

STATEMENT

I hereby state that this study entitled "*The Use of ThinkQuest-based Project Learning in Teaching Writing Procedural Texts: A Quasi-Experimental Study of tenth graders of SMAN 22 Bandung*" and submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for *Sarjana Pendidikan* degree was completely my original work. I truly said that I quoted some statements and ideas from many sources. All of the quotations are properly acknowledgement. This study contains no materials which have been submitted for the award of any other degree in any university or institution.

Bandung, November 2010

Puspa Safitrie
0606698

PREFACE

Praise be all to Allah SWT, the Lord of the universe. It is by His grace that this research paper can be accomplished. This paper mainly discusses the first implementation of ThinkQuest-based Project Learning method in English as a Foreign Language context, with its effectiveness, strengths, and weaknesses, in order to examine the method's potentials in providing better learning.

For further improvement of this paper, comments and criticism are expected. However, it is hoped that this paper will be favorable as the guideline of further research exploring the new method and the basis of developing and implementing the new method in Indonesian classrooms.

Bandung, November 2010

Puspa Safitrie
0606698

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to convey my greatest gratitude to Allah SWT as the never-ending source of motivation in my entire life. Then my gratitude goes to all people who never stop supporting me, my family: my mother, the brightest star in my universe, who always encourages me to be a good muslim; my father, for the principles of life that you taught me; my sister, Teh Kiky, and her husband, for the supports; and my brother, A 'Ntes, for the laughter and sharing times. My classmates: Ratih, R. Fitri, Restri, Kubra, Ria, Roswita, Devi, Miya, Rezy, Nia, Pupur, Syifa, Novi, Afsa, Rasi, and the others for spending four years together in both excitement and boredom. My debating fellows: Teh Nadia, Teh Harni, Teh Pies, Yogi, Nuri, Teh Griya, and others including those from other universities in Bandung, Jakarta, and Yogyakarta for broadening my horizon as well as shaping my critical thinking and analyzing skill.

The great gratitude is also conveyed to my two supervisors for sharing their expertise, Prof. Dr. A. Chaedar Alwasilah, M.A. and Riesky, M.Ed. It was an honor for me to work with highly-committed scholars like them. Then, I would like to thank the other lecturers in English Education department UPI who has been inspiring a lot in enriching my teaching styles and in pursuing my future career: Ika Lestari Damayanti, S.Pd., M.A., Eri Kurniawan, S.Pd, and Budi Hermawan M.Pc. Then, for all people of SMAN 22 Bandung who have been very helpful: Bapak Sofiyandi, S.Pd. for the chances and priceless lessons on how to be a professional teacher; students of X-6, X-7, and X-8 for friendliness and

cooperativeness during this study; and all the school staffs for the administrative assistance. Then, for research-fighters who spent their time sharing with me about the research-paper making and graduation, even helped me by their own ways: Teh Yuli, Teh Restri, Teh Puri, Teh Erdita, Teh Nery, Nunung, Teh Mega, Teh Dena, and Kang Daniel.



ABSTRACT

This study investigated the effectiveness of *ThinkQuest*-based Project Learning method in teaching writing procedural texts to ten-graders of SMAN 22 Bandung. The strengths and weaknesses of the new method were also investigated in order to see the potentials of the method in equipping students with skills required in global workforce, namely expertise in the subject matter and effective personal and interpersonal skills.

Quasi-experimental design was used in this study with pre-test-post-test non-equivalent group design. The experimental and control groups consisted of 30 students. Data collection was conducted by collecting students' writings on both pre-test and post-test and conducting interview to both high-motivated and low-motivated students. The data were analyzed using independent *t*-test to see whether or not the means of the two groups are significantly different and dependent *t*-test to see whether or not the treatment has given significance influence to the students' achievement.

The findings showed that the new method was not effective in increasing students' scores (post-test scores of experimental group, $M= 66.00$, $SE= 8.137$, was considered to be not significantly different from the scores of control group, $M= 67.50$, $SE= 8.784$). However, the new method, which included its practical activities, was successful to equip students with expertise of application of the subject matter as well as practice of developing students' personal and interpersonal skills. Apart from its strengths, the method had three weaknesses, namely enabling some low-motivated students to be less contributive in the project which caused them to learn very less, misleading students to tend to ignore their individual theory mastery and providing chances for less successful project due to students' decreasing motivation. In order to achieve maximum benefits of the method, it is suggested that some aspects of the method should be improved, such as the number of students in each team is limited into four or five students, the individual grammar practice and tests are administered, and time available for the project completion is extended into two or three months.

