran sains. In *Prosiding SNPS (Seminar Nasional Pendidikan Sains)*, 3, pp. 23-34.
- Pérsico, M. S., & Contín, S. A. (2005). What does it means to be literate nowadays?. *Comunicar: Revista Científica de Comunicación y Educación*, 12(24), 177-182.
- Plucker, J. A., Beghetto, R. A., & Dow, G. T. (2004). Why isn’t creativity more important to educational psychologist? Potentials, pitfalls, and future directions in creativity research. *Educational Psychologist*, 39(2), 83–96.
- Queiruga-Dios, M. Á., López-Iñesta, E., Diez-Ojeda, M., Sáiz-Manzanares, M. C., & Dorrío, J. B. V. (2020). Citizen science for scientific literacy and the attainment of sustainable development goals in formal education. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(10). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12104283>
- Rahman, A. (2023). Implementation of Inquiry Learning Model with STEM Approach to Improve Student Science Literacy in Environmental Pollution Materials. *Indonesian Journal of Science and Education*, 7(1), 7-16.

- Richards, G. (1998). Stimulating creativity: Teaching engineers to be innovators. *Proceedings of the Annual Frontiers in Education Conference*, 1034–1039.
- Ridlo, Z. R., Nuha, U., Terra, I. W. A., & Afafa, L. (2020). The implementation of project-based learning in STEM activity (water filtration system) in improving creative thinking skill. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1563(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1563/1/012073>
- Ridwan. 2015. *Skala Pengukuran Variabel-variabel Penelitian..* Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Rockyane, I. S., & Sukartiningsih, W. (2018). Pengembangan Media Pembelajaran Interaktif Menggunakan Adobe Flash dalam Pembelajaran Menulis Cerita Siswa Kelas IV SD. *Jurnal Mahasiswa Universitas Negeri Surabaya*, 6(5), 767-776.
- Safitri, N., & Tanjung, I. F. (2023). Development of STEM-Based Student Worksheets on Virus Material to Improve Student Science Literacy. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 9(3), 1457–1464. <https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v9i3.3288>
- Samsudin, M. A., Osman, K., & Halim, L. (2007, March). Content Scaffolding or Cognitive Scaffolding? Which Scaffolding Technique Encourages Students to Think Actively While doing Problem Based Learning? International Problem-based learning symposium (pp. 150-173).
- Shahali, E. H. M., Halim, L., Rasul, M. S., Osman, K., & Zulkifeli, M. A. (2017). STEM learning through engineering design: Impact on middle secondary students' interest towards STEM. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 13(5), 1189–1211. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2017.00667a>
- Siew, N. M., Goh, H., & Sulaiman, F. (2016). Integrating STEM in an engineering design process: The learning experience of rural secondary school students in an outreach challenge program. *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, 15(4), 477.
- Siew, N. M. (2017). Fostering Students' scientific Imagination In Stem Through An Engineering Design Process. *Problems of Education in the 21st Century*, 75(4), 375-393.
- Şimşek, F., & Hamzaoglu, E. (2023). The effect of context-based STEM activities on secondary school students' scientific literacy and STEM motivation. *Kuramsal Eğitimbilim Dergisi [Journal of Theoretical Educational Science]*, 16(3), 574-595.
- Starkey, E., Toh, C. A., & Miller, S. R. (2016). Abandoning creativity: The evolution of creative ideas in engineering design course projects. *Design Studies*, 47, 47-72.
- Sugiyono. (2015). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D*. Bandung: ALFABETA.

- Sugiyono. (2013). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D*. Bandung: ALFABETA.
- Sulistiyowati, S., Abdurrahman, A., & Jalmo, T. (2018). The Effect of STEM-Based Worksheet on Students' Science Literacy. *Tadris: Jurnal Keguruan Dan Ilmu Tarbiyah*, 3(1), 89. <https://doi.org/10.24042/tadris.v3i1.2141>
- Sutrisna, N. (2021). Analisis Kemampuan Literasi Sains Peserta Didik SMA di Kota Sungai Penuh. *Jurnal Inovasi Penelitian*, 1(12), 2683-2694. <https://doi.org/10.47492/jip.v1i12.530>
- Szalay, L., Tóth, Z., & Borbás, R. (2021). Teaching of experimental design skills: results from a longitudinal study. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*. doi:10.1039/d0rp00338g
- Tan, T., Zou, H., Chen, C., & Luo, J. (2015). Mind Wandering and the Incubation Effect in Insight Problem Solving. *Creativity Research Journal*, 27(4), 375–382. doi:10.1080/10400419.2015.1088290
- Tang, K.-S., & Williams, P. J. (2018). STEM literacy or literacies? Examining the empirical basis of these constructs. *Review of Education*. doi:10.1002/rev3.3162
- Taurina, Z. (2015). Students' motivation and learning outcomes: Significant factors in internal study quality assurance system. *International Journal for Cross-Disciplinary Subjects in Education (IJCDSE)*, 5(4), 2625-2630.
- Tolbert, D., & Daly, S. R. (2013). First-year engineering student perceptions of creative opportunities in design. *International Journal of Engineering Education*, 29(4), 879–890.
- Torrance, E. P. (1998). Torrance Tests of Creative Thinking: Norms-Technical Manual Figural (Streamlined) Forms A and B. *Bensenville, IL: Scholastic Testing Service*.
- Trevelyan, J. (2010). Reconstructing engineering from practice. *Engineering Studies*, 2(3), 175–195.
- Tsupros, N., Kohler, R., & Hallinen, J. (2009). STEM Education: A Project to Identify the Missing Components. In *Intermediate Unit 1 Center for STEM Education and Leonar Gelfand Center for Service Learning and Outreach Carnegie Mellon University* (pp. 1–3).
- Valjak, F. (2017). *Creativity in the engineering design process* (Report, UDC 62:65.01:159.954). Research Report. University of Zagreb, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and naval Architecture. [https://www.fsb.unizg.hr/brodo/gradnja/UZIR-Essay-2017-Valja\\_k.pdf](https://www.fsb.unizg.hr/brodo/gradnja/UZIR-Essay-2017-Valja_k.pdf).
- Veety, E. N., Sur, J. S., Elliott, H. K., & Lamberth, J. E. (2018). Teaching engineering design through wearable device design competition (evaluation). *Journal of Pre-College Engineering Education Research*, 8(2), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.7771/2157-9288.1197>
- Vinck, D. (Ed.). (2003). *Everyday engineering: An ethnography of design and innovation*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.