CONTENTS

STATEMENT	i
PREFACE	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
ABSTRACT	v
CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLE	x
LIST OF CHART	xi
LIST OF APPENDICES	xii
CHAPTER I : INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Statement of Problems	4
1.3 Limitation of the Study	4
1.4 Aims of the Study	5
1.5 Significance of the Study	5
1.6 Population and Sample	5
1.7 Research Methodology	6
1.7.1 Research Design	6
1.7.2 Data Collection	8
1.7.3 Data Analysis	9
1.8 Clarification of Terms	10
1.9 Paper Organization	11
CHAPTER II : THEORETICAL FOUNDATION	12
2.1 Writing.....	12
2.1.1 The Nature of Writing	12

2.1.2 The Aspect of Writing	13
2.1.3 The Importance of Writing Competence	14
2.2 Teaching Writing	15
2.2.1 The Approaches in Teaching Writing	15
2.2.2 The Challenges in Teaching Writing	16
2.3 Procedural Texts Writing	17
2.3.1 Definition of Procedural Texts	17
2.3.2 Generic Structure of Procedural Texts	17
2.3.3 Lexicogrammatical Features of Procedural Texts	17
2.3.4 The Common Approach in Genre-based Writing	18
2.4 ThinkQuest-based Project Learning	18
2.4.1 Origins of ThinkQuest	18
2.4.2 The Nature of ThinkQuest-based Project Learning	19
2.4.3 The Differences of Characteristics between the ThinkQuest-based Project Learning and the Conventional Methods	21
2.4.4 The Project Cycle in ThinkQuest-based Project Learning	22
2.4.5 The Instructional Design of ThinkQuest-based Project Learning in Indonesia's EFL (English as a Foreign Language) Context	25
2.4.6 Potential Benefits Gained through ThinkQuest-based Project Learning ...	26
2.4.7 Teaching Writing through ThinkQuest-based Project Learning	29
2.4.8 Related Studies	30
2.4.8.1 Strength of Project-based Learning Practice	30
2.4.8.2 Weaknesses of Project-based Learning Practice	33
CHAPTER III : RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.....	34
3.1 Research Design.....	34
3.2 Data Collection	36
3.2.1 Population and Sample.....	36
3.2.2 Research Instruments	36

3.2.3 Research Procedure.....	39
3.2.3.1 Organizing Teaching Procedures.....	39
3.2.3.2 Organizing Research Instruments.....	40
3.2.3.3 Testing the Validity of the Pre-test and Post-test through the Pilot Test	40
3.2.3.4 Administering Pre-test to Experimental and Control Group	41
3.2.3.5 Conducting the Treatment	41
3.2.3.6 Administering Post-test to Experimental and Control Group	42
3.2.3.7 Conducting Interview	43
3.2.4 Data Analysis	43
3.2.4.1 Scoring Rubric	43
3.2.4.2 Data Analysis on the Validity Tests of the Pre-test and Post-test	44
3.2.4.3 Data Analysis on the Pre-test and the Post-Test Scores	44
3.2.4.3.1 The Normal Distribution Test	45
3.2.4.3.2 The Homogeneity of Variance Test	46
3.2.4.3.3 The Independent T-test	47
3.2.4.4 Data Analysis on The Interview	47
CHAPTER IV : FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS.....	49
4.1 Findings	49
4.1.1 Findings from the Pilot Test	49
4.1.2 Findings from the Pre-test Score Analysis	49
4.1.2.1 The Result of the Normal Distribution Test	50
4.1.2.2 The Result of the Homogeneity of Variance Test	51
4.1.2.3 The Result of the Independent T-test	51
4.1.3 Findings from the Post-test Score Analysis	53
4.1.3.1 The Result of the Normal Distribution Test	53
4.1.3.2 The Result of the Homogeneity of Variance Test	54
4.1.3.3 The Result of the Independent T-test	54

4.1.3.4 The Result of the Dependent t-test	54
4.1.4 Findings from the Interview	54
4.1.4.1 Interview Findings on the Strengths of ThinkQuest-based Project Learning Method	55
4.1.4.2 Interview Findings on the Weaknesses of ThinkQuest-based Project Learning Method	60
4.1.4.3 Interview Findings on the Suggestions to Improve the Future Implementation of ThinkQuest-based Project Learning Method	63
4.2 Discussions	65
4.2.1 The Ineffectiveness of ThinkQuest-based Project Learning	65
4.2.2 The Strengths of ThinkQuest-based Project Learning	70
4.2.3 The Weaknesses of ThinkQuest-based Project Learning	73
CHAPTER V : CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS	76
5.1 Conclusions.....	76
5.2 Suggestions.....	78
REFERENCES	80
APPENDICES	
ABOUT THE WRITER	

LIST OF TABLE

Table 3.1 Schedule of Teaching Experimental and Control Groups 41



LIST OF CHART

Chart 4.1 The Strengths of ThinkQuest-based Project Learning Method	55
Chart 4.2 The Strengths of Using ThinkQuest Online Learning Platform	59
Chart 4.3 The Weaknesses of ThinkQuest-based Project Learning Method ..	61
Chart 4.4 The Suggestions for the Future Implementation of ThinkQuest-based Project Learning Method	63



LIST OF APPENDICES

1. Appendix A

- Teaching Schedule and Learning Activity Map
- A Lesson Plan of the Experimental Group
- Scenario of the Project Learning
- Pages of ThinkQuest Online Platform
- Documentation on the Learning Activities of the Experimental Group
- A Lesson Plan of the Control Group

2. Appendix B

- Test Instruction
- The Scoring Rubric for Procedure Writing
- The Transcription of the Interview

3. Appendix C

- Students' Writing Scores on Pre-test and Post-test
- Data Analysis of Pre-test Scores
- Data Analysis of Post-test Scores

4. Appendix D

- Students' Writings on Pre-test

5. Appendix E

- Students' Writings on Post-test

6. Administrative Letter of Study

- Surat Keputusan Pengesahan Judul Skripsi dan Dosen Pembimbing

- Surat Keterangan Penelitian