- Wahyu, Y., Suastra, I. W., Sadia, I. W., & Suarni, N. K. (2020). The effectiveness of mobile augmented reality assisted STEM-based learning on scientific literacy and students' achievement. *International Journal of Instruction*, 13(3), 343–356. <https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2020.13324a>
- Wallach, M. A., & Kogan, N. (1965). *Modes of Thinking in Young Children*. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Wang, H., Moore, T., Roehrig, G., & Park, M.S. (2011). STEM Integration: Teacher perceptions and practice. *Journal of Pre-College Engineering Education Research*, 1(2), 1-13.
- Wheeler L., Whitworth B. and Gonczi A., (2014), Engineering design challenge. *Science Teacher*, 81(9), 30–36.
- Wijaya, O. P., & Bukhori, I. (2017). Effect of learning motivation, family factor, school factor, and community factor on student learning outcomes on productive subjects. *JPBM (Jurnal Pendidikan Bisnis dan Manajemen)*, 3(3), 192-202.
- Winarni, E. W., Karpudewan, M., Karyadi, B., & Gumono, G. (2022). Integrated PjBL-STEM in Scientific Literacy and Environment Attitude for Elementary School. *Asian Journal of Education and Training*, 8(2), 43–50. <https://doi.org/10.20448/edu.v8i2.3873>
- Winarno, N., Rusdiana, D., Samsudin, A., Susilowati, E., Ahmad, N. J., & Afifah, R. M. A. (2020). Synthesizing Results from Empirical Research on Engineering Design Process in Science Education: A Systematic Literature Review. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 16(12), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/9129>
- Winarso, W. (2016). Assessing the Readiness of Student Learning Activity and Learning Outcome. *Jurnal Pencerahan*, 10(2), 81-94.
- Wulandari, N. (2016). Analisis kemampuan literasi sains pada aspek pengetahuan dan kompetensi sains siswa smp pada materi kalor. *Edusains*, 8(1), 66-73.
- Yasin, Ruhizan M., Lilia Halim, and Azaman Ishar. (2012). Effects of problem-solving strategies in the teaching and learning of engineering drawing subject. *Asian Social Science*, 8(16), 65.
- Yuliati, L., Parno, P., Hapsari, A. A., Nurhidayah, F., & Halim, L. (2018). Building Scientific Literacy and Physics Problem Solving Skills through Inquiry-Based Learning for STEM Education. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1108(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1108/1/012026>
- Yusuf, A. M., Hidayatullah, S., & Tauhidah, D. (2022). Hubungan Literasi Digital dan Saintifik dengan Hasil Belajar Kognitif Biologi Siswa SMA (The Relationship Between Digital and Scientific Literacy with Biology Cognitive Learning Outcomes of High School Students) *Article Histroy. Assimilation: Indonesian Journal Of Biology Education*, 5(1), 8–16. <https://doi.org/10.17509/aijbe.v5i1.43322>

- Zetterqvist, A., & Bach, F. (2023). Epistemic knowledge—a vital part of scientific literacy? *International Journal of Science Education*, 45(6), 484–501. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2023.2166372>
- Zheng, X., Ritter, S. C., & Miller, S. R. (2018). How concept selection tools impact the development of creative ideas in engineering design education. *Journal of Mechanical Design, Transactions of the ASME*, 140(5). <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4039338>
- Zhou, N., Pereira, N. L., George, T. T., Alperovich, J., Booth, J., Chandrasegaran, S., Tew, J. D., Kulkarni, D. M., & Ramani, K. (2017). The Influence of Toy Design Activities on Middle School Students' Understanding of the Engineering Design Processes. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 26(5), 481–493. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-017-9693-1>
- Ziman, J. (1978). *Reliable Knowledge: An Exploration of the Grounds for Belief in Science*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.